

Investigation on Papercrete Concrete

**J. Sree Naga Chaitanya¹, Dr. K. Chandramouli², Dr. Sk. Bifathima³,
Shaik Abdul Khadar⁴**

¹ Assistant Professor, ² Professor & HoD, ³ Associate Professor, ⁴ UG Student

^{1,2,3,4} Department of Civil Engineering, NRI Institute of Technology, Visadala (V), Medikonduru (M),
Guntur, Andhra Pradesh, India

Abstract

The purpose of the current investigation is on recycling waste paper without harming the environment or society, as well as turning waste materials like flyash and waste-paper into useful building blocks. The evaluation of the strength, durability, and basic characteristics of flyash-based papercrete building blocks was accomplished. The results were then compared to those of regular blocks at that moment. Flyash and waste paper-based concrete composites have undergone extensive concentration to increase their strength and solidity. They were tested for compressive and split tensile strength using papercrete.

Keywords: Papercrete, Flyash, Compressive Strength, Split Tensile Strength

1. Introduction

Utilizing paper waste that has been generated and dissolved in water to produce paper pulp that is environmentally friendly and recyclable numerous times. Elastic, glass powder, mechanical waste filaments, wood sawdust wastes, and limestone powder wastes have all received considerable attention in recent years while creating building materials. Papercrete led the door to use the recyclable materials in great quantities for the development of light weight materials, decorative purposes, and in architectural design.

2. Materials

2.1. Paper

Paper is the primary component of papercrete, hence the microstructure of the paper affects the material's qualities. The lignin binder and cellulose fibres are released from wood fragments by dissolving the lignin binder via thermometry or mechanical processing. After pressing the pulp to eliminate extra water, paper is created. Paper is an anisotropic material, and the fibres' strength and quality vary based on a number of variables. The type of wood, the proportion of recycled paper, the amount of water in the pulp, the method of pulping (chemical or mechanical), and the rate of drying are those factors. Today, recovered fibres make over half of the paper fibre used in production. Recovered fibres, however, are by nature weaker, therefore shifting the pulp requires shifting the fibres. Table 1 shows the properties of dry paper. The properties are determined by the Textile Department Laboratory.

Table 1: Properties of Dry Paper

Properties	Values
Weight	47 GSM
Thickness	0.06 mm
Moisture	7.5%
Bursting Strength	168 kPa
Tearing Resistance	12.6 kg
Tensile Strength	1.13 kg
Porosity	475 mls/minute

3.2. Cement

The cement is made by burning a mixture of calcareous and argillaceous minerals at extremely high temperatures. Ingredients should be intimately combined and in the right proportions. The calcined material is referred to as clinker. The clinker is combined with a little amount of gypsum and ground into a very fine powder to create cement. In this study, 43 grade Ordinary Portland Cement was used for the entire work. The physical properties of cement are furnished in Table 2.

Table 2 Physical Properties of Cement

Properties	Results Obtained	Requirements of IS 8112-1989
Fineness (By Sieve Analysis)	4.6%	Less than 10%
Specific Surface Area (cm ² /g)	2,880	Not less than 2,250
Specific Gravity	3.15	3.15
Initial Setting Time (Minutes)	32	Not less than 30
Final Setting Time (Minutes)	490	Not more than 600

3.3. Flyash

Even before flue gases of coal-fired power plants reach the chimneys, fly ash is typically caught by electrostatic precipitators or other particle filtration equipment, together with bottom ash recovered from the furnace's bottom. It's called coal ash. The contents of fly ash differ significantly depending on the origin and make-up of the coal being burned, but they invariably contain significant levels of calcium oxide (CaO) and silicon dioxide (SiO₂), both of which are endemic components in many coal-bearing geological layers. Its mineralogical composition, fine particle size and amorphous character is generally pozzolanic and in some cases also self-cementitious (Siddique Rafat, 2000). Tables 3 and 4 represents the chemical composition and physical properties of flyash.

Table 3: Chemical Composition of Flyash

Components	Percentage (%) by Weight
Silica as SiO ₂	61.65
Iron as Fe ₂ O ₃	9.56
Alumina as Al ₂ O ₃	25.86
Calcium as CaO	13.78
Magnesium as MgO	2.33
Titanium as TiO ₂	1.09
Sodium Na ₂ O	1.46
Pottasium K ₂ O	1.57
Sulphate as SO ₃	0.62
Loss on Ignition	2.38

Table 4: Physical Properties of Flyash

Properties	Values
	Flyash
Moisture Content (%)	0.5
Blaine Specific Surface Area (cm ² /g)	29,969
Specific Gravity	2.4
Strength Index Compared with Control Mortars (%) at 28 Days	84
Water absorption (by weight)	13% to 15%

3.4. Fine Aggregate

The sand particle is made up of microscopic silica grains (SiO₂). It is created when sandstones break down as a result of different weather related factors. Sand is divided into three categories: pit sand, river sand, and sea sand, depending on the natural resources used to produce it. Sand is divided into three categories: fine, coarse and gravel, depending on the size of the grains. Fine sand is defined as sand that passes through a screen with 1.5875 mm clear openings. Plastering is the principal usage for it. The term "coarse sand" refers to sand that passes through a screen with clear apertures of 3.17 mm. Typically, it is employed in masonry work. The coarse sand, which is used and discussed in the thesis confirms zone – II and the fineness modulus and specific gravity of sand are 2.92 and 2.52 respectively.

4. Trial Mixes

Paper is the major constituent of the mix proportions used papers with cement, fly-ash, sand, paper pulp is used as ingredients of the mix with various proportions. From these materials, mix proportion 1:2:4:4 used and evaluated compressive and split tensile strength.

5. Results

5.1. Compressive Strength Test

The cube specimens of 150 mm × 150mm × 150 mm were cast and tested in compression testing machine for 28, 56 and 90 days of curing period for different proportions of concrete mix and presented in Table 5.

Table 5: Compressive Strength of Papercrete Concrete

Sr. No.	Mix Designation	Compressive Strength, N/mm ²		
		28 Days	56 Days	90 Days
1	Normal Papercrete	17.50	19.05	20.47
2	30% Flyash Papercrete	20.12	21.89	23.49
3	50% Flyash Papercrete	16.60	18.03	19.37

5.2. Split Tensile Strength Test

At the age of 28, 56 and 90 days, the cylindrical specimens (150 mm diameter × 300 mm height) were tested for evaluating the split tensile strength and their strength properties is presented in Table 6.

Table 6: Split Tensile Strength of Dolomite as Partial Replacement of Cement in Concrete

Sr. No.	Mix Designation	Compressive Strength, N/mm ²		
		28 Days	56 Days	90 Days
1	Normal Papercrete	1.68	1.82	1.96
2	30% Flyash Papercrete	1.93	2.08	2.24
3	50% Flyash Papercrete	1.60	1.73	1.86

6. Conclusion

1. The compressive strength of normal papercrete at 28, 56 and 90 days are 17.50, 19.05 and 20.47 N/mm².
2. The compressive strength of 30% flyash papercrete at 28, 56 and 90 days are 20.12, 21.89 and 23.49 N/mm².
3. The compressive strength of 50% flyash papercrete at 28, 56 and 90 days are 16.60, 18.03 and 19.37 N/mm².
4. The split tensile strength of normal papercrete at 28, 56 and 90 days are 1.68, 1.82 and 1.96 N/mm².
5. The split tensile strength of 30% flyash papercrete at 28, 56 and 90 days are 1.93, 2.08 and 2.24 N/mm².
6. The split tensile strength of 50% flyash papercrete at 28, 56 and 90 days are 1.60, 1.73 and 1.86 N/mm².

References

1. B. Ahmadi, M. Al-Khaja, “Utilization of paper waste sludge in the building construction industry”, Resources Conservation & Recycling, 2001, 32(2), 105-113.
2. Algin Halil Murat, Turgut Paki, “Cotton and limestone powder wastes as brick material”, Construction and Building Materials, 2008, 22(6), 1074-1080.

3. Syed Kaiser Bukhar, Maqbool Yousuf, Ayaz Mohmood Dar, “A study of papercrete towards building sustainable & resilient infrastructure”, I-manager’s Journal on Structural Engineering, 2016, 5(2), 27-31.
4. Asha Patil, Dipti Sarvankar, Rupali Palte, Prerana Patil, “Effect of paper waste on concrete properties: Sustainability approach”, International Journal of Engineering Sciences & Research Technology, 2017, 6(4), 440-444.
5. J.S. Manuel, “How Do Paper Houses Stack Up?”, Journal of Environmental Health Perspective, 2002, 110(3), A 126.
6. Bhooma Nepal, Vanita Aggarwal, “Papercrete: A Study on Green Structural Material”, International Journal of Applied Engineering Research, 2014, 9(3), 253-260.
7. J.N. Akhtar, J. Alam. N.N. Akhtar, “Bricks with Total Replacement of Clay by Flyash mixed with different Materials”, International Journal of Engineering Science and Technology, 2011, 3(10), 7338-7346.
8. Aygun Murat, “Generation and evaluation of alternatives for building elements”, Building and Environment, 2003, 38(5), 707-712.
9. B.J. Fuller, A.P. Fafitis, J. Santamaria, “Structural properties of a new material made of waste paper”, Building Integration Solutions (AEI), 2006, 1-16.
10. R.B. Shukeri, A.G. Naser, “Concrete Mix with Wastepaper”, 2nd International Conference on Built Environment in Developing Countries (ICBEDC 2008), 567-575.
11. H. Yun, H. Jung, C. Choi, “Mechanical Properties of Papercrete Containing Waste Paper”, Architectural Institute of Korea, 2007.
12. N. Pannirselvam, K. Chandramouli, V. Anitha, “Dynamic Young’s Modulus of Elasticity of Banana Fibre Concrete with Nano Silica”, International Journal of Civil Engineering and Technology, 2019, 10(1), 3018-3026.