

Managing Failed Spinal Anaesthesia in Obstetric Patients: A Comprehensive Overview

Dr Sudheer Pratap Godara¹, Dr Juhi Godara², Dr Karthik Sundareshan³,
Dr Hany Fawzi W. Greiss⁴

^{1,3}Specialist Anaesthesiology, Rashid Hospital, Dubai

²Specialist obstetrics and Gynaecology, Dubai Hospital, Dubai

⁴Consultant Anaesthesiology, Rashid Hospital, Dubai

Abstract:

Failure of spinal anaesthesia can lead to significant concerns for patients and anaesthetists. This article provides a comprehensive guide to understanding and effectively managing spinal anaesthesia failure. It covers strategies for prevention, appropriate responses to different types of block failure, the possibility of repeating the block, and the circumstances in which recourse to general anaesthesia is required. Follow-up initiatives are also discussed, emphasizing the importance of clinical documentation and investigating local anaesthetic effectiveness. This guide aims to enhance patient safety and improve the quality of care in spinal anaesthesia.

Keywords: Spinal anaesthesia, block failure, patient safety, caesarean section, prevention, general anaesthesia, failed spinal management, local anaesthetic effectiveness.

Introduction: -

Spinal anaesthesia, a neuraxial technique involving the direct injection of a local anesthetic into the subarachnoid space, is widely employed in caesarean sections due to its rapid, reliable surgical blockade and effective post-operative pain relief.¹ This method allows mothers to remain conscious during childbirth. However, it can fail, either partially or completely, leading to issues like inadequate surgical conditions and maternal discomfort during the procedure. Motor block in spinal anaesthesia is assessed using the Bromage score, while sensory block has four defined endpoints. Insufficient neuraxial anaesthesia can result in the need for general anaesthesia, unplanned analgesia, or epidural supplementation.²

Medical-legal claims against obstetric anaesthetists have significantly risen due to failures in spinal anaesthesia, resulting in pain during surgery. It's the most common cause of litigation in obstetric anaesthesia.³ These claims can have negative psychological effects on mothers, making it crucial to measure and manage pain accurately in such cases.

The use of spinal anaesthesia has seen an increase, but the incidence of failed spinal anaesthesia (FSA) varies globally. All over the world, spinal anaesthesia is commonly employed for caesarean sections, and understanding FSA's incidence and contributing factors is essential. Obesity has been identified as a potential predictor of FSA, but factors like inadequate drug concentration in cerebrospinal fluid and needle displacement can also contribute.⁴

In the studies it has been found that, the incidence of FSA has a higher occurrence during emergency caesarean sections as compared to non-obstetric surgeries. Interestingly, a history of previous spinal anesthesia did not significantly affect the FSA incidence.

Spinal anesthesia is generally considered reliable, but failure can occur. The incidence of failure varies, with meticulous clinical technique and attention to detail being crucial in minimizing this risk.

Failed spinal anesthesia can lead to complications and a need for conversion to general anesthesia. A systematic approach to managing inadequate spinal anesthesia may reduce the need for conversion and enhance safety.⁵

Determinants of failed spinal anesthesia can be influenced by various factors, and understanding these factors is essential for improving clinical outcomes. We will discuss about the possible causes and factors leading to failed spinal and management of failed spinal anesthesia.⁶

Category and Experience of Doctors:

- Various categories of medical professionals perform spinal anesthesia.
- Experience of the doctors is a significant factor influencing the success of spinal anesthesia.
- While different categories of doctors perform these procedures, the specific category did not significantly affect the incidence of failed spinal anesthesia.

Positioning and Lumbar Puncture Level:

- Spinal anesthesia is typically administered in the sitting position.
- A few cases required lateral decubitus positioning due to specific circumstances.
- The lumbar puncture level can vary, with punctures at the L3/L4 intervertebral space having a higher incidence of failed spinal anesthesia compared to L4/L5.
- Proper positioning of the patient and correct needle insertion is critical to avoid failed lumbar punctures.

Number of Skin Punctures:

- The number of skin puncture attempts can impact the success of spinal anesthesia.
- A single puncture attempt resulted in a lower incidence of failure, while multiple attempts were associated with a higher incidence of failed spinal anesthesia.

Cerebrospinal Fluid Flow and Characteristics:

- The flow of cerebrospinal fluid (CSF) before the injection of the anesthetic is a crucial aspect.
- Adequate CSF flow is necessary for successful spinal anesthesia, but dry tap situations can occur.⁷
- Sometimes, despite adjustments, there may be difficulties in obtaining CSF flow.
- Inability to obtain CSF, often referred to as a 'dry tap,' is an apparent cause of failure.

Spinal Needle:

- The type and size of the spinal needle can affect the outcome.
- Different needle sizes were used, and their selection influenced the incidence of failed spinal anesthesia.

Dose of Bupivacaine:

- The dose of the anesthetic, such as 1.8 or 2 ml of 0.5% hyperbaric bupivacaine, can vary.
- The dose of drugs injected affects the extent and duration of the block.
- Selecting the right dose depends on factors like the type of local anesthetic, baricity, patient position, and anticipated surgery duration.

Association of Failed Spinal Anesthesia with Body Mass Index:

- Obesity is a significant factor contributing to failed spinal anesthesia.
- There is a linear association between failed spinal anesthesia and increasing body mass index.⁸

Needle Insertion:

- Accurate needle placement is crucial.
- Understanding spinal anatomy and the resistance of tissues during needle insertion is essential.⁹

Adjuncts:

- Various adjuncts can help optimize patient positioning and prevent any movement during the procedure.¹⁰
- Patient comfort and relaxation are critical factors that affect the success of spinal anesthesia.

Pseudo-Successful Lumbar Puncture:

- Clear fluid at the needle hub is usually the final confirmation that the subarachnoid space has been entered.¹¹
- Occasionally, clear fluid might not confirm a successful lumbar puncture due to rare factors like previous epidural injections.

Solution Injection Errors:

- The appearance of CSF in the needle hub is essential, but it doesn't guarantee success.
- Proper dosing and depositing of the medication in the CSF are essential for successful spinal anesthesia.

Loss of Injectate:

- Leakage of solution can occur at the needle hub, potentially affecting the drug's effectiveness.
- Proper attachment of the syringe to the needle and checking for leakage is crucial to avoid loss of injectate.

Tip Displacement:

- Misplacement of the needle tip from the subarachnoid to epidural space is a significant concern.
- It is more critical with 'pencil point' needles and can lead to unintended injections in epidural or subdural spaces.

Inadequate Intrathecal Spread:

- The intrathecal spread of the local anesthetic is influenced by various factors.
- Anatomical abnormalities, solution density, and lumbar CSF volume can impact the extent of intrathecal spread.¹²

Anatomical Abnormality:

- Abnormalities in spinal anatomy can affect the spread of the local anesthetic.
- Factors like spinal stenosis or pathological lesions can limit the effectiveness of the block.¹³

Solution Density:

- The density of the local anesthetic solution can influence its spread.
- Hyperbaric solutions tend to move under the influence of gravity, potentially causing restricted or uneven blocks.

Ineffective Drug Action:

- In some cases, the injected solution may reach the target nerves but not provide the expected anesthetic effect.
- Possible reasons include identification errors, chemical incompatibility, inactive local anesthetic, and rare physiological resistance.¹⁴

Failure of Subsequent Management:

- Patients' discomfort or perceived pain during spinal anesthesia may not necessarily indicate failure of the procedure.
- Patient management, anxiety, and awareness during the procedure play a significant role.¹⁵

Testing the Block:

- Formal testing of the level of block is often recommended.¹⁶
- Patient comfort, expectations, and confidence in the procedure are important factors.
- The clinician must ensure that the block is appropriate for the surgical requirements.

Understanding these determinants and potential issues in spinal anesthesia is vital for healthcare professionals to improve patient outcomes and minimize the risk of failed procedures.

Managing Failure in Spinal Anaesthesia: -

Failure of spinal anaesthesia can pose significant concerns for both patients and anaesthetists. To address this issue, it's crucial to understand the nature of failure and how to manage it effectively. The key aspects of managing spinal anaesthesia failure are:

1. Prevention is Key:

- Before administering a spinal anaesthetic, assess the nature and duration of the proposed surgery. If there's any doubt, consider alternative methods.
- Trainee anaesthetists should avoid overhyping the technique and instead focus on basics of the technique, positioning, right choice of patient, right space and never hesitate to call an experienced colleague in case of failure.¹⁷

2. The Failed Block:

- Properly manage a failed block based on its nature and timing of detection.
- Monitor the onset of motor and sensory blocks, and correctly interpret observations. Slow onset suggests inadequacy.
- If there's no block, the wrong solution was used or misplaced.¹⁸ Repeating the procedure or converting to general anaesthesia are the only options.
- Good but inadequately spread block may result from a low injection level, anatomical issues, or misplaced injectate. Adjust the patient's posture or use different baricity of solution.¹⁹
- A unilateral block may occur due to positioning or blockage of longitudinal ligaments. Adjust the patient's position.
- A patchy block, where the block seems adequate in extent, but sensory and motor effects are incomplete, can be caused by misplaced local anaesthetic. Consider repeating the spinal injection or systemic supplementation.

- If the block's duration is insufficient, it may be due to an inadequate dose or a mix-up of local anaesthetics. Systemic supplementation or conversion to general anaesthesia may be required.²⁰

3. Repeating the Block:

- Consider repeating the block when no effect follows the initial injection but be cautious of potential pitfalls.²¹
- Be mindful of factors like a restricted block, excessive spread, direct needle trauma, and the adjacent nerve tissue's involvement.
- Balance the decision to repeat the block, as too many attempts can lead to excessive or ineffective spread.

4. Recourse to General Anaesthesia:

- When simple measures haven't resolved the issue, consider converting to general anaesthesia. Use clinical judgment and experience to make this decision.²²
- Explaining the need for the transition to general anaesthesia afterward can be challenging.
- Preventing failure through precise initial administration is the best approach.

5. Follow-up Initiatives:

Clinical Follow-up:

- Document all details of the complication in the patient's records and provide a thorough explanation to the patient post-operation.²³
- Consider giving the patient a written summary of events for future reference.
- Examine for symptoms and signs of neurological diseases if a failed block raises suspicion.

Investigating Local Anaesthetic Effectiveness:

- If multiple failures occur in a hospital, investigate the possibility of defective local anaesthetics. Perform skin infiltration to check if the solution is effective.
- Cross-reference records and material from the same batch with other hospitals.
- Seek advice from experienced practitioners for insights on managing failed spinal anaesthetics.²⁴

Conclusion:

Effectively managing spinal anaesthesia failure is vital for patient safety and positive surgical outcomes. Preventive measures, including careful patient assessment and honest communication with trainee anaesthetists, play a crucial role in reducing the risk of failure. When failure does occur, prompt and tailored responses are essential, considering the nature and timing of the issue. Whether it involves revisiting the block, exploring alternatives, or transitioning to general anaesthesia, clinical judgment and experience are valuable assets. Follow-up initiatives, including documentation and investigating local anaesthetic effectiveness, contribute to a better understanding of failure causes. By addressing these aspects comprehensively, we can enhance the quality of care and minimize the impact of spinal anaesthesia failure.

References

1. Russell IF (1995) Levels of anesthesia and intraoperative pain at caesarean section under regional block. *International Journal of Obstetric Anesthesia* 4: 71-77.

2. Bauer ME, Kountanis JA, Tsen LC, Greenfield ML, Mhyre JM (2012) Risk factors for failed conversion of labor epidural analgesia to cesarean delivery anesthesia: A systematic review and meta-analysis of observational trials. *International Journal of Obstetric Anesthesia* 21: 294-309.
3. Páez JJ, Navarro JR. Anestesia regional versus general para parto por cesárea. *Revista Colombiana de Anestesiología* 2012;40:203–6. 10.1016/j.rca.2012.05.008
4. Garthus-Niegel S, von Soest T, Vollrath ME, Eberhard-Gran M (2013) The impact of subjective birth experiences on post-traumatic stress symptoms: A longitudinal study. *Archives of Women's Mental Health* 16:1-10.
5. Royal College of Anesthetists/Association of Anaesthetists. NAP5 Anesthesia Awareness Support Pack. 2014.
6. Levy JH, Islas JA, Ghia JN, et al. A retrospective study of the incidence and causes of failed spinal anesthetics in a university hospital. *Anesthesia & Analgesia*. 1985;64:705–10.
7. Ružman T, Gulam D, Drenjančević IH, et al. Factors associated with difficult neuraxial blockade. *Local Reg Anesth*. 2014;7:47–52. 10.2147/LRA
8. Congreve K, Gardner I, Laxton C, Scrutton M (2006) Where is T5? A survey of anaesthetists. *Anaesthesia* 61: 453-455.
9. Patel R, Kua J, Sharawi N, Bauer ME, Blake L, et al. (2022) Inadequate neuroaxial anesthesia in patients undergoing elective caesarean section: A systematic review. *Anesthesia* 77: 598-604.
10. Simeen M, Jamal, Jameel S, Sirajuddin M (2017) An observational prospective cohort study of the incidence of spinal failure and Need of Supplement 16: 75-77.
11. Carvalho B, Cohen SE, Lipman SS, Fuller A, Mathusamy AD, et al. (2005) Patient preferences for anesthesia outcomes associated with cesarean delivery. *Anesthesia and Analgesia* 101: 1182-1187.
12. Laithangbam P, Singh N, Fanai R. Comparison of the lateral, Oxford and sitting positions for combined spinal and epidural anesthesia for elective caesarean section. *Journal of Medical Society* 2013;27:70.
13. Sen TH, Taylor CR, Sharawi N, Sultana R, Barton KD, et al. (2022) Uterine exteriorization versus in situ repair in cesarean delivery: A systematic review and meta-analysis. *Can J of Anesth* 69: 216-233.
14. Bromage PR (1965) A comparison of the hydrochloride and carbon dioxide salts of lidocaine and prilocaine in epidural analgesia. *Acta Anaesthesiologica Scandinavica* 9: 55-69.
15. Farina Z, Rout C. 'But it's just a spinal': combating increasing rates of maternal death related to spinal anaesthesia: forum-clinical practice. *S Afr Med J*. 2013;103:81–2.
16. Russell IF (2004) A comparison of cold, pinprick and touch for assessing the level of spinal block at caesarean section. *International Journal of Obstetric Anesthesia* 13: 146-152.
17. Kinsella S. A prospective audit of regional anaesthesia failure in 5080 Caesarean sections. *Anaesthesia*. 2008;63:822–32. 10.1111/ana.2008.63.issue-8
18. Fortescue C, Wee MYK, Malhotra S, Yentis SM, Holdcroft A (2007) Is preparation for emergency obstetric anesthesia adequate? A maternal questionnaire survey. *International Journal of Obstetric Anesthesia* 16: 336-340.
19. Cooper G, McClure J. Maternal deaths from anaesthesia. An extract from *Why Mothers Die 2000–2002, the Confidential Enquiries into Maternal Deaths in the United Kingdom Chapter 9: Anaesthesia*. *Br J Anaesth*. 2005;94:417–23. 10.1093/bja/aei066
20. Simeen M, Jamal, Jameel S, Sirajuddin M (2017) An observational prospective cohort study of the incidence of spinal failure and Need of Supplement 16: 75-77.

21. Abraham AA, Philip J (2013) Failed spinal anesthesia- management by giving a second spinal, Sri Lankan Journal of Anesthesia 21: 14-19.
22. Bauer ME, Kountanis JA, Tsen LC, Greenfield ML, Mhyre JM (2012) Risk factors for failed conversion of labor epidural analgesia to cesarean delivery anesthesia: A systematic review and meta-analysis of observational trials. International Journal of Obstetric Anesthesia 21: 294-309.
23. Inglis A, Daniel M, McGrady E. Maternal position during induction of spinal anaesthesia for caesarean section. Anaesthesia 1995;50:363–5.
24. Husain T, Liu YM, Fernando R (2013) How UK obstetric anaesthetists assess neuroaxial anaesthesia for caesarean delivery: National surveys of practice conducted in 2004 and 2010. International Journal of Obstetric Anesthesia 22: 298-302.