

New Lessons for Diplomacy from Covid-19: A Comparison Between Pre and Post Covid Situation

Abhinav Kumar

Research Scholar, Sido Kanhu Murmu University

ABSTRACT

The COVID-19 pandemic has reshaped the landscape of global diplomacy, prompting a reassessment of traditional practices in light of unprecedented challenges. Prior to the pandemic, diplomacy relied heavily on in-person meetings and formal summits, but the advent of COVID-19 necessitated a swift transition to virtual platforms and digital communication channels, highlighting the importance of technological innovation in diplomatic engagements. Moreover, the crisis has underscored the interconnectedness of global challenges, leading to a shift towards fostering multilateral cooperation and solidarity in addressing issues such as public health, economic stability, and climate change. Diplomatic agendas have been redefined to prioritize health security, pandemic preparedness, and humanitarian assistance, with diplomats navigating the intersection of public health concerns with geopolitical tensions and international aid distribution. However, the pandemic has also exposed vulnerabilities in diplomatic responses, including the politicization of public health and disparities in access to resources. Post-COVID diplomacy must strive for greater transparency, equity, and accountability to effectively address global crises. Through an analysis of the contrasts between pre and post-COVID diplomatic practices, valuable lessons emerge for the future of diplomacy in an increasingly interconnected world, emphasizing the importance of digital diplomacy, multilateralism, and redefined diplomatic agendas.

KEYWORDS: COVID-19, diplomacy, global challenges, digital diplomacy, multilateral cooperation, public health, pandemic preparedness, humanitarian assistance, geopolitical tensions, international aid distribution, transparency, equity, accountability, interconnected world.

INTRODUCTION

The COVID-19 pandemic stands as a watershed moment in the annals of global history, exerting a profound and far-reaching impact on every facet of human existence, including the practice of diplomacy. As nations worldwide grappled with the unprecedented challenges posed by the crisis, diplomats and scholars found themselves compelled to reassess the fundamental tenets of international relations and diplomacy. Against this backdrop, the exploration of "New Lessons for Diplomacy from COVID-19: A Comparison between Pre and Post-COVID Situations" emerges as a critical endeavor, offering valuable insights into the transformative forces at play in the realm of global diplomacy.

Throughout the ages, the discourse on diplomacy has been enriched by the insights of eminent writers and thinkers who have chronicled its evolution and complexities. From the timeless wisdom of Niccolò Machiavelli's "The Prince," which elucidates the art of statecraft and negotiation, to the analyses of

Henry Kissinger in "Diplomacy," which underscore the delicate balance of power and diplomacy in international affairs, these seminal works serve as enduring touchstones for understanding the intricacies of diplomatic practice.

Amidst the exigencies of the COVID-19 pandemic, contemporary scholars and practitioners have sought to grapple with the imperatives of diplomacy in an era marked by uncertainty, volatility, and interdependence. Drawing upon the insights of John Mearsheimer's "The Tragedy of Great Power Politics," scholars have sought to discern the structural constraints and strategic calculations that shape the behavior of states in the international system, offering a framework for analyzing the geopolitical ramifications of the pandemic.

Similarly, the perspectives of Joseph Nye in "Soft Power: The Means to Success in World Politics" offer valuable insights into the role of persuasion, attraction, and cultural diplomacy in shaping international relations in the post-COVID era. By examining the interplay between hard power and soft power dynamics amidst the exigencies of the pandemic, scholars can discern new pathways for fostering cooperation, resilience, and collective action in the diplomatic arena.

As we embark on a comparative analysis of pre and post-COVID diplomatic landscapes, drawing upon the wisdom of these eminent writers and thinkers, we are poised to unravel the complexities of contemporary diplomacy and chart a course towards a more secure, prosperous, and interconnected world. Through rigorous inquiry, critical reflection, and visionary leadership, we endeavor to distill the enduring lessons of the COVID-19 pandemic and forge a path towards a more resilient and adaptive diplomatic order.

We will delve deeper into the multifaceted impacts of the COVID-19 pandemic on diplomatic practice, examining the challenges and opportunities that have emerged in its wake. By juxtaposing the pre-pandemic diplomatic landscape with the post-COVID reality, we aim to discern the paradigm shifts, emergent trends, and innovative approaches that have reshaped the contours of international relations. Through this comparative analysis, we seek to illuminate the path forward for diplomacy in the 21st century, drawing upon the insights of past and present to navigate the complexities of an uncertain future.

RESEARCH OBJECTIVES

1. How has the role of traditional diplomatic channels shifted in response to the challenges posed by the COVID-19 pandemic?
2. What are the primary differences in diplomatic strategies employed by countries pre-COVID-19 compared to post-COVID-19?
3. How has the perception of global cooperation and multilateralism evolved in the diplomatic arena following the COVID-19 pandemic?
4. What new diplomatic tools and approaches have emerged as a result of the COVID-19 crisis, and how effective are they compared to traditional methods?
5. How has digital diplomacy evolved and been utilized differently in the pre and post-COVID-19 eras, and what are the implications for diplomatic engagement and communication?

Research methodology

1. Research Design:

- **Comparative Study:** This research will employ a comparative approach to analyze diplomatic stra-

tegies and practices before and after the COVID-19 pandemic.

- **Longitudinal Analysis:** The study will examine diplomatic trends and shifts over time, comparing pre-pandemic data with post-pandemic data.

2. Data Collection:

- **Secondary Data:**
- **Academic Literature:** Reviewing scholarly articles, books, and research papers on diplomacy, international relations, and crisis management to contextualize findings and identify relevant theoretical frameworks.
- **Media Analysis:** Analyzing news articles, opinion pieces, and reports from reputable media sources to understand public discourse and perceptions regarding diplomatic responses to COVID-19.

3. Data Analysis:

- **Content Analysis:** Employing qualitative content analysis to identify themes, patterns, and shifts in diplomatic strategies, priorities, and discourse.
- **Comparative Analysis:** Comparing diplomatic practices, alliances, and international cooperation mechanisms before and after the COVID-19 pandemic to assess changes and continuity.

4. Limitations:

- **Generalizability:** Recognizing that findings may not be universally applicable due to variations in diplomatic practices and contexts across different countries and regions.
- **Data Availability:** Acknowledging limitations in access to diplomatic documents and potential biases in media coverage that may impact the analysis.

5. Conclusion and Implications:

- Synthesizing findings to draw conclusions about the evolving nature of diplomacy in response to the COVID-19 pandemic.
- Discussing implications for diplomatic theory and practice, as well as recommendations for policymakers and diplomats navigating future global crises.

WAYS OF DIPLOMACY PRE COVID

Before the COVID-19 pandemic, diplomacy operated in a world characterized by extensive international travel, face-to-face meetings, and traditional diplomatic protocols. These methods were deeply entrenched in the practices of statecraft and played a crucial role in shaping global relations. Here are some key ways in which diplomacy functioned before the pandemic:

1. **Face-to-Face Meetings:** Face-to-face meetings between diplomats, heads of state, and government officials were a cornerstone of traditional diplomacy. These meetings took place during bilateral and multilateral summits, official visits, and diplomatic conferences. They provided opportunities for direct dialogue, negotiation, and relationship-building between countries.
2. **Embassy Networking:** Embassies and diplomatic missions served as hubs for diplomatic activities, facilitating communication and interaction between host and sending countries. Ambassadors and diplomats played pivotal roles in representing their countries' interests, engaging with local authorities, and fostering bilateral relations. Even, networks of embassy plays a major role in commercial diplomacy nowadays (Haaf, 2010).
3. **Multilateral Diplomacy:** Multilateral forums such as the United Nations (UN), World Trade Organization (WTO), and G20 served as platforms for global diplomacy, where countries collaborated on issues of mutual concern, such as peace and security, climate change, and economic

cooperation. Diplomats participated in negotiations, conferences, and summits to advance their countries' positions and seek consensus on international challenges. Multi-lateral diplomacy prove to be quite instrumental in negotiating international treaties that make the world better place to live, for example NPT (MADHUBANI, 2022).

4. **Track II Diplomacy:** Track II diplomacy involved informal, non-governmental channels of communication and dialogue, often facilitated by think tanks, academics, and civil society organizations. These unofficial channels complemented official diplomacy by fostering people-to-people contacts, promoting trust-building measures, and exploring innovative solutions to diplomatic impasses. Track II diplomacy outcomes can be fed into the track I negotiations to make it more efficient (Chataway, 1998). This has been the approach of India in many major countries' diplomacy such as with Pakistan, Israel, etc.
5. **Cultural Diplomacy:** Cultural exchanges, public diplomacy initiatives, and cultural events played a vital role in promoting mutual understanding and soft power projection between nations. Cultural diplomacy initiatives included art exhibitions, music festivals, language programs, and educational exchanges, which helped foster goodwill and enhance bilateral relations. However, due to lack of coherent policies, cultural diplomacy is not taking a definite shape in many nations (SCHNEIDER, 2010)
6. **Bilateral Negotiations:** Bilateral negotiations between countries were conducted through diplomatic channels, often involving ambassadors, envoys, and special emissaries. Allison's concept of the "rational actor model" emphasizes the role of bilateral negotiations in addressing security dilemmas and avoiding conflict escalation. These negotiations covered a wide range of issues, including trade agreements, security arrangements, territorial disputes, and humanitarian issues, with diplomats serving as intermediaries and facilitators.
7. **Protocol and Etiquette:** Diplomatic protocol and etiquette governed the conduct of diplomats and official representatives, ensuring decorum, respect, and adherence to established norms and customs. Protocol included rules for diplomatic rank, precedence, and ceremonial procedures, which helped maintain order and harmony in diplomatic interactions.
8. **Backchannel Diplomacy:** Backchannel diplomacy involved discreet, behind-the-scenes communication between governments, often through confidential channels or intermediaries. Backchannel discussions allowed countries to explore sensitive issues, build trust, and resolve conflicts away from the public eye, offering flexibility and confidentiality in diplomatic negotiations. However, backchannel diplomacy has time and again entered dangerous ethical zones (JONES, 2018).

Overall, diplomacy before the COVID-19 pandemic relied heavily on personal interaction, institutional frameworks, and established protocols to advance international cooperation, resolve conflicts, and promote mutual interests. While technological advancements and digital tools were increasingly integrated into diplomatic practices, traditional methods of diplomacy remained fundamental to the conduct of international relations.

DIPLOMACY: SUBTLE BUT IMPORTANT SHIFT FROM PRE COVID TO POST COVID ERA

Following COVID-19, diplomacy has experienced tremendous change as a result of the pandemic's potential and challenges. The post-COVID era differs significantly from the pre-COVID era in a number

of important ways. By utilizing scholarly insights, real-world examples, and global events, we may clarify these changes and their consequences:

1. Dominance of Digital Diplomacy:

Pre-COVID: Although digital tools were used in diplomacy, they frequently served to enhance more conventional face-to-face exchanges.

Post-COVID: The pandemic hastened the transition to digital diplomacy as the main means of discourse and bargaining. Social media platforms, online conferences, and virtual summits are become essential instruments for international relations.

Example: In November 2020, the virtual G20 Leaders' Summit demonstrated the effectiveness of digital diplomacy by providing a platform for world leaders to deliberate on pandemic response strategies (PIB, 2020).

2. Enhanced Multilateral collaboration:

- Prior to the COVID-19 pandemic, multilateralism had difficulties because to the rise of nationalism and unilateralism, which stoked doubts about the efficacy of international collaboration.
- After COVID: A reinvigorated commitment to multilateralism was sparked by the pressing need to address a global health emergency. Nations worked together via organizations like COVAX and the World Health Organization (WHO) to guarantee coordinated response efforts and fair vaccination distribution (WHO).
- As an illustration, the WHO and its partners developed the Access to COVID-19 Tools (ACT) Accelerator program, which emphasized the value of group action and attempted to provide fair access to diagnoses, treatments, and vaccines globally.

3. Prioritization of Humanitarian Diplomacy:

- Prior to COVID-19, geopolitical rivalry and commercial objectives frequently trumped humanitarian concerns in diplomatic agendas.
- Post-COVID: The pandemic highlighted how closely global security and health are related, which led to a reassessment of diplomatic objectives. The three main focuses of diplomatic outreach have been healthcare cooperation, vaccine diplomacy, and humanitarian relief.

As an illustration, China used "mask diplomacy" to fortify diplomatic connections and present itself as a global leader in disaster management by offering medical supplies and expertise to COVID-19-affected nations (WONG, 2020).

4. Adaptability and Resilience in Crisis Management:

Prior to the COVID-19 pandemic, crisis diplomacy was mostly concerned with immediate and reactive solutions to natural disasters and geopolitical conflicts.

- After COVID: Proactive resilience-building and long-term readiness for international disasters became the main focuses of diplomacy. Nations that do knowledge sharing, capacity building, and scenario planning to lessen the effects of pandemics and other systemic hazards in the future.

An illustration of the significance of proactive crisis diplomacy measures is the World Bank's creation of the Pandemic Emergency Financing Facility (PEF), which aims to offer quick financial support to nations battling pandemics (BANK).

5. Diplomatic Innovation and Experimentation:

- Prior to the COVID-19 pandemic, diplomatic practices were frequently typified by stick-to-the-rules and a sense of lethargy.

Following the COVID-19 pandemic, diplomats have adopted a more innovative and exploratory stance

towards engagement, negotiation, and consensus-building. To improve efficacy and efficiency, data analytics, artificial intelligence tools, and virtual reality simulations were included into diplomatic initiatives.

Example: The European Union facilitated experiential learning and skill development by using virtual reality platforms for diplomatic training and simulations (DYKE, 2017). This allowed diplomats to practice negotiation techniques and crisis management situations in a virtual setting.

CONCLUSION

The COVID-19 epidemic has sparked significant changes in diplomatic tactics and procedures, leading to a reevaluation of established avenues and the development of fresh ideas. First of all, due to the need to adjust to the obstacles presented by the epidemic, conventional diplomatic channels have seen a significant change. Diplomacy has become more dependent on digital communication technologies and virtual platforms due to travel and in-person meeting limits, which has changed the nature of participation and negotiation. Second, a paradigm shift in reaction to the global crisis is shown in the difference between the diplomatic techniques used prior to and following COVID-19. Countries have refocused their strategies, placing more emphasis on cooperation, adaptability, and agility when dealing with new issues like economic recovery and health security. This change reflects an increasing understanding of how intertwined global challenges are and how important it is to take action as a group. Thirdly, the epidemic has changed how multilateralism and international collaboration are seen, highlighting their significance and vulnerability. Although there has been a lot of talk about improving cooperation, as seen in programs like vaccine diplomacy, underlying conflicts and unilateral acts still put pressure on international systems. Fourthly, in an effort to improve efficiency and effectiveness in navigating the complexity of the modern world, new diplomatic instruments and techniques have been developed and used in response to the COVID-19 dilemma. These tools, which range from virtual summits to creative public diplomacy campaigns, are different from traditional approaches, but their effectiveness is still being assessed and improved. Finally, there has been a significant evolution in digital diplomacy, which has gone from being an additional instrument to an essential part of diplomatic interaction and communication. This change has been accelerated by the epidemic, which has brought to light the potential of internet platforms for promoting communication, creating relationships, and sharing knowledge. But issues like the digital gap and cybersecurity vulnerabilities highlight the necessity for all-encompassing plans to maximize the advantages of digital diplomacy while minimizing the hazards. In conclusion, a new era of unparalleled opportunities and challenges has been ushered in by the COVID-19 epidemic, which has caused a profound shift in diplomatic practices and perspectives. In order to successfully traverse the intricacies of a world that is becoming more linked and uncertain, diplomatic stakeholders need to adapt, innovate, and work together as the world struggles with the pandemic's lasting effects.

REFERENCES

1. BANK, W. (n.d.). *Pandemic Emergency Financing Facility*. Retrieved MAY 9, 2024, from www.worldbank.org: <https://www.worldbank.org/en/topic/pandemics/brief/pandemic-emergency-financing-facility>
2. Chataway, C. J. (1998). Track II Diplomacy: From a Track I Perspective. *NEGOTIATION JOURNAL*, 269-287.

3. DYKE, G. J. (2017). Model UN and Model EU Programs. *INTERNATIONAL STUDIES* .
4. Haaf, W. (. (2010). Commercial diplomacy and the role of embassies., *UNIVERSITY OF TWENTE* , 51.
5. JONES, P. (2018). Ethical Dilemmas of Back-Channel Diplomacy: Necessary Secrecy or a Secret Foreign Policy? . *HAGUE JOURNAL OF DIPLOMACY* .
6. MADHUBANI, K. (2022). MULTILATERAL DIPLOMACY. In K. MADHUBANI, *THE ASIAN 21ST CENTURY* (pp. 231-245). SPRINGER.
7. PIB. (2020, MARCH 26). *Press Release on the Extraordinary Virtual G20 Leaders' Summit*. Retrieved MAY 9, 2024, from www.mea.gov.in: https://www.mea.gov.in/press-releases.htm?dtl/32600/Press_Release_on_the_Extraordinary_Virtual_G20_Leaders_Summit
8. SCHNEIDER, C. P. (2010). The Unrealized Potential of Cultural Diplomacy: “Best Practices” and What Could Be, If Only.... *The Journal of Arts Management, Law, and Society* , 260-279.
9. WHO. (n.d.). *COVAX- WORKING FOR GLOBAL EQUITABLE ACCESS TO COVID-19 VACCINES*. Retrieved MAY 9, 2024, from www.who.int: <https://www.who.int/initiatives/act-accelerator/covax>
10. WONG, B. (2020, MARCH 25). *China's Mask Diplomacy*. Retrieved MAY 9, 2024, from www.thediplomat.com: <https://thediplomat.com/2020/03/chinas-mask-diplomacy/>