

Self Concept of Learning Disabled Secondary Students

Dr. Laxmidhar Dey

Assistant Professor, Asst. Professor in Education, D.D. B.Ed, COLLEGE, W.B.

ABSTRACT:

Study of self concept of learning disabled secondary students is the “Title” of the study. To study self concept of Learning Disabled Students and Normal students is the objective of the study. The sample of the study consists of 2000 (two thousand) secondary school students. Out of which 1752 are normal students and 248 are Learning disabled students. Check list for identifying learning disabled students, Socio Economic Test , Mental Ability Test , self concept Test were used as Tools of collection of data .The findings states that (1) 10.38%, 52.05%, 37.5% Normal students and 7.60%, 47.58% and 44.75% learning disabled students possess high, average and low concept formation (2) The normal students possess high self concept than the learning disabled students. (3) Male & Female learning disabled students possess equal self concept. (4) High intellectual students possess higher self concept than that of the Low Intelligent student. (5) High & Low socio-Economic Learning disabled students possess same self concept.

Keywords: Self Concept, Learning Disabled, Socio Economic, Intellectual, Secondary

INTRODUCTION:

Education is the reconstruction of experiences of learners. It helps to develop cognitive, affective, psychomotor qualities of the learners. It attempts to promote reasoning, thinking , understanding, power of judgement, memory, perception, attitude, interest and motive of the Learners. It makes the learners good effective and creative citizens of nation in the future. Without education man cannot exist as a human being in the society. Our constitution of India has granted equality of educational opportunities to the children with special needs. Our great thinkers and administrators have suggested certain special educational provision for the exceptional children. At present inclusive education set up are recommended for the disabled children. Some studies reveal that, Learning disabled children Lag behind in achievement than the normal students due to psychological and emotional problems which affect their learning and motivation in the class room. They do not profit much in the inclusive setup due to their deficiencies in reading, writing, speaking and Mathematical ability.

The present study has been under taken by the investigator on the Learning Disabled students.

OBJECTIVES OF THE STUDY :

1. To study the levels of self concept of normal & learning disabled students.
2. To study the self concept of normal & learning disabled students.
3. To study the self concept of learning disabled students with reference to their Sex, IQ and Socio-economic status.

1.9.0 HYPOTHESIS :

The following are based on this hypothesis of the study.

1. All the normal & learning disabled children do not belong to different levels of self concept.
2. There is no significant difference in the Mean self concept test scores of normal & learning disabled students.
3. There is no significant difference in the Mean self concept test scores of normal & learning disabled students.
4. There is no significant difference in the Mean self concept test scores between high & low intelligent learning disabled students.
5. There is no significant difference in the mean self concept test scores of high scores & low socio-economic status learning disabled students.

SAMPLE OF THE STUDY

Purposive sampling method was used for the study. The sample of the study consists of 2000[two thousand] secondary school ix students in the district of Balasore, Odisha. Out of which 1752 are normal students and 248 are learning disabled students.

TOOLS USED FOR THE STUDY

In order to obtain the desired data for the present study, Various tools are used.

- Check list for identifying the Learning .Disabled students.
- Socio- Economic Status[S.E.S] scale
- Mental Ability Test
- Self concept Test

ANALYSIS AND INTERPRETATION :

1. STUDY OF LEVEL OF SELF CONCEPT OF NORMAL AND LEARNING DISABLED STUDENTS.

The hypothesis states that all the normal and learning disabled students do not belong to different levels of self concept. Keeping this hypothesis in mind, the self concept standard scores were analysed with the help of percentage. The result are given in the table-1.

TABLE No-1 Analysis of Self concept of Normal and Learningn Disabled Students in Percentage.

Levels of self concept among normal students				Levels of self concept among learning disabled students		
Levels	Range of Standard scores	Normal students (1752)	Percentage	Range of Standard scores	Learning disabled (248)	Percentage (%)
High self concept	53 to 77	182	10.38	53 to 76	19	7.66
Average self concept	43 to 52	912	52.05	42 to 50	118	47.58
Low self concept	18 to 44	657	37.5	10 to 41	111	44.75

“All the normal & learning disabled students do not belong to different levels of self concept” is not rejected,. 10.38%, 52.05%, 37.5% normal students belong to High average and low levels of self concept, and 7.66%, 47.58% and 44.75% learning disabled students belong to High, Average & Low Levels self concept respectively..

2. STUDY OF SELF CONCEPT OF NORMAL AND LEARNING DISABLED STUDENTS.

The hypothesis states that, there no significant difference in the Mean self concept Test scores of normal and learning disabled students Keeping this hypothesis in mind ,the data were analysed with the help of ‘t’ test. The results are given in the following table no.- .2.

TABLE No.-2. ‘t’ test on Mean Self Concept Test Scores of Normal and Learning disabled students.

Variable	Group	N	Mean	SD	t-ratio	Levels of significance
Self Concept	Normal Children	1752	64.14	12.54	5.48	.01
	Learning Disabled	248	59.86	11.48		

The mean scores of normal and learning disabled students on self concept test are 64.18 and 59.86 with SD’s 12.54 and 11.48 and t-ratio of above two groups is 5.48 , The result states that, there is significant difference between normal and learning disabled children on self concept test scores. In other words the self concept of normal children is better than that of the learning disabled students.

3. TO STUDY THE DIFFERENCE IN THE SELF CONCEPT OF MALE AND FEMALE LEARNING DISABLED STUDENTS.

The hypothesis states that ,there is no significant difference in the Mean self concept test scores between male and female learning disabled students. Keeping this hypothesis in mind , the data were analyzed with the help of ‘t’ test. The results are given in the following table no.- 3.

TABLE No.-3 ‘t’ test on mean self concept test scores of male and female learning disabled students.

Variable	Group	N	Mean	SD	t-ratio	Level of significance
Self Concept	Male	120	64.10	13.69	24	N.S
	Female	128	64.52	12.9		

The result states that, mean score of male and female students on self concept test do not differ significantly from each other. It means the self concept of male and Female Learning Disabled students are similar.

4. TO STUDY OF DIFFERENCE IN THE SELF CONCEPT OF HIGH AND LOW INTELLIGENT LEARNING DISABLED STUDENTS.

The hypothesis states that ,there is no significant difference in the Mean self concept test scores of high and low intelligent learning disabled students. Keeping this hypothesis in mind ,the data were analyzed with the help of ‘t’ test. The results are given in the following table no - 4

TABLE No. - 4 ‘t’ test on mean self concept test scores of High and Low intelligent learning disabled students.

Variable	Group	N	Mean	SD	t-ratio	Levels of significance
Self Concept	High IQ	56	66.48	12.70	3.93	.01
	Low IQ	34	56.24	11.52		

The result states that, there is significant difference between high and low intelligent learning disabled Students,. Mean self concept test score of high intelligent learning disabled students is better than that of low intelligent learning disabled students.

5. TO STUDY OF DIFFERENCE IN THE SELF CONCEPT OF HIGH AND LOW SOCIO-ECONOMIC STATUS LEARNING DISABLED STUDENTS.

The hypothesis states that ,there is no significant difference in the Mean self concept test scores of high and low socio-economic status learning disabled students. Keeping this hypothesis in mind, the data were analyzed with the help of ‘t’ test. The results are given in the table no 5

TABLE.5 ‘t’ test on mean self concept test score of high and low socio-economic status learning disabled students.

Variable	Group	N	Mean	SD	t-ratio	Level of significance
Self Concept	High SES	63	64.35	13.18	2.74	.01
	Low SES	78	58.40	12.41		

The result states that there is a significant difference between high and low socio- economic status on self concept test scores. It means the high socio-economic status Learning Disabled Students are better than the low socio-economic status learning disabled students on – Self Concept.

FINDING

10.38%, 52.05%, 37.5% Normal students and 7.66%, 47.58% and 44.75% learning disabled students possess high, average and low self concept (2) The normal students possess high self concept than the learning disabled students. (3) Male & Female learning disabled students posses equal self concept. (4) High intelligent Learning Disabled students possess higher self concept than that of the Low Intelligent Learning Disabled student. (5) High & Low Learning Disabled socio-Economic status students posses same self concept.

SUGGESTION:

1. The study was conducted on IXth standard students. Similar studies may be carried out in other classes .
2. The study was confined to Balasore district, Odisha. Similar study may be conducted in other districts and states.
3. The study may be extended to other sub-samples based on local and socio-economic status.
4. The influence of management private school on learning disability of children can be explored.
5. The present study was focused on Learning Disabled Students. It may be extended to other categories of exceptional children such as intellectually, emotionally, physically & socially exceptional.
6. Role of the parents in the remediation of learning disability can be studied.
7. The same study may be conducted on a large sample in the future.

REFERENCES:

1. Bhatia ,K.K and C.L Narang . Philosophical and Sociological Foundations of Education Ludhiana; Prakash Brothers, 1981.
2. Brubacher,J.S Modern Philosophical and Sociological Foundation ,New Delhi : MC Grow hill,1965.
3. Chaube ,S.P and Akhilesh Chaube . Philosophical and Sociological Foundation. Agra; Vinod Pustak mandir,1993.
4. Mathur, S.S Sociological approach to Education .Agra ;Vinod Pustak Mandir,199
5. Best , John w.(1977) Research in Education , New Delhi; Prentice hall of India Private Limited .
6. Butch ,M.B.Ed (1991) fourth survey of Research in, New Delhi Education: NCERT
7. Kerlinger , F.N (1978) .Foundation of Behavioural Research,2nd in , New Delhi Education: Wilry Eastern Limited .
8. Koul ,L (1990) Methodology of Educational Research ,2nd Ed. New Delhi ;Vikas publishing Houses Private Limited .