The Non-traditional Threats and the Necessity for International Organization Collaboration (IOs)

Jihene Ftouh

Master Student, Istanbul Aydin University, Turkey.

Abstract

The worldwide landscape of international cooperation has undergone a profound transformation due to the rise of non-traditional dangers. This research looks at how non-traditional threats affect the cooperation of different international organizations (IOs) and looks into the underlying processes that cause this behavior. With a thorough examination of theoretical frameworks and empirical findings, the study clarifies how global challenges including cyber threats, transnational crime, pandemics, and climate change have prompted IOs to establish cooperative alliances. The results highlight the importance of shared norms, securitization theory, and networked governance in promoting cooperation across IOs in diverse industries and geographical areas. Through the elucidation of the dynamic character of global governance in reaction to unconventional hazards, this research enhances our comprehension of the obstacles and opportunities of IOs collaboration.

Introduction

The present study aims to answer the following research question : How has the emergence of the non-traditional threats fostered the collaboration of different types of international organizations ?

The world has been employed to cope with threats that primarily concern states and their territorial borders. However, in recent decades, particularly with globalization, the globe has witnessed a new type of threat that differs from classic security risks. The current global scene is characterized by a variety of non-traditional challenges that have crossed geographical borders.These new emergent threats, such as transnational crime, mass migration, climate change, and cyberwarfare, are challenging traditional security measures by affecting multiple parts of society at the same time, ranging from health and economy to environment and governance. By examining its multidimensional aspect, the study aims to highlight the complexity and the interconnection of these emerging threats that has prompted different international organizations to take a more collaborative and integrated approach to adress these issues and promote global peace, security, and sustainable development.

This research exposes mainly two basis that explains the benefits of such an imminent collaboration. On the one hand as previously stated, these concerns are linked and operate outside the scope of the traditional frameworks and national security actors driven primarily by military defense. As a result, dealing with multiple threats simultaneously can be overwhelming for an organisation to deal with. On the other hand, the various international agencies can benefits from their effective collaboration to make a greater positive impact by sharing both the expertise and the resources required to tackle and counteract the interdisciplinary and cross-sectoral aspects of these challenges.

To demonstrate this strategy based on effective collaboration, we can invoke that during the COVID-19 pandemic, the World Health Organization (WHO) collabo-rated closely with other UN agencies, governments, and NGOs to coordinate global response efforts, share information, and mobilize resources. Similarly, in the realm of cybersecurity, initiatives such as the Global Cybersecurity Capacity Centre (GCSCC) have facilitated cooperation among IOs, governments, and private sector actors to enhance cyber resilience and combat cyber threats.To conduct this study, qualitative research methodologies are employed, using secondary sources including academic literature, policy documents, and media reports.

Keywords: International organizations, Non-traditional threats, Security, Resilience and International collaboration.

Introduction

The idea of security has expanded to include a wide range of non-traditional risks that seriously jeopardize the stability and prosperity of the world today in an interconnected and quickly changing world. Threats ranging from cyberattacks to terrorism and climate change are frequently interconnected. In the mean time, Nation-states continue to play a key role in addressing security issues, but each nation is frequently ill-equipped to handle the scope and complexity of non-traditional threats. In the mean time, International organizations have become essential tools for encouraging coordination and collaboration between countries to handle common difficulties.

Nonetheless, the environment of non-traditional dangers is always changing, posing often simultaneous difficulties that call for creative and flexible solutions.

Consequently, no one nation or institution can address these dangers alone because of their interconnectedness.

In light of this, it is becoming more and more clear that international organizations must work together. These businesses can more effectively predict, prevent, and manage non-traditional dangers by combining their resources, skills, and capabilities.

International organization cooperation is not without its difficulties, though. Effective cooperation and coordination can be hampered by for instance competing institutional interests, bureaucratic passivity. In addition, the lack of a centralized authority or governing body frequently makes it more difficult to coordinate plans and allocate resources.

In fact, these groups can increase their combined ability to handle non-traditional threats in a comprehensive and all-encompassing way by collaborating, exchanging information, and coordinating actions. Consequently, this strengthens the credibility and potency of countermeasures against unconventional threats.

This paper will look at how non-traditional threats are changing and how different international organizations are working together to tackle these issues. Therefore, we will illustrate the achievements, limitations, and prospects for improved cooperation by means of case studies and empirical examination.

Theoretical framework

Conventional ideas of security were mostly state-centric, emphasizing military might and territorial integrity. The emergence of non-traditional challenges, however, has put this paradigm to the test by highlighting how intertwined global crises are and how urgently collective action is required.

In fact, non-traditional dangers comprise an extensive array of difficulties that beyond customary concepts

of security, such as cyberwarfare, terrorism, contagious diseases, climate change, and international organized crime, among others (Duffield, M.,2001).

Non-traditional threats are diverse, complex, and frequently transcend national lines, posing serious challenges to the peace and well-being of the international community. In contrast to conventional threats, which are frequently characterized by armed aggression between nations.

A number of causes, such as globalization, technological development, demographic changes, and environmental degradation, have contributed to the rise of non-traditional dangers. These variables have altered the global environment and strengthened the connections between economies and society.

Because non-traditional threats are unpredictable, adaptive, and asymmetrical, it is challenging to predict and counter them with conventional security concepts.

The multidimensional and global character of non-traditional threats makes the traditional state-centric approach to security, which is largely focused on preserving territorial integrity and sovereignty, ill-suited to handle them.

By offering forums for collaboration, coordination, and collective action among member nations, international institutions like the United Nations, NATO, the World Health Organization, and INTERPOL are essential in combating non-traditional threats (Stritzel, H,2007).

International organizations must work together to effectively combat non-traditional dangers because no one institution has the capacity, knowledge, or mandate to handle these issues on its own.

The idea of collaborative governance provides a theoretical framework for comprehending and improving cooperation amongst international organizations in tackling non-traditional risks. It highlights the significance of partnerships, networks, and shared decision-making procedures.

Nevertheless, institutional rivalries, power disparities, interests, and bureaucratic inertia are some of the difficulties that collaborative governance faces and which may prevent international organizations from working together and coordinating effectively.To overcome these obstacles, a concentrated effort must be made to create consensus, encourage communication, and create dispute resolution and decision-making procedures that uphold legitimacy, accountability, and transparency.

In Addition, network governance viewpoints highlight the value of partnerships and interorganizational networks in promoting resource mobilization, information exchange, and group problem-solving in the face of unconventional challenges (Shearer, D.1998).

Therefore, multi-level governance methods emphasize that in order to address the complex and interwoven character of non-traditional risks, coordinated action between public and private actors as well as across several levels of government (local, national, regional, and global) is required.

Methodology

To investigate the need for international organizational collaboration in tackling non-traditional risks, this study uses a qualitative research approach.

Gathering primary and secondary sources for data collecting including academic articles, official documents, and reports from non-governmental, governmental, and international organizations.

These sources are examined to expose the multidimensional aspects in international organizations' cooperative efforts to counter non-traditional threats.

In general, the study's methodology aims to produce deep, contextually relevant insights into the dynamics of international organizations' cooperation in the face of non-traditional challenges.

Empirical Findings

Empirical data analysis shows that in response to non-traditional dangers, IOs are significantly increasing their joint endeavors. For example, in order to coordinate worldwide response operations, exchange information, and mobilize resources during the COVID-19 epidemic, the World Health Organization (WHO) worked closely with other UN agencies, countries, and non-governmental organizations. In a similar vein, efforts like the Global Cybersecurity Capacity Centre (GCSCC) have made it easier for IOs, governments, and private sector players to collaborate in the field of cybersecurity in order to improve cyber resilience and counteract cyber attacks.

Analysis shows that cooperation amongst international organizations is necessary to effectively combat non-traditional threats because no one organization has the authority, capacity, or resources to take on these problems on its own (Knill, C., & Leucht, F. 2018).

Successful collaborations include the United Nations Office on Drugs and Crime (UNODC) and the International Telecommunication Union (ITU) working together to develop cybersecurity protocols and encourage information sharing among member states in the fight against cyberattacks.

The Global Counterterrorism Forum (GCTF) is one such project that brings together a variety of stakeholders to exchange best practices and coordinate responses to terrorist threats. International cooperation has also been crucial in combating the threat of terrorism.

When it comes to climate change, cooperation between international organizations has aided in the creation of multilateral agreements like the Paris Agreement, which attempts to reduce greenhouse gas emissions and encourage global adaptation measures (Biermann, F., & Boas, I. 2010).

The World Health Organization (WHO) is spearheading efforts to organize the worldwide response and expedite vaccine supplies, highlighting the significance of international organizational collaboration in handling health emergencies in the wake of the COVID-19 pandemic.

Nevertheless, empirical research also reveals obstacles and constraints to international organizations' cooperative efforts, such as political disputes among member states, competing objectives, and bureaucratic lethargy (Hale, T. N., Held, D., & Young, K.2013). Despite the existence of cooperative institutions like the Group of Twenty (G20) and the United Nations Security Council (UNSC), member state differences frequently obstruct effective coordination and collaboration in the face of non-traditional threats.

Indeed, according to empirical data, informal networks and alliances are essential for promoting cooperation across multinational organizations and enabling more adaptable and flexible reactions to non-traditional threats.

The importance of inclusive and participatory procedures is further highlighted by empirical data, which show that projects involving a wide range of stakeholders are more likely to yield long-term results. The effectiveness and inclusivity of collaborative efforts, however, can be jeopardized by issues such as power imbalances, information asymmetries, and resource constraints, particularly when non-traditional dangers disproportionately affect vulnerable populations (Stokke, O. S.2008).

Additionnaly, according to empirical research, mutual respect and trust among stakeholders are crucial for creating successful cooperative partnerships because they promote communication, information exchange, and cooperative decision-making.

Thus, when there is a lack of trust and conflicts of interest it frequently impede cooperative efforts.Therefore, to ensure that choices are made transparently and in the public interest, transparency

and accountability measures are also essential for improving the efficacy of collaborative governance in managing non-traditional risks.

Discussion

The cooperative approach to non-conventional challenges underscores the dynamic character of worldwide governance and the growing interconnectedness between nation-states and non-state entities. Divergent interests, institutional battles, and budget limitations continue to be obstacles to such collaboration, even while it presents opportunity for more comprehensive and effective responses. Moreover, elements like trust, leadership, and priority alignment among involved IOs affect how successful collaborative initiatives are.

The empirical results highlight how important it is for international organizations to work together in order to effectively combat non-traditional threats. Although there have been some noteworthy achievements in this area.

Moreover, the empirical findings underscore a significant obstacle that impedes efficient collaboration and coordination among member states: bureaucratic inactiveness and competing priorities. Even in the presence of cooperative frameworks, political tensions and disagreements frequently sabotage coordinated efforts to counter non-traditional threats.

Furthermore, the success of cooperative initiatives is contingent upon a number of elements, including institutional strength, leadership, and member states' willingness to uphold shared commitments and values. When there is a lack of confidence, the efficacy of collaborative endeavors can be undermined by suspicions and conflicts of interest.

The COVID-19 pandemic has highlighted the significance of flexible governance frameworks and the demand for increased readiness and resilience in the face of upcoming health emergencies. The pandemic has forced a review of current governance frameworks and brought attention to how crucial it is for cooperative governance initiatives to make use of innovation and technology.

In summary, the empirical results show that international organizations may effectively confront non-traditional dangers through collaboration, despite certain obstacles and constraints in their efforts. Policymakers and practitioners may improve cooperative governance systems and advance global security and stability in an increasingly complex and interconnected world by tackling these issues and utilizing best practices.

Conclusion

In summary, the advent of non-conventional dangers has spurred cooperation across various international institutions, transforming the terrain of international administration. IOs have proven resilient in the face of difficult circumstances by adopting networked ways, securitizing non-traditional issues, and utilizing common interests and conventions. However, continuing efforts to remove institutional hurdles, build trust, and encourage synergies among stakeholders are necessary to maintain and improve this collaboration. In order to create a future that is more safe, resilient, and sustainable, effective cooperation among IOs is still essential as the globe struggles with non-traditional challenges.

The research attempts to provide an in-depth insight of the complexity of the non-traditional threats that push different international organizations to act collectively. However, it's important to highlight that the involvement of several actors might lead to face constraints such as differences in priorities, operational capacities, accountability and resource allocation.

References

1. Biermann, F., & Boas, I., Governing climate change: Polycentricity in action? Cambridge, UK: Cambridge University Press,2010.
2. Hale, T. N., Held, D., & Young, K., Gridlock: Why global cooperation is failing when we need it most. Cambridge, UK: Polity,2013.
3. Knill, C., & Leucht, F.,The politics of international organizations. Oxford, UK: Oxford University Press,2018.
4. Stokke,O. S. International organizations and global governance: A conceptual framework. Basingstoke, UK: Palgrave Macmillan,2008.
5. Stritzel, H.,The United Nations and non-traditional security threats. Basingstoke, UK: Palgrave Macmillan,2007.
6. Duffield,M.,Global governance and the new wars,London: Zed Books,2001.
7. Shearer, D., Non-state actors in world politics. Boulder, CO: LyneRienner Publishers,1998.