

The Role and Importance of Independent Auditing in Combating Climate Change

Hasan Yalçın

Associate Professor, Crowe HSY

Abstract

This study seeks to highlight the critical role of the auditing profession in combating climate change by providing an independent and objective assessment of the environmental practices and disclosures of economic agents. To this end, the study aims to demonstrate how auditors can assess organizations' sustainability initiatives using standardized reporting frameworks and methodologies and how they can contribute to the fight against climate change. In the study, while it is stated that independent auditors will assure stakeholders about the sustainability efforts of organizations through their audits, the main challenges to be faced in audits related to climate change are mentioned. The study's findings suggest that auditors' most critical constraints in their independent assessments are standardized methods and criteria for measuring and reporting emissions and environmental impacts and access to reliable data on greenhouse gas emissions and other ecological indicators.

Keywords: Climate Change, Independent Audit, Sustainability, Integrated Reporting

1. Introduction

Human history has experienced significant turning points, such as the emergence of agriculture around 10,000 years ago and the establishment of the first civilization in Mesopotamia around 4,000 years ago. However, these are relatively short periods on the geological timescale compared to the earth's history of around 4.5 billion years and the mass extinction of the dinosaurs 65 million years ago (CFA Institute, 2020). This issue was only discussed in academic circles from the early 19th century to the late 20th century, but it started to attract widespread public attention in the 1980s (Seacrest et al., 2000).

The general consensus is that climate change seriously threatens our planet and its inhabitants. It exacerbates existing social, economic, and environmental problems, disproportionately affecting particularly vulnerable communities (Ross, 2021). Therefore, urgent action plans are needed to reduce greenhouse gas emissions, adapt to changing conditions, and increase resilience in the face of climate change. Companies should play an essential role in this process and be active in measuring emissions and assessing and planning for the impacts of climate change on business operations.

Increased awareness and concern encourage companies to disclose more information about climate change risks and strategies (Auliani et al., 2023). In this context, auditors play a critical role in ensuring transparency, accountability, and effective governance related to climate change. By evaluating financial and non-financial information, auditors can ensure the reliability and accuracy of climate change disclosures, assess the effectiveness of climate change strategies, and examine the integration of climate-related issues into business operations. This research examines how auditors can be more active in climate change governance and their importance.

This study aims to examine in depth the roles auditors can play in climate change. In particular, it provides a detailed analysis of how auditors can play a critical role in ensuring transparency, accountability, and effective governance related to climate change. An essential limitation of this paper is that it is based on content analysis rather than an empirical study. The analysis and evaluations are based on existing literature and theoretical frameworks, and the study does not contain concrete data or empirical results.

2. Literature Review

Sustainability reporting and assurance are emerging practices reshaping today's business environment. Firms increasingly seek assurance from accounting and non-accounting firms, i.e., specialized or general consulting firms, to lend credibility to sustainability reports. In this context, the literature review on the subject revealed a significant number of studies examining the assurance of non-financial information and reports on climate change by independent audit firms. Some of these studies are presented below in chronological order.

Ballou and Heitger (2005) examined the increase in company sustainability reporting and assurance opportunities in this area. The study concludes that many companies globally have begun to publish corporate sustainability reports to address the concerns of various stakeholders and that there is a need for assurance to verify the accuracy of the reports. The study also emphasized that this new and growing assurance market creates a significant opportunity for public accounting firms.

Simnett, et al. (2009) analyzed the sustainability reports of 2,113 companies from 31 countries between 2002 and 2004 and investigated the factors determining companies' assurance purchasing decisions and choice of assurance providers. The findings showed that companies wanting to increase their reports' credibility are more likely to purchase assurance for their sustainability reports.

Manetti and Becatti (2009) examined assurance service standards for sustainability reports and the implementation of these standards. In this context, it was concluded that the existing standards are inadequate and require further harmonization. The study also emphasized that assurance services can help companies improve their sustainability performance and gain the trust of their stakeholders.

Kolk and Perego (2010) examined the factors related to voluntary decisions to ensure social, environmental, and sustainability reports. The study focused on 212 Fortune Global 250 companies in 1999, 2002, and 2005, focusing on how country-level institutional factors can explain adopting sustainability assurance statements. The findings showed that companies operating in countries with a more stakeholder-oriented and weaker governance enforcement regime were likelier to adopt a sustainability assurance statement.

Cohen and Simnett (2015) examined the relationship between corporate social responsibility (CSR) reporting and assurance services and potential research directions in this area. The study highlights the increasing importance of CSR reporting and assurance services in enhancing these reports' credibility. The researchers stated that assurance services play a critical role in fulfilling companies' responsibilities to their stakeholders.

In their study, Fischer et al. (2018) examined the sustainability reporting practices of Fortune 100 companies, including companies that publish and do not publish sustainability reports, those that are accompanied by an independent assurance report, and those that are not assurance service providers and assurance standards followed.

Larrinaga et al. (2018) comparatively examined the institutionalization of the content of sustainability assurance services in Italy and the United States. The study analyzed sustainability assurance reporting

practices over 11 years and emphasized the role of audit firms in disseminating these norms. Atabay (2019) analyzed 203 corporate sustainability reports of 101 companies operating in Turkey and included them in the Global Reporting Initiative (GRI) index between 2015 and 2019. The study evaluated the extent to which companies subjected these reports to assurance audits and their awareness of this issue. The frequency analysis results showed that only a few reports have undergone an assurance audit and that independent auditors broadly refer to the ISAE 3000 standard. These findings revealed that companies generally rely on ISAE 3000 when auditing the accuracy of information in sustainability reports.

Çokmutlu and Şahay (2019) examine ISAE 3410 “Assurance Auditing Standard on Greenhouse Gas Disclosures” prepared by the International Auditing and Assurance Auditing Standards Board (IAASB) and analyze to measure the disclosures related to this standard in the non-financial-reports-(-sustainability and integrated reports) of the companies included in the Borsa Istanbul Sustainability Index. The data collected using the content analysis method were analyzed by frequency distribution, and it was concluded that the enterprises made high-level disclosures on greenhouse gas disclosures and had an awareness of the ISAE 3410 standard.

Atabay (2019) analyzed 203 corporate sustainability reports of 101 companies operating in Turkey between 2015 and 2019 and included them in the Global Reporting Initiative (GRI) index. The study evaluated the extent to which companies subjected these reports to assurance audits and their awareness of this issue. The frequency analysis results showed that only a few reports have undergone an assurance audit and that independent auditors broadly refer to the ISAE 3000 standard. These findings revealed that companies generally rely on ISAE 3000 when auditing the accuracy of information in sustainability reports.

Çokmutlu and Şahay (2019) examine ISAE 3410 “Assurance Auditing Standard on Greenhouse Gas Disclosures” prepared by the International Auditing and Assurance Auditing Standards Board (IAASB) and analyze to measure the disclosures related to this standard in the non-financial-reports-(-sustainability and integrated reports) of the companies included in the Borsa Istanbul Sustainability Index. The data collected using the content analysis method were analyzed by frequency distribution, and it was concluded that the enterprises made high-level disclosures on greenhouse gas disclosures and had an awareness of the ISAE 3410 standard.

Goicoechea et al. (2019) examined auditors' and users' perceptions of integrated reporting assurance in Spain. The study emphasized the importance of integrated reporting assurance and highlighted auditors' challenges. It also assessed auditors' and users' views on how to improve integrated reporting assurance and discussed potential avenues for improvement in this area.

Sultankhanova et al. (2019) examined the assurance statements given to sustainability reports and aimed to determine the differences between the specialized companies and audit firms that provide these statements. Using the deductive content analysis method developed from the literature, the assurance statements in the sustainability reports of companies registered in the Borsa Istanbul Sustainability Index were analyzed. As a result of the analysis, differences were identified in terms of assurance auditing standards, audit procedures, and the information assured in the reports. While specialized companies generally assure only environmental information, audit firms provide assurance covering all economic, social, and environmental information. Again, it was emphasized that the scope of the assurance audit may vary depending on the expertise of the presenting party.

Channuntapipat (2020) examined whether sustainability reporting assurance can be a collaborative process and practice rather than just a verification ritual. Through in-depth interviews with practitioners from

different professional backgrounds in the UK, the research demonstrated how it can contribute to companies taking more sustainable actions.

Duta and Duta (2020) examined the impact of external assurance on the level of firms' voluntary corporate climate change disclosures. The study concluded that assurance has a significant positive effect on the level of corporate climate change disclosures. Again, according to the study, the findings of the empirical analysis show that firms whose environmental information is externally assured have higher levels of climate change disclosures than firms that do not purchase this assurance. It is concluded that external assurance has a significant and positive effect on the level of corporate climate change disclosures (Duta & Duta, 2020).

Alsahali and Malagueño (2021) examined global sustainability reporting assurance practices trends and presented new developments and perspectives in this field. The study showed that the growth in sustainability reporting has lagged behind the increase in assurance and that there is a widespread tendency among companies to switch assurance providers.

Wulandari, Fauziah, and Mubarok (2021) examined the effects of audit committees and capital structure on sustainability report disclosures on companies participating in the Asian Sustainability Reporting Rating between 2015 and 2020. The research was conducted on 102 companies; nine were selected as a sample. Using panel data regression analysis, the study concluded that the audit committee influences sustainability report disclosures, but capital structure could be more effective.

Akdoğan et al. (2023) emphasize in their study that there are significant disclosure differences among companies in the BIST Sustainability Index on climate-related risks and opportunities. Implementing Draft IFRS S2 Climate-Related Disclosures published by ISSB is anticipated to bring improvements in these practices and encourage industry-specific disclosures. The research calls for companies and capital markets to adopt high-quality, consistent, and industry-specific disclosure practices that will provide greater transparency and increase resilience to future climate-related risks and impacts.

Lin (2022) examined how the sustainability reporting framework will take shape in the United Kingdom (UK) after Brexit. Under the Corporate Sustainability Reporting Directive (CSRD) proposed in 2021 as part of the European Union's (EU) Green Deal, which targets climate neutrality by 2050, a transparent sustainability reporting and assurance framework is proposed as an essential aspect of the EU Sustainable Finance Package.

3. Causes and Main Impacts of Climate Change

Climate change is one of the most critical issues of our time, with potential impacts on all aspects of our lives. This phenomenon represents the permanent changes in average weather conditions observed recently and will continue. The leading causes of climate change fall into two main categories: natural factors and anthropogenic factors. Natural factors include Earth orbit changes, solar variability, plate tectonics, and volcanic activity (Kumar et al., 2021). On the other hand, anthropogenic causes include greenhouse gas emissions, mainly from industrial activities, fossil fuel consumption, and deforestation (Reddy, 2015). These factors profoundly impact global ecosystems, economies, and societies.

Greenhouse gas emissions make the most significant contribution to climate change. These emissions come from various causes, including burning fossil fuels for energy and transportation, deforestation and land use changes, and agricultural practices. Today, fossil fuels such as oil, coal, and natural gas are the primary energy source for the world's economies, and their combustion releases carbon dioxide and other greenhouse gases into the atmosphere (Karmaker et al., 2020). In addition, deforestation and land use

changes also contribute to greenhouse gas emissions (Fearnside, 2000). In this context, global temperature increases are another vital consequence of climate change. The world's temperature has been steadily increasing for decades, and this is expected to continue in the coming decades. The main reason for this increase in temperature is the increase in greenhouse gases in the atmosphere, which trap heat and prevent its release into space. This is causing sea levels to rise, glaciers to melt, and more frequent and severe weather events to occur. Global warming directly impacts precipitation, which is the primary way the Earth's climate system redistributes heat and moisture (Trenberth, 2011). Changes in sea surface temperatures dominate precipitation patterns. As a result of these sea surface changes, some regions may become drier and others wetter. In addition, increasing temperatures cause polar ice caps to melt, leading to rising sea levels (Hansen et al., 2016). In parallel, extreme weather events emerge as another necessary consequence of climate change.

Increases in temperature and changes in rainfall patterns also spread diseases, especially in regions with poor sanitation and limited access to health services (Fèvre et al., 2006). Infectious diseases such as malaria, dengue, and cholera are expected to become more prevalent as a result of climate change. In addition to communicable diseases, climate change also contributes to the presence of air pollutants that can negatively impact human health and the environment. Air pollution can cause respiratory and cardiovascular diseases, and exposure to pollutants can also lead to developmental and reproductive problems. The health impacts of climate change are much more likely to affect vulnerable populations, including low-income communities, children, and older people (Militao et al., 2022).

Climate change also has significant economic impacts. Extreme weather events such as hurricanes, floods, and droughts can cause severe damage to infrastructure and assets, leading to high costs for repair and reconstruction. The tourism industry is also one of the most affected by climate change. Extreme weather events can reduce tourist satisfaction and flow, further reducing tourism's economic benefits (Belisle, 1983). Climate change also impacts agriculture, which is highly dependent on weather conditions. Changes in rainfall patterns and increased temperatures can lead to crop failures and reduced yields, affecting food security and higher food prices. The economic impacts of climate change must be addressed through policies and investments that promote climate resilience and adaptation (Sanstad et al., 2020).

Finally, climate change has significant social impacts. One of the most critical impacts of climate change is the displacement of people as they lose their homes and communities. This displacement can lead to economic and social disruption as well as mental health problems for those affected (Elliott & Pais, 2010). Climate change can also lead to migration as people seek to escape the impacts of extreme weather events or changes in their local environment. Migration can have positive and negative effects, including the displacement of domestic workers and increased financial costs associated with providing social services to migrants (Ratha et al., 2011). Climate change can also exacerbate the effects of urban heat islands and areas of high temperatures in urban environments, leading to heat-related illness and death (Harlan, 2007). In conclusion, climate change is a complex and multifaceted issue that requires a comprehensive approach. Greenhouse gas emissions, global temperature increases, extreme weather events, health impacts, economic impacts, social impacts, technological solutions, and policy solutions are essential aspects of this issue. We must immediately reduce greenhouse gas emissions, promote sustainable practices, and invest in clean energy solutions. This requires political will, investment, and a willingness to embrace more profound cultural and social change.

4. The Role and Importance of Independent Auditing in Combating Climate Change

As the world struggles with the challenges of climate change, there is an increasing need for cooperation and accountability across all sectors. One of the critical players in this endeavor is independent auditors. An independent audit is a process by which an external auditor examines an entity's financial statements, management systems, or operations to express an opinion on the accuracy and reliability of the information presented. According to the International Auditing and Assurance Standards Board, the auditor should be competent, have the necessary skills, follow auditing standards, and be independent (Rahmina & Agoes, 2014). Independent audits are essential to protect stakeholders' interests and ensure that the financial statements or reports presented are reliable. In addition, independent auditing helps to identify inconsistencies or errors that may exist, thus improving the quality of financial reporting.

Corporate social responsibility (CSR) is a business approach that integrates social and environmental concerns into its operations and interactions with stakeholders. Companies that adopt CSR practices aim to positively impact society and the environment while achieving their business objectives. CSR is becoming increasingly important in business, with many companies recognizing the importance of sustainability and social responsibility in their operations (Dahlsrud, 2008). As part of CSR initiatives, companies can take steps to reduce their carbon footprint and mitigate the effects of climate change. This includes investing in renewable energy, reducing waste and emissions, and promoting environmental awareness among employees and customers (Frynas, 2009). Independent auditing plays a crucial role in helping organizations tackle climate change. Auditors can perform various financial, management effectiveness, and ethics audits to ensure that organizations fulfill their obligations and commitments toward CSR goals (Ridley et al., 2011).

In this context, many studies in the literature have shown that the assurance of non-financial information, including climate change and other sustainability information, by external independent auditors adds credibility and reliability to the disclosed information and increases stakeholder trust (Duta & Duta, 2020; Wong et al. 2016; Moroney et al. 2011; Purushothaman and Taplin, 2011; Gillet-Monjarret, 2012). One of the recent studies in this context is by Duta and Duta (2020). This study examined the impact of external audits on the level of firms' voluntary corporate climate change disclosures. The study concluded that assurance has a significant positive effect on the level of corporate climate change disclosures. Again, according to the study, the findings of the empirical analysis show that firms whose environmental information is externally assured have higher levels of climate change disclosures than firms that do not purchase this assurance. It is concluded that external assurance has a significant and positive effect on the level of corporate climate change disclosures (Duta and Duta, 2020).

As companies increasingly adopt sustainable practices, it becomes critical to verify and assess the effectiveness of these initiatives. Through a comprehensive audit, external auditors can provide an independent and objective assessment of a company's environmental performance. This helps identify areas for improvement and ensures transparency and accountability (Cadez et al., 2019). Audits also play an essential role in explaining the true impact of greenhouse gas emissions and facilitating the development of effective strategies to mitigate climate change. Auditing is critical to promote sustainable development and ensure the transition to a low-carbon economy.

Transparency and accountability play a critical role in combating climate change. In this context, audits conducted by independent auditors can assure stakeholders that the information organizations report on their carbon emissions, energy consumption, and sustainability efforts is accurate and reliable (Manetti & Becattie, 2009). In this framework, the reliability of this information will enable them to make informed

decisions and hold organizations accountable for their environmental performance. In addition, providing public access to the reports presented as a result of audits will increase transparency and trust. This will encourage greater participation in efforts to mitigate climate change. Strengthening the credibility and reputation of organizations is vital in the fight against climate change. Organizations can demonstrate their commitment to sustainable practices and accountability through effective and transparent auditing. Organizations can provide accurate and reliable data to stakeholders, investors, and the public by assessing and verifying greenhouse gas emissions. This can increase the credibility and reputation of the organization by attracting new investors and customers who value sustainability (Borowski, 2022). It can also foster trust and cooperation between organizations, encouraging joint efforts to tackle climate change. Therefore, a strong emphasis on auditing practices is crucial to promoting responsible business practices and addressing the challenges of climate change.

Cost and risk management are other critical components in addressing climate change. With global concerns about climate change on the rise, implementing energy efficiency measures has become necessary. These measures reduce greenhouse gas emissions and allow for significant cost savings (Paul et al., 1992). By analyzing energy consumption patterns and implementing energy-efficient technologies, businesses and individuals can contribute to climate change mitigation while achieving long-term economic benefits. Therefore, the role of audits in identifying opportunities for cost savings through energy efficiency measures must be addressed (Ridley et al., 2011). Independent auditors can make valuable contributions to cost and risk management by providing independent assessments and advice to organizations, helping them identify cost-saving opportunities and manage potential risks associated with climate change initiatives.

Identifying cost-saving opportunities through energy efficiency measures is critical in combating climate change (Firsova, 2019). Energy audits can play an essential role in this process by assessing energy consumption patterns and identifying areas for improvement (Kluczek & Olszewski, 2017). Auditors can also play a vital role in examining the effectiveness of organizations' risk management strategies and ensuring they align with climate change mitigation goals (Vasile & Nicula 2017). Comprehensive audits can identify potential vulnerabilities and weaknesses in strategies and enable organizations to make informed decisions on addressing and mitigating climate change risks. Audits can also help organizations improve their overall performance in combating climate change and promoting sustainability by providing valuable information on the effectiveness of energy mitigation efforts (Manetti & Becatti, 2009).

Driving change and promoting sustainability are crucial in addressing climate change. Auditors play an essential role in this process by ensuring that organizations meet their environmental responsibilities. By examining an organization's operations in depth, auditors can identify areas for improvement and recommend sustainable practices that can be implemented (Al-Shaer & Zaman, 2018). Auditors can also assess the effectiveness of sustainability initiatives put forward by organizations to ensure that they are on track to meet their environmental goals (DeSimone, 2021). Through their expertise and independent assessments, auditors are crucial for promoting change and supporting sustainability, contributing to climate change mitigation. By implementing sustainable practices, organizations can reduce greenhouse gas emissions, conserve resources, and encourage environmental stewardship (Auliani et al., 2023). Implementing sustainability measures can also have positive economic impacts, as organizations can achieve cost savings through energy efficiency and waste reduction. Moreover, integrating sustainability into its operations can improve a company's reputation and brand image, attracting environmentally conscious consumers and investors.

Another effective measure to combat climate change is to promote the adoption of renewable energy and green technologies. This involves discouraging the use of fossil fuels while encouraging the use of cleaner and sustainable energy sources such as solar, wind, and hydropower. By adopting these renewable energy sources, societies can significantly reduce their carbon emissions and dependence on non-renewable resources. Furthermore, promoting green technologies, such as energy-efficient appliances and buildings, can also reduce GHG emissions (Galama & Scholtens, 2021). To encourage adopting these sustainable practices, governments and organizations must provide incentives, subsidies, and support to individuals, businesses, and communities to transition to renewable energy and green technologies.

As a result, auditing is crucial in combating climate change by providing an independent and objective assessment of organizations' environmental practices and disclosures. The importance of audits lies in their ability to increase transparency, credibility, and accountability by ensuring that organizations effectively fulfill their environmental commitments. Auditors contribute to developing credible information for stakeholders by assessing the accuracy and reliability of emissions data, internal controls, and sustainability initiatives (Duta & Duta, 2020). Audits also serve as a tool for identifying potential areas for improvement, implementing sustainable practices, and encouraging organizations to take the necessary steps to reduce climate change risks. Therefore, the role of audits in combating climate change is crucial as they provide the assurance required and enable a more sustainable future.

5. Challenges and Limitations of Auditing in Combating Climate Change

One of the key challenges in conducting climate change-related audits is the need for standardized methods and metrics for measuring and reporting emissions and environmental impacts (Silvola & Vinnari, 2021). This makes it difficult to compare and assess the effectiveness of different organizations' efforts to mitigate climate change. Other companies and sectors may use other standards and methods to measure and report their GHG emissions and climate-related risks. This lack of standardization makes it difficult to compare and evaluate the efforts and impacts of different organizations (Beets & Souther, 1999). To address this problem, globally accepted reporting standards and methodologies are needed that provide clear guidelines for companies to measure and report their climate-related data accurately and consistently. This will enable investors, stakeholders, and policymakers to make informed decisions and take appropriate action to address climate change effectively.

Another challenge related to climate change is the difficulty in measuring and quantifying environmental impacts. Climate change is a complex and multifaceted issue, making it difficult to quantify its effects on the environment entirely. Moreover, many environmental changes occur gradually over long periods, making it even more difficult to determine precise cause-and-effect relationships. In addition, various ecological indicators, such as greenhouse gas emissions, deforestation rates, and temperature changes, must be considered collectively to understand the overall environmental impact (Silvola & Vinnari, 2021). Accurately measuring and identifying these impacts is crucial to effectively addressing climate change and implementing appropriate mitigation strategies. Moreover, limited access to reliable and accurate data on GHG emissions and other environmental indicators may prevent auditors from accurately and comprehensively assessing organizations' climate change actions (DeSimone et al., 2021).

Moreover, another challenge in addressing climate change through auditing is limited enforcement and accountability mechanisms (Donner et al., 2012). While auditors play an important role in assessing compliance with climate change-related regulations and standards, they may need more legal authority to enforce their findings (Barter, 2013). This can result in limited consequences of non-compliance and

reduced incentives for organizations to take the necessary steps to mitigate climate change impacts. In addition, robust accountability mechanisms are essential for the transparency and credibility of audit reports, potentially reducing their effectiveness in promoting climate change action (Rishi & Edwin, 2019). It is, therefore, imperative to develop more robust enforcement mechanisms and accountability frameworks to ensure that audit findings are acted upon and organizations are held accountable for their environmental performance (Kaplan & Ramanna, 2021).

Another challenge in addressing climate change through audits is sufficient guidance on climate-related audit practices. Without transparent methodologies and standards, auditors need help to accurately assess organizations' environmental impacts and efforts to mitigate climate change. This can lead to inconsistencies in reporting and difficulties in comparing the performance of different organizations. With robust guidance, auditors may rely on subjective judgments, resulting in complete or accurate audit opinions. Therefore, there is an urgent need to develop comprehensive and standardized guidance on climate-related audit practices to ensure consistency, transparency, and effectiveness in assessing and reporting climate change mitigation efforts (Singh, 2021).

Another challenge auditors face in verifying the reliability and accuracy of their information is data privacy and security issues. The increasing complexity of security regulations and laws regarding data privacy is one of the biggest challenges auditors face (Silvola & Vinnari, 2021). Laws and regulations affect the types of data a company can access by external auditors. Limitations on the types of data that auditors can access will negatively impact verifying the accuracy and reliability of climate change information (DeSimone et al., 2021).

Another challenge auditors face in verifying the reliability and accuracy of their information is the time-consuming and never-ending evidence-gathering processes. The repetitive, manual tasks associated with evidence collection can significantly slow the audit process (Herzig & Schaltegger, 2011). Tasks involve continuously verifying and collecting large amounts of information, which is time-consuming. When auditors are expected to perform these tasks manually, it can take a significant amount of resources and time to check each statement and document against other documents to ensure that they are complete and accurate. This can be time-consuming, especially when there are many documents to review. Manual evidence collection is also error-prone, as auditors may need to pay more attention to important details or make mistakes when collecting or processing data (Boiral et al., 2019).

6. Recommendations for Increasing the Role and Importance of Audits in Combating Climate Change

Strengthening international standards and regulations is vital in the fight against climate change (Makundi, 1997). Sustainability reporting needs to be consistent and harmonized across countries and sectors. Companies can ensure that they measure and disclose their environmental impacts accurately and transparently by developing and implementing robust international standards, such as the Global Reporting Initiative (GRI) and the Sustainability Accounting Standards Board (SASB) framework. Furthermore, international regulations can help enforce accountability and promote sustainable practices on a global scale. Solid standards and regulations will make it easier to effectively mitigate climate change and achieve the goals in international agreements such as the Paris Agreement.

Establishing uniform reporting frameworks and methodologies is paramount in addressing climate change (Griffin & Jaffe, 2022). With the large number of organizations and sectors involved in assessing and reporting GHG emissions, it becomes essential to have consistent and standardized reporting methods.

Uniform frameworks will ensure transparency, comparability, and accuracy of reported data, enabling stakeholders to make informed decisions on environmental performance (Cuomo et al., 2021). Consistent reporting will also facilitate monitoring progress toward emission reduction targets and promote organizations' accountability. By applying uniform reporting frameworks and methodologies, auditors can effectively assess and verify sustainability initiatives of various organizations and support the global effort to combat climate change (Tiwari & Khan, 2020).

Incorporating climate-related auditing practices into existing standards is crucial to address climate change effectively. This integration enables organizations to consider climate-related risks and opportunities in decision-making. By combining climate considerations into audits, auditors can assess an organization's greenhouse gas emissions, sustainability practices, and compliance with environmental regulations (Mihaela, 2012). This facilitates the identification of areas for improvement and implementing strategies to reduce the organization's carbon footprint. Therefore, integrating climate-related auditing practices into existing standards will help align business practices with the goals of mitigating climate change and promoting sustainability.

An effective strategy to enhance the credibility and reliability of audit reports is the implementation of third-party verification processes. These processes involve independent auditors not affiliated with the audited entity (Beets & Souther, 1999). By using third-party verification, firms can ensure the objectivity and impartiality of the audit process and reduce potential conflicts of interest. In addition, third-party verification increases the transparency and credibility of audit reports because auditors with no vested interests can provide unbiased assessments of an organization's climate change mitigation efforts. Overall, including third-party verification processes will strengthen the effectiveness and accountability of audits in addressing climate change issues.

Another way to address climate change is to improve monitoring and verification systems. Establishing robust monitoring systems is essential to accurately measure and report greenhouse gas emissions (Awanthi & Navaratne, 2018). These systems can help identify high-emission areas and guide efforts to mitigate them effectively. Furthermore, implementing reliable verification systems ensures the credibility of emission reduction claims and helps prevent fraud. Improving monitoring and verification systems can provide valuable data for policymakers and stakeholders, enabling them to make informed decisions and allocate resources efficiently in the fight against climate change (Parmesan et al., 2022).

Developing advanced technology solutions for data collection and analysis is vital in addressing climate change (Herzig & Schaltegger, 2011). As climate change continues to pose significant threats to our planet, it is increasingly important to have accurate and comprehensive data to inform mitigation and adaptation strategies (Olson, 2010). Advanced technology solutions, such as remote sensing technologies, can provide real-time and detailed information on various climate indicators, including temperature, precipitation, and carbon emissions. These solutions allow scientists and policymakers to monitor trends, identify areas of concern, and make informed decisions on climate change mitigation and adaptation measures. Furthermore, these technologies will enable the integration of large datasets, facilitating the sophisticated data analysis and modeling necessary to develop effective policies and strategies to address climate change (Boiral et al., 2019).

Increasing public awareness and demand for environmental audit reports is crucial in the fight against climate change (Kolk & Pereg, 2010). By promoting transparency and accountability, the public can learn more about the environmental impacts of various activities and promote sustainable practices. Increasing public demand for these reports can pressure sectors and governments to prioritize environmental

considerations in their decision-making processes. Furthermore, a well-informed public can actively participate in the debate on climate change and lead to the development of more effective policies and measures to tackle this global challenge. Increasing awareness and demand for environmental audit reports is vital to creating a more sustainable future.

One of the critical challenges to the effective implementation of climate change policies is the issue of weak penalties and consequences for non-compliance. Despite the growing recognition of the importance of addressing climate change, many countries still lack strict penalties for those who fail to comply with environmental regulations. To address this issue, governments must introduce more substantial penalties and consequences for non-compliance, ensuring that individuals and organizations are held accountable for their actions that contribute to climate change. This will foster a culture of adaptation that will increase the effectiveness of climate change mitigation measures.

In addition to those mentioned earlier, there are a few key recommendations to enhance the role and importance of auditing in addressing climate change. First, auditors should have access to reliable and up-to-date information on an organization's environmental impacts. This can be achieved through collaboration with environmental scientists and experts who can provide the necessary data for the audit process. Second, auditors should undergo comprehensive training programs to ensure they have the knowledge and skills to assess climate-related risks and opportunities properly. In addition, regulators should establish clear guidelines and frameworks for auditors to follow when evaluating an organization's environmental practices and disclosures. Finally, auditors should be encouraged to participate in continuing professional development activities to stay abreast of emerging environmental issues and auditing techniques. By implementing these recommendations, the role and importance of auditing in addressing climate change can be significantly enhanced.

7. Conclusion

The role and importance of auditing in addressing climate change is undeniable. Auditing provides a comprehensive and systematic approach to assessing the effectiveness of environmental policies and measures. It helps identify areas for improvement and ensures accountability in implementing climate change mitigation strategies. Audits also play an essential role in verifying the accuracy and reliability of GHG emissions data, promoting transparency and trust among stakeholders. They also contribute to a better understanding of climate-related risks and opportunities, helping organizations make informed decisions. Overall, audits are a powerful tool to combat climate change and promote sustainable development.

This paper seeks to highlight audits' vital role in addressing climate change. Audits assess organizations' resource use, emissions, and sustainability practices by objectively evaluating their environmental impact. Through verification of data and compliance with legal requirements, audits ensure transparency and accountability in reporting climate-related information. In addition, audits enable organizations to identify areas for improvement and take adequate measures to reduce greenhouse gas emissions. Audits are essential in addressing climate change because they contribute to global efforts to mitigate the impacts of climate change by guiding organizations toward more sustainable practices.

Consequently, addressing climate change through audits presents several challenges and limitations. First, the complexity of climate change requires auditors to have interdisciplinary knowledge and expertise, translating into a need for more well-equipped auditors. In addition, the lack of universally accepted standards and frameworks for auditing climate change-related activities limits the consistency and

comparability of audit findings. Furthermore, the dynamic nature of climate change and uncertainties in predicting its impacts make it difficult to measure and report on emission reduction targets accurately. Finally, organizations' reliance on self-reporting can lead to inherent biases and reduce the effectiveness of audit processes in ensuring accountability and transparency in climate-related efforts.

Issues such as how auditors can be more effective in addressing climate change or how companies' climate strategies can be made more effective require more comprehensive studies based on empirical data. Such empirical studies in the future have the potential to test the theoretical propositions of the paper and increase the body of knowledge in this area. Future research could also address how auditors can more effectively assess climate change risks, which metrics should be used, and how these metrics can be standardized. Future research could also examine how internal and inter-company collaboration on climate change can be encouraged and how auditors can evaluate this collaboration. Finally, new studies can be conducted on the ethical problems auditors may face while fulfilling their moral and social responsibilities on climate change and how to overcome them. Such research will be critical for adopting a multidisciplinary approach to combating climate change.

8. Author Biography

Dr. Hasan Yalçın, born in 1968 in Ankara, Turkey, graduated from Gazi University with a degree in Business Administration in 1988. He worked as an Account Expert at the Ministry of Finance from 1989 to 2001 before transitioning to the private sector. Dr. Yalçın earned a master's degree in financial economics from Boston University (1998-2000) and a PhD in Finance and Banking from Kadir Has University. Since 2008, he has served as the Managing Partner and Certified Public Accountant at Crowe Global's Turkey office. He is also an accomplished author and educator, teaching Accounting and Finance at Altınbaş and Marmara Universities.

9. References

1. Akdogan, N., Özerhan, Y. & Sultanoğlu, B. (2023). Draft climate-related disclosures standard IFRS S2: Potential impacts on BIST sustainability index entities. *Journal of Accounting Science World*, MODAV 19th International Accounting Conference Special Issue, 96-128. Retrieved from <https://dergipark.org.tr/tr/pub/mbdd/issue/76396/1209641>
2. Alsahali, K., & Malagueño, R. (2021). An empirical study of sustainability reporting assurance: current trends and new insights. *Journal of Accounting and Organizational Change*. DOI:10.1108/jaoc-05-2020-0060
3. Atabay, E. (2019). Corporate sustainability reports and assurance audit: A study on companies reporting according to the gray guidance in Turkey. *Journal of Accounting Science World*, 21(4), 904–922. DOI: 10.31460/mbdd.561765
4. Auliani, A., Pramesti, D., & Yunita, L. (2023). The Role of Auditor in Sustainability Reporting. *International Journal of Social Science, Education, Communication and Economics (SINOMICS JOURNAL)*, 1(6), 825-830. <http://sinomicsjournal.com/index.php/SJ/article/view/94>
5. Awanthi, M. G. G., & Navaratne, C. M. (2018). An organization's carbon footprint: A tool for monitoring impacts on global warming. *Procedia Engineering*, pp. 212, 729–735. <https://www.sciencedirect.com/science/article/pii/S1877705818301140>

6. Ballou, B., & Heitger, D. L. (2005). The rise of corporate sustainability reporting: A rapidly growing assurance opportunity. <http://www.sba.muohio.edu/balloubj/images/Ballou%20Heitger%20CSR%2024Jul05.pdf>
7. Barter, N. (2013). Review of understandings of accountability: an autoethnographic account using metaphor. *Social and Environmental Accountability Journal*, 33(1):58–59. doi: 10.1080/0969160X.2013.766421
8. Beets, S.D. & Souther, C.C. (1999). Corporate environmental reports: the need for standards and an environmental assurance service. *Accounting Horizons*, 13(2):129–145. doi: 10.2308/ACCH.1999.13.2.129
2. Belisle, F. J. (1983). Tourism and food production in the Caribbean. *Annals Of Tourism Research*, 10(4), 497-513. [https://doi.org/10.1016/0160-7383\(83\)90005-1](https://doi.org/10.1016/0160-7383(83)90005-1)
3. Boiral, O., Heras-Saizarbitoria, I., & Brotherton, M. C. (2019). Assessing and improving the quality of sustainability reports: The auditors' perspective. *Journal of Business Ethics*, pp. 155, 703–721. <https://link.springer.com/article/10.1007/s10551-017-3516-4>
4. Borowski, P. F. (2022). Mitigating climate change and the development of green energy versus a return to fossil fuels due to the energy crisis 2022. *Energies*, 15(24), 9289. <https://www.mdpi.com/1996-1073/15/24/9289>
5. Cadez, S., Czerny, A., & Letmathe, P. (2019). Stakeholder pressures and corporate climate change mitigation strategies. *Business Strategy and the Environment*, 28(1), 1-14. <https://onlinelibrary.wiley.com/doi/abs/10.1002/bse.2070>
6. CFA Institute (2020). Climate change analysis in the investment process, <https://www.cfainstitute.org/-/media/documents/article/industry-research/climate-change-analysis.ashx>
7. Channuntapipat, C. (2020). Can sustainability report assurance be a collaborative process and practice beyond the ritual of verification? *Business Strategy and the Environment*. DOI:10.1002/bse.2653
8. Cohen, J. R., & Simnett, R. (2015). CSR and assurance services: A research agenda. *Auditing: A Journal of Practice & Theory*, 34(1), 59-74. <https://publications.aaahq.org/ajpt/article-abstract/34/1/59/5883>
9. Çokmutlu, M. E., & Şahay, O. K. (2019). Assurance audits of Borsa Istanbul sustainability index companies' greenhouse gas disclosures: A research on isae 3410 assurance auditing standard. *Ibad Journal of Social Sciences*, (5), 164-174. DOI: 10.21733/ibad.628051
10. Cuomo, M. T., Caprino, R. M., Genovino, C., & Tortora, D. (2021). Climate change, environmental auditing, and corporate/brand strategy. *Sustainable Branding: Ethical, Social, and Environmental Cases and Perspectives*, 115-127. doi: 10.4324/9780367855703-10
11. Dahlsrud, A. (2008). How corporate social responsibility is defined: an analysis of 37 definitions. *Corporate Social Responsibility And Environmental Management*, 15(1), 1–13. <https://www.carec.com.pe/biblioteca/biblio/5/20/Definitions%20of%20CSR.pdf>
12. DeSimone, S., D'Onza, G., & Sarens, G. (2021). Correlates of internal audit function involvement in sustainability audits. *Journal of Management and Governance*, 25, 561-591. <https://link.springer.com/article/10.1007/s10997-020-09511-3>
13. Donner, S. D., Kandlikar, M., & Zerriffi, H. (2012). IEG's role in evaluating climate financing-response. *Science*, 335(6074), 1302-1303.

14. Dutta, P., & Dutta, A. (2021). Impact of external assurance on corporate climate change disclosures: new evidence from Finland. *Journal of Applied Accounting Research*, 22(2), 252-285. <https://doi.org/10.1108/JAAR-08-2020-0162>
15. Elliott, J. R., & Pais, J. (2010). When nature pushes back: Environmental impact and the spatial redistribution of socially vulnerable populations. *Social Science Quarterly*, 91(5), 1187-1202. <https://doi.org/10.1111/j.1540-6237.2010.00727.x>
16. Fearnside, P.M. (2000). Greenhouse gas emissions from land-use change in Brazil's Amazon region. p. 231–249: R. Lal, J.M. Kimble & B.A. Stewart (eds). *Global Climate Change and Tropical Ecosystems. Advances in Soil Science. CRC Press, Boca Raton, Florida*,
17. Fèvre, E. M., Bronsvoort, B. M. D. C., Hamilton, K. A., & Cleaveland, S. (2006). Animal movements and the spread of infectious diseases. *Trends In Microbiology*, 14(3), 125-131. <https://doi.org/10.1016/j.tim.2006.01.004>
18. Firsova, I. A. (2019). Energy consumption forecasting for power supply companies. In *Energy consumption forecasting for power supply companies: Firsova, Irina A.* <http://www.zbw.eu/econis-archiv/bitstream/11159/2697/1/1046472429.pdf>
19. Fischer, M., Fischer, C. M., & Bizzaro, M. R. (2018). Voluntary sustainability report assurance by the Fortune 100. *BRC Journal of Advances in Business*, 3(1). DOI:10.15239/j.brcadvjb.2018.03.01.ja02
20. Frynas, J. G. (2009). Beyond corporate social responsibility. *Oil Multinationals and Social Challenges*, 131-132. <https://doi.org/10.1108/ijshe.2010.24911aae.002>
21. Galama, J. T., & Scholtens, B. (2021). A meta-analysis of the relationship between companies' greenhouse gas emissions and financial performance. *Environmental Research Letters*, 16(4), 043006.
22. Goicoechea, E., Gómez-Bezares, F., & Ugarte, J. V. (2019). Integrated reporting assurance: perceptions of auditors and users in Spain. *Sustainability*, 11(3), 713. <https://dx.doi.org/10.3390/SU11030713>
23. Griffin, P., & Jaffe, A. M. (2022). Challenges for a climate risk disclosure mandate. *Nature Energy*, 7(1), 2–4. doi: 10.1038/S41560-021-00929-Z
24. Hansen, J., Sato, M., Hearty, P., Ruedy, R., Kelley, M., Masson-Delmotte, V., ... & Lo, K. W. (2016). Ice melt, sea level rise, and superstorms: evidence from paleoclimate data, climate modeling, and modern observations that 2 C global warming could be dangerous. *Atmospheric Chemistry and Physics*, 16(6), 3761–3812.
25. Harlan, S.L., Brazel, A.J., Darrel Jenerette, G., Jones, N.S., Larsen, L., Prashad, L. and Stefanov, W.L. (2007). “In the shade of affluence: the inequitable distribution of the urban heat island,” in Wilkinson, R.C. and Freudenburg, W.R. (Eds.) *Equity and the Environment (Research in Social Problems and Public Policy, Vol. 15)*, Emerald Group Publishing Limited, Bingley, pp. 173–202. [https://doi.org/10.1016/S0196-1152\(07\)15005-5](https://doi.org/10.1016/S0196-1152(07)15005-5)
26. Herzig, C., & Schaltegger, S. (2011). Corporate sustainability reporting. *Sustainability communication: Interdisciplinary perspectives and theoretical foundation*, 151-169. https://link.springer.com/chapter/10.1007/978-94-007-1697-1_14
27. Kaplan, R. S., & Ramanna, K. (2021). Accounting for climate change. *Harvard Business Review*, 99(6), 120-131. <https://www.johnwbyrd.net/wp-content/uploads/2022/04/Accounting-for-Climate-Change.pdf>
28. Kluczek, A., & Olszewski, P. (2017). Energy audits in industrial processes. *Journal of cleaner production*, 142, 3437-3453. [http:// dx.doi.org/10.1016/j.jclepro.2016.10.123](http://dx.doi.org/10.1016/j.jclepro.2016.10.123)

29. Kolk, A., & Perego, P. (2010). Determinants of the adoption of sustainability assurance statements: An international investigation. *Business strategy and the environment*, 19(3), 182-198. <https://ssrn.com/abstract=1284589>
30. Larrinaga, C., Rossi, A., Luque-Vílchez, M., & Núñez-Nickel, M. (2018). Institutionalization of the Contents of Sustainability Assurance Services: A Comparison Between Italy and United States. *Journal of Business Ethics*, 152(3), 647-666. <https://dx.doi.org/10.1007/S10551-018-4014-Z>
31. Lin, W. (2022). Corporate non-financial reporting in the UK: Diversions from the EU sustainability reporting framework. *Sustainability*, 14(15). DOI:10.3390/su14159134
32. Makundi, W. (1997). Global climate change mitigation and sustainable forest management-The challenge of monitoring and verification. *Mitigation and Adaptation Strategies for Global Change*, 2(2):133-155. doi: 10.1007/BF02437200
33. Manetti, G., & Becatti, L. (2009). Assurance services for sustainability reports: Standards and empirical evidence. *Journal of Business Ethics*, 87, 289-298. <https://link.springer.com/article/10.1007/s10551-008-9809-x>
34. Mihaela, Ungureanu. (2012). Role of environmental audit in the modern enterprise. *Anale. Seria Stiinte Economice. Timisoara, Faculty of Economics, Tibiscus University in Timisoara*, 88-95P., Parvatha, Reddy. (2015). Causes of Climate Change. 17-26. doi: 10.1007/978-81-322-2199-9_2
35. Militao, E. M., Salvador, E. M., Uthman, O. A., Vinberg, S., & Macassa, G. (2022). Food insecurity and health outcomes other than malnutrition in Southern Africa: a descriptive systematic review. *International Journal of Environmental Research And Public Health*, 19(9), 5082. <https://doi.org/10.3390/ijerph19095082>
36. Olson, E. G. (2010). Challenges and opportunities from greenhouse gas emissions reporting and independent auditing. *Managerial Auditing Journal*, 25(9), 934-942. <https://www.emerald.com/insight/content/doi/10.1108/02686901011080071/full/html>
37. Parmesan, C., Morecroft, M. D., & Trisurat, Y. (2022). Climate change Impacts, adaptation, and vulnerability (Doctoral dissertation, GIEC). <https://hal.science/hal-03774939/document>
38. Paul, K., Martin, Paul, W., O'Callaghan, Douglas, Probert. (1992). Environmental auditing: Estimating and reducing corporate greenhouse-gas emissions using monitoring and targeting software systems. *Applied Energy*, 42(4):269-288. doi: 10.1016/0306-2619(92)90017-6
39. Pedro, Domingues., Paulo, Sampaio., Pedro, Arezes. (2011). Beyond “audit” definition: a framework proposal for integrated management systems.
40. Rahman, M. I. (2013). Climate change: a theoretical review. *Interdisciplinary Description of Complex Systems*, 11(1), 1-13. <https://doi.org/10.7906/indecs.11.1.11>
41. Rahmina, L. Y., & Agoes, S. (2014). Influence of auditor independence, audit tenure, and audit fee on audit quality of members of capital market accountant forum in Indonesia. *Procedia-Social and Behavioral Sciences*, 164, 324-331. <https://doi.org/10.1016/j.sbspro.2014.11.083>
42. Ratha, D., Mohapatra, S., & Scheja, E. (2011). Impact of migration on economic and social development: A review of evidence and emerging issues. *World Bank Policy Research Working Paper*, (5558)
43. Ridley, J., D'Silva, K., & Szombathelyi, M. (2011). Sustainability assurance and internal auditing in emerging markets. *Corporate Governance: The international journal of business in society*, 11(4), 475-488. <https://www.emerald.com/insight/content/doi/10.1108/14720701111159299/full/html>

44. Rishi, B. and Edwin, V.D.W. (2019). Accountability mechanisms in international climate change financing. *International Environmental Agreements-politics Law and Economics*, doi: 10.1007/S10784-019-09437-8
45. Ross, S. (2021). The Role of Accounting and Auditing in Addressing Climate Change. <https://www.americanprogress.org/article/role-accounting-auditing-addressing-climate-change/>
46. Sanstad, A. H., Zhu, Q., Leibowicz, B., Larsen, P. H., & Eto, J. H. (2020). Case Studies of the Economic Impacts of Power Interruptions and Damage to Electricity System Infrastructure from Extreme Events. Lawrence Berkeley National Lab. (LBNL), Berkeley, CA (United States).
47. Seacrest, S., Kuzelka, R., & Leonard, R. (2000). Global climate change and public perception: the challenge of translation. *JAWRA Journal of the American Water Resources Association*, 36(2), 253-263. <https://doi.org/10.1111/j.1752-1688.2000.tb04265.x>
48. Silvola, H., & Vinnari, E. (2021). The limits of institutional work: a field study on auditors' efforts to promote sustainability assurance in a trust society. *Accounting, Auditing & Accountability Journal*, 34(1), 1-30. <https://www.emerald.com/insight/content/doi/10.1108/AAAJ-02-2019-3890>
49. Simnett, R., Vanstraelen, A., & Chua, W. F. (2009). Assurance on sustainability reports: An international comparison. *The Accounting Review*, 84(3), 937-967. <https://publications.aaahq.org/accounting-review/article-abstract/84/3/937/3063>
50. Singh, S. (2021). Energy Crisis and Climate Change: Global Concerns and Their Solutions. *Energy: Crises, Challenges, and Solutions*, 1-17. <https://onlinelibrary.wiley.com/doi/abs/10.1002/9781119741503.ch1>
51. Sultankhanova, G., Yanik, S. S., & Ayanoglu, Y. (2019). Content analysis of assurance statements given to sustainability reports. *Accounting and Auditing Review*, 19(58), 25-50. <https://dergipark.org.tr/en/pub/mbakiss/issue/63887/967131>
52. Tiwari, K., & Khan, M. S. (2020). Sustainability accounting and reporting in the industry 4.0. *Journal of cleaner production*, 258, 120783. <https://www.sciencedirect.com/science/article/pii/S0959652620308301>.
53. Trenberth, K. E. (2011). Changes in precipitation with climate change. *Climate Research*, 47(1-2), 123-138. <https://doi.org/10.3354/cr00953>
54. Vasile, C.N. and Raluca, A. (2017). Paradigm Shifts in Developing a Sustainable Economy. Audit role from an environmental perspective. *Revista De Chimie*, 68(7):1544-1551. doi: 10.37358/RC.17.7.5713
55. Wulandari, R., Fauziyah, S., & Mubarak, A. (2021). Pengaruh komite audit dan struktur modal terhadap pengungkapan sustainability report. *Accounting and Financial Review*, 6(2). DOI:10.35706/acc.v6i02.5616