

E-ISSN: 2582-2160 • Website: <u>www.ijfmr.com</u> • Email: editor@ijfmr.com

# A Quasi-Experimental Study to Assess the Effectiveness of Structured Teaching Program in Terms of Knowledge Regarding Road Safety Measures Among School Going Students in Govt. Girls Sen. Sec. School Portmore, Shimla, (H.P.)

# Ms. Deeksha Sharma<sup>1</sup>, Ms. Ankita<sup>2</sup>, Ms. Kritilka Rajta<sup>3</sup>, Ms. Pooja<sup>4</sup>, Ms. Prajikta<sup>5</sup>

<sup>1</sup>HOD Child Health Nursing, Shimla Nursing College, Shurala, Shimla, Himachal Pradesh, India.
<sup>2</sup>Nursing Tutor (CHN), Shimla Nursing College, Shurala, Shimla, Himachal Pradesh, India.
<sup>3,4,5</sup>Students, Shimla Nursing College, Shurala, Shimla, Himachal Pradesh, India.

## ABSTRACT

**Background:** An undesirable or unfortunate happening that occurs unintentionally and usually results in harm, injury, damage, or loss; casualty; mishap on road is known as road accidents. There are some road safety measures to reduce the chances of road accidents which should be followed by individuals. The Aim of the study is to assess the effectiveness of structured teaching program in terms of knowledge regarding road safety measures among School going Students.

**Objectives:** To assess the knowledge regarding road safety measures among school going children, To develop and administer structured teaching program on knowledge regarding road safety measures among school going children, To assess the effectiveness of structured teaching program on knowledge regarding road safety measures among school going children, To find out the association of knowledge regarding road safety measures among school going children with selected demographic variables. **Methodology:** Quantitative approach was adopted using the Quasi- experimental research design.60 sample were selected to collect the data by convenient sampling technique. The tools was used for the study was self structured questionnaire consisting of socio demographic characteristics and knowledge questionnaire. After conducting pre test, structured teaching programme on road safety measures was administered to the participants. Post test was conducted after gap of seven days.

**Result:** The result of the study revealed that total of 60 subject were enrolled by using convenient sampling technique and data gathered from school going children regarding road safety measures. In pre test (0%) of school going children having adequate knowledge in terms of road safety measures, (95%) of school going children having moderate knowledge in terms of road safety measures,(5%) of school going children having inadequate knowledge in terms of road safety measures (96.7%) of school going children having adequate knowledge in terms of road safety measures (96.7%) of school going children having adequate knowledge in terms of road safety measures (3.3%) of school going children having adequate knowledge in terms of road safety measure (3.3%) of school



going children having moderate knowledge in terms of road safety measures. The study reveals that the structured teaching programme was effective in terms of improving the knowledge regarding road safety measures among school going students in Govt. Girls Sen. Sec. School Portmore, Shimla, H.P.

Keywords: Road Safety Measures ,Structured teaching program, Knowledge, School Going Students

## 1. Introduction

According to WHO estimate's road side accident is the 9th.leading cause of death as per on the basis of daily. It is estimated that 1 million death and 15 million road side accidents occur on road every year. In 2013 global state report on road safety, they estimate that more than 231,000 people killed in road traffic accident in India every year. approximately half of the deaths in country on road are among vulnerable road users -motorcyclists, cyclists. About 58.1% and 38.2% were aware that penalty for driving without a helmet can be imposed among those who attend and didn't attend any program on road safety measures. In Himachal Pradesh, The Shimla district saw the maximum number of accidents at 973 (32%), followed by district Mandi at 425 (14%). Chamba and Sirmaur districts witnessed 306 (10%) accidents.

Children are at risk for road traffic injuries for a number of reasons. Younger children are limited by their physical, cognitive, and social development, making them more vulnerable in road traffic than adults ( **Chaurasiya SK2020**)

### Objectives

- 1. To assess the pretest knowledge score regarding road safety measures among School going Students in Govt. Girls Sen. Sec. School Portmore, Shimla (H.P.)
- 2. To develop and administer structured teaching program in terms of knowledge regarding road safety measures among School going Students in Govt. Girls Sen. Sec. School Portmore, Shimla (H.P.)
- **3.** To assess the effectiveness of structured teaching program in terms of knowledge regarding road safety measures among School going Students in Govt. Girls Sen. Sec. School Portmore, Shimla (H.P.)
- **4.** To find out the association of knowledge regarding road safety measures among school going students with their selected demographic variables.

## 2. Methodology

Quantitative approach was adopted using the Quasi- experimental research design.60 sample were selected to collect the data by convenient sampling technique. The tools was used for the study consists of two sections.

**Section-A:** It includes socio -demographic profile of the participants. Age, family type, area of residence ,educational status of parents, occupation of parents, family income,mode of travelling to school,outdoor games, source of knowledge regarding road safety measures

**Section-B:** It include self- structured knowledge questionnaire consists of 30 items regarding road safety measures. To ensure the validity of tool, it was submitted to 13 experts. Approval was taken from ethical committee of Shimla Nursing College, Shurala, Shimla. Apart from this, written informed consents were taken from each study participants. Confidentiality and privacy of the study subjects was maintained.

#### 3. Result

Frequency and percentage distribution of demographic variables revealed the major findings that out of



60 school going students, (61.7%) of subjects were having age group of 14-15 years. (100%)are of 10<sup>th</sup> class students (66.7%) of school students lives in a nuclear family, (31.7%) of school students live in joint family, (43.3%) of fathers educational status is secondary education, (33.3%) fathers are self employed, private job,(41.7%) mothers having higher secondary education, (73.3%) mothers are homemaker,(63.3%) school students mode of travelling is public transport,(88.3%) school students play outdoor games, (93.3%) having previous knowledge regarding road safety measures.

	L	0
CRITERIA MEASURE OF PRETEST KNOWLE	EDGE SCORE	
SCORE LEVEL(N= 60)	PRE TEST f(%)	
INADEQUATE KNOWLEDGE.(0-10)	3(5%)	
MODERATE KNOWLEDGE.(11-20)	57(95%)	
ADEQUATE KNOWLEDGE.(21-30)	0(0%)	
Maximum Score=30 Minimum Score=0		

Frequency	& Percentage dis	tribution of pre-test	level of knowledge
Lusie Lill'Llequency	et i ci comungo un	the design of pre test	never of mild when be



Figure no.1: Bar diagram showing the percentage distribution of pre-test knowledge

Descriptive	Mean	S.D.	Median	Maximum	Minimum	Range	Mean%
Statistics			Score				
PRETEST	16.13	3.089	17	20	6	14	53.80
KNOWLEDGE							
	Maximum=	30	Minimum=	0			

#### Table –1.2: Descriptive statistics of pre-test level of knowledge



Table 1.2 Represents the descriptive statistics of pretest level of knowledge. It was found that the mean value was 16.13, median score was 17, maximum score was 20, minimum score was 6, range of score was 14 and mean percentage was 53.80 %.



Figure no.2: Bar diagram representing descriptive statistics of pre-test level of knowledge



Figure3: Bar diagram representing descriptive statistics of pre-test level of knowledge



Table –	1.3: Frequency	& Percentage	distribution of	post-test level	of knowledge
	riet requency	ev i ei centage		pobe cebe le le	or mild when being

CRITERIA MEASURE OF POSTTEST KNOWLEDGE SCORE				
SCORE LEVEL(N= 60)	POST TEST f(%)			
INADEQUATE KNOWLEDGE.(0-10)	0(0%)			
MODERATE KNOWLEDGE.(11-20)	2(3.3%)			
ADEQUATE KNOWLEDGE.(21-30)	58(96.7%)			
Maximum Score=30 Minimum Score=0				



# Figure no. 4: Cylindrical Shaped diagram representing percentage distribution of post-test level of knowledge

		-		-		0	
				N=	60		
Descriptive	Mean	S.D.	Median	Maximum	Minimum	Range	Mean%
Statistics			Score				
POSTTEST	27.97	2.255	28	30	19	11	93.20
KNOWLEDGE							
	Maximum=	30	Minimum=	0			

Ta	ble -	- 1.4:	Descri	ptive	statistics	of	post-test	level	of	knowledge
						<b>U</b> .	pose cese		<b>U</b>	monieage



Table 1.4 Represents the descriptive statistics of post-test level of knowledge. It was found that the mean value was 27.97, median score was 28, maximum score was 30, minimum score was 19, range of score was 11& mean percentage was 93.20%



Figure no. 5: Triangle Shaped diagram representing descriptive statistics of post-test level of knowledge



Figure no. 6: Bar diagram representing descriptive statistics of post-test level of knowledge



# Table – 1.5: Comparison of frequency & percentage distribution of pre-test and post-test level of knowledge

	8					
CRITERIA MEASURE OF KNOWLEDGE SCORE						
SCORE LEVEL(N= 60)	PRE TEST f(%)	POST TEST f(%)				
INADEQUATE KNOWLEDGE.(0-10)	3(5%)	0(0%)				
MODERATE KNOWLEDGE.(11-20)	57(95%)	2(3.3%)				
ADEQUATE KNOWLEDGE.(21-30)	0(0%)	58(96.7%)				
Maximum Score=30 Minimum Score=0						



Figure no7: Cylindrical Shaped diagram representing comparison of percentage distribution of pre-test and post-test level of knowledge

					N=60		
				Mean	Paired T		Table
Paired T Test	Mean±S.D.	Mean%	Range	Diff.	Test	P value	Value at
							0.05
PRETEST	16.13±3.089	53.80	6-20				
KNOWLEDGE							
				11.840	24.918 *Sig	< 0.001	2.00
POSTTEST	27.97±2.255	93.20	19-30				
KNOWLEDGE							
** Significance L	evel 0.05 Maxim						



FMR

- E-ISSN: 2582-2160 Website: www.ijfmr.com
- Email: editor@ijfmr.com













# Figure . 10 Bar diagram representing Mean & SD of pre-test and post-test knowledge scores

Table – 1.7: Comparison of descriptive statistics of pre-test and post-test Scores of knowledge
DIAGRAM SHOWING INDIVIDUAL SCORE GAIN (EFFECTIVENESS))

DIAGRAM SHOWING INDIVIDUAL SCORE GAIN (EFFECTIVENESS))							
	PRE-TEST	POST TEST	,	PRE-TEST	POSTTEST		
Mean%	KNOWLEDG	KNOWLEDG	DIFFERENC	KNOWLEDG	KNOWLEDG	DIFFERENCE	
	Е	Е	Е	E SCORE %	E SCORE %	%	
Averag	16.13	27.97	11.83	53.78	93.22	39.44	
e							







#### Table No 1.8: Table Showing Association of Scores and Demographic Variables.

This section deals with the findings related to the association between score and selected demographic variables. The chi-square test was used to determine the association between the score levels and selected demographic variables

Variables	Opts	ADEQUATE KNOWLEDGE	MODERATE KNOWLEDGE	INADEQUATE KNOWLEDGE	Chi Test	P Value	df	Table Value	Result
	11-12 Years	0	0	0					
	12-13 Years	0	0	0					
A (\$7.)	13-14 Years	0	10	0	0.737	0.692	2	5.991	Not
Age (Years)	14-15 Years	0	35	2					Significant
	15-16 Years	0	12	1					
Gender	Male	0	0	0		N.A		N.A	
	Female	0	57	3					
	6th	0	0	0					
	7th	0	0	0					
	8th	0	0	0					
Class	9th	0	0	0		N.A		N.A	
	10th	0	57	3					
	Primary Education	0	5	0	1.020	0.796	3	7.815	
Father's Educational	Secondary Education	0	25	1					Not
Status	Graduate	0	23	2					Significant
	Post-Graduate	0	4	0					
	Illiterate	0	0	0					
	Primary Education	0	9	0					
Mother's Educational	Secondary Education	0	23	2	1.088	0.780	3	7.815	Not
Status	Graduate	0	23	1					Significant
	Post-Graduate	0	2	0					
	Illiterate	0	0	0					



# International Journal for Multidisciplinary Research (IJFMR)

E-ISSN: 2582-2160 • Website: <u>www.ijfmr.com</u>

• Email: editor@ijfmr.com

	Government job	0	15	2	2.848	0.416	3	7.815	
Father's	Private job	0	19	1					Not
Occupational	Semi	0	3	0					Significant
Status	government								
	Own business	0	20	0					
Mother's	Government job	0	3	2					
Occupational	Private job	0	10	0					
Status	Semi	0	1	0	14.163	0.003	3	7.815	Significant
	government								
	House maker	0	43	1					
Type Of	Nuclear family	0	38	2					Not
Family	Joint family	0	18	1	0.055	0.973	2	5.991	Significant
	Extended family	0	1	0					
Residential	Nuclear family	0	15	0					Not
Area	Joint family	0	39	3	1.353	0.508	2	5.991	Significant
	Extended family	0	3	0					
Mode Of	Pedestrian	0	19	1					
Travelling To	Cycle	0	0	0					Not
School	School vehicle	0	2	0	0.111	0.946	2	5.991	Significant
	Public transport	0	36	2					
Do You Play	Yes	0	50	3	0.417	0.518	1	3.841	Not
Outdoor	No	0	7	0					Significant
Games									
Any Previous	Yes	0	53	3					
Knowledge									Not
About Road	No	0	4	0	0.226	0.635	1	3.841	Significant
Safety									

The Chi-square value shows that there is significance association between the score level and demographic variables (With no Significant Variables names). The calculated chi-square values were more than the table value at the 0.05 level of significance.

There is no significance association between the level of scores and other demographic variables (Age (Years), Gender, Class, Father's Educational Status, Mother's Educational Status, Father's Occupational Status, Mother's Occupational Status, Type of Family, Residential Area, Mode of Travelling To School, Do You Play Outdoor Games, Any Previous Knowledge About Road Safety) The calculated chi- square values were less than the table value at the 0.05 level of significance. Post Score



#### Table No 1.9: Table Showing Association of Scores and Demographic Variables.

This section deals with the findings related to the association between score and selected demographic variables. The chi-square test was used to determine the association between the score levels and selected demographic variables

Variables	Opts	ADEQUATE	KNOWLEDGE	MODERATE KNOWLEDGE	INADEQUATE KNOWI FDCF	Chi Test	P Value	df	Table Value	Result
	11-12 Years	0		0	0					
	12-13 Years	0		0	0		0.526		5.991	Not Significan
	13-14 Years	10		0	0	1.000				
Age (Years)	14-15 Years	35		2	0	1.286		2		
	15-16 Years	13		0	0					l
Gender	Male	0		0	0		N.A		N.A	
	Female	58		2	0	-				
Class	6th	0		0	0		N.A		N.A	
	7th	0		0	0					
	8th	0		0	0					
	9th	0		0	0					
	10th	58		2	0					
	Primary Education	4		1	0					
Father's Educational	Secondary Education	26		0	0	5.379	0.146		7.815	Not Significan t
Status	Graduate	24		1	0			3		
	Post-Graduate	4		0	0	-				
	Illiterate	0		0	0					
	Primary Education	8		1	0					
Mother's	Secondary	24		1	0	-				
Educational Status	Education						0.454	3	7.815	Not Significan t
	Graduate	24		0	0	2.621				
	Post-Graduate	2		0	0					
	Illiterate	0		0	0					
	Government job	17		0	0	1.034	0.793	3	7.815	Not
	Private job	19		1	0	1				Significant



# International Journal for Multidisciplinary Research (IJFMR)

E-ISSN: 2582-2160 • Website: <u>www.ijfmr.com</u>

• Email: editor@ijfmr.com

Father's	Semi government	3	0	0					
Occupation	Own business	19	1	0					
al Status									
Mother's	Government job	5	0	0					
Occupation	Private job	9	1	0					Not
al Status	Semi government	1	0	0	1.740	0.628	3	7.815	Significan
	House maker	43	1	0					t
Type of	Nuclear family	38	2	0					Not
Family	Joint family	19	0	0	1.034	0.596	2	5.991	Significan
	Extended family	1	0	0					t
Residential	Nuclear family	15	0	0					Not
Area	Joint family	40	2	0	0.887	0.642	2	5.991	Significan
	Extended family	3	0	0					t
Mode of	Pedestrian	18	2	0					
Travelling	Cycle	0	0	0					Not
To School	School vehicle	2	0	0	4.138	0.126	2	5.991	Significan
	Public transport	38	0	0					t
Do You	Yes	51	2	0					Not
Play	No	7	0	0	0.273	0.601	1	3.841	Significan
Outdoor									t
Games			-	0					
Any	Yes	54	2	0					
Previous Vrowladaa					0.149	0 701	1	2 9 4 1	Not
About Road	NT-	4	0	0	0.148	0.701	1	3.841	NOL Significan
Safety	INO	4	U	U					t
Survey									۲.

The Chi-square value shows that there is significance association between the score level and demographic variables (With no Significant Variables names). The calculated chi-square values were more than the table value at the 0.05 level of significance.

There is no significance association between the level of scores and other demographic variables (Age (Years), Gender, Class, Father's Educational Status, Mother's Educational Status, Father's Occupational Status, Mother's Occupational Status, Type of Family, Residential Area, Mode of Travelling To School, Do You Play Outdoor Games, Any Previous Knowledge About Road Safety) The calculated chi- square values were less than the table value at the 0.05 level of significance.

## 4. Conclusion

The present study assessed the knowledge level regarding road safety measures among school going students in Govt. Girls Sen. Sec School Portmore ,Shimla H.P. The knowledge level of school students prior structured teaching programme was moderate. After the implementation of structured teaching programme, there was a significant improvement in the knowledge level regarding road safety measures among school going students in Govt.GirlsSen.Sec.School Portmore,Shimla,H.P.



# 5. Future Scope NURSING EDUCATION

Although education regarding road safety measures is given during nursing course, more emphasis needs to be laid on prevention of road traffic accidents. School going students can keep themselves safe from road safety measures. There must be adequate guidance, supervision and evaluation of school going students to ensure proper implementation of road safety measures regarding reducing incident of road traffic accidents.

#### NURSING RESEARCH

Research is essential for the maintenance of good practice – more the field expands, the more research becomes necessary. There is intense growth in road traffic accidents in school going children with associated problems such as neurological damage as well as physiological damage to the body. Due to which school going children can get permanent disabilities.

#### 6. Reference

1. Chaurasiya SK, Jain PK, Kumar S, Bajpai PK, Ali N. Awareness and behavior patterns regarding road safety measures among undergraduate medical students of western Uttar Pradesh: a cross-sectional study. International journal of community medicine and public health (Gujarat). 2020;7(3):933-7.