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#### Abstract

: The aim of this paper is to study people's opinion regarding the ban on smoking in public places. The study has been carried out on the principles of


- Testing of Hypothesis.
- Sample Surveys.

The inferences have been drawn mainly on the basis of these tests. The nature of statistical information and data required for the purpose of planning and execution of the project idea and for accessing their effectiveness was done in conformity with the objective of the survey by means of a well-defined questionnaire.
We carried out our survey in shopping malls, restaurants, hotels, colleges etc. so that we are able to reach people from different classes of the society.
For the purpose of analysis, the data obtained from the questionnaire has been classified on the basis of personal information, views about the ban, smoking habits etc.
Following computer softwares have been used:

- MS-WORD
- MS_EXCEL
- R-Software (for chi-square tests)


## EYWORDS:

Chi-Square Test for Independence of Attributes, Chi-Square Test for Goodness of Fit, Test for Proportion, Level of Significance, Fitting for Lognormal, p value.

## EXPLORATORY DATA ANALYSIS

Exploratory Data Analysis is the link between the raw data obtained through collection and its further treatment i.e. statistical analysis. Diagrammatical representation helps us in this type of analysis.
After the collection of data, the next step was to decide on the statistical test procedures that should be used for the further analysis of the data that would give us some valid results. For this purpose the characteristics of interest that would help us in studying the factors that influence people to smoke or not to smoke and how the ban has affected their lives was collected and were used to carry out various tests and plot bar charts and pie diagrams.
The classification of data based on various characteristics is given below along with the method of analysis used to get the required results.
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## 1.GENDER: TOTAL POPULATION

| Gender | Male | Female |
| :--- | :--- | :--- |
| No. of people | 365 | 132 |
| In Percentage | $73.44 \%$ | $26.55 \%$ |

- Number of males is greater than the number of females.
2.SMOKERS: GENDER

| Gender | Male | Female |
| :--- | :--- | :--- |
| No of smoking people | 223 | 28 |
| In percentage | $88.84 \%$ | $11.15 \%$ |

- No of male smokers is greater than the number of female smokers.


## 3.NON-SMOKERS: GENDER

| Gender | Male | Female |
| :--- | :--- | :--- |
| No of non- smoking people | 142 | 104 |
| In percentage | $57.72 \%$ | $42.27 \%$ |

- The difference between the percentage of male non-smokers and female non-smokers is $15.45 \%$.


## 4.QUALIFICATIONS

| Qualifications | Under-graduate | Graduate | Post-graduate |
| :--- | :--- | :--- | :--- |
| No of people | 236 | 150 | 111 |
| In percentage | $47.48 \%$ | $30.18 \%$ | $22.33 \%$ |

- No of undergraduates are maximum.


## 5.AGE

| Age | $15-20$ | $20-25$ | $25-30$ | $30-35$ | $35-40$ | $40-45$ | $45-50$ | $50+$ |
| :--- | :--- | :--- | :--- | :--- | :--- | :--- | :--- | :--- |
| No of people | 72 | 242 | 91 | 33 | 15 | 16 | 10 | 18 |
| In percentage | $14.48 \%$ | $48.69 \%$ | $18.30 \%$ | $6.63 \%$ | $3.01 \%$ | $3.21 \%$ | $2.01 \%$ | $3.62 \%$ |

- No of people in the age group of 20-25 are maximum.
6.SMOKERS: PLACES WHERE THEY SMOKE

| Places | Public places | Non public places |
| :--- | :--- | :--- |
| No of people | 24 | 227 |
| In percentage | $9.56 \%$ | $90.43 \%$ |

- There are $9.56 \%$ of people who do not follow the ban.
7.DO SMOKERS FACE PROBLEMS DUE TO THIS BAN?

| Problems faced | Yes | No |
| :--- | :--- | :--- |
| No of people | 112 | 139 |
| In percentage | $44.62 \%$ | $55.37 \%$ |

- More number of smokers do not face a problem due to this ban.


## 8.GENDER: FREQUENCY OF SMOKING

| Frequency | Everyday | Once a week | Once a month | Once in a while |
| :--- | :--- | :--- | :--- | :--- |
| Male | 187 | 18 | 2 | 16 |
| Female | 22 | 5 | 0 | 1 |
| In percentage | $83.26 \%$ | $9.16 \%$ | $.79 \%$ | $6.77 \%$ |

- Maximum number of males and females smoke every day. Percentage of people smoking every day is the highest.


## 9.AGE: FREQUENCY OF SMOKING

| Frequency | Everyday | Once a week | Once a month | Once a while |
| :--- | :--- | :--- | :--- | :--- |
| $15-20$ | 18 | 3 | 0 | 0 |
| $20-25$ | 104 | 10 | 1 | 9 |
| $25-30$ | 44 | 5 | 0 | 5 |
| $30-35$ | 17 | 3 | 1 | 1 |
| $35-40$ | 4 | 1 | 0 | 0 |
| $40-45$ | 10 | 0 | 0 | 0 |
| $45-50$ | 4 | 1 | 0 | 1 |
| $50+$ | 8 | 0 | 0 | 1 |
| In percentage | $83.26 \%$ | $9.16 \%$ | $.79 \%$ | $6.77 \%$ |

- Smokers with a frequency of smoking once a month or once in a while is lesser than the smokers having a frequency of smoking once a week or every day.


## 10.EFFECT OF SMOKING ON A SMOKER'S EFFICIENCY

| Efficiency | Increases | Decreases | No change |
| :--- | :--- | :--- | :--- |
| No of people | 66 | 35 | 150 |
| In percentage | $26.29 \%$ | $13.94 \%$ | $59.76 \%$ |

- Maximum number of smokers believe that their efficiency in any field is not affected in any way by smoking.


## 11.GENDER: EFFECT ON EFFICIENCY

| Efficiency | Increases | Decreases | No change |
| :--- | :--- | :--- | :--- |
| Male | 54 | 33 | 136 |
| Female | 12 | 2 | 14 |
| In percentage | $26.29 \%$ | $13.54 \%$ | $59.76 \%$ |

- Maximum number of males and females feel that their efficiency is not affected by smoking.


## 12.AGE: EFFECT ON EFFICIENCY

| Efficienc <br> y | Increases | In \% | Decreases | In \% | No change | In \% |
| :--- | :--- | :--- | :--- | :--- | :--- | :--- |
| $15-20$ | 7 | $31.81 \%$ | 4 | $18.18 \%$ | 11 | $45.45 \%$ |
| $20-25$ | 28 | $22.76 \%$ | 20 | $16.26 \%$ | 75 | $60.97 \%$ |
| $25-30$ | 14 | $25.92 \%$ | 7 | $12.96 \%$ | 33 | $61.11 \%$ |
| $30-35$ | 6 | $27.27 \%$ | 2 | $9.09 \%$ | 14 | $63.63 \%$ |
| $35-40$ | 2 | $40 \%$ | 0 | $0 \%$ | 3 | $60 \%$ |
| $40-45$ | 3 | $30 \%$ | 2 | $20 \%$ | 5 | $50 \%$ |
| $45-50$ | 3 | $50 \%$ | 0 | $0 \%$ | 3 | $50 \%$ |
| $50+$ | 3 | $33.33 \%$ | 0 | $0 \%$ | 6 | $66.66 \%$ |

- The maximum percentage of people thinking that their efficiency increases due to smoking lie in the age group of 50+.
- The maximum percentage of people thinking that their efficiency decreases due to smoking lie in the age group of 40-45.
- The maximum percentage of people thinking that their efficiency is not affected due to smoking lie in the age group of 50+.


## 13.DO YOUR PARENTS KNOW THAT YOU SMOKE?

| Parents know? | Yes | No |
| :--- | :--- | :--- |
| No of people | 123 | 128 |
| In percentage | $49 \%$ | $50.99 \%$ |

- $1.99 \%$ more of the total population's parents don't know that their children smoke.


## 14.AGE:DO YOUR PARENTS KNOW THAT YOU SMOKE?

| Parents know? | Yes | In percentage | No | In percentage |
| :--- | :--- | :--- | :--- | :--- |
| $15-20$ | 3 | $13.63 \%$ | 18 | $81.81 \%$ |
| $20-25$ | 46 | $37.39 \%$ | 78 | $63.41 \%$ |
| $25-30$ | 37 | $68.51 \%$ | 17 | $31.48 \%$ |
| $30-35$ | 13 | $59.09 \%$ | 9 | $40.90 \%$ |
| $35-40$ | 4 | $80 \%$ | 1 | $20 \%$ |
| $40-45$ | 7 | $70 \%$ | 3 | $30 \%$ |
| $45-50$ | 5 | $83.33 \%$ | 1 | $16.66 \%$ |
| $50+$ | 8 | $88.88 \%$ | 1 | $11.11 \%$ |

- Maximum percentage of people whose parents know that their children smoke lies in the age group of 50+
- Maximum percentage of people whose parents don't know that their children smoke lies in the age group of 15-20.


## 15.DO YOUR PARENTS SMOKE?

| Parents smoke? | Yes | No |
| :--- | :--- | :--- |
| No of people | 133 | 364 |
| In percentage | $26.76 \%$ | $73.23 \%$ |

- Number of people whose parents don't smoke is maximum.
16.SMOKERS:DO YOUR PARENTS SMOKE?

| Parents smoke | Yes | No |
| :--- | :--- | :--- |
| No of smokers whose <br> parents smoke | 77 | 174 |
| In percentage | $30.67 \%$ | $69.32 \%$ |

- Number of smokers whose parents don't smoke is maximum.


## 17.NON-SMOKERS:DO YOUR PARENTS SMOKE?

| Parents smoke | YES | NO |
| :--- | :--- | :--- |
| No of non-smokers <br> whose parents smoke | 56 | 190 |
| In percentage | $22.76 \%$ | $77.23 \%$ |

- Number of non-smokers whose parents don't smoke is maximum


## 18.DOES YOUR PEER GROUP HAVE SMOKERS?

| Peers smoke? | Yes | No |
| :--- | :--- | :--- |
| No of people | 407 | 90 |
| In percentage | $81.89 \%$ | $18.10 \%$ |

- Number of people who have smokers in their peer groups are maximum.


## 19.SMOKERS: DOES YOUR PEER GROUP HAVE SMOKERS?

| Parents smoke? | Yes | No |
| :--- | :--- | :--- |
| No of smokers whose peers <br> smoke | 234 | 17 |
| In percentage | $93.22 \%$ | $6.77 \%$ |

- Number of smokers who have smokers in their peer groups are maximum


## 20.NON-SMOKERS: DOES YOUR PEER GROUP HAVE SMOKERS?

| Peers smoke? | Yes | No |
| :--- | :--- | :--- |
| No of non-smokers whose <br> peers do not smoke | 173 | 73 |
| In percentage | $70.32 \%$ | $29.67 \%$ |

- Number of non-smokers who do not have smokers in their peer groups are maximum


## 21.NON-SMOKERS AGAINST PASSIVE SMOKING

| Against passive smoking | Yes | No |
| :--- | :--- | :--- |
| No of people | 214 | 32 |
| In percentage | $86.99 \%$ | $13 \%$ |

- Maximum number of non-smokers are against passive smoking.
22.ARE YOU AWARE OF THE CONSEQUENCES OF SMOKING?

| Aware? | Yes | No |
| :--- | :--- | :--- |
| No of people | 476 | 21 |
| In percentage | $95.77 \%$ | $4.22 \%$ |

- Maximum amount of the population is aware of the consequences of smoking.


## 23.SMOKERS: ARE YOU AWARE OF THE CONSEQUENCES OF SMOKING?

| Aware? | Yes | No |
| :--- | :--- | :--- |
| No of smoking people | 238 | 13 |
| In percentage | $94.82 \%$ | $5.17 \%$ |

- The number of smokers who are aware of the consequences of smoking are maximum.
24.NON-SMOKERS: ARE YOU AWARE OF THE CONSEQUENCES OF SMOKING?

| Aware? | Yes | No |
| :--- | :--- | :--- |
| No of non-smoking people | 238 | 8 |
| In percentage | $96.74 \%$ | $3.25 \%$ |

- The number of non-smokers who are aware of the consequences of smoking are maximum.


## 25.AILMENTS: TOTAL POPULATION

| Do you suffer from any <br> ailments? | Yes | No |
| :--- | :--- | :--- |
| No of people | 137 | 360 |
| In percentage | $27.56 \%$ | $72.43 \%$ |

- $72.43 \%$ of the total population does not suffer from any ailments.


## 26. AILMENTS: SMOKERS

| Do you suffer from any <br> ailments? | Yes | No |
| :--- | :--- | :--- |
| No of smoking people | 95 | 156 |
| In percentage | $37.84 \%$ | $62.15 \%$ |

- Maximum number of smokers do not suffer from any ailments.

27. AILMENTS: NON-SMOKERS

| Do you suffer from any <br> ailments? | Yes | No |
| :--- | :--- | :--- |
| No of smoking people | 42 | 204 |
| In percentage | $17.07 \%$ | $82.92 \%$ |

- Maximum number of non-smokers do not suffer from any ailments.


## 28.REASONS FOR SMOKING

| Reason | Stress | Peer pressure | Style statement | Habit |
| :--- | :--- | :--- | :--- | :--- |
| No of people | 80 | 23 | 25 | 123 |
| In percentage | $31.87 \%$ | $9.16 \%$ | $9.96 \%$ | $49 \%$ |

- Habit is the most common reason for people to smoke.


## STATISTICAL ANALYSIS

CHI-SQUARE TEST FOR INDEPENDENCE OF ATTRIBUTES

## TO TEST:

Ho: A person's opinion that the ban will reduce the number of smokers is independent of the individual being a smoker or non-smoker.
$\mathrm{H}_{1}$ : A person's opinion that the ban will reduce the number of smokers is dependent on the individual being a smoker or non-smoker.

| OPINION | SMOKERS | NON-SMOKERS |
| :--- | :--- | :--- |
| YES | 92 | 147 |
| NO | 159 | 99 |

We have a $2 \times 2$ contingency table with $\mathrm{a}=92, \mathrm{~b}=147, \mathrm{c}=159, \mathrm{~d}=99$

## TEST STATISTIC:

Hence the test statistic is

$$
\begin{aligned}
& \chi^{2}=(a d-b c)^{2} \mathrm{~N} \quad \text { follows chi square with } 1 \mathrm{df} \\
& \\
& =\quad(\mathrm{a}+\mathrm{b})(\mathrm{c}+\mathrm{d})(\mathrm{a}+\mathrm{c})(\mathrm{b}+\mathrm{d}) \\
& =\quad[(92 \times 99)-(147 \times 159)]^{2}(497) \\
& \\
& =22+147)(159+99)(92+159)(147+99) \\
& =26.562778 \\
& \chi_{1}^{2}, 0.05 \quad=3.841 \quad(\text { using statistical table }) \\
& \chi_{\text {cal }}^{2}=26.562778>\chi^{2} \text { table value }=3.841
\end{aligned}
$$

## DECISION RULE

If $\chi^{2}$ cal $>\chi^{2}$ table value, then we reject H 0 , otherwise we may accept H 0 at $5 \%$ l.o.s.


## CONCLUSIONS:

We reject Ho, thus a person's opinion that the ban will reduce the number of smokers is dependent on the individual being a smoker.

## TO TEST:

Ho: Being a smoker or non-smoker is independent of whether the person has smokers in his/her peer group.
$\mathrm{H}_{1}$ : Being a smoker or non-smoker is dependent on whether the person has smokers in his/her peer group.

| SMOKERS | YES | NO | TOTAL |
| :--- | :--- | :--- | :--- |
| YES | 234 | 17 | 251 |
| NO | 173 | 73 | 246 |
| TOTAL | 407 | 90 | 497 |

We have $2 X 2$ by contingency table, $a=234, b=17, c=173, d=73$.

## TEST STATISTIC:

$$
\begin{aligned}
& \begin{array}{l}
\chi^{2}=(\mathrm{ad}-\mathrm{bc})^{2} \mathrm{~N} \\
(\mathrm{a}+\mathrm{b})(\mathrm{c}+\mathrm{d})(\mathrm{a}+\mathrm{c})(\mathrm{b}+\mathrm{d}) \\
\mathrm{N}=\text { sample size }
\end{array} \\
& \chi^{2}=(234 \mathrm{X} 73)-(17 \mathrm{X} 173)^{2} 497 \quad \text { follows chi-square with } 1 \mathrm{df} \\
& \quad(234+17)(173+73)(234+173)(17+73) \\
& \chi^{2}{ }_{1} \text { calc }=43.921625 \\
& \chi^{2}{ }_{1}, 0.05=3.841 \\
& \chi^{2} \text { cal }=43.921625>\chi^{2} \text { table value }=3.841
\end{aligned}
$$
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## DECISION RULE:

If $\chi^{2}$ cal $>\chi^{2}$ table value, then we reject Ho , otherwise we may accept H 0 at $5 \%$ l.o.s.


## CONCLUSIONS:

We reject Ho, being a smoker or non-smoker is dependent on whether the person has smokers in his/her peer group or not.

## CONCLUSIONS USING R SOFTWARE

L.O.S: $\boldsymbol{\alpha}=0.05$

| Hypothesis | $\chi^{2}$ TABLE VALUES | $\chi^{2} \mathrm{CAL}$ | p-value | Result |
| :---: | :---: | :---: | :---: | :---: |
| Ho: A person's frequency of smoking is independent of the person's gender. |  |  |  |  |
|  | 3.841 | 4.2654 | 0.03889610 | Reject Ho |
| Ho: A person's opinion that the ban will reduce the number of smokers is independent of the individual being a smoker or non-smoker. |  |  |  |  |
|  | 3.841 | 26.56278 | $2.551117 \mathrm{e}-07$ | Reject Ho |
| Ho : A person's opinion on whether the ban is been followed or not is independent of him/her being a smoker or non-smoker. |  |  |  |  |
|  | 3.841 | 4.574381 | 0.03245346 | Reject Ho |
| Ho: Children smoking is independent of their parents smoking. |  |  |  |  |
|  | 3.841 | 3.969186 | 0.04634017 | Reject Ho |
| Ho: Gender and efficiency due to smoking are independent |  |  |  |  |
|  | 3.841 | 1.248666 | 0.2638073 | Reject Ho |
| Ho: Gender and a person trying to quit smoking are independent. |  |  |  |  |
|  | 3.841 | 4.005043 | 0.04536434 | Reject Ho |


|  | 3.841 | 43.9411 | $3.384083 \mathrm{e}-11$ | Reject Ho |
| :---: | :---: | :---: | :---: | :---: |
| Ho: Parents knowledge about the children smoking is independent of the children's gender. |  |  |  |  |
|  | 3.841 | 0.08364749 | 0.772414 | Accept Ho |
| Ho: Drinking is independent of being a smoker or non-smoker. |  |  |  |  |
|  | 3.841 | 129.8063 | $4.517798 \mathrm{e}-30$ | Reject Ho |
| Ho: Opinion that smoking leads to further self-destruction like consumption of alcohol, drugs et is independent of the individual being a smoker or not. |  |  |  |  |
|  | 3.841 | 126.6110 | $2.259969 \mathrm{e}-29$ | Reject Ho |
| Ho: Opinion on the steps taken by the government being adequate is independent of the individual being a smoker or non-smoker. |  |  |  |  |
|  | 3.841 | 39.430808 | $3.398918 \mathrm{e}-10$ | Reject Ho |
| Ho: Opinion on tobacco being banned is independent of the individual being a smoker or nonsmoker. |  |  |  |  |
|  | 3.841 | 73.652101 | $9.31726 \mathrm{e}-18$ | Reject Ho |
| Ho: Frequency of smoking is independent of the ages. |  |  |  |  |
|  | 3.841 | 0.03971334 | 0.8420421 | Accept Ho |
| Ho: Efficiency due to smoking is independent of the ages. |  |  |  |  |
|  | 3.841 | 0.0005779787 | 0.9808198 | Accept Ho |

## TEST FOR EQUALITY OF POPULATION PROPORTION

## Hypothesis to be tested:

Ho: $\mathrm{P}=0.5$
$\mathrm{H}_{1}: \mathrm{P}>0.5$

Here,
L.o.s $=\alpha=.05$
$\mathrm{P}=$ proportion of smokers in population.
$\mathrm{n}=$ sample size $=497$
$\mathrm{x}=$ number of smokers in the sample $=251$
$p=$ proportion of smokers in sample
$=\mathrm{x} / \mathrm{n}$
$=251 / 497$
$=0.50503$

Comment: As n is large ( $\geq 30$ ), we use central limit theorem.

$$
\mathrm{Z} \rightarrow \mathrm{~N}(0,1)
$$

## Test Statistic:

$$
\begin{gathered}
\mathrm{Z}=\underset{\sqrt{\mathrm{PoQo}}-\mathrm{Po}}{\mathrm{po}} \rightarrow \mathrm{~N}(0,1) \\
\mathrm{n}
\end{gathered}
$$

Under Ho.

$$
\begin{gathered}
\mathrm{Z}=0.50503-0.5 \\
\sqrt{ }(0.5)(0.5) \\
497 \\
\text { Where, } \mathrm{Qo}=1-\mathrm{Po} \\
\mathrm{Z}_{\text {cal }}=0.224273 \\
\\
\mathrm{Z}_{\text {table }} \\
\text { value }=1.64
\end{gathered}
$$

## Critical region:



## Decision rule:

If $\mathrm{Z}_{\text {cal }}>\mathrm{Z}_{\text {table value }}$, then we reject Ho otherwise we may accept Ho at $5 \%$ los.

## Conclusion:

As $\mathrm{Z}_{\text {cal }}<\mathrm{Z}_{\text {table value }}$, therefore we may accept Ho at $5 \%$ 1.o.s. ;i.e, $\mathrm{P}=\mathrm{Po}=0.5$

## CHARTS AND BAR DIAGRAMS
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No of people who started smoking at a particular age group

$\square 15-20 \quad$ 20-25 $\quad 25-30 \quad$ - 30-35 $\square 40-45$
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## CONCLUSION:

- The opinion that the ban is being followed is dependent on the person being smoker or non-smoker.
- A person's opinion that the ban will reduce the number of smokers is dependent on the individual being a smoker.
- A person's opinion on the steps taken by the government being adequate is dependent on the person being a smoker or non-smoker.
- There is association between a person's opinion on tobacco being banned all over India and the individual being a smoker or non-smoker.
- There is association between the opinion that smoking leads to further self-destruction and the person being smoker or non-smoker.
- There is association between drinking and smoking.
- There is association between a person smoking and whether his/her peer group has smokers.
- There is association between a person smoking and whether his/her parents are smokers.
- There is no association between the gender of a smoker and whether their parents know that they smoke There is association between the age of a smoker and their parents knowing that they smoke.
- There is association between the gender of a smoker and whether he/she is trying to quit.
- There is association between the age of a smoker and whether he/she is trying to quit smoking.
- There is association between the age of a smoker and the frequency of the person's smoking.
- There is no association between the age of a smoker and the effect of smoking on his/her efficiency.
- Proportion of smokers in our population is 0.5
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