International Journal for Multidisciplinary Research (IJFMR)
E-ISSN: 2582-2160 ● Website: www.ijfmr.com ● Email: editor@ijfmr.com

Tortious Liability of Companies

Ms. Sri Subhiksha Dhamodharan[1], Ms.Varshini Saminathan[2]

3rd Year B.A., LL.B. (Hons.) Student Of Sastra Deemed To Be University, Thanjavur, Tamil Nadu.

Abstract:
Tortious liability of companies, in simpler terms, is about holding big businesses accountable when they do something wrong. Just like individuals can be responsible for their actions, companies can too. The concept of tortious liability of companies refers to the legal responsibility that corporations can bear for their wrongful acts or negligence, resulting in harm to others. Companies can be liable for torts committed by their employees, agents, or representatives acting within the scope of their employment. In today's business world, understanding this concept is paramount. It serves as a vital cornerstone for maintaining ethical and responsible corporate behavior, safeguarding the rights and well-being of consumers and stakeholders, and ensuring a fair and just business environment. This understanding not only protects individuals and the environment from corporate harm but also shapes the principles of corporate social responsibility and accountability that define modern business practices. In a world where corporations wield immense influence, comprehending tortious liability is essential for upholding legal and ethical standards in the corporate landscape.

Keywords: Torts, vicarious liability, shareholders

1.What is the Law of Torts ?

Law of tort is a part of English common law. The term 'tort' is the French equivalent of the English word 'wrong', and of the Roman Law term 'delict'. It was introduced into English law by Norman jurists. A tort involves a civil wrong that inflicts injury, harm, or loss upon an individual, granting the injured party the right to sue the responsible party for damages. Certain actions, such as negligence and intentional civil wrongs, don't arise from statutes or contracts. Intentional civil wrongs encompass defamation, negligence, and battery.

1. **Battery** pertains to causing harm to a person or their property without consent and necessitates physical contact.
2. **Assault**, on the other hand, is attempting to injure another person, distinguished by the need for intent rather than physical contact.
3. **Defamation** refers to any spoken or written statement that damages someone's reputation.
4. **Negligence** involves failing to fulfill a duty of care and causing harm due to this negligence. In most cases, a company can both commit torts and be subject to torts. Liability can arise from an individual's wrongful actions or omissions. In certain situations, directors may also be held concurrently accountable if they endorsed the wrongful action or omission.[1]

---

1 http://student.manupatra.com/Academic/Abk/Law-of-Torts/chapter1.htm#:~:text=In%20common%20parlance%20the%20tort,'wrong'%20in%20English%20version.

2. What is a company?

A company is a legal entity formed under the law to conduct business activities, engage in commercial ventures, and generate profits. It is distinct from its shareholders, directors, and employees, possessing its own legal rights, liabilities, and obligations. Companies are created by registering under specific legal frameworks, which vary by jurisdiction, and they can take various forms, including corporations, limited liability companies, partnerships, and more.

2.1 Characteristics of a company include:

1. **Separate Legal Entity**: One of the fundamental features of a company is that it is considered a separate legal entity from its shareholders and officers. This means that the company can enter into contracts, own property, sue or be sued, and be held liable for its own actions.
2. **Limited Liability**: Many forms of companies, such as corporations and limited liability companies (LLCs), offer limited liability protection to their shareholders or members. This means that the personal assets of these individuals are generally shielded from the company's debts and liabilities.
3. **Ownership and Shareholders**: A company is owned by its shareholders or members, who invest capital in exchange for ownership shares or membership interests. Ownership percentages typically determine voting rights and the distribution of profits.
4. **Board of Directors or Management:** Companies are managed by a board of directors (in corporations) or managers (in LLCs and some other entities). These individuals oversee the company's operations and make strategic decisions.[2]
5. **Transferable Ownership**: Ownership interests in companies are often transferable, allowing shareholders or members to buy, sell, or transfer their ownership stakes to others.
6. **Raising Capital:** Companies can raise capital through various means, including issuing shares of stock, taking loans, and attracting investors.
7. **Profit Maximization:** The primary objective of many companies is to generate profits for their shareholders, although some companies also consider broader social and ethical responsibilities.
8. **Taxation:** Companies are subject to taxation on their income, and the tax treatment can vary based on the type of company and jurisdiction.
9. **Corporate Governance:** Companies are required to adhere to principles of corporate governance, including transparency, accountability, and ethical practices.

   Overall, a company is a legal entity that plays a central role in modern economies, facilitating economic activities, creating jobs, and contributing to societal growth and development.

3. Tortious Liability of Companies:

Tortious liability refers to the legal responsibility of a company to compensate individuals or entities for harm or injury caused by its wrongful actions or negligence. Companies can be held liable for torts (civil wrongs) committed by their employees, agents, or representatives in the course of their employment or acting on behalf of the company.

[2] https://www.legalserviceindia.com/legal/article-9327-meaning-definition-and-characteristics-of-company.html#:~:text=Company%20is%20an%20voluntary%20association,common%20seal%2C%20and%20perpetual%20succession.

3.1 Elements of Tortious Liability:

- **Duty of Care**: Companies owe a duty of care to individuals who might be affected by their actions or products. This duty requires companies to take reasonable steps to prevent harm and ensure the safety of others.
- **Breach of Duty:** If a company fails to meet the expected standard of care and breaches its duty, it can be held liable for any resulting harm or injury.
- **Causation**: There must be a direct link between the company's breach of duty and the harm suffered by the victim. The breach of duty must be a substantial cause of the harm.
- **Harm or Damages**: To establish tortious liability, the victim must demonstrate that they suffered actual harm or damages due to the company's actions or negligence.[3]

4. Types of Tortious Liability(company) :

- **Negligence**: Companies can be held liable for negligence if they fail to exercise reasonable care, resulting in harm to others. This includes cases where the company didn't take proper precautions, resulting in injury or damage.
- **Product Liability**: Companies can be held liable for injuries caused by defective products they manufacture, distribute, or sell. This includes defects in design, manufacturing, or failure to provide adequate warnings.
- **Strict Liability:** In some cases, companies can be held strictly liable for certain activities or products, regardless of fault or intent. This often applies to cases involving inherently dangerous activities or hazardous materials.
- **Nuisance**: Companies can be held liable for creating a nuisance that causes harm or interference with the use and enjoyment of someone's property.
- **Trespass**: Companies can be held liable for trespass if they enter or use someone else's property without permission, causing harm or damage.[4]

5. Global Variations:

Tortious liability laws vary across jurisdictions. Some countries emphasize strict liability more than others, while others have specific regulations governing product liability, environmental harm, and other areas of potential liability. Studying tortious liability of companies involves analyzing legal principles, court decisions, and relevant statutes.

It's a critical area of law that ensures companies are accountable for their actions and provides remedies to those harmed by their negligence or wrongful conduct.[5]

6. Directors tortious liability

Directors can face tort liability if they are involved in or give authorization for certain acts. The level of liability is not always clear, but typically, a company might be held responsible if a director's breach of their duty leads to harm because of a lack of ordinary skill and care. It's important to note that this legal aspect can differ, so seeking legal counsel for individual cases is advisable. Directors who

[3] https://blog.ipleaders.in/tortious-liabilities-of-companies/
[4] https://www.legalserviceindia.com/legal/article-4532-liability-strict-liability-absolute-liability-and-vicarious-liabilityunder-law-of-tort.html
[5] https://papers.ssrn.com/sol3/papers.cfm?abstract_id=4119821

commit tortious acts can be held personally liable for their actions, and they may face both legal and financial consequences. The severity of punishment can vary based on the nature of the tort, the jurisdiction's laws, and the circumstances surrounding the case. [6]

7. Breach of Fiduciary Duty:

Directors of a company bear significant responsibilities, including fiduciary duties owed to multiple parties. These duties are enshrined in laws, regulations, and corporate governance principles.
Firstly, directors must prioritize the best interests of the company itself, aiming for its success, profitability, and long-term sustainability. An illustrative example of a breach occurred during the **Enron scandal,** where directors failed to detect and stop financial misconduct, leading to the company's bankruptcy as personal gains took precedence.
Secondly, directors have a duty to shareholders, collectively working to maximize shareholder value while avoiding actions that harm minority shareholders.
A case like **Delaware Cty. Emps. Retirement Fund v. Sanchez in 2015** saw directors sued for breach of fiduciary duty due to conflicts of interest and inadequate oversight during a merger proposal.
Lastly, directors must also consider the interests of stakeholders beyond shareholders, encompassing employees, customers, suppliers, and the broader community. A prominent instance involved the **BP Deepwater Horizon oil spill,** resulting in lawsuits against the board for alleged negligence in safety measures that impacted not only shareholders but also environmental stakeholders.
Breaching these fiduciary duties can expose directors to various legal consequences, including personal liability for damages incurred by the company, shareholders, or stakeholders, potentially leading to shareholder derivative suits, regulatory investigations, and civil penalties. To prevent breaches, companies often establish governance measures and codes of conduct, aiding directors in upholding their fiduciary obligations.[7]

8. Corporate Misrepresentation:

Corporate misrepresentation refers to situations where a company provides false or misleading information about its products, services, financial performance, or other aspects of its business. This can include deceptive advertising, fraudulent financial reporting, or misrepresentation of product quality. Such actions can have legal and ethical implications, leading to lawsuits, regulatory penalties, and damage to a company's reputation. It's important for businesses to maintain transparency and accuracy in their communications to avoid corporate misrepresentation. [8]

9. Insider Trading by Directors:

Insider trading by directors occurs when company executives or insiders, like CEOs or board members, use non-public information about their own company to buy or sell its stock for personal gain. **For example**, if a director knows some big news about the company that will likely affect the stock price (good or bad) and they trade the company's stock based on that information before it becomes public, it's

[6] https://blog.ipleaders.in/liability-of-directors-for-the-tortious-act-committed-by-the-company/#:~:text=Directors%20being%20a%20separate%20legal,the%20acts%20of%20third%20parties.
[7] https://www.investopedia.com/ask/answers/042915/what-are-some-examples-fiduciary-duty.asp
[8] https://www.investopedia.com/terms/m/misrepresentation.asp#:~:text=A%20misrepresentation%20is%20a%20false,impacted%20party%20may%20seek%20damages.

considered illegal insider trading. This is against the law because it's unfair to other investors and can undermine trust in the stock market. Penalties for insider trading can include fines and imprisonment.[9]

10. Punishments and consequences for directors who commit torts:

- **Civil Liability**: Directors who commit torts may be subject to civil lawsuits brought by the victims seeking compensation for damages, injuries, or losses suffered as a result of the tortious actions. The directors may be required to pay monetary damages to the victims if the court finds them liable.
- **Personal Liability**: Director's personal assets may be at risk if they are found personally liable for tortious actions. In some cases, directors may not be shielded by the limited liability protection typically afforded to companies. This is particularly true in cases where the director's actions were intentional or involved fraud.
- **Resignation or Removal**: Depending on the severity of the tortious actions, a director may face pressure from shareholders, other directors, or the company's stakeholders to resign from their position or be removed from the board of directors.
- **Fines and Penalties:** In certain cases, directors who commit torts may be subject to fines and penalties imposed by regulatory authorities or government agencies. These fines can be substantial and serve as a form of punishment for their actions.
- **Disqualification as Director**: In some jurisdictions, directors who are found liable for certain types of tortious acts may be disqualified from serving as directors of any company for a specified period. This is Intended to prevent individuals with a history of misconduct from holding positions of authority in other companies.
- **Criminal Liability:** While torts are generally civil wrongs, some tortious actions might also have criminal implications. Directors who commit torts that amount to criminal offenses, such as fraud, may face criminal charges, prosecution, and potential imprisonment.[10]

11. Liability of a company

Companies can be held liable for various legal matters, and the extent of their liability depends on several factors, including the type of liability, jurisdiction, and applicable laws.

a. First, companies can face contractual liability if they fail to fulfill their contractual obligations with customers, suppliers, or employees.
b. Additionally, they may be liable for torts, such as personal injury or property damage, resulting from their actions or negligence. Product liability can arise if a company manufactures or sells defective products that harm consumers.
c. Environmental liability pertains to cases involving environmental damage caused by a company's activities. Securities, antitrust, and intellectual property laws also impose liability for specific violations. Moreover, compliance with employment, data privacy, and regulatory requirements is crucial to avoid potential liability.

[9] https://www.investopedia.com/terms/i/insidertrading.asp#:~:text=Insider%20trading%20is%20when%20non,guidelines%20set%20by%20the%20SEC.

[10] https://blog.ipleaders.in/punishments-under-the-companies-act/#:~:text=Section%20207(4)%20of%20the,be%20less%20than%20twenty%2Dfive

The consequences of liability can range from financial penalties and legal damages to regulatory actions and damage to a company's reputation. Therefore, companies often seek legal counsel to ensure compliance with relevant laws and navigate complex liability issues effectively.[11]

12. Comparison of the tortious liability of companies in India and the USA

Tortious liability of companies in India and the USA shares some common principles but also exhibits notable differences due to variations in legal systems and regulations. In both countries, companies can be held liable for civil wrongs or torts such as negligence, product liability, and environmental harm. However, there are significant distinctions.

In the USA, tort liability is highly developed, with a robust history of class action lawsuits and substantial punitive damages, which can lead to substantial financial consequences for companies. Additionally, federal and state laws, such as those related to product liability and environmental regulations, can vary significantly, adding complexity to compliance.

In contrast, India's legal system is evolving, with a growing recognition of corporate tort liability. While the principles are similar, the scope and application of tort law in India may be narrower than in the USA.[12] Moreover, India is in the process of aligning its legal framework with international standards, including the introduction of class action suits and stricter environmental regulations. Thus, while both countries hold companies accountable for torts, the level of development, legal nuances, and potential liabilities differ significantly between India and the USA. Companies operating in both jurisdictions must navigate these variations to manage their tortious liability effectively.

13. CASE LAW EXAMPLES :[13]

India:

Donoghue v. Stevenson (1932) – Influential Precedent: While this case is from the UK, its "neighbor principle" has had a significant impact on Indian tort law. This principle is often cited in cases involving negligence and is relevant when determining the duty of care owed by companies to consumers.

MC Mehta v. Union of India (1987) – Environmental Liability: This landmark case in India dealt with the Bhopal gas tragedy. It highlighted the principle of "absolute liability" and held the parent company liable for the actions of its subsidiary, emphasizing the company's responsibility for hazardous activities.

Vellore Citizens Welfare Forum v. Union of India (1996) – Strict Liability: This case emphasized the principle of strict liability for environmental damage. It held industries liable for polluting activities even if they had obtained necessary permits, highlighting the company's responsibility for harm caused.

USA:

MacPherson v. Buick Motor Co. (1916) – Direct Manufacturer Liability: This case established the principle that manufacturers can be held directly liable for injuries caused by defective products, regardless of the contractual relationship between the manufacturer and the injured party.

Greenman v. Yuba Power Products, Inc. (1963) – Strict Liability: In this case, the California Supreme Court held that manufacturers are strictly liable for injuries caused by defective products, even if there is no direct contractual relationship between the manufacturer and the injured party.

---

[11] https://en.m.wikipedia.org/wiki/Corporate_liability
[12] https://enhelion.com/blogs/2021/01/10/tortious-liability-of-companies-in-india-and-usa/
[13] https://lawsuperior.com/liability-of-a-company-tortious-civil-vicarious/

A.T. Massey Coal Co. v. Hill (2009) – Corporate Veil Piercing: This case involved allegations of fraudulent conduct by a subsidiary company. The court discussed the circumstances under which the corporate veil could be pierced to hold the parent company liable for the subsidiary's actions.

14. Defense strategies:

Companies facing tortious liability often employ various defense strategies to protect their interests. One common defense is to argue that they exercised reasonable care and diligence to prevent the alleged harm. This may involve demonstrating compliance with industry standards and best practices, which can help establish that the company acted responsibly. Additionally, companies may assert that the plaintiff's own actions contributed to the harm through the principle of contributory or comparative negligence, which can mitigate the company's liability. In some cases, companies might argue that the plaintiff assumed the risk voluntarily. Another line of defense involves disputing causation, asserting that the company's actions were not the direct cause of the alleged harm. Finally, companies may explore potential immunities or statutory protections available under specific laws or regulations. Crafting a strong defense often requires legal expertise to navigate the complexities of tort law and present a compelling case in court.[14]

15. Vicarious Liability (Employer-Employee Relationship):

When an employee commits a tort (civil wrong) within the scope of their employment, the employer can be held vicariously liable for the employee's actions. This means that even if the employer did not personally commit the tort, they can still be held responsible for the harm caused by their employee's actions. This is especially true if the employee was acting in the course of their duties or performing tasks on behalf of the employer.

For example, if an employee causes an accident while driving a company vehicle to perform work-related tasks, the company can be held liable for any injuries or damages caused by the accident.[15]

16. Doctrine of Respondeat Superior:

The doctrine of Respondeat Superior, often referred to as "let the master answer," is a legal principle that holds employers or companies responsible for the wrongful acts or negligence of their employees or agents when these acts occur within the scope of employment. This doctrine is recognized in both India and the USA, albeit with variations in application due to differences in their legal systems.

In the USA, Respondent Superior is widely accepted, making it easier for plaintiffs to hold companies liable for the actions of their employees, particularly in cases of negligence or misconduct during work-related activities. However, companies may mount a defense by demonstrating that the employee's actions were outside the scope of their employment or in violation of company policies.

In India, while the doctrine is acknowledged, its application may be more stringent, requiring a closer nexus between the employee's actions and their job responsibilities. Regardless of jurisdiction, the doctrine of Respondeat Superior underscores the importance of companies implementing comprehensive

[14] https://blog.ipleaders.in/tortious-liabilities-of-companies/
[15] https://lawsuperior.com/liability-of-a-company-tortious-civil-vicarious/

training, supervision, and risk management practices to minimize their potential liability for the actions of their employees.[16]

17. Shareholders liability:

Shareholders of a company generally have limited liability, which means that their personal assets are not at risk for the company's debts or liabilities. Limited liability means that the most shareholders can lose is the amount they invested in purchasing shares of the company. This protection extends even in cases where the company faces financial difficulties, lawsuits, or debts. However, exceptions to this limited liability exist. Shareholders can become personally liable if they engage in fraudulent or illegal activities through the company, co-mingle personal and corporate finances to an extent that the corporate structure becomes a mere façade, provide personal guarantees for the company's debts, or fail to fulfill capital contributions as required by law. Additionally, in matters of tax evasion or fraud, shareholders may be held personally responsible for the company's tax liabilities. Therefore, while limited liability is a central feature of corporate ownership, shareholders must be mindful of potential exceptions and should seek legal guidance to navigate complex legal scenarios effectively.[17]

18. Piercing the Corporate Veil:

"Piercing the corporate veil" is a legal term that means looking beyond a company's separate legal status to hold its owners or shareholders personally responsible for the company's actions or debts. Normally, when you own part of a company, your personal assets are protected, and you're not personally liable for what the company does. However, if a court decides that the company is being misused or isn't being run as a separate entity, they might "pierce" that legal protection and hold the owners accountable. This is usually done in cases of fraud, illegal activities, or when the company isn't following proper business practices. Piercing the corporate veil is a way to ensure that people can't use a company to escape personal responsibility when they've done something wrong.[18]

19. Legal Trends and Challenges:

Recent legal trends in tortious liability have introduced new challenges for companies, making it essential for them to stay vigilant and adapt to evolving legal landscapes. One notable trend is the rising number of product recalls, driven by increased consumer awareness and stricter regulations. Companies now face the challenge of not only ensuring the safety of their products but also effectively managing product recalls when safety issues arise, which can be costly and damaging to their reputation.

Another significant trend is the proliferation of cyber defamation cases, where false or damaging statements are made online. The digital age has amplified the speed and reach of information dissemination, making it easier for individuals and competitors to harm a company's reputation with defamatory content. Companies must proactively monitor and respond to online content while navigating the intricacies of internet law.

Navigating these complex legal landscapes is a challenge in itself. Laws and regulations vary from jurisdiction to jurisdiction, and companies often operate on a global scale, which complicates compliance

---

[16] https://lawbhoomi.com/respondeat-superior/#:~:text=Respondeat%20superior%20holds%20employers%20liable,the%20scope%20of%20their%20employment.

[17] https://legalvision.com.au/rights-liabilities-shareholder-company/

[18] https://blog.ipleaders.in/lifting-of-corporate-veil/

efforts. Furthermore, the interpretation and application of tort laws can be highly subjective, leading to legal uncertainties.

In this evolving legal environment, businesses must not only prioritize risk management and legal compliance but also invest in proactive measures like cybersecurity, quality control, and reputation management. Staying informed about legal developments and seeking legal counsel when necessary are crucial steps in successfully addressing the challenges posed by tortious liability in today's dynamic business world.[19]

20. Conclusion:

The law of torts encompasses a wide range of civil wrongs, and companies can find themselves embroiled in legal disputes for various reasons. It's essential for businesses to be aware of potential tortious liability and take proactive measures to mitigate risks. Prioritizing ethical practices, transparency, and compliance with laws and regulations is crucial in today's complex legal landscape. Companies should also understand the principles of vicarious liability and the doctrine of respondeat superior, which hold them accountable for the actions of their employees within the scope of employment. While shareholders typically enjoy limited liability, exceptions exist, and they should be aware of circumstances that could expose their personal assets to risk. Recent legal trends, such as product recalls and cyber defamation cases, present new challenges that companies must navigate carefully. Effective risk management, compliance, and reputation management are key strategies in addressing these challenges. Ultimately, understanding and proactively addressing tortious liability is not just a legal requirement but also a fundamental aspect of responsible corporate governance. Companies that prioritize ethical conduct and legal compliance are better equipped to thrive in today's ever-changing business environment while maintaining their reputation and financial stability.

[19] https://blog.ipleaders.in/tortious-liabilities-of-companies/