

Unveiling the Political Veil of Terrorism: Deconstructing the Misconception of Religious Association

Samayra Mahajan¹, Ayaan Gupta², Chhavi Sharma³

¹Heritage School jammu

^{2,3}Student, Heritage School

Abstract:

This paper probes the complex relationship between religion and terrorism and contests the common thought that terrorism is an outcome of religious affiliation. Based on a careful analysis of both historical origins of terrorism and events of today, the report concludes that terrorism is fundamentally political, a species of warfare — it is essential to state the obvious (and this has historically been less clear than one may think) — just as it is vital not to obfuscate the sinister under the mien of religion. This paper unpacks the tactics routinely employed by terrorist organizations and the susceptibility of individual perpetrators; exposing religion as a mitigating circumstance of violent episodes that otherwise may not have taken place or would have been rationalized in a different narrative of social injustice. By relying on empirical evidence and the opinions of scholars, the study shows that it is of utmost importance to separate religion from terrorism since acts are often carried out by people who hide behind religious beliefs to engage in terrorism and gain popularity.

Keywords: Terrorism, Political violence Warfare Religious, extremism, Tactics

Introduction

This paper aims at exploring the relationship between religion and terrorism to question the widely held view that religion is the main cause of terrorism. This paper posits that through the analysis of historical backgrounds and current occurrences, terrorism is essentially a political war. It underlines the necessity of recognizing terrorism as a political phenomenon and, at the same time, tries not to overlook the true nature of terrorism behind religious mask. Thus, through the analysis of the strategies used by terrorist groups and their most susceptible members, the paper brings into focus religion as the key factor that ignites violent acts that might otherwise not occur or could be channelled in a different direction, such as the struggle for social justice. The study therefore, based on the literature review and data collected, supports the notion that religion and terrorism should not be linked, because the latter usually uses religious organization as a means and cover to perpetrate their acts.

Literature review

Research on religion and terrorism is vast and complex, and the connection between the two remains a hotly debated topic. There remains no easy answer to the question of whether religion is the main reason for terrorism or if it is simply used to give an otherwise political act legitimacy.

Historical approaches are very informative in terms of understanding the dynamics of terrorism and its relation to religion. The history of terrorism can be traced back to anarchist movements in the nineteenth and twentieth centuries, which were secular in motivation. Nevertheless, starting from the second half of the twentieth century, religion-based terrorist organizations like Hezbollah and Hamas appeared and their goals were not always purely religious.

Religious aspect in terrorism has been a hidden agenda of debate among scholars. On one hand, some people assert that religious dogmas lead to radicalism and violence and on the other hand, some people argue that such views are misleading and do not capture the essence of various religions. Furthermore, critics have also pointed to the social and political context of terrorism, which may include such factors like poverty, exclusion, and political rivalry.

Research findings are helpful in understanding the characteristics and potential reasons for the engagement in terrorist activities by people. These studies have suggested that while religion could be a major motive behind radicalization and recruitment, it is not the only factor and is often linked with other factors like social relations, individual grudges, and psychological susceptibilities.

Recent attempts to combat terrorism have emphasized the need to comprehend how religion, politics, and violence are tangled up with each other. Measures that have been taken in order to prevent and counter terrorism include both military and civil methods, from the use of force to social inclusion and even reorientation of the radicals. However, the effectiveness of these approaches is still an area of discussion and study.

Overall, the analysis of religion and terrorism in the current study shows that there is no one-size-fit-all approach to explaining and addressing political violence. It is therefore with the intent of understanding the causes of religious extremism as well as the best ways of countering terrorism that scholars embark on a research process that entails the study of historical precedents, empirical literature, and theoretical frameworks.

Objectives

1. Analyze the role of religion in terrorism and its impact on perpetuating misconceptions regarding the drivers of political violence.
2. Investigate the necessity of separating religious ideologies from acts of terrorism to develop more targeted and effective counterterrorism measures.

Analyse the role of religion in terrorism and its impact on perpetuating misconceptions regarding the drivers of political violence.

Research on religion and terrorism is vast and complex, and the connection between the two remains a hotly debated topic. There remains no easy answer to the question of whether religion is the main reason for terrorism or if it is simply used to give an otherwise political act legitimacy.

Historical approaches are very informative in terms of understanding the dynamics of terrorism and its relation to religion. The history of terrorism can be traced back to anarchist movements in the nineteenth and **twentieth** centuries, which were secular in motivation. Nevertheless, starting from the second half of the twentieth century, religion-based terrorist organizations like Hezbollah and Hamas appeared and their goals were not always purely religious.

Religious aspect in terrorism has been a hidden agenda of debate among scholars. On one hand, some people assert that religious dogmas lead to radicalism and violence and on the other hand, some people

argue that such views are misleading and do not capture the essence of various religions. Furthermore, critics have also pointed to the social and political context of terrorism, which may include such factors like poverty, exclusion, and political rivalry.

Research findings are helpful in understanding the characteristics and potential reasons for the engagement in terrorist activities by people. These studies have suggested that while religion could be a major motive behind radicalization and recruitment, it is not the only factor and is often linked with other factors like social relations, individual grudges, and psychological susceptibilities.

Recent attempts to combat terrorism have emphasized the need to comprehend how religion, politics, and violence are tangled up with each other. Measures that have been taken in order to prevent and counter terrorism include both military and civil methods, from the use of force to social inclusion and even reorientation of the radicals. However, the effectiveness of these approaches is still an area of discussion and study.

Overall, the analysis of religion and terrorism in the current study shows that there is no one-size-fit-all approach to explaining and addressing political violence. It is therefore with the intent of understanding the causes of religious extremism as well as the best ways of countering terrorism that scholars embark on a research process that entails the study of historical precedents, empirical literature, and theoretical frameworks.

Investigate the necessity of separating religious ideologies from acts of terrorism to develop more targeted and effective counterterrorism measures.

The second objective pertains to the assessment of the feasibility of the separation of religion from terrorism in order to strengthen counter-terrorism measures. This entails identifying how equating religion with terrorism can sustain prejudice, marginalize religious minorities, and hamper the fight against the causes of terrorism. The goal therefore seeks to remove the religious aspect from terrorism with the aim of coming up with more precise and factual based strategies for dealing with radicalization, challenging the narratives of extremism and enhancing social integration. In addition, it aims at expanding the concept of religious leaders and communities as potential partners in combating terrorism rather than the enemies. In conclusion, the objective highlights the need to adopt a more contextualised and holistic approach to counter-terrorism that appreciates the complex factors that underpin religious extremism and its interactions with political systems.



The figure is a diagram illustrating the tactics and objectives associated with insurgent terrorism. It shows how various actions taken by insurgent terrorists are aimed at achieving specific goals. Here's an explanation of each component:

Insurgent Terrorism

Insurgent terrorism is the central theme, which is connected to various objectives and tactics through a network of lines.

Objectives (Left Side)

These are the broader goals that insurgent terrorists aim to achieve:

1. Demonstrating the vulnerability of authorities: Showing that authorities are not invincible or omnipotent.
2. Using repression by authorities: Exploiting heavy-handed tactics by authorities to gain sympathy or support.
3. Demoralizing the government and its adherents and troops: Weakening the morale of the government forces and their supporters.
4. Winning or enlarging public sympathy: Gaining support or sympathy from the general population.
5. Radicalizing the people or polarizing the political situation: Making people more extreme in their views or creating deep political divisions.
6. Presenting violent deeds as necessary or heroic: Framing violent actions as justified or valorous.
7. Bringing about a shift from assets to liability: Turning government assets or supporters into liabilities through fear or other means.

Tactics (Right Side)

These are the specific actions taken to achieve the objectives:

1. Committing violent deeds because of their news value: Performing acts of violence to attract media attention.
2. Engaging in supporting and recruitment activities: Building a support base and recruiting new members.
3. Choosing optimal time and place for action: Strategically planning attacks for maximum impact.
4. Issuing statements: Making public declarations to communicate their message or claim responsibility.
5. Keeping in contact with journalists and giving interviews: Using media to spread their message.
6. Claiming responsibility for terrorist actions: Publicly acknowledging their role in attacks to gain recognition or instill fear.
7. Bringing powerful symbols into play: Using symbols or significant targets to enhance the impact of their actions.

Connections

Each objective on the left is connected to various tactics on the right, indicating that multiple actions can contribute to achieving a single goal, and each action can serve multiple objectives.

For example:

- Demonstrating the vulnerability of authorities might be achieved by committing violent deeds and claiming responsibility.
- Winning or enlarging public sympathy could involve issuing statements, engaging in supporting and recruitment activities, and keeping in contact with journalists.

This interconnectedness highlights the complexity of insurgent terrorism strategies, where each tactic is carefully chosen to serve multiple objectives, thereby maximizing their impact.

Conclusion

Therefore, it is important to note that religion and terrorism are interlinked in a very complex manner and cannot be associated with simplistic explanations that make terrorism a result of religion. As discussed in this paper, terrorism is a complex phenomenon with the religion being one of the factors that may contribute to the emergence of terrorism. Although religion may be among the factors that incite persons or groups to engage in terrorism, it is crucial to understand that it is often exploited for political objectives by terrorist organisations.

In addition, equating religion with terror promotes prejudice and prejudices people of a certain belief to the extent that it weakens attempts to eradicate the sources of terrorism. In this way, we can disentangle the religious beliefs from terrorism in order to design better strategies to prevent terrorism, manage grievances, and support social inclusion.

From here on, it is very important to include religious leaders and groups in the fight against terrorism and consider them as partners who can help prevent radicalization and promote tolerance. Furthermore, policymakers and practitioners must avoid overly general and rigid approaches toward countering terrorism and other forms of political violence and pay attention to the specific reasons and circumstances that lead to the emergence of violence.

In conclusion, it is evident that it is possible to rid religion from terrorism and eradicate the causes of terrorism so as to promote safety for all.

References:

1. Al-Khattar, A. M. (2003). *Religion and Terrorism: An Interfaith Perspective*. <https://ixtheo.de/Record/351114017>
2. Fooling ourselves: self-deception in politics, religion, and terrorism. (2009). *Choice/Choice Reviews*, 46(10), 46–5909. <https://doi.org/10.5860/choice.46-5909>
3. Juergensmeyer, M. (2017). Does Religion Cause Terrorism? In *Cambridge University Press eBooks* (pp. 11–22). <https://doi.org/10.1017/9781316492536.002>
4. Ranstorp, M. (1996). *Terrorism in the Name of Religion*. 50(1), 41–62. <https://www.questia.com/library/journal/1G1-18623941/terrorism-in-the-name-of-religion>
5. The Cambridge Companion to Religion and Terrorism. (2017). In *Cambridge University Press eBooks*. <https://doi.org/10.1017/9781316492536>
6. The new terrorism: fanaticism and the arms of mass destruction. (2000). *Choice/Choice Reviews*, 37(05), 37–3028. <https://doi.org/10.5860/choice.37-3028>
7. Zanca, R. (2005). Believing in God at Your Own Risk: Religion and Terrorisms in Uzbekistan. *Religion, State & Society*, 33(1), 71–82. <https://doi.org/10.1080/0963749042000330866>
8. Kingsley, O. (2010). *Religion and Terrorism: A Socio-Historical Re- Consideration*. [http://www.japss.org/upload/4._Okoro_\[1\].pdf](http://www.japss.org/upload/4._Okoro_[1].pdf)