

• Email: editor@ijfmr.com

# Knowledge and Thinking Strategies of the 21st Century Learners of Eastern Samar State University

# Alma P. Kuizon

Associate Professor, Eastern Samar State University- Salcedo Campus

#### Abstract

This study explored and evaluated the proficiency of knowledge and thinking strategies practices of 21st century learners. The determinants of knowledge proficiency were fundamental, meta, and humanistic, and the practices of thinking strategies were core knowledge skills, cross-disciplinary knowledge skills, ICT skills, creativity and innovation skills, critical thinking and problem solving skills, communication skills, collaboration skills, life and career skills, ethical and emotional skills, and cultural competence skills. A descriptive and main component analysis were utilized to establish the impact and order in the knowledge proficiency and thinking strategies practices of the 21<sup>st</sup> century learners. A model displaying the principle components analysis was also depicted, indicating that the level of knowledge proficiency was modest. Similarly, the model highlighted that the higher the core knowledge acquisition, the more holistic the 21st century learners. Furthermore, there was sufficient practice of the students' thinking methods, with the more core knowledge skills practiced, the higher the cognitive skills implicate more competent and developing 21st century learners. Furthermore, this research suggests that meta and humanistic knowledge should be strengthened.

# Keywords: knowledge, thinking strategies, 21<sup>st</sup> century learners

# Introduction

The learners of the twenty-first century logically steer the future of our educational development and challenge the country's economy. The entrance of these revolutionary generations, in particular, has been the primary focus of most studies due to its distinct and non-traditional characteristics, which have transformed practically all methods, methodologies, and strategies in the learning paradigm. One of the most important goals of education is to positively reinforce learners' ability to manage complexities with desired abilities and attributes. Furthermore, in order to survive the twenty-first century, learners must demonstrate superior higher order cognition skills throughout their learning progression.

The advent of industry 4.0 challenges our nation's readiness in the education society. This revolution will diverge the Filipino 21<sup>st</sup> century learners from the universal arena considering the exponentially demand of highly skilled 21<sup>st</sup> century workforce. However, to address the influx of industry 4.0 revolution, students need to prepare their optimal skills in the 21<sup>st</sup> century of Science High School Science Technology Engineering and Mathematics knowledge and thinking strategies to acquire their future gains. Also, mentioned in the article of Educational Leadership "an increasing number of



professionals, politicians, and commerce are unified around the idea that students need 21<sup>st</sup> century skills to be successful today in their studies and career lives".

Empowering the Senior High School Science Technology Engineering Mathematics students in the 21<sup>st</sup> century ensures they can thrive their daily life challenges as well as invest in uplifting the nation's economy. An active, fun and meaningful learning through Project- Based World (Vander et.al. 2016), where students can access to high quality project based learning that will challenge their fundamental, meta, humanistic knowledge and thinking strategies. Also, introducing and teaching students lifelong skills (Harris, 2020) enhances their awareness necessary in acquiring knowledge and practicing skills significant to their future. Moreover, a competitive 21<sup>st</sup> century learners practices must exhibit the following: 1) student engagement 2) meaningful learning, and 3) strong dynamic relationship, for better learning development that will positively shape the students' future to a desirable industry 4.0 workforce contributing to the economy of our country.

Similarly, at Eastern Samar State University, proficiency in knowledge and thinking strategies practices of the 21<sup>st</sup> century learners of Science High School Science Technology and Mathematics is challenged by the competitive workforce demand of the 21<sup>st</sup> century learners to be at par in the global industrialization as well the need to empower students to be productive locals of Eastern Samar that will eventually elevate the province economy.

Specifically, this study sought to answer the following questions:

- 1. What are the level of knowledge and thinking strategies of the 21<sup>st</sup> century learners:
  - 1.1 Knowledge
    - 1.1.1 Fundamental
    - 1.1.2 Meta
    - 1.1.3 Humanistic

# 1.2 Thinking Strategies

- 1.2.1 Core Knowledge Skills
- 1.2.2 Cross-Disciplinary Knowledge Skills
- 1.2.3 ICT Skills
- 1.2.4 Creativity and Innovation Skills
- 1.2.5 Critical Thinking and Problem Solving Skills
- 1.2.6 Communication Skills
- 1.2.7 Collaboration Skills
- 1.2.8 Life and Career Skills
- 1.2.9 Ethical and Emotional Skills
- 1.2.10 Cultural Competence Skills
- 2. Using Principal Component Analysis, how may the 21<sup>st</sup> century knowledge be described in terms of three domains?
- 3. To what extent are the knowledge proficiencies in the 21<sup>st</sup> century learners?
- 4. Using Principal Component Analysis, how may the 21<sup>st</sup> century thinking strategies be described in terms of ten components?
- 5. To what extent are the thinking strategies practices in the 21<sup>st</sup> century learners?



# 2. Research Design and Methods

The study utilized an exploratory data analysis specifically, the Principal Component Analysis to significantly determine the level of knowledge proficiency and thinking strategies practices in the 21<sup>st</sup> century learning opportunity of students. It involved 86 students of Grade 11 and Grade12 Science High School Science Technology Engineering and Mathematics track of Eastern Samar State University. The study used a modified adapted survey questionnaire in collecting data. Moreover, the data were then collated, presented, analyzed and interpreted.

#### **3.Research Results and Discussion**

n=186							
Knowledge of the 21st Century	MEAN	INTERPRETATION					
FUNDAMENTAL KNOWLEDGE	3.80	Moderately Agree					
META KNOWLEDGE	3.60	Moderately Agree					
HUMANISTIC KNOWLEDGE	3.72	Moderately Agree					
Grand Mean	3.71	Moderately Agree					

Table 1. Level of Knowledge

Legend: 500-4.20 strongly agree, 4.19-3.40 moderately agree, 3.39-2.60neither agree/disagree, 2.59-1.80- moderately disagree, 1.79-1.00, strongly disagree

Table 1 revealed that the level of knowledge proficiency in terms of fundamental knowledge, meta knowledge and humanistic knowledge are all moderate and have more impact shown on their fundamental knowledge proficiency. The Science High School Science Technology Engineering and Mathematics track have initially practiced fundamental knowledge which is an essential pattern in acquiring core knowledge skills, cross-disciplinary skills, and ICT skills. Moreover, (Hirsch, 2014) stresses in his study that fundamental knowledge is the backbone of all sources of new information learned.

n=186							
Thinking Strategies of the 21st Century	GRAND MEAN	INTERPRETATION					
CORE KNOWLEDGE	3.79	MODERATELY AGREE					
CROSS DISCIPINARY KNOWLEDGE	3.80	MODERATELY AGREE					
ICT	3.82	MODERATELY AGREE					
CREATIVITY & INNOVATION	3.61	MODERATELY AGREE					
CRITICAL THINKING & PROBLEM SOLVING	3.64	MODERATELY AGREE					
COMMUNICATON	3.41	MODERATELY AGREE					
COLLABORATION	3.74	MODERATELY AGREE					
LIFE AND CAREER SKILLS	4.28	MODERATELY AGREE					
ETHICAL & EMOTIONAL SKILLS	3.14	NEITHER AGREE/DISAGREE					
CULTURAL COMPETENCE	3.74	MODERATELY AGREE					
OVERALL GRAND MEAN	3.70	MODERATELY AGREE					

# Table 2. Level of Thinking Strategies Skills

#### Cronsbach's Alpha= 0.9523

The table above portrayed that respondents are future oriented: they clearly understand the importance of education in shaping their future. Students skills in navigating complex life and work environments in a globally competitive setting are determinants of their success in learning, wherein



students' attend religiously their classes to be successful in life and career (Douglas and Morris, 2014). However, students' ethical and emotional skills practices, reflect student's issues on laziness and attitude sensitivity. Moreover, to thrive in the 21<sup>st</sup> century, where change is constant, a learner should properly utilize and practice the optimal skills of each of the ten thinking strategies. These 21<sup>st</sup> century skills are essentials of the students to succeed in their learning activities, able to thrive in a complex environment and be a pillar of our nations' development. A need to intensify each of the ten thinking strategies of the respondents to attain and maximize the desirable skills.

Table 3. Knowledge Eigenanalysis of the Correlation Matrix					
Eigenvalue	2.3684	0.3709	0.2607		
Proportion	0.789	0.124	0.087		
Cumulative	0.789	0.913	1.000		



Figure 1. The Knowledge Plot

Principal Component Analysis revealed that knowledge proficiency of the 21<sup>st</sup> century learners is sufficient. The extent of their proficiency in knowledge is

clearly depicted in the model derived as the extent of knowledge index. Also, the model portrayed that fundamental knowledge proficiency has greater impact on the 21<sup>st</sup> century learners.

			0	8	0	•				
Eigenvalue	5.6223	1.0166	0.8191	0.6191	0.4851	0.4704	0.3611	0.2785	0.1706	0.1572
Proportion	0.562	0.102	0.082	0.062	0.049	0.047	0.036	0.028	0.017	0.016
Cumulative	0.562	0.664	0.746	0.808	0.856	0.903	0.939	0.967	0.984	1

Table 4.	. Thinking	Strategies	Eigenanaly	sis of Co	orrelation	Matrix
----------	------------	------------	------------	-----------	------------	--------

Table 4 revealed that the Principal Component Analysis of the impact of the students' practices in the 21<sup>st</sup> century shown above has a total variance of greater than fifty percent; therefore it is sufficient to represent the true eigenvector Principal Component 1 as practices of thinking strategies of the 21<sup>st</sup> century learners. These thinking strategies are considered core knowledge, cross-disciplinary knowledge, ICT,



critical thinking and problem solving, creativity and innovation, communication, collaboration, life and career, ethical and emotional, and cultural competence skills.



Figure 2. Thinking Strategies Plot

Principal Component Analysis showed that Thinking Strategies practices of the 21<sup>st</sup> learning century is sufficient. The model of the extent of thinking strategies exposed that core knowledge has better impact on the 21<sup>st</sup> century learners.

# $\label{eq:21st} \begin{array}{l} 21^{st} \ Century \ Thinking \ Strategies \ Index = \ CK * 0.317 + \ CDK * 0.336 + \ ICT * 0.309 + \ CREA * 0.371 + \\ PS * 0.370 + \ COM * 0.338 + \ COLL * 0.348 + \\ LIFE * 0.277 + \ ETH * 0.138 + \ CC * 0.293 \end{array}$

# 4. Conclusion

The 21<sup>st</sup> century learning, the Science Technology Engineering and Mathematics students acquire knowledge and thinking skills using myriad strategies. The identified factors highly contribute to the development of students' knowledge and thinking strategies for survival in the real world. However, schools or institutions in the Philippines have to intensify their level of training and teaching practices to improve these skills among Filipinos 21<sup>st</sup> century learners. To note, in these knowledge category students, predominant appreciation of meta knowledge must be further given attention to boost the 21<sup>st</sup> century 4C's skills as meta knowledge. Also, there is a need to reevaluate the emotional and ethical skills of the students to build morally upright and resilient Filipino 21<sup>st</sup> century learners'. Consequently, further recommendations were made to attain the fullest advantage of the study producing holistic 21<sup>st</sup> learners of the country.



# BIBLIOGRAPHY

- Allen, M. 2018. The Power of Creativity in 21<sup>st</sup> Century Learning. 2018 Amabile, T. 1988. A Model of Creativity and Innovations In Organizations: Research in Organizational Behavior, Vol 10, Pages 123-167, JAI Press Inc.
- Bandura, A. 1977. Social Learning Theory. Englewood Cliffs, NJ: Prentice Hall Bandura, A. 1986.
  Social foundations of Thought and Action: A Social Cognitive Theory. Prentice Hall, Inc.
- Beers, Sue. 2013. Teaching 21<sup>st</sup> Century Skills: Tools for Learning ASCD Boardman, J. Saucer, BB. 2000. Systems Thinking: Coping with 21<sup>st</sup> Century Problems Bolstad, et. al. 2012. Supporting Future-Oriented learning and Teaching- A New Zealand Perspective Brotto, Giancarlo. 2018. The Future of Education Depends on Social Emotional Learning. EdSurge Carigren, Terresa. 2013.
- 3. Communication, Critical Thinking, Problem Solving: vol.44, Issue 1-2 pp. 63-81 Child and Shaw. 2016.
- 4. Collaboration in the 21st Century. Implications for Assessment Coffman, T. 2009.
- 5. Engaging Students Through Inquiry-Oriented Learning and Technology. New York: Rowman & Little Field Education.
- Dahms. M. et. al. (n.d). The Educational Theory of Lev Vygotsky: An Analysis Dongre, Vikas. 2014.
  What are the Challenge Faced by Teachers in the 21<sup>st</sup>
- 7. Century and How Should We Tackle Them? Government Polytechnic.
- Washim Maharashtra, India Dwyer et. al. 2014. An Integrated Thinking Framework for the 21<sup>st</sup> Century: Thinking Skills and Creativity, vol. 12, pp. 43-52.
- a. Engestrom, Y. 1999. Innovative Learning in Work teams: Analysing Cycles of Knowledge Creation Practice. Perspective on Activity Theory Cambridge, Cambridge University Press Erick, 2015. Cultural Competence in the Classroom: A key 21<sup>st</sup>- Century Skill Ginsburg-Block, M. D., Rohrbeck, C.A., & Fantuzzo, J.W. (2006). A Meta- Assisted Learning analytic Review of Social, Self-concept, and Behavioral Outcomes of Peer-. Journal of Educational Psychology. 98(4), 732– 749. Hafni, Rizky. 2018. Be a Critical Thinker: University Pendidikan Indonesia Heick, T. 2018. 3 Knowledge Domains For the 21<sup>st</sup> Century Student: Teach Thought Staff
- Henderson, Jennifer. 2008. Developing Creative Skills for the 21<sup>st</sup> Century Success. Association for Supervision and Curriculum Herrmann, Vol. 50. No.12
- 10. Hirsch, D. 2014. **Knowledge at the Core**: Core Knowledge, and the Future of the Common Core. 2014
- 11. Kavalier, J. 2006. Connecting the Digital Dots: Literacy of the 21<sup>st</sup> century Kanodia, S. 2019. Life Skills to Tackle 21<sup>st</sup> Century Challenges: Dream a Dream Kereluik et. al. 2014. What Knowledge Is of Most Worth: Teacher Knowledge for the 21<sup>st</sup> century Learning
- 12. Kivunja, C. 2015: Teaching Students to Learn and to Work Well with 21<sup>st</sup> century Skills: Unpacking the Career and Life Skill Domain of New Learning Paradigm. International Journal of Higher Education, v4 n1 p1- 11 2015
- Laal, et al. 2013. What Do We Achieve from Learning Collaboration? Social Miller, Carolyn.
  1996. Communication in the 21<sup>st</sup> Century: The Original Liberal Art in the Age of Science and Technology, Publication Series. North Carolina State University
- OECD (2013). Programme for International Student Assessment (PISA) 2015: Draft Collaborative Problem Solving Framework. Oliver, 2002. The Role of ICT in Higher Education for the 21<sup>st</sup> Century: ICT as the Change Agent for Education



Owens, Alexandra. 2015. **Developing 21<sup>st</sup> Century Skills**: Communication. STEMJOBS Piaget, J. (1957). **Construction of Reality in the Child.** London Routhledge & Kegan Paul Prensky, Marc. 2001. **Digital Natives, Digital Immigrants**. MCB University Press, Vol. 9. No.5, 2001

- 15. P21 Framework Definitions. 2015. P21 Partnership for 21<sup>st</sup> Century Learning. Retrieved from <a href="http://www.p21.org/storage/documents/docs/P21\_Framework\_Definitions\_New\_Logo\_2015.pdf">http://www.p21.org/storage/documents/docs/P21\_Framework\_Definitions\_New\_Logo\_2015.pdf</a> Rahmah, Amalia. 2015. Digital Literacy Learning System for Indonesian Citizen: The Third Information Systems International Conference Ravitz, Jason. 2014. A Survey for Measuring 21<sup>st</sup> Century Teaching and Learning: West Virginia 21<sup>st</sup> century teaching and learning survey [WVDE-CIS-28] 10.13140/RG.2.12246.6647
- 16. Ridge, H. 2017. 5 Ways to Support Social-Emotional Learning in the 21<sup>st</sup> Century Classroom: Global learning 2020 Salana, June. 2007. The Role of the Teacher in the Pedagogy of the 21<sup>st</sup>
- Century: Journal of Student-Faculty Research, vol. 9 no. 1 Santos, Joseline. 2017. 21<sup>st</sup> Century Learning Skills: A Challenge in Every Classroom: International Journal of Emerging Multidisciplinary Research Shear, L., Novais, G., Means, B., Gallagher, L., & Langworthy, M. 2010.ITL
- 18. Research Design. Menlo Park, CA. SRI International Siddiquah, A. and Salim Z., 2017. The ICT Facilities, Skills, Usage and the Problems Faced by Students of Higher Education. EuraAsia Journal of Mathematics, Science and Technology. Vo. 13. No.8 Silva, E. (2009). Measuring skills for 21st-century learning. Phi Delta Kappa, Singley, M. and Anderson R., 1989. The Transfer of Cognitive Skill. Cognitive
- Series 9. Harvard University Press Stuart, Dave Jr. 2017. The Most Underrated 21<sup>st</sup> Century Skills. Instruction: School Level Titelman, Gregory. 1996. Random House Dictionary of Popular Proverbs and Sayings. Random House, New York.1996
- 20. Toffler, A. 2013: Future Shock: Quotation. pp. 414 Tuzlukova, Victoria. 2018. Critical Thinking and Problem Solving Skills: English for Science Foundation Program Students' Perspectives. Vander, et. al. 2016. Preparing Teachers for a Project-Based World. Getting Smart. 2016 Vygotsky, L. S. 1980. Mind in Society: The Development of Higher Psychological Processes. Harvard University Press. White, Barbara. 2011. The Nature of Scientific Knowledge. February 2011.