

E-ISSN: 2582-2160 • Website: www.ijfmr.com • Email: editor@ijfmr.com

Optimizing the Role of User and Maintainer Groups (KPP) in the Settlement Quality Improvement Program

Mario Levine Kaotjil¹, Muhammad Jen Wajo², Yuanike Kaber³

¹Student of Environmental Science Study Program PPs University of Papua
^{2,3}Lecturer of Environmental Science Study Program PPs University of Papua Jl.Gunung Salju Amban
Manokwari, 98314

ABSTRACT

The purpose of this research is to optimize the role of the user and maintainer group (KPP) in the Klasabi slum quality improvement program of Sorong City. In this case, the environmental conditions in this settlement are reviewed, the role of KPP is evaluated, and optimization strategies are also formulated that can be used for the environmental management of Klasabi slums in Sorong City. This research is quantitative with the method used in this research, namely the descriptive method with a case study technique through data collection from the results of distributing questionnaires, interview results, direct observation, and using other official documents analyzed using validity test analysis and reliability tests. Based on the results of the datavalidity test and the data reliability test, it was found that the research data or questionnaire data used in the study was valid and reliable. research data or questionnaire data used in this study is valid or reliable. The results showed that environmental conditions, seen from several perspectives, including ecological, economic, social, and cultural, can be considered good. However, when viewed in ecological conditions, especially in road and drainage conditions, it is still considered adequate by the community because there is no equal distribution of facility development. Also, the role of the user and maintainer group (KPP) is assessed as not optimal in carrying out its duties and responsibilities; this is supported by the results of the conducted interviews and observations made by researchers. In addition, optimization strategies can be carried out, such as structuring the Klasabi area around Deo Airport in Sorong City, handling slum houses by the government to support the development of water tourism, optimizing the use of water hyacinth plants that can be developed by community members using SIKIM and BLK facilities, and providing capital loans to support the business of making traditional snacks, traditional Papuan handicrafts, and Papuan craftsmen certification training in Sorong City.

Keywords: role of KPP, optimization strategy, Klasabi slum area.

INTRODUCTION

Cities will inevitably face problems due to the rapid increase in the population of residents, which has an impact on all aspects of life, especially in the area of settlements. If it is not balanced between the ability to build an area that is considered feasible and the limited urban land for development, it will be one of



E-ISSN: 2582-2160 • Website: www.ijfmr.com • Email: editor@ijfmr.com

the triggers for the emergence of settlements that are not feasible. be one of the triggers for the emergence of inappropriate or slum settlements (Hidayat, 2021). Law Number 1 of 2011 concerning Housing and Settlement Areas explains that unkempt settlements are settlements that are inappropriate for habitation because of their irregular shape, irregularity due to irregular shape, high building density, and inadequate quality of buildings, facilities, and infrastructure (Hidayat, 2021). buildings and inadequate facilities and infrastructure.

The Ministry of Public Works and Public Housing, through the Directorate General of Human Settlements, takes action to address slum areas as part of policy implementation. handling of slum areas as part of the implementation of the policy to improve the quality of settlements. improving the quality of settlements, namely developing them comprehensively, inclusively, and sustainably. One of the activities carried out is improving the quality of housing in slum areas. The Directorate General of Human Settlements initiated the Kota Activities (KOTAKU) to accelerate the reduction of slums, create jobs, and improve the welfare of the poor or MBR in slum areas. KOTAKU activities are carried out using a pattern of infrastructure developmentactivities (KOTAKU Technical Guidelines, 2022).

Klasabi, in Sorong City, is one of the 40 residential areas that can grow without planning. When facing these situations, the Sorong City government has taken various measures in order to reduce the level of urban slum development. One of the efforts implemented is the Regulation of the Mayor of Sorong City Number 663/76/2020 on the Location of Slums and Slum Settlements in Sorong City. Efforts to improve the slum housing environment have formed the Housing and Settlement Area Development Working Group. Sorong City through Sorong Mayor Decree Number 800.05/57 C/2021.

The efforts to achieve slum eradication process in Sorong City based on government decree through the partnership of Sorong City in line with the Sorong City Vision "The Realization of Sorong City as the Most Advanced City in the Land of Papua", and one of its missions is to improve basic urban infrastructure. One of the Government programs that supports slum eradication in the Klasabi area is the program Kota Tanpa Kumuh (KOTAKU), City Without Slum. Some of the program City Without Slum (KOTAKU) to support slum eradication in Klasabi Area include the development of taluds, the construction of roads, drainage, water towers, waste container, green open spaces and gates, box culvert, the construction of bridges as well as the construction of public toilets, drainage, rainwater reservoirs, public hydrants, piping and roads.

The Klasabi area itself has been under the interference of the local governmentof Sorong City since 2017 to address the settlement in this area. Since 2017 until now, there has been no managerial institution related to the infrastructure that has been built. This is also the reason why this research is conducted with the hope of establishing a management institution to manage and utilize the infrastructure efficiently and effectively. From the previous background explanation, the authors consider the need to conduct research on the topic "Optimizing the Role of the User and Maintainers Group (KPP) in the Settlement Quality Improvement Program. Case Study of Klasabi Slum Settlement, Sorong City". Optimization of the slum management in the Klasabi Area is expected to develop sustainably and to support efforts to preserve the environment as a self-sufficient village responsive to the problems of slums, complying with current regulations with good alternative strategies and concepts, as well as obeying applicable regional laws and regulations.

RESEARCH METHOD

This research lasted for 2 months, from December 2023 to January 2024, and was located in Klasabi



E-ISSN: 2582-2160 • Website: www.ijfmr.com • Email: editor@ijfmr.com

Village, Sorong Manoi District, Sorong City, Southwest Papua. The research is a quantitative study with the method used in this study, namely the descriptive method with case study techniques through data collection from the results of questionnaire distribution, the results of interviews, direct observations, and other official documents analyzed using validity test analysis and reliability test. The population in this research is in accordance with the Decree of the Mayor of Sorong Number 663/76/2020 Regarding the Determination of the Location of Slum Housing Area and Slum Settlements Area in the City of Sorong, which is the User and Beneficiary Group (KPP) in the Quality Improvement Program Klasabi Slum Settlement Area Sorong City, West Papua Province, with an area of 17.95 ha. And samples were obtained—as many as 85 research samples.

RESULTS AND DISCUSSION

3.1. The Condition of the Klasabi Slum Settlement Environment in Sorong City

a. Ecological Conditions

This ecological condition is observed through several aspects or supporting components, such as housing components, sanitation facilities, residential behavior, building characteristics, neighborhood road accessibility, environmental drainage, land coverage, and fire protection. The aspects of this research show that the ecological conditions in the environment can be considered good. However, in the aspect of roads and drainage, there are still some respondents who give neutral or moderate answers to the following aspects due to the lack of equitable development of infrastructure facilities builtin this area.

b. Economic Conditions

The economic conditions in this area are still relatively low. This can be seen from the income and expenditure data of the respondents, mostly in the range of $\leq 1,000,000$, with 37 respondents out of 85 respondents. In the fulfillment ofdaily needs, people are still not said to be good at fulfilling their needs.

c. Social Conditions

From this perspective, community participation is very important to improve the quality of the environment. This research also shows that the social conditions in this area are good, based on the responses of the respondents who rated good social conditions with a percentage of 79% and 21% for neutral or fairly good conditions.

d. Cultural Conditions

The cultural perspective is related to human adaptation to their environment, which will generate their own culture over a long period of time. In this study, it can be observed that the cultural conditions of the slum settlement area of Klasabi are in good condition. This is supported by the percentage of the resultsof distributing questionnaires, which amounts to 63% of respondents who rated it as neutral or sufficient.

3.2. The role of user and caretaker groups (KPP)

The role of the user and caretaker group (KPP) is very important for the long-term longevity of the infrastructure. The roles and responsibilities that must be carried out by KPP are:

- 1. Socializing clean and healthy lifestyle behaviors in the surroundingcommunity on an ongoing basis.
- 2. Mobilizing the community to participate in maintaining the neighborhood to prevent it from becoming a slum.



E-ISSN: 2582-2160 • Website: www.ijfmr.com • Email: editor@ijfmr.com

- 3. Monitoring the implementation of development in accordance with the needs of the community and the appropriate quality.
- 4. Arranging a work program for the utilization and maintenance of infrastructure and facilities.
- 5. Socializing with the community the work program for the utilization and maintenance of infrastructure and facilities
- 6. Collaborating with various stakeholders in implementing sustainable maintenance of infrastructure and facilities.
- 7. Developing infrastructure and facilities to broaden the range of services andbenefits.
- 8. Providing assistance to achieve a slum-free city starting from theneighborhood by collaborating with various stakeholders.

However, based on the site conditions and the results of interviews, it can be said that the roles and responsibilities of the KPP are not yet optimal in assisting the programs to improve the quality of slums through the procurement of existing infrastructure facilities. Researchers observed that their role up to date has not been optimized, both in maintaining the infrastructure and in coordinating with the community to participate in maintaining the existing infrastructure, up to the optimal utilization of the existing infrastructure facilities.

3.3 Strategy for Optimizing the Management of the Klasabi Slum SettlementEnvironment in Sorong City

1. Formulation of Internal Factors and External Factors

a. Internal Factors

The scoring of internal factors in this study is:

No	STRENGTH	Average	Rating
1	Strategic location of Klasabi area around DEO Airport of	4,98	4
	Sorong City		
2	Klasabi Area Located in the middle of residential areas, asa	4,79	4
	place to social interaction and a place for children to play.		
3	Klasabi Canal (Kali Mati BTN) can be developed as awater	4,73	4
	tourism object		
4	Water hyacinth plants that grow around BTN River can be		
	developed as alternative fuels like bioethanol and	4,64	4
	briquettes.		
	The development of people's economies in the KlasabiArea		
5	by making traditional Papuan handicrafts, manufacturing	4,60	4
	snacks, and providing craftsmen's certification training can		
	improve the community's		
	economy.		
6	BTN river utilized by local residents to access the	4,85	4
	distribution of marine capture products		
7	The willingness of the community to pay for the land	3,21	3
	installments		
	Basic settlement infrastructure supported by the		



E-ISSN: 2582-2160 • Website: www.ijfmr.com • Email: editor@ijfmr.com

8	collaboration of the local government and the national	4,41	4
	government is already sufficient in the Klasabi Area.		
9	There is existing groups of Utilizers and Caretakers (KPP)	4,37	4
	for settlement infrastructure in the Klasabi urban village.		
10	There are collective rules agreed by the community	4,81	4
	members		
11	There are many UMKM (Micro, Small and Medium	4,87	4
	Enterprises) activities in the community such as merchants		
	of fish, vegetables, food and handicrafts.		
NO	WEAKNESS	Average	Rating
12	The absence of articles of associations of the organization	3,98	3

13	The work plan of the User and Caretaker Group (KPP) has	3,85	3
	not run optimally.		
14	The KPP members' understanding of their roles and	4,26	3
	functions is not yet optimal.		
15	The lack of public understanding of the importance of KPP,	3,90	3
	and the unoptimal of public participation.		
16	The source of financing is still the contribution of the	3,76	3
	residents of the beneficiaries, and no other sources of		
	funds are yet available.		
17	It is necessary to increase the community's capacity forclean	3,97	3
	and healthy lifestyles (PHBS).		
18	Public awareness of preserving the built infrastructure isstill	4,19	3
	poor.		
19	Land status is occupied by a third party.	4,09	3
	The Kali Mati BTN area is functioning as a water		
20	catchment area, resulting in potential flooding during high	4,18	3
	rainfall.		
21	Some neighborhoods in the Klasabi area are flooded bytidal	4,70	4
	floods during high tide.		
22	Waste management that has not met technical standards	4,73	3
22	No fire protection infrastructure is evailable	1 12	3
23	No fire protection infrastructure is available.	4,13	3

Source: Researcher Analysis, 2024

b. External Factors

The scoring of external factors in this study is as follows:

No	OPPORTUNITY	Average	Rating
1	Location of the Klasabi area in the commercial and	5,82	4
	service area		
	Close to the facilities that can support the development of		



E-ISSN: 2582-2160 • Website: www.ijfmr.com • Email: editor@ijfmr.com

2	community home industry activities, SIKIM (the center for small and medium-scale industries) is owned by the Sorong		4
	City Government, and the Vocational Training Center		
	(BLK) is owned by the Ministry of		
	Manpower.		
3	Klasabi is one of the prioritized locations for the	5,98	4
	government program of 100-0-100 slum area reduction.		
4	Business capital loans from third parties	4,78	3
5	Klasabi area is planned to be integrated with RTPSorong	5,90	4
	City Park and DEO Park.		
6	Sediment removal program in Viktory Canal, reducing the load on Kali Mati BTN	5,86	4
7	The BSPS program for RTLH (Uninhabitable House)	5,21	4
8	A master plan for the roads and drainage in the Klasabiarea	5,43	4
	is being drafted.		
9	There is a growing awareness in the community about	5,71	4
	waste management.		
No	THREAT	Average	Rating
1	Competition for skilled human resources from around	5,48	4
	the Klasabi area		
2	Klasabi area is in a disaster-prone location	5,09	4
3	The area is located in the airport expansion area	5,37	4
	The criminal potential is quite high because of its location		
4	in a residential area near the central trade and	4,80	4
	service area.		
	The community has not been trained in developing an		
5	economy utilizing the facilities that have been provided	5,17	4
	by the government.		
6	The lack of opportunities for alternative economic activities	6,13	4
	means that people continue to carry out their regular		
	economic activities even though these activities		
	have an impact on the settlements in Klasabi.		
7	The stigma of the surrounding community towards the	5,70	4
	poor level of security in the Klasab Area		
8	Unsolved land issues between the city government and	5,78	4
	third parties		
9	The fast development of Sorong City triggers the	5,59	4
	emergence of new settlements that are not properly		
	managed in the Klasabi Area.		
	_		l

Source: Researcher Analysis



E-ISSN: 2582-2160 • Website: www.ijfmr.com • Email: editor@ijfmr.com

2. IFAS and EFAS

Based on the results of the IFAS-EFAS weighting of the SWOT element, it can be seen that the strength factor that has the highest value is the strategic location of the Klasabi area around DEO Airport in Sorong City, with a value of 4.98. This, according to the respondents' assessment, is the major strength for Sorong City in addressing the management of the Klasabi slum environment. This means that there is a very high dependence on the location of the strategic Klasabi area, which is close to the DEO Airport in Sorong City. While the weakness factor that obtained the lowest average score is that the source of financing is still the contribution of residents of the beneficiaries and there is no other source of funds available, with a value of 3.76, This, according to respondents, is the main weakness in handling the management of the Klasabi slum environment.

The opportunity factor that has the highest score is the proximity to facilities that can support the development of community home industry activities, SIKIM (smalland medium industrial centers) owned by the Sorong City Government, and the Vocational Training Center (BLK) owned by the Ministry of Manpower. This, according to respondents, is an opportunity where there are already supporting facilities in the Klasabi area of Sorong City. The threat factor that has the lowest value is the higheriminal potential because it is located in a residential area near the center of trade and services, with a value of 4.80. This means that criminal matters are the biggest threat in the Klasabi area, so they need serious handling from the Sorong City local government.

3. SWOT Matrix

SWOT Matrix		
	STRENGTH (S)	WEAKNESES (W)
	1. Strategic location of	1. The absence of articles of
	Klasabi area around DEO Airport	associations of the organization
	of SorongCity	2. The work plan of the User and
	2. Klasabi Area Located in the	Caretaker Group (KPP)has not run
Internal	middle of residentialareas, as a	optimally.
	place to social interaction and a	
	place for children to play.	3. The KPP members'
	3. Klasabi Canal (Kali Mati	understanding of their rolesand
	BTN) can be developed as a	functions is not yet optimal.
	watertourism object	
	4. Water hyacinth plants that	4. The lack of public
	grow around BTN River can be	understanding of the importance of
	developedas alternative fuels like	KPP, and theunoptimal of public
	bioethanol and briquettes.	participation.
	5. The development of	5. The source of financing isstill
	people's economies inthe Klasabi	the contribution of theresidents of
Eksternal	Area by making traditional	the beneficiaries, and no other
	Papuan handicrafts,	sources of funds are yet available.
	manufacturing snacks,	6. It is necessary to increase the
		community's capacityfor clean and
		healthy lifestyles (PHBS).



E-ISSN: 2582-2160 • Website: www.ijfmr.com • Email: editor@ijfmr.com

1	and providing craftsmen's	7. Public awareness of
	certificationtraining can improve	preserving the built infrastructure is
t	thecommunity's economy.	still poor.
	6. BTN river utilized by local	
1	residents to accessthe distribution	8. Land status is occupied bya
C	of marine capture products	third party.
	7. The willingness of the	
		functioning as a water catchment
İ	installments	area, resulting inpotential flooding
		during high rainfall.
	8. Basic settlement	
	infrastructure supportedby the	10. Some neighborhoods in the
	collaboration of the local	Klasabi area are flooded bytidal
	government and the national	floods during high tide.
	government is already sufficient	
İ	in the KlasabiArea.	11. Waste management that has
		not met technical standards
ĺ	9. There is existing groupsof	
	` '	12. No fire protection
	for settlement infrastructurein the	infrastructure is available.
	Klasabi urban village.	
	10 77	
	10. There are collective rules	
	agreed by the community	
	members	
	11. There are many UMKM	
	(Micro, Small and Medium	
	Enterprises) activities in the	
	community such as merchants of	
1	fish, vegetables, food and	

handicrafts.



E-ISSN: 2582-2160 • Website: www.ijfmr.com • Email: editor@ijfmr.com

	G 0 000 1 000 0000	
OPORTUNITY (O)	S-O STRATEGIES	W-O STRATEGIES
1 1 6 6.1	1 1 0	1.2 A D.D.T.
1. Location of the	1.1 Structuring the Klasabi area	1.2 ADRT organizations that arenot
Klasabi area in the	around DEO Airport in Sorong	yet running can use the Sorong
commercial and service	City provides employment	Provincial Government's SIKIM
area	opportunities.	Facility and the Ministry of
		Manpower's BLK.
	3.3 Provincial slum management	
that can support the		2.3 The work plan of the Kelompok
=	water tourism (Kali Mati BTM).	Pemaanfaat danPemelihara (KPP)
home industry activities,		can follow the priorities of
` ·	-	government programs in slum
and medium-scale	plants developed by the	management.
industries) is ownedby the	community with thesupport of	
Sorong City Government,	SIKIM facilities and BLK owned	6.9 Community capacity building
and the Vocational Training	by the Sorong City Government.	related to PHBS can work together
Center (BLK) is owned by		to play an active role in waste
the Ministry of Manpower.	5.4 Third-party capital loans can	management by keeping the
	support the business ofmaking	environment clean.
3. Klasabi is one of the	snacks, Papuan cash handicrafts,	
prioritized locationsfor the	and artisan certification training.	9.6. The Kali Mati BTN area with a
government program of		sediment dredging program can
100-0- 100 slum area	6.6 BTM River as a distribution	reduce the potential for water flow
reduction.	access with a sediment dredging	andflooding.
	program can slowdown the flow	
4. Business capital loans	of the river and reduce the risk of	10.8 Klasabi area, inundated by tidal
from thirdparties	water overflow.	floods during high tide,can be
		minimized by supporting the road
5. Klasabi area is	7.7 The community's willingness	and drainage masterplan program.
planned to be integrated	to pay in installments makes the	
with RTPSorong City Park	BSPS program more effective in	
and DEO Park.	helping the community,	
	especially thelow-income	
6. Sediment removal	community.	
program in ViktoryCanal,	9.8 The residential infrastructure	
reducing theload on Kali	user and maintenance group	
Mati BTN	(KPP)can support the road and	
7. The BSPS program	drainage masterplan in the	
for RTLH (Klasabi area.	
	10.11 MSME activities in the	
	community can provide an	



E-ISSN: 2582-2160 • Website: www.ijfmr.com • Email: editor@ijfmr.com

Uninhabitable House)	example of communityawareness	
,	in waste	
	management.	
8. A master plan forthe		
roads and drainage in the		
Klasabi area is being		
drafted.		
9. There is a growing		
awareness in the		
community about waste		
management.		
<u> </u>		
TREATHS (T)	S-T STRATEGIES	W-T STRATEGIES
1. Competition for		
skilled human resources	<u>o</u>	2.1 The Utilization and Maintenance
from around the Klasabi	Klasabi area of the community	Group (KPP)can play a role in
area	around DEO Airport in Sorong	assistingresidents in business
2. Klasabi area is in a	City must be relocated if it is	competition with skilled human
disaster-prone location		resources that will have a positive
3. The area is located in the	planning area.	impact on the Klasabi area.
airport expansionarea		
4. The criminal	2.1 Community settlements, asa	5.5 The source of financing is still
potential is quite high	place to socialize and a place for	residents' fees, which can train the
because of its location in a	children to play, can provide	community to develop a people's
residential area near the	business competition with skilled	economyby utilizing facilities that
central trade and service	human resources.	have been prepared by the
area.		government.
5. The community has	3.5 Developing water attractions,	
not been trained in	the BTS deadriver can train	6.6 Related to a clean and healthy
developing an economy	people in economic development.	lifestyle (PHBS), economic
utilizing thefacilities that		activities that areusually carried out
have been provided by the	5.6 Making typical Papuan	even though these activities havean
government.	handicrafts, local snack	impact on settlements must still be
6. The lack of	production, and craftsmen	carried out.
opportunities for alternative	certification training, with the	
economic	alternative opportunities for	8.8. Land status controlled bythird
	economicactivities, so that the	parties needs to be discussed with
	community continues to carry it	the city government and third
	out.	parties.
	8.9 Basic settlement	10.9. Klasabi area is inundatedby
	infrastructure support fromlocal	tidal floods during high
	government	



E-ISSN: 2582-2160 • Website: www.ijfmr.com • Email: editor@ijfmr.com

activities means that people	collaboration can minimizethe	tide; the community needs to reduce
continue to carry out their	triggers for the emergence of new	land clearing for newsettlements.
regulareconomic activities	settlements that are not	
even though these activities	organized.	
have an impact on the		
settlements in Klasabi.		
7. The stigma of the		
surrounding community		
towardsthe poor level of		
security in the Klasabi Area		
8. Unsolved land issues		
between the city		
government and thirdparties		
9. The fast development		
of Sorong City triggers the		
emergence of new		
settlements that are		
not properly managedin the		
Klasabi Area.		

CONCLUSION

Based on the results of the discussion and analysis that have been conducted, several conclusions can be drawn.

- 1. The ecological perspective, which is reviewed from eight components includinghousing components, sanitation facilities, residential behavior, building characteristics, environmental road accessibility, environmental drainage, land cover, and fire protection, has provided an overview of the ecological conditions in the Klasabi slum neighborhood of Sorong City. This ecological condition is considered moderately good toward a good condition. However, this condition or perspective needs to be improved in order to achieve good quality overall. This is also in line with the social and cultural perspectives that have been reviewed in this study. The results show that social and cultural conditions in the study show good conditions in this settlement, which can also help in improving the quality of the slum settlement environment in this area. Meanwhile, the economic perspective in the Klasabi Slum Settlement Environment of Sorong City is still relatively low. The revenue earned can be considered low, and in order to meet the daily needs of the community, it is stillnot said to be sufficient to fulfill their needs.
- 2. The roles and responsibilities of the Group of Users and Maintainers (KPP) are not optimal in fulfilling their duties and responsibilities in supporting housing quality improvement programs and also in supporting the existing facilities for long-term use. This is supported by the results of interviews that have been conducted by the local government, including the Lurah and the Head of the District Office, as well as the community. In addition, it is also supported by the results of observations made directly by researchers. In conducting this research, researchers found that the



E-ISSN: 2582-2160 • Website: www.ijfmr.com • Email: editor@ijfmr.com

facilities that have been available have not been able to run well and cannot be utilized by the community on a long-term basis, such as the provision of clean water facilities and the provision of clean toilets.

- 3. In analyzing related strategies for optimizing the management of the Klasabi slum environment in Sorong City, the researchers used the SWOT analysis method. It has given rise to various regional development strategies, as contained in Table 14. SWOT Analysis Matrix. However, the priority strategy that is considered the most effective and efficient to be used as the main strategy in carrying out environmental management is a strategy that is generated based on a combination of elements of strength (internal) and elements of opportunity(external) that can be utilized to overcome weaknesses and threats that could occur. The S-O priority strategies in quadrant I are as follows:
- A. The arrangement of the Klasabi Area around DEO Airport in Sorong City can provide for the need for work opportunities in the area.
- B. The management of slum houses by the government can support the development of water tourism (Kali Mati BTM).
- C. Utilization of water hyacinth plants can be promoted by the community with the support of SIKIM and BLK facilities owned by Sorong City Government.
- D. Third-party capital loans can support the business of manufacturing snacks, Papuan traditional handicrafts, and craftsman certification training.
- E. BTM River as a distribution access with a dredging sediment program and slow down the flow of the river and reduce the risk of water overflow.
- F. The community's willingness to pay in installments for the land makes the BSPS program more effective in helping the community, especially the low-income community.
- G. The residential infrastructure user and maintenance group (KPP) can support the development of a road and drainage masterplan in the Klasabi area
- H. MSME activities in the community can provide an example of community awareness in waste management.

BIBLIOGRAPHY

- 1. Carin, A.A., Sund, R. and Lahkar, B.K. (2018) 'Dzulqarnain, 2018', *Journal of Controlled Release*, 11(2), pp. 430–439.
- 2. Dzulqarnain. (2018). *Strategi Penanganan Permukiman Kumuh Nelayan Di Kecamatan Galesong Kabupaten Takalar*. Tesis. Program Studi Perencanaan Dan PengembanganWilayah: Program Pascasarjana Universitas HasanuddinMakasar.
- 3. Fahran, M. (2022). *Arahan Penataan Kawasan Permukiman di Kawasan Wisata Benteng Somba Opu Dengan Pendekatan ECO-SETTLEMENTS*. Makassar:Universitas Hasanuddin.
- 4. Hastuti, H. F. (2022). *Penilaian Kualitas Permukiman Berdasarkan Kriteria ECO-SETTLEMENT di Kelurahan Sukaramai, Kota Pekanbaru*. Pekanbaru: Universitas Islam Riau.
- 5. Hidayat, H. (2021). Studi Penanganan Kawasan Permukiman Kumuh di Kelurahan SRI Meranti Kota Pekanbaru. Pekanbaru: Universitas Islam Riau.
- 6. Kinerja, L. (2021) 'Laporan kinerja'.
- 7. Laporan Kinersja 2021, Kementerian Pekerjaan Umum dan Perumahan Rakyat RepublikIndonesia Tahun 2022
- 8. Noegroho, N. (2010). Penataan Perumahan Kumuh Di Perkotaan Berbasis Kawasan. ComTech,



E-ISSN: 2582-2160 • Website: www.ijfmr.com • Email: editor@ijfmr.com

- Vol.1, No.2, pp 1033-1040.
- 9. Peraturan Menteri Pekerjaan Umum Dan Perumahan Rakyat Republik Indonesia, Nomor 14/Prt/M/2018, Tentang Pencegahan Dan Peningkatan Kualitas Terhadap Perumahan Kumuh Dan Permukiman Kumuh.
- 10. Petunjuk Teknis Pelaksanaan Kegiatan Kota Tanpa Kumu (KOTAKU) Tahun Anggaran 2022.
- 11. Pongsammin, S. B. (2018). Faktor-Faktor yang Mempengaruhi Kualitas Laporan Keuangan pada Badan Pengelolaan Keuangan dan Aset Daerah (BPKAD) Di Kabupaten Manokwari. Manokwari: Universitas Papua.
- 12. Ponto, G.D (2022) Strategi Dinas Perumahan dan Kawasan Permukiman Dalam Penanganan Permukiman Kumuh di Kecamatan Singkil Kota Manado. Jurnal Governance 2 (1), ISSN. 2088-2815
- 13. Putri, DKK. (2023). Strategi Penanganan Permukiman Kumuh di Perkotaan.
- 14. Semarang. Universitas Islam Sultan Agung Semarang.
- 15. Prosedur Opersional Standar (POS) Operasional dan Pemeliharaan Program KOTA TANPAKUMUH (KOTAKU) POS 2.5u, 2019.
- 16. Prosedur Opersional Standar Program KOTA TANPA KUMUH POS 2.5u, 2019
- 17. Ramadea, M., Hidayati, A. N., & Reza, M. (2022). Identifikasi Pemanfaatan Ruang Budaya Bermukim Masyarakat Banjar Sebelum Abad 20 dan Sesudah Abad 20 di Sungai Martapura, Kota Banjarmasin, Kalimantan Selatan. *Jurnal ITN Malang*, 1-8.
- 18. Rutz, W. (1987). Cities and Town in Indonesia. Berlin: Gebruder Borntraeger.
- 19. SK Walikota SorongTentang Penetapan Lokasi Perumahan Kumuh dan Permukiman KumuhKota Sorong Tahun 2020.
- 20. SK Penetapan Lokasi Perumahan Kumuh dan Permukiman Kumuh Kota Sorong Tahun 2020'.
- 21. Turner, John FC. 1972. Freedom to Build, Dweller Control of the Housing Process.
- 22. The Macmillan Company, New York.
- 23. Undang-Undang Republik Indonesia, Nomor 1 Tahun 2011, Tentang Perumahan DanPermukiman.
- 24. 2021, J.K. (2021) 'Pedoman Teknis Pelaksanaan Kegiatan Padat Karya Direktorat JenderalCipta Karya (Program KOTAKU) 2021', *Sereal Untuk*, 51(1), p. 51.
- 25. 2022, J.K. (no date) 'Petunjuk Teknis KOTAKU 2022'.