

Significance of Gandhian Thought on Financial Equality

Suman Devi

Research Scholar, Department of Political Science, Panjab University Chandigarh.

Abstract

Gandhi was a man of activity. His philosophy as a whole should be considered alongside his economic ideas. Gandhi believed that first and foremost, each person's basic needs should be met before he or she could consider participating in politics or other societal activities. Gandhi was agreeable to house, limited scope enterprises and reception of 'Swadesi'. He places a high value on rural development in its entirety. People will gain employment and the village will become self-sufficient as a result. Therefore, it is necessary to return to Gandhian economic ideology. The significance of Gandhi's economic ideas is the primary focus of this paper.

Keywords: Gandhian thought, Financial equality, Swadesi

Introduction:

Gandhi was a many-sided personality. He was both a politician and a saint, an educator and a journalist, a humanist and a social reformer all at once. Truth, peacefulness, harmony, resistance, all-inclusive love, balance and freedom were the constituents of his character. He was neither a scholar nor a logician. He was a man of activity and a lobbyist. Despite the fact that he was not an economist at all, he always fought against British policies that benefited the wealthy. His philosophy as a whole should be considered alongside his economic ideas. The socioeconomic reconstruction of society is his central concept. So his financial idea should be dissected in this specific situation.

Gandhi's monetary thoughts expect to bring financial uniformity and keep away from abuse of men by men. He believed that other human rights were founded on economic equality. Political and social correspondences are deficient without a trace of monetary balance. As per Gandhi there, first of all, ought to be satisfaction of essential requirements of each and every person in the general public, just than man can ponder support in legislative issues and different exercises in the public arena.

According to Gandhi's vision, everyone would have equal incomes in the ideal society. This would apply to all occupations and individuals. From a social perspective, he thought that all work was equally important. As a result, wages ought to reflect the same amount of effort put in to each occupation. A praiseworthy existence of freedom which would be the jealousy of the world, all the bhangis, specialists, attorneys, instructors, shippers and others would get similar wages for a decent living.

Gandhi certainly did not mean the doctrine of complete equality in terms of property, opportunity, etc. when he spoke of economic equality. the straightforward explanation was that such an idea couldn't compare to genuine reality. "Even in the most perfect world we will fail to avoid inequalities". Because "inequalities in opportunities and even intelligence will persist until the end of time." A man living on the

bank of a stream has any day a bigger number of chances of developing harvests than one living in a dry desert.". So such a large number of needs fluctuate from one individual to another as those of the "elephant and the insect". In the winter, one person may require two shawls, while another does not require any warm clothing at all. Therefore, equality cannot imply that everyone would have equal access to resources. Therefore, Gandhi did not believe that everyone should have the same amount of money. It simply meant that everyone should have enough resources to meet their needs. According to Gandhi, this meant that everyone should have enough resources to meet their fundamental human needs. A man can't be denied that right. Each man has an equivalent right to the necessities of life. He instinctively included in this the right of every man to opportunities for personal fulfillment.

He maintained that "economic equality must never be assumed to mean that everyone possesses an equal amount of worldly goods." That is to say, notwithstanding, that everybody will have a legitimate house, adequate and adjusted food to eat, adequate Khadi with which to cover himself. Additionally, it indicates that the heinous inequality that exists today will be eradicated solely through non-violent means.

Objectives:

- To comprehend the strategies for achieving economic equality in society.
- To comprehend how these strategies are put into practice.
- To figure out the significance of Gandhian Monetary thoughts in 21st Century.

Research Methodology

The current review depends on auxiliary information. Information has been gathered through satisfied investigation, for example, books, diaries, magazines, paper records, different reports and Gandhi's own compositions moreover. The majority of the methods used have been qualitative.

Strategies for Monetary correspondence

As respects the strategies for bringing monetary correspondence, Gandhi supported peaceful means. Gandhi needed to bring financial fairness through the regulation of trusteeship. Because material progress by the wealthy beyond a certain point is highly immoral and retards real progress, he advocated for them to give up their additional wealth. Therefore, the wealthy should take responsibility for their own property and serve as trustees, assisting in non-violent wealth distribution. Gandhi maintained that the fundamental concept of trusteeship was straightforward: To cite him, "The rich man will be left, in the ownership of his riches, of which he will utilize his expectation for his own necessities and will go about as a legal administrator for the rest of be utilized for the general public.

Theory of Trusteeship

Gandhi's trusteeship hypothesis was intended to direct a way toward the easy termination of capital and property as a wellspring of unjust imbalance and subsequent brutality and double-dealing in friendly relations. Gandhi's social way of thinking didn't allow of brutality (in view of free enterprise or medieval abuse) being killed by counter-savagery. He was of the opinion that the masses would undoubtedly respond with violence to the system's violence. As he stated, " Without a voluntary abdication of wealth and the power it confers and a sharing of it for the common good, a violent and bloody revolution is inevitable one day. "In spite of the ridicule that has been poured upon it, I adhere to the doctrine of trusteeship"

Gandhi asserts that both private and state enterprise's flaws would be eradicated if trusteeship were accepted. Private enterprise's inequality and exploitation, as well as state enterprise's violence and loss of freedom, would immediately end. Additionally, class conflict would be completely eliminated by the trusteeship system.

Gandhi was aware that acquiring and owning property in any form necessitated the performance of certain duties and characteristics that must be utilized for the benefit of the community rather than one's own self-interest. He was of the opinion that it was possible to persuade a capitalist or man of property to make use of the intelligence and skills that enabled them to acquire capital and property for the benefit of society. Capital was the result of society's labor and cooperation.

The non-expropriation of owners, on the other hand, is an essential tenet of trusteeship theory. Gandhi, obviously, appeared to make differentiation between legitimate proprietorship and moral possession. Legally, wealth belongs to its owner; morally, it belongs to society as a whole. Gandhi believed that the establishment of trusteeship could result in the alteration of labor-capital relations. "Abolishing the eternal conflict between capital and labor means working for economic equality," Gandhi stated. On the one hand, it signifies a leveling up of the semi-starved, naked millions and a leveling down of the few wealthy individuals whose control over the majority of the nation's wealth is concentrated. As long as there is still a significant gap between the wealthy and the millions of hungry people, a peaceful government system is clearly impossible.

He spent a lot of time explaining the new concepts of "Statutory trusteeship" and "Social control" in the midst of his rigorous political concerns. In 1946, the idea of "State-regulated" or "Statutory Trusteeship" emerged with a degree of clarity that Gandhi attempted to address later. Gandhi said: "The current wealth holders would be allowed to keep control of their possessions and use their skills to grow the wealth, not for their own benefit but rather for the benefit of the nation and, as a result, without being exploited. The state would set the commission rate they would receive in proportion to the value of their work to society and the service they provided. "All capitalists will have the opportunity to become statutory trustees if India becomes a free country tomorrow". As a sort of ensemble, a few intriguing concepts emerged: i) capitalists becoming laborers and receiving a "Commission" for their services, which is regulated by the state; ii) Trusteeship being characterized and managed by rule; (iii) Capitalists, who consider labor to be "socially necessary labor." From various perspectives, Gandhi occasionally returned to his theme of "Trusteeship" in 1947. While explaining the core of his trusteeship doctrine and expressing his desire that "it should become a gift from India to the whole world," he struck a spiritual note. "Everything was from God and belonged to God." In this manner, it was for his kin all in all, and not really for a specific person, when an individual had more than his proportionate piece he turned into a legal administrator of that part for God's kin. According to *Ibid.*, "it would become a legalized institution if this truth were ingested by people generally".

The Standard of Bread - Work

The standard of bread-work, or of performing physical work for acquiring one's own bread, which Gandhi got from Ruskin, Tolstoy, the Bhagavad Gita and the Holy book was likewise viewed by him as a significant means for the expulsion, everything being equal, financial as well as friendly. Non-double-dealing is the embodiment of bread-work; since everybody procures his base necessities through bread-work and contributes the remainder of his work, whether physical or scholarly, to society, rather than involving it for the gathering of privately invested money. According to Gandhi, it stands to reason that

anyone who takes his food without working for it is exploited and a thief. "There is a worldwide conflict between capital and labor, and the poor envy the rich," he made the observation. There would be no distinctions of rank if everyone worked for their food; the rich would in any case be there, yet they would consider themselves just legal administrators of their property, and would utilize it primarily in the public interest".

Gandhi opposed the use of machines beyond a certain point in his opposition to industrialization. His complaints depended on moral as well as financial grounds. Machines were repulsive in his reasoning to great life. "Vigorously for a simple society characterized by high thinking and high moral values which, he thought, was represented by ancient India was the argument that Swaraj Gandhi made in Hind Swaraj. He was against industrialization. He claimed that the exploitation of the poor was the result of industrialization. Gandhi cited the fact that the displacement of human labor was an essential feature of a machine as a strong argument against it. He said, "Machines will just assistance in making every one of the 35 crores of individuals jobless" .

As per Gandhi, machines prompted the grouping of abundance in the possession of a couple, hence, extraordinary differences in the circulation of pay. " He stated, "I want the concentration of wealth in the hands of all, not just a few." Today hardware just assists a couple with riding on the backs of millions".

Gandhi's resistance to industrialization was because of his prevalently profound virtuoso. He believed that man was primarily a moral being. Instead of accumulating wealth, his goal was self-realization. He was of the opinion that material advancement stifled spiritual advancement. "It is easier for a camel to go through the eye of a needle than for a rich man to enter the kingdom of God," according to the Bible. In Hind Swaraj, Gandhi came to the conclusion that machinery "represents a great sin" due to all of these alleged negative characteristics of machinery.

Gandhi proposed a new strategy under which individual freedom would be guaranteed to its fullest extent while it would not be allowed to be misused. A novel form of mixed economy existed. If a name is given to it, it could be called a labor-oriented economy. "Under my system it is labor which is the current coin, not metal," as he stated. In Gandhian ideal economy, agrarian development would be resuscitated, accentuation would be laid on decentralization of force, monetary as well as political, and territorial independence in issues of essential requirements would be focused on. That kind of economy would place a greater emphasis on agriculture than on industries.

Small-Scale Industries

Gandhi advocated for small businesses. He wanted the village to be its own entity. He asserts that the village ought to produce everything it requires. Small-scale industries that produce various necessities should be established in the villages. Khadi was one of many items in the scheme of rural economization, and it was also very important. Gandhi witnessed the revival of the entire economic, social, and cultural life of India's villages in Khadi. "The beginning of economic freedom and equality for all in the country" was what it meant to him . Through Khadi he "needed to make each town self-supporting for its food and clothing". It stood for independence and freedom for society as a whole. It was described as "a movement in which the prince and pauper, men and women, boys and girls, Hindus and Mussalman, Christians, Parsis and Jews, Englishmen, American, and Japanese, if they wish well to India get rid of the spirit of exploitation, can also participate". The Charkha was an attempt to get rid of modern machinery's exclusivity and exploitationist nature. Furthermore, Khadi could guarantee that human labor is utilized

appropriately. It would supply work to a large number of towns.

Decentralization of both the production and distribution of basic necessities was referred to as khadi. It denoted a straightforward and strained existence as well as the awakening and realization of a spirit of collective responsibility for the welfare of society. Khadi stood for charity and self-imposed obligations to achieve social solidarity—without which socialism would be meaningless—as well as helping the less fortunate. Gandhi has mentioned other significant village industries in addition to Khadi, which was an integral part of the rural economy. Hand-grinding, hand-pounding, making soap, tanning, and oil pressing, among other things, were among them. However, as he stated, these were on a different level. They could never have, he noticed, the presence of their own. Simultaneously, "Khadi will be denied of its nobility without them". Gandhi was certain that putting the hands back on the clock would not happen if the small industries were given proper protection and encouragement. For a reasonable development of an economy the major and the minor enterprises ought to foster as one with each other. Gandhi meant this when he talked about rural economy.

Suggestion and Conclusion

Assuming we appropriately comprehend Gandhian way of thinking, we will observe that it is a lot of pertinent today, when the world is confronting emergencies because of mass neediness and joblessness. Gandhi constructs his economic concepts so as to avoid human exploitation. It is important to note that the concentration of political power and economic power go hand in hand.

Hence, the hole between the rich and poor has expanded. Villages are completely ignored by industrialists, who would demoralize them, because the majority of private enterprise is established in large cities and urban areas. India, on the other hand, is a nation of villages where the majority of people live. However, large-scale production would bring labor and capital into conflict. Here capital takes high ground over work. Rural businesses may not encounter such conflicts. Provincial ventures are the images of solidarity and fairness. The limited scale and house ventures ought to get a purposeful spot in our arranged economy towards the satisfaction of the financial targets of Gandhi, especially in accomplishing impartial and reasonable development.

When India gained its independence from the British in 1947, the country's economy remained stagnant and it was trapped in a vicious cycle of poverty. After that, Jawaharlal Nehru formulated the "laissez-faire" policy that the Indian government followed. The import substitution policy was India's main focus. Because of that continuous interaction, India had focused on development of industrialization at large scale. Consequently, new foreign businesses are entering the Indian market. India benefits from this, but it only leads to one-sided progress. because only businesspeople and entrepreneurs receive the profits.

Therefore, in the current 21st century, we ought to encourage the villagers' inventiveness and expand their indigenous market. Gandhi's term, 'Swadeshi' comprises in upgrading and animating bungalow and limited scope enterprises. Additionally, it provides opportunities for the people's original creativity and talent. Indian youth may find work as a result. Therefore, India ought to adopt Gandhi's economic model and concepts, which are necessary for the Indian economy of today. India exports more from other labor-intensive technologies, resulting in a negative balance of payments. The items imported by India are advance innovation based. On the other hand, India will never encounter such issues if it adheres to his concepts of "Swadesi" and self-sufficiency. Therefore, it is necessary to return to Gandhian economic ideology.

References

1. Nayak V. Terns, Gandhi on The Dignity of the Human Person in Subrata Mukherjee & Sushila Ramaswamy, Facets of Mahatma Gandhi, Ethics, Religion and Culture, New Delhi: Deep & Deep Publications, 1996, IV.
2. Ibid.
3. Gandhi quoted in Sharma, M.L. Gandhi as a Socialist, New Delhi: Deep & Deep Publications, 1986.
4. Bose, Nirmal Kumar, Selections from Gandhi. Ahmedabad: Navajivan Publishing House, 1948.
5. Gandhi quoted in Ganguli, B.N. Gandhi's Social Philosophy: Perspective and Relevance, Delhi: Vikas Publishing House, 1973.
6. Bose, Nirmal Kumar Selections from Gandhi, op.cip.
7. Gandhi quoted in Ganguli, B.N. Gandhi's Social Philosophy: Perspective and Relevance, op. cit.
8. Tendulkar DG. Mahatma, Life of Mohandas Karamchand Gandhi, Bombay, Vithalbhai K. Thaveri, 1952, III.
9. Gandhi quoted in Verma, V.P. Political Philosophy of Mahatma Gandhi & Saroodaya.
10. Gandhi quoted in Sharma, M.L. Gandhi as a Socialist, op.cit.
11. Collected Works of Mahatma Gandhi, Vol. X, New Delhi: The Publications Division, Ministry of Information and Broadcasting, Government of India, 1963.