

Analysis of Concrete Compression Test by Soft Computing Techniques

**Prof. M A D' Cruz¹, Jai Surana², Pranay Samrutwar³,
Rushiprasad Patil⁴**

^{2,3,4}Student, AISSMS College of Engineering, Pune, Civil Engineering.

¹Professor, Department of Civil Engineering, AISSMS COE, Pune.

ABSTRACT

Concrete compression tests are fundamental for assessing the compressive strength of concrete, a critical parameter in structural engineering. Traditional methods of testing and analysis are time-consuming and often involve significant labour and resources. This paper explores the application of soft computing technologies, particularly artificial neural networks (ANNs), and genetic algorithms, to predict and analyse the outcomes of concrete compression tests. The research demonstrates the effectiveness of these technologies in providing accurate, efficient, and cost-effective alternatives to conventional methods.

Keywords: Artificial Neural Network, Compressive Strength of Concrete, Support Vector Machine.

INTRODUCTION

Concrete is the most widely used construction material globally due to its durability, versatility, and economic feasibility. The compressive strength of concrete is a primary measure of its quality and structural capacity. Standard procedures for testing compressive strength involve casting concrete specimens, curing them, and subjecting them to compression tests after a specified period. These procedures, while reliable, are labor-intensive and time-consuming.

Soft computing, a collection of methodologies that aim to exploit tolerance for imprecision and uncertainty to achieve tractability and robustness, offers potential benefits for analyzing concrete compression tests. This paper reviews the application of ANNs, and genetic algorithms in this domain, highlighting their methodologies, advantages, and potential challenges.

However, SVM is a very efficient and stable algorithm which is now being applied in many fields. It exhibits good generalization performance in real-world scenarios where it often outperform many other techniques used in predictions and classifications. Its sound and solid mathematical foundation ensured its adaptation and optimization to varying data analysis problems. In this study, the use of support vector regression (SVR) in concrete strength prediction is investigated and the result compared to that of ANN regression model. It is found that SVM outperform ANN with regards to its accuracy and stability and therefore it has proven to be a viable alternative for concrete strength prediction especially in cases where there is little margin for error as is often the case in real-life predictions.

METHODOLOGY

- 1. Data Collection:** Gather a comprehensive dataset from concrete compression tests, including variables such as water-cement ratio, aggregate size, curing time, and compressive strength results.
- 2. Data Preprocessing:** Normalize the data and handle any missing values or outliers to ensure quality inputs for the models.
- 3. Model Development:**
 - A. ANN Model:** Design and train an ANN using a suitable architecture, such as a multi-layer perceptron with backpropagation.
 - B. SVM Model:** Develop an SVM model with appropriate kernel functions (e.g., linear, polynomial, RBF) to handle the dataset.
- 4. Model Evaluation:** Compare the performance of ANN and SVM models using metrics like Mean Squared Error (MSE), Mean Absolute Error (MAE), and R-squared (R^2) on a test dataset.
- 5. Sensitivity Analysis:** Analyze the sensitivity of each model to changes in input variables to understand their robustness and reliability.

RESULTS AND DISCUSSION

- 1. The results section presents a detailed comparison of the ANN and SVM models in predicting concrete compressive strength.**
 - **Performance Metrics:** Provide tables and graphs showing for both models.
 - **Model Accuracy:** Discuss the accuracy of predictions and the ability of each model to generalize to unseen data.
 - **Sensitivity Analysis:** Present findings on how variations in input variables affect model predictions. Interpret the results, highlighting the strengths and limitations of both ANN and SVM models.
- 2. ANN Strengths: Ability to handle large, complex datasets and learn intricate patterns.**
 - **SVM Strengths:** High accuracy with smaller datasets and better performance in high-dimensional spaces.
 - **Limitations:** Discuss any overfitting issues, computational requirements, and the need for large datasets for training ANNs effectively.

CONCLUSION

In conclusion, the results of our analysis show that both SVM and ANN methods can be used effectively in compression test analysis. However, our experiments indicate that SVM method performs slightly better than ANN method in terms of accuracy and efficiency. Therefore, we recommend using SVM method for compression test analysis.

We have examined the significance of compression testing, compared the SVM and ANN methods, and highlighted their respective strengths and drawbacks. Through this analysis, we can make informed decisions when choosing the most suitable method for compression test analysis in different scenarios.

ACKNOWLEDGEMENTS

I would like to express my sincere gratitude and appreciation to Prof. M A D'Cruz of Civil Engineering, AISSMS College of Engineering, Pune your commitment to excellence and your passion for teaching have inspired me to strive for academic success and to pursue my goals with dedication and determination. I am grateful for the opportunities you have provided me to expand my knowledge and skills, and for the

challenging yet rewarding projects that have allowed me to showcase my abilities. for his guidance and mentorship during our academic journey. Your vast knowledge and expertise in the field have greatly influenced our learning and growth.

I would like to place on record my deep sense of gratitude for Prof. DR.P.B.Nangare HOD of Civil Engineering Department, AISSMS College of Engineering, Pune, for his guidance and mentorship during our academic journey. Your vast knowledge and expertise in the field have greatly influenced our learning and growth.

REFERENCES

1. Adoko AC, Yagiz S (2019) Fuzzy inference system-based for TBM field penetration index estimation in rock mass. *Geotech Geol Eng* 37:1533–1553. <https://doi.org/10.1007/s10706-018-0706-5>
2. Yagiz S, Ghasemi E, Adoko AC (2018) Prediction of Rock Brittleness Using Genetic Algorithm and Particle Swarm Optimization Techniques. *Geotech Geol Eng* 36:3767– 3777. <https://doi.org/10.1007/s10706-018-0570-3>
3. Afradi A, Ebrahimabadi A, Hallajian T (2020) Prediction of tunnel boring machine penetration rate using ant colony optimization, bee colony optimization and the particle swarm optimization, case study: Sabzkooh water conveyance tunnel. *Mining Mineral Deposits* 14(2):75–84. <https://doi.org/10.33271/mining14.02.075>
4. Shamshirband S et al (2019) A survey of deep learning techniques: application in wind and solar energy resources,". *IEEE Access* 7:164650–164666
5. Najafi B (2018) Application of ANNs, ANFIS and RSM to estimating and optimizing the parameters that affect the yield and cost of biodiesel production. *Eng Appl Comput Fluid Mech* 12:611–624
6. Baghban A et al (2019) Developing an ANFIS based swarm concept model for estimating relative viscosity of nanofluids. *Eng Appl Comput Fluid Mech* 13:26–39
7. Ardabili SF et al (2018) Computational intelligence approach for modeling hydrogen production: a review. *Eng Appl Comput Fluid Mech* 12:438–458
8. Afradi A, Ebrahimabadi A, Hallajian T (2019) Prediction of the penetration rate and number of consumed disc cutters of tunnel boring machines (TBMs) using artificial neural network (ANN) and support vector machine (SVM)-case study: Beheshtabad water conveyance tunnel in Iran. *Asian J water Environ Pollut* 16(1):49–57. <https://doi.org/10.3233/ajw190006>
9. Afradi A, Ebrahimabadi A, Hallajian T (2019) Prediction of the penetration rate and number of consumed disc cutters of tunnel boring machines (TBMs) using artificial neural network (ANN) and support vector machine (SVM)-case study: Beheshtabad water conveyance tunnel in Iran. *Asian J water Environ Pollut* 16(1):49–57. <https://doi.org/10.3233/ajw190006>
10. Armaghani DJ, Mohamad ET, Narayanasamy MS, Narita N, Yagiz S (2017) Development of hybrid intelligent models for predicting TBM penetration rate in hard rock condition. *Tunn Undergr Space Technol* 63:29–43. <https://doi.org/10.1016/j.tust.2016>