Competitive Advantage from Technology Adoption and Innovation as a Factors of Success in Start-ups from NCR

Bikash Mukherjee[1], Dr. Jagat Narayan Giri[2]

[1]Ph.D. Scholar, School of Business, *Sushant University, Gurugram*
[2]Professor, School of Business, *Sushant University, Gurugram*

Abstract

Adoption of technology and innovation are important factors which determine the success of startups. Technology assists the venture in enhancing efficiencies, optimizing resources, and scaling the business across geographies. Implementing innovation in products and processes enables the organization to stay ahead of other players in the sector. Thus, technology adoption and innovation offer competitive advantages to the startup which helps it to survive and thrive. This study explores the adoption of technology and implementation of innovation in startups in the Delhi-National Capital Region to determine the impact on the success of startups. Qualitative research methodology is used to conduct interviews with 11 founders of startups in this region to explore the influence of adopting technology and innovation in providing competitive advantage to the startup. Thematic analysis is used to arrive at emergent patterns. The themes emerging from this study which influence technology adoption and innovation in startups are Innovation, funding, persistence, risk taking, external environment.

Keywords: Startup, Entrepreneurship, Competitive advantage, Innovation, Technology adoption

Introduction

Success of start-ups in Delhi NCR is intricately tied to their agile adoption of technology and commitment to continuous innovation, enabling these ventures to lead markets through differentiation, customer-centricity, and a commitment to staying at the forefront of technological trends in this dynamic and rapidly evolving business environment. From advanced data analytics to cloud computing and artificial intelligence, these ventures embrace cutting-edge technologies to streamline processes, enhance efficiency, and drive informed decision-making. This technological prowess not only optimizes internal operations but also positions these start-ups as agile and adaptive players in the competitive landscape. Innovation emerges as a hallmark of success, with start-ups continually pushing boundaries to introduce novel products, services, or business models. This fosters differentiation, allowing these ventures to carve out unique value propositions in saturated markets. The ability to offer something new or disrupt traditional models contributes to heightened competitiveness. Start-ups leverage innovative technologies to enhance user experiences, tailoring products or services to meet evolving consumer needs. This customer-centric approach not only fosters loyalty but also positions these start-ups at the forefront of market trends, reinforcing their leadership status.

Start-ups are often faced with intense competition in the global market, which pose challenges to their success. By effectively adopting and implementing technology in their processes, start-ups can overcome these challenges. By adopting new technologies and embracing innovation, start-ups can get an edge over competitors through unique products or services that address the evolving requirements of customers. There exists a complex interplay between technology adoption, innovation, and competitive advantage in the start-up ecosystem. The impact of service innovation on competitive advantage can be influenced by environmental factors. Santos-Vijande et al. (2021) emphasize the criticality of technology adoption and innovation in achieving a competitive advantage for start-ups.

In today's competitive business environment, start-ups need to continuously adopt innovative technologies in order to gain a competitive advantage. These technologies can help streamline processes, improve efficiency, and enhance the quality of products or services offered by start-ups. By effectively leveraging technology adoption and innovation, start-ups can stand out in the market, attract customers, and achieve long-term success. As start-ups embrace new technologies and innovative approaches, they not only differentiate themselves from competitors but also revolutionize the way products or services are developed, delivered, and experienced by customers. The seamless integration of technology allows start-ups to create unique value propositions that cater to the evolving needs and expectations of their target audience.

In the pursuit of success, start-ups are confronted with the challenge of making strategic choices and allocating resources effectively, particularly in resource-constrained environments. The adoption of technology and a commitment to innovation significantly influence these decisions, as they enable start-ups to identify and prioritize opportunities that align with their long-term viability and sustainable growth. Going beyond the generation of innovative ideas, the effective commercialization of these innovations and intellectual property emerges as a pivotal factor in start-up success. Technology adoption and innovation empower start-ups to not only create ground breaking solutions but also to bring them to market in a compelling and impactful manner, thereby securing their position in the competitive landscape.

Central to achieving success in the start-up ecosystem is the development of scalable and sustainable business models. Technology adoption and innovation play a pivotal role in enabling start-ups to build adaptable and resilient structures, coupled with dynamic capabilities that facilitate continuous adaptation to market conditions, ensuring their long-term relevance and competitiveness. In essence, the impact of technology adoption and innovation on start-up success extends far beyond the creation of a competitive advantage. It permeates every facet of a start-up's journey, from strategic decision-making to commercialization efforts, and from business model development to dynamic capabilities. The interplay of these elements underscores the transformative and indispensable role of technology and innovation in shaping the success trajectory of start-ups.

The study of adoption of technology is a key topic of study, especially in startups as it helps reduce labor and production costs, adds value and enhances the competitive advantage (Nguyen et al., 2009) by strengthening business processes (Acar et al., 2005). The adoption and effective utilization of technology have been shown save costs, improve efficiency, and increase customer satisfaction, thereby enhancing a start-up's competitiveness in the market. Additionally, innovation has emerged as a pivotal driver of growth and competitive advantage for start-ups, enabling them offer unique value to customers through differentiation.

Furthermore, the role of technology adoption and innovation in enhancing start-ups' access to resources, attracting investors, and establishing strategic partnerships has been highlighted in various studies. These

findings underscore the positive impact of technology adoption and innovation on a start-up's ability to differentiate itself and achieve sustainable growth and success. Effective management of intellectual property, internal organization characteristics, and the perceived attributes of innovation have been identified as significant determinants of a start-up's competitive advantage.

In summary, the literature review indicates that technology adoption and innovation play essential roles in providing start-ups with a competitive advantage, influencing their access to resources, differentiation in the market, and sustainable growth. These findings underline the multifaceted impact of technology adoption on the success of start-ups, highlighting its significance as a strategic enabler in the highly competitive business environment.

Literature Review

This section gives a robust analysis of previous research on competitive advantage from technology adoption and innovation as factors of success in start-ups. Several studies have highlighted the criticality of technology adoption and innovation in providing start-ups with a competitive advantage (Assyne & Wiafe, 2019). Academics have delved into what drives start-ups to embrace digital innovations, relying on a mix of theoretical models and hands-on investigations to unravel the intricate forces involved. This overview consolidates essential findings from current scholarship to offer an in-depth perspective on what propels and hinders technology uptake among new businesses, as well as the consequences of these decisions (Emon et al., 2023).

The Technology-Organization-Environment framework (Emon et al., 2023), stands as a foundational model for examining how businesses in the entrepreneurial sphere adopt technology. According to this framework, the decision to implement a new technology is shaped by a trio of influences: the features of the technology itself, the internal aspects of the organization, and the external environmental context. Technological attributes like how complex or compatible the technology is, and its potential advantages, impact how beneficial or risky the technology appears. Features internal to the organization, such as its size, its structural setup, and its available resources, determine the organization's ability and willingness to integrate new technological solutions. Meanwhile, external elements like industry competition, regulatory demands, and sector standards exert outside influence, presenting both challenges and openings that affect decisions concerning technology adoption.

Contemporary studies have applied and expanded the TOE framework to look closely at technology adoption in particular situations, like the utilization of cloud computing and the advancements in artificial intelligence. Research by Gupta et al. (2016) outlined how attributes such as cost reduction, the ability to scale, and adaptability are propelling the embracement of cloud computing by start-ups, while issues relating to data safety and confidentiality are seen as substantial deterrents. In a parallel vein, Lee et al. (2020) posited elements including the support of executive leadership, the firm's IT groundwork, and the prevailing corporate ethos as vital influencers in the uptake of AI technology within start-up environments, underscoring the critical role played by both an organization's internal capabilities and the pressures from the larger environment. Beyond the TOE model, researchers have utilized innovation diffusion concepts to comprehend how entrepreneurs adopt new technologies. The Diffusion of Innovations theory, introduced by Rogers (2003) suggests that the uptake of novel technologies takes on the form of a bell curve. In this sequence, innovators and early adopters initially embrace the technology, succeeded by an early and then a late majority, with the laggards trailing behind in adoption.

The concept of innovation diffusion is an integral part to understanding entrepreneurs' adoption of technology. According to this theory, technology uptake progresses along a bell curve, initiated by innovators and followed by the early adopters, with subsequent adoption by the early and late majorities, and finally the laggards. This framework emphasizes how social networks and the effect of peers are pivotal in encouraging the adoption of technology, alongside the influence of an innovation's apparent relative advantage and its compatibility on an individual's decision to adopt it. Current studies have applied these principles of diffusion theory to examine technological uptake within the realms of social networking and smartphone apps (Zhou et al., 2018). For instance, research by Emon and Nipa (2024) revealed that entrepreneurs are more inclined to adopt innovative technologies that are seen as being aligned with their current social networks and are sanctioned by favorable feedback from their peers. Additionally, research by Liu et al. (2019) pinpointed factors like perceived usefulness, user-friendliness, and social influence as key indicators of how likely start-ups are to adopt mobile applications, thus underscoring the significance of both personal views and the broader social environment in decisions related to technology adoption.

Studies have looked into how embracing technology affects the success, inventiveness, and market edge of companies. Chircu and Kauffman (2019) determined that startups utilizing digital tools like cloud services and big data tend to be more innovative and productive, resulting in better economic results and enhanced competitiveness. Wu et al. (2021) discovered that startups investing in AI report elevated customer satisfaction and loyalty, in addition to increased market presence and financial success. Additionally, recent inquiries have delved into how adopting technology influences the startup environment and the overall industry. Autio et al. (2018) posited that startups employing digital tech like AI and blockchain are more adept at drawing investments and skilled professionals and are more inclined to engage with other companies and institutions in their network.

In a similar vein, the work of Stam et al. (2020) indicates that when startups implement digital technologies, it can catalyze sweeping changes across industries, challenging conventional business practices and paving the way for new market prospects. The body of literature concerning technology adoption by entrepreneurs offers a deep dive into the intricate interplay between innovation and shifts within organizations. Yet, predominantly, such research has utilized quantitative approaches like surveys and statistical evaluations, potentially neglecting the nuanced understanding that qualitative methods such as detailed interviews and case studies can offer. Hence, there is a need for future research that integrates qualitative inquiry alongside quantitative studies to fully appreciate the context-driven aspects that influence how startups embrace technology.

Start-ups and small businesses facilitate innovation, job opportunities, and growth in economies worldwide (Cooper, 2024). These enterprises operate in dynamic and constantly evolving business environments, where technology has become a vital component for their success. Technology adoption and innovation are key factors that contribute to the competitive advantage of start-ups and small businesses (Santisteban et al., 2021). By embracing and effectively utilizing technology, these enterprises can differentiate themselves from competitors, enhance their efficiency and productivity, improve customer engagement and satisfaction, and ultimately achieve profitability and long-term sustainability (Cooper, 2024). Technology adoption and innovation are key to success of start-ups and small businesses in today's competitive business landscape. This research paper aims to explore the role of technology adoption and innovation as factors of success in entrepreneurial start-ups.

Technology adoption has become a critical aspect for the success of start-ups in today's competitive business landscape. Start-ups that effectively integrate technology into their operations gain a significant competitive advantage, allowing them to innovate, streamline processes, and deliver exceptional value to their customers. In this paper, we will delve into the various ways in which technology adoption influences the success of start-ups, from enhancing operational efficiency to creating new market opportunities. Understanding the impact of technology adoption on the success of start-ups is crucial for entrepreneurs and business leaders as they navigate the ever-changing business environment.

Start-ups can build a competitive advantage by leveraging technology in various ways. One of the key benefits of technology adoption is the ability to streamline processes and operations, leading to cost savings and improved efficiency. By implementing advanced software solutions and automation tools, start-ups can optimize their workflows, reduce manual errors, and speed up their time-to-market. In addition, technology adoption enables start-ups to enhance their customer engagement and satisfaction. Through the use of customer relationship management systems, data analytics, and personalized communication channels, start-ups can better understand their customers' needs and preferences, leading to tailored products and services that meet market demands effectively. This tailored strategy not only reinforces the allegiance of existing customers, but it also draws in new ones via favorable recommendations and word-of-mouth publicity.

Moreover, start-ups can harness technology to drive innovation and create new market opportunities. By embracing new technologies such as block chain, internet of things, and artificial intelligence, start-ups can develop unique and disruptive solutions that set them apart from traditional competitors. This innovative edge allows start-ups to enter untapped market segments, pioneer new business models, and stay ahead of industry trends. Technology adoption and innovation are powerful tools that enable start-ups to establish a competitive advantage in the market. By embracing technology as a strategic enabler, start-ups can drive efficiency, enhance customer satisfaction, and foster innovation, ultimately leading to sustainable growth. In today's fiercely competitive commercial environment, innovation is a critical driver of competitive advantage for start-ups. By staying ahead of industry trends and consumer needs, start-ups can develop innovative solutions that meet the changing demands of the market.

In addition to innovative solutions, innovation provides competitive advantage through cost reduction and operational efficiency. By adopting innovative technologies and processes, start-ups can streamline their workflows, reduce manual errors, and speed up their time-to-market. This not only enhances their productivity and profitability but also allows them to offer competitive pricing and better value to customers. Furthermore, innovation enables start-ups to foster a culture of creativity and adaptability, which are essential for staying relevant and competitive in dynamic business environments. By encouraging an innovative mindset and continuous improvement, start-ups can adapt to changes, seize new opportunities, and overcome challenges more effectively.

Another crucial aspect of innovation is its potential to attract investment and partnerships. Start-ups that demonstrate a commitment to innovation and a track record of developing path breaking solutions are more likely to gain the interest of investors, strategic partners, and industry stakeholders. This provides them with the resources and support necessary to scale their operations, expand their market reach, and solidify their position in the industry. Moreover, innovation allows start-ups to create strong brand differentiation and a compelling value proposition. By offering unique and innovative products or services, start-ups can stand out in crowded markets, capture the attention of consumers, and build a loyal customer

base. This differentiation not only reinforces their competitive advantage but also establishes a strong brand identity that resonates with their target audience.

Embracing innovation is paramount for start-ups to achieve and maintain competitive advantage in the market. By continuously evolving, leveraging cutting-edge technologies, and fostering a culture of creativity, start-ups can position themselves as leaders in their respective industries, driving growth, and long-term success.

Theoretical Framework

Technology Adoption

Khasawneh and Ibrahim (2008) describe technology adoption as the initial usage or endorsement of fresh technology or a novel product. Recognized as a willful action by an individual (Musawa and Wahab, 2012), the process of adopting technology is accounted for by a multitude of theories and models, including the Technology Acceptance Model (TAM) introduced by Davis (1989) and the Unified Theory of Acceptance and Use of Technology (UTAUT) put forth by Venkatesh et al. (2003).

Liu et al. (2008) delineated technology adoption across three tiers: the individual user, collective groups or teams, and the broader organizational structure. It has been posited that models such as the Theory of Reasoned Action, the Theory of Planned Behavior, and UTAUT are primarily tailored to forecast technology adoption at an individual level, with somewhat limited application to organizations (Oliveira and Martins, 2011). In contrast, the TAM and the Technology-Organization-Environment framework are extensively employed to investigate technology adoption within organizational settings. TAM, in particular, has been consistently validated and is recognized as a potent and predominant framework for explaining organizational adoption of technology, as highlighted in research by King and He (2006). Furthermore, critical examinations of TAM-focussed studies have uncovered considerable theoretical and pragmatic endorsement for TAM and its derivatives over time, making it the go-to model for Information Systems researchers, as asserted by Legris et al. (2003).

TAM has proven to be highly effective in accounting for variances in users' behavioral intentions concerning the adoption and use of information technology across assorted environments, as identified by Hong et al. (2006). It forecasts IT acceptance and actual utilization in professional settings and elucidates the factors influencing user acceptance of various end-user computing solutions (Davis, 1986). Advantages of TAM include its simplicity and its capacity to offer a comprehensive understanding of IT adoption by a broad spectrum of users in various organizational contexts, establishing it as a leading framework for examining the acceptance of technology among users.

The Technology Adoption Model is a widely recognized framework that studies the manner of adoption and use of new technologies by users. It is particularly relevant for start-ups and small businesses looking to integrate technology into their operations effectively. TAM studies the factors that influence users' behavioural intention to adopt a technology, as well as their actual usage behaviour. The adoption of technology in start-ups is affected by several elements, including perceived usefulness (PU) and perceived ease of use (PEOU), organizational readiness, external pressures, and resource availability (Davis, 1989; Thong, 1999). The TAM model consists of two key components:

a. Perceived Usefulness

PU is the belief of the user that the technology will increase their productivity. For start-ups, understanding the perceived usefulness of a new technology is critical in gaining employee buy-in and support for

adoption. By demonstrating how the technology can streamline processes, improve efficiency, or enable better decision-making, start-ups can increase acceptance among their teams.

b. Perceived Ease of Use

PEOU is the user's perception of the ease of using technology. Start-ups need to consider the user interface, training requirements, and potential barriers to adoption when evaluating PEOU. By creating simple user interfaces and providing training to their employees and customers, start-ups can enhance the ease of use and minimize resistance to adopting new technologies.

Application of Technology Adoption Model (TAM) in Start-ups

Start-ups can leverage the TAM framework to assess and enhance their technology adoption strategies. By conducting surveys, interviews, or user testing, start-ups can gather insights into the PU and PEOU of potential technologies. This information can guide decision-making, investment, and implementation plans, ensuring that the chosen technologies align with user needs and expectations. Additionally, TAM can help start-ups identify potential impediments to adopting technology, such as resistance to make a change, lack of training, or concerns about security and data privacy. By addressing these barriers proactively, start-ups can create a supportive environment for technology adoption and minimize disruptions to their operations. Incorporating the TAM framework into their technology adoption strategies, start-ups can effectively evaluate, select, and implement technologies that drive competitive advantage, innovativeness, and success in today's fiercely competitive environment.

Organizational readiness, including leadership support, organizational culture, and infrastructure, plays a significant role in technology adoption. Start-ups with a supportive leadership and a culture that fosters innovation have a greater possibility of adopting new technologies. Moreover, external pressures and customer demands, drive start-ups to adopt technologies that enable them to differentiate their offerings and stay ahead in the industry. However, the availability of resources, including financial, human, and technical resources, also dictates the extent to which start-ups can adopt and leverage new technologies.

Impediments to technology adoption, such as lack of training, and concerns about security and data privacy, are also identified and addressed through the application of technology adoption models in start-ups. By proactively addressing these barriers, start-ups create a supportive environment for technology adoption and minimize disruptions to their operations, thereby accelerating the integration and impact of new technologies in their business processes.

Determinants of TAM model

TAM aims to clarify the link between an individual's acceptance and subsequent intention to use a technology, highlighting PU and PEOU as the main factors driving system adoption (Au and Zafar, 2008). The model posits that PEOU enhances PU since technologies that users find easy to handle tend to be deemed more beneficial (Santisteban et al., 2021). Empirical evidence has consistently demonstrated that PEOU and PU jointly account for about 40% of the variation in an individual's technology acceptance and usage, as laid out in the continual validation of TAM's robustness through research (Wu et al., 2021).

Extension of TAM

To evaluate organization-wide IT acceptance and uptake, expansions of the original Technology Acceptance Model, specifically TAM2 and TAM3, have incorporated a wide array of antecedents influencing perceived usefulness and perceived ease of use. Citing Wu (2011) and King and He (2006),

four types of alterations fostered TAM's progression: the introduction of new external antecedents; the revision of predictive variables; adjustments to moderating variables; and the use of different outcome measures. At the heart of all TAM variations lie three key constructs: perceived usefulness, perceived ease of use, and behavioral intention. Within this central framework, behavioral intention is shaped by both perceived usefulness and ease of use, with perceived usefulness also being affected by ease of use. Therefore, these two fundamental constructs significantly mold user attitudes and intentions when it comes to adopting new technology systems (Wu, 2011,; Lee et al., 2020).

Research Methodology

The study's research design focused on examining the competitive edge encountered by startups through the adoption of technology and innovation. Opting for a qualitative methodology, the study aimed to delve deeply into the drivers and hurdles influencing decisions to embrace technology within startup enterprises. To gather and dissect participant data, researchers used semi-structured interviews and thematic analysis. Purposeful sampling was employed to include participants who were founders, executives, and tech leaders hailing from an array of startups active in different segments of the digital economy.

Individuals were chosen for their active role in the decision-making process about embracing technology in their organizations, aiming to encompass a wide range of viewpoints and experiences. Semi-structured interviews provided a platform to probe into each participant's opinions, mindsets, and personal experiences with technology adoption. The interview protocol was crafted to extract comprehensive details on the elements that shape decisions to adopt technology, encompassing driving forces, obstacles, and the effects of such decisions. These discussions took place face-to-face or through Google Meet, adapting to the convenience and schedules of the participants.

The study comprised 11 interviews, each with an average duration of 45 minutes. Transcriptions were made word-for-word to facilitate thorough analysis. Data was gathered across two months, providing ample scope to deeply examine the research theme. A thematic analysis of the interview transcripts was performed to pinpoint essential themes and trends in start-up technology adoption. Through iterative refinement of these themes, the study ensured the credibility and reliability of its conclusions.

Throughout the research, ethical aspects were meticulously considered. Participants gave informed consent before engaging in the study, and steps were taken to safeguard their privacy and confidentiality. Anonymity was guaranteed to maintain confidentiality. The methodology used in this research facilitated an extensive investigation of the patterns of technology adoption in entrepreneurial startups. The merging of semi-structured interviews with thematic analysis yielded detailed qualitative data on what motivates, challenges, and strategies influence the adoption of technology in these business ventures.

Findings

Analyzing themes within the interview data revealed a number of significant insights into how entrepreneurial startups adopt technology. These insights shed light on the driving forces, obstacles, and tactics that play a role in startup decisions to implement new technologies, as well as illuminating the wider consequences for innovation and changes within organizations. The themes are given below.

1. **Innovation:**

The interviews revealed a recurring theme: the belief that embracing technology is crucial for fostering innovation and competition in the digital economy. A number of attendees underscored the significance of maintaining a competitive edge through the utilization of state-of-the-art digital technology to

distinguish their products and provide distinctive value propositions to clients. "Innovation is at the core of what we do," said one attendee. To be current and competitive, we must continuously push the envelope and investigate new technology." Additionally, participants emphasized how their use of technology has allowed them to challenge established business strategies and open up new markets. Start-ups were able to take on established players, break into untapped areas, and change industry norms by adopting digital innovation. "We realized that IT was the main asset which we should have focused on long time back."

2. Funding:

Lack of adequate funding was seen as a key theme which emerged from the respondents. This barrier hindered the startups ability to purchase new technologies. Multiple participants observed that the pricing of good quality technologies was very high, especially since there were at the nascent stages and could not afford to purchase the technologies that they wanted. "The price of even digital marketing is so expensive. How can we spend the money first without having any assurance of the sales it will generate?". Another respondent remarked "The cost of cloud workspace from prominent players has been increased per user recently. It is a fixed cost for us and on top of that, the sales are unpredictable." Yet another commented, "I wish we can secure some funding fast as our cash is running out soon. I don't know what will happen." Many respondents felt that hiring good talent was another area which required funding. "The candidates are asking for the sun and moon these days….there is no loyalty…gone are the days of 10 to 15 percent hikes for developers."

3. Persistence:

A key theme that surfaced from the interviews was the critical role of the founder's persistence in the adoption of technology. "There were many in my company who resisted the new technology. They were more comfortable with how things had been for many years. But I persisted and convinced most of them individually." Another respondent remarked, "It took me seven months to convince my team to start working on our new CRM software." Persistence seemed to be important for most founders if they wanted their team members to adopt technology.

4. Risk taking:

Certain participants displayed a more cautious approach, favoring the adoption of long-standing solutions having proven track records, while others were open to embracing disruptive technologies despite their inherent risks. "My employees were worried about security lapses, invasions of privacy, and problems with regulatory compliance, among other possible hazards connected to the use of new technologies. Almost all of them thought adopting technology would be risky and our data would get stolen or destroyed in a blink of an eye", remarked a respondent. Another respondent remarked, "some of my senior team members had even resigned as they felt it would be an invasion of privacy. Some found other jobs and left the organization as they thought implementing IT would cause them to lose their jobs anyway." A respondent commented "at one stage even I thought that all our data could be sent to our competitors…but I took a risk and moved forward anyway."

5. External environment:

The interviews also provided insight into how start-ups' adoption of technology is influenced by the larger entrepreneurial ecosystem. The impact of external stakeholders, including as investors, networks, and government policies on their technology adoption decisions was emphasized by the participants. Technology adoption was shown to be significantly influenced by stakeholder expectations and external pressures such as investor preferences and market trends.

One respondent remarked "we are a part of a larger ecosystem, if we don't keep up, we will be left behind." Another respondent noted "if our competitors are adopting technology, we have no choice but to do the same."

The findings from the thematic analysis offer insightful information on the intricate interplays of technology adoption among enterprising start-ups. The results highlight the significance of innovation, funding, persistence, risk, and external environmental dynamics in determining the patterns of technology adoption in early-stage businesses. Furthermore, the results bear significance for academics and professionals who aim to comprehend and maneuver the dynamic terrain of technology and innovation in entrepreneurship.

Discussion

The discussion segment offers a chance to contextualize the study's results with prior research, consider their theoretical and practical implications, and suggest directions for future inquiry. The qualitative insights from this research illuminate the intricacies of how entrepreneurial startups on the digital vanguard adopt technology. This part will delve into the central themes uncovered by the study and what they reveal about technology adoption within the entrepreneurial landscape.

Innovation is the first theme that came out of the analysis. The significance of technology adoption in propelling innovation and distinction within their respective sectors was emphasized by the participants. Technology was seen by many start-ups as a way to obtain a competitive advantage and provide distinctive value propositions to clients. This result is in line with earlier studies (Autio et al., 2018) that highlighted the strategic significance of innovation for start-up success. Start-ups can position themselves for sustained growth by embracing digital technology, which can increase their product offers, efficacy in operations, and creation of new avenues of revenue (Emon et al., 2023).

Funding is the second theme that the analysis revealed. When it comes to committing financial resources to technology initiatives, entrepreneurs are sometimes faced with challenging choices due to limited budgets and conflicting goals. It is also more difficult to adopt and manage complicated digital solutions due to a lack of technical knowledge and competence. This result is consistent with earlier studies (Damanpour and Aravind, 2012) that showed resource limitations as a major hurdle to technology adoption in the entrepreneurial setting. To overcome budgetary limitations and optimize the use of scarce resources on technology adoption initiatives, innovative approaches like outsourcing, forming strategic alliances, or utilizing open source technologies are needed.

The third theme of persistence is the entrepreneur founder's forbearance and patience in sticking to their objectives. If he or she wishes to encourage the team to use technology, they must be aware of the concerns and worries that every team member has about using it. Certain people can be more at ease with established procedures, fear losing their jobs, or perhaps feel less valuable. The founder must combat these factors by giving them support, assisting people in getting over their resistance, and offering training. The founder will need to put in a lot of time, effort, and perseverance into these projects.

Risk is the fourth theme. When it comes to new technologies, respondents disclosed that their employees had differing degrees of risk tolerance. While some entrepreneurs prioritized risk mitigation and went for more tried-and-true solutions, others welcomed disruptive innovations despite their inherent uncertainties. Startups have to carefully consider the advantages and disadvantages of adopting new technologies, striking a balance between the desire for innovation and the need to reduce risks and prepare for possible setbacks.

The impact of externalities and environment on patterns of technology adoption is highlighted by the fifth theme, External environment. The influence of industry networks, investors, and incubators on technology adoption expectations and strategies was a topic of discussion among the respondents. For start-ups looking to incorporate new technology, external factors including industry standards, regulatory changes, and market competition present both possibilities and obstacles. In order to support their attempts to adopt new technologies, startups must traverse the complicated entrepreneurial ecosystem, utilizing networks and outside resources and making adjustments to meet shifting market and regulatory requirements.

The findings as a whole emphasize the complex interactions between internal and external elements that influence how tech is adopted by enterprising start-ups. Through a comprehensive understanding of innovation, resource limitations, risk assessment, and ecosystem, founder may make well-informed decisions regarding the adoption of technology that are consistent with their organizational capacities and strategic objectives. The results have consequences for theory and practice, offering useful information for entrepreneurs attempting to cross the digital frontier and shaping forthcoming scholarly work on adoption of technology in start-ups.

An increasing number of innovative enterprises are being established worldwide, especially startups that focus on technology. According to Krejci et al. (2015), technology-based startups (TBSs) are emerging businesses with a strong potential for expansion and scalability. They also promote economic growth, stability (Wei-Wen, 2009), innovation and job creation (Sulayman et al., 2014). From Reynolds and Miller who in 1992 studied critical success factors (CSFs) for TBSs, to Santisteban and Mauricio who in 2017 explained 21 elements key to entrepreneurial success, the study of success factors has interested scholars over the years.

Conclusion

This report provides insightful information about the technology adoption trends of innovative start-ups working in the digital frontier. By means of a qualitative inquiry utilizing semi-structured interviews and thematic analysis, we investigated the incentives, obstacles, and tactics propelling decisions regarding technology adoption in start-up enterprises. The results show a number of major themes that clarify the complex interactions among organizational setting, entrepreneurial behavior, and digital innovation. First, the innovation imperative was found to be the main factor influencing startups' adoption of new technologies. Executives and founders believe that implementing new technologies is crucial to fostering innovation and preserving competitiveness in their sectors. Despite the uncertainties and risks, entrepreneurs are compelled to use cutting-edge digital solutions in order to stand out from the competition and offer distinctive value propositions.

Second, money became apparent as a major impediment to many start-ups' adoption of new technologies. Tough obstacles arise from scarce financial resources and technical know-how, especially for early-stage businesses with tight budgets. Technology adoption efforts are frequently hampered by the expense of implementation and the requirement for specific skills, underscoring the significance of resource allocation and strategic planning.

Thirdly, it became clear that tenacity was a key component in the acceptance of technology and the success of companies. Persistence on the part of the venture's founder can help overcome the obstacles and resistances that founders encounter from their teams when using technology, as indicated by the respondents.

Fourth, start-ups' decisions to adopt new technologies were influenced by varying levels of risk. Some entrepreneurs prioritized risk mitigation and chose more tried-and-true solutions with a track record of success, while others were open to adopting disruptive technology despite their inherent risks. Thus, risk tolerance is important to identify strategies and patterns of technology adoption in start-up businesses.

Fifth, patterns of technology adoption were significantly influenced by the larger entrepreneurial ecosystem. Technology adoption strategies are shaped by external factors such as expectations and pressures from investors, incubators, and industry networks, which impact start-up companies. The study's conclusions have practical applications in the fields of innovation and entrepreneurship in addition to academic comprehension. The intricate dynamics of innovation in the technology era is enhanced by this study's exploration of the subtle aspects influencing technology adoption decisions. Practically speaking, the results provide insightful guidance for practitioners, legislators, and entrepreneurs attempting to negotiate the challenges of technology adoption in the entrepreneurial ecosystem.

Stakeholders can assist digital innovation and entrepreneurship by creating focused interventions and making better decisions by knowing the tactics, obstacles, and motivations driving start-ups' adoption of technology. To sum up, the qualitative investigation offers a more profound comprehension of the technological adoption trends among innovative start-ups. This study adds to the expanding body of knowledge on digital innovation and entrepreneurship by illuminating the factors that influence technology adoption decisions, including incentives, obstacles, and solutions. The results have ramifications for academics and professionals who are trying to understand how technology usage is changing in the digital age.

Limitations and Recommendations for Future Research

TAM has demonstrated some limitations despite its simplicity and strong empirical support. Empirical studies have consistently evidenced that TAM's components account for around 40 percent of the variation in individuals' usage intentions and subsequent technology use (Autry et al., 2010). However, given the TAM's widespread application in IT adoption studies, Williams et al. (2009) suggested a trend toward uniformity in information systems innovation. This trend could potentially undermine the field's robustness, leading to calls for a greater diversity of theories to address lesser-explored yet current issues. Another limitation is that this study was conducted in Delhi-NCR region. Future research scholars are encouraged to explore the applicability of this study in other regions and geographies. A third limitation is that this study was conducted in a cross sectional manner. It is suggested that future scholars explore the applicability of these findings in a longitudinal study. A fourth limitation was that this study used a qualitative method. Future scholars may examine the applicability of these findings using a quantitative method. A fifth limitation was the sample size. Future scholars are advised to conduct this study across a larger sample size with a more diverse sample population.

Building on the inputs from this study, future researchers can enhance our perspective of technology adoption in the digital age and contribute to the advancement of both theory and practice in entrepreneurship and innovation management.

References

1. Acar, E., Kocak, I., Sey, Y., & Arditi, D. (2005). Use of information and communication technologies by small and medium-sized enterprises (SMEs) in building construction. *Construction Management*

and Economics, *23*(7), 713-722.

2. Assyne, N., & Wiafe, I. (2019). A dynamic software startup competency model. In *Software Business: 10th International Conference, ICSOB 2019, Jyväskylä, Finland, November 18–20, 2019, Proceedings 10* (pp. 419-422). Springer International Publishing.

3. Autio, E., Nambisan, S., Thomas, L. D. W., & Wright, M. (2018). Digital affordances, spatial affordances, and the genesis of entrepreneurial ecosystems. Strategic Entrepreneurship Journal, 12(1), 72-95.

4. Ballantine, J., Levy, M., & Powell, P. (1998). Evaluating information systems in small and medium-sized enterprises: issues and evidence. *European journal of information systems*, 7, 241-251.

5. Bock, G. W., Lee, K. H., & Lee, S. G. (2021). Understanding the adoption of blockchain technology in startups: The roles of perceived benefits, perceived barriers, and organizational readiness. Journal of Business Research, 131, 172-183.

6. Chandler, G. N., & Lyon, D. W. (2001). Issues of research design and construct measurement in entrepreneurship research: The past decade. Entrepreneurship Theory and Practice, 25(4), 101-113.

7. Chircu, A. M., & Kauffman, R. J. (2019). The economic value of cloud computing in entrepreneurship: Evidence from investments in venture-backed startups. Information Systems Research, 30(3), 1047-1065.

8. Chui, M., Manyika, J., & Miremadi, M. (2018). What CEOs are reading in 2018. McKinsey Quarterly. https://www.mckinsey.com/business-functions/strategy-and-corporate-finance/our-insights/whatceos-are-reading-in-2018

9. Cooper, M. (2024). Exploring Technology Adoption Trends Among Entrepreneurial Start-ups on the Digital Frontier.

10. Damanpour, F., & Aravind, D. (2012). Managerial innovation: Conceptions, processes, and antecedents. Management and Organization Review, 8(2), 423-454.

11. Davis, F. D. (1989). Perceived usefulness, perceived ease of use, and user acceptance of information technology. MIS quarterly, 319-340.

12. Deloitte. (2021). Insights from the Global Chief Information Officer Survey. Deloitte. https://www2.deloitte.com/us/en/pages/consulting/articles/insights-from-the-global-cio-survey.html

13. Eisenhardt, K. M. (1989). Building theories from case study research. Academy of Management Review, 14(4), 532-550.

14. Emon, M. H., & Nipa, M. N. (2024). Exploring the Gender Dimension in Entrepreneurship Development: A Systematic Literature Review in the Context of Bangladesh. Westcliff International Journal of Applied Research, 8(1), 34–49. https://doi.org/10.47670/wuwijar202481mhemnn

15. Emon, M. M. H., Hassan, F., Nahid, M. H., & Rattanawiboonsom, V. (2023). Predicting adoption intention of artificial intelligence. *AIUB Journal of Science and Engineering (AJSE)*, *22*(2), 189-199.

16. Emon, M. M. H. (2023). Insights Into Technology Adoption: A Systematic Review of Framework, Variables and Items. Information Management and Computer Science, 6(2), 27–33. https://doi.org/10.26480/imcs.02.2023.27.33

17. Emon, M. M. H., Khan, T., & Alam, M. (2023). Effect of Technology on Service Quality Perception and Patient Satisfaction- A study on Hospitals in Bangladesh. International Journal of Research and Applied Technology (INJURATECH), 3(2), 254–266. https://ojs. unikom.ac.id/index.php/injuratech/article/view/10453

18. Emon, M. M., Hassan, F., Hoque Nahid, M., & Rattanawiboonsom, V. (2023). Predicting Adoption Intention of Artificial Intelligence ChatGPT. AIUB Journal of Science and Engineering (AJSE), 22(2), 189–199. https://doi.org/10.53799/ajse.v22i2.797

19. Eisenhardt, K. M., & Graebner, M. E. (2007). Theory building from cases: Opportunities and challenges. Academy of Management Journal, 50(1), 25-32.

20. Frederiksen, L., & Brem, A. (2017). How do entrepreneurs think they create value? A scientific reflection of Eric Ries' Lean Startup approach. International Journal of Innovation Management, 21(6), 1750043.

21. Gangwar, H., Date, H., & Raoot, A. D. (2014). Review on IT adoption: insights from recent technologies. *Journal of enterprise information management*, *27*(4), 488-502.

22. Gupta, S., Hasan, H., & Dillon, T. S. (2016). A framework for cloud computing adoption: Understanding the technology, organizational and environmental factors. Journal of Enterprise Information Management, 29(1), 107-130.

23. Hargadon, A., & Douglas, Y. (2001). When innovations meet institutions: Edison and the design of the electric light. Administrative Science Quarterly, 46(3), 476-501.

24. Hong, S., Thong, J. Y., & Tam, K. Y. (2006). Understanding continued information technology usage behavior: A comparison of three models in the context of mobile internet. *Decision support systems*, *42*(3), 1819-1834.

25. Hsieh, H. F., & Shannon, S. E. (2005). Three approaches to qualitative content analysis. Qualitative Health Research, 15(9), 1277-1288.

26. Khasawneh, M. M., & Ibrahim, H. (2008). Adoption, diffusion, use and impact of ICT in developing countries: A case study of Jordan.

27. King, W. R., & He, J. (2006). A meta-analysis of the technology acceptance model. *Information & management*, *43*(6), 740-755.

28. Kuckertz, A., & Wagner, M. (2010). The influence of sustainability orientation on entrepreneurial intentions—Investigating the role of business experience. Journal of Business Venturing Insights, 7, 18-29.

29. Lee, K. H., Lee, S. G., & Bock, G. W. (2020). Factors influencing the adoption of artificial intelligence technologies in startups. Information Systems Frontiers, 22(5), 1253-1273.

30. Legris, P., Ingham, J., & Collerette, P. (2003). Why do people use information technology? A critical review of the technology acceptance model. *Information & management*, *40*(3), 191-204.

31. Liu, H., Wang, L., & Koehler, M. J. (2019). Exploring the intention-behavior gap in the technology acceptance model: A mixed-methods study in the context of foreign-language teaching in China. *British Journal of Educational Technology*, *50*(5), 2536-2556.

32. Lüthje, C., & Franke, N. (2003). The 'making' of an entrepreneur: Testing a model of entrepreneurial intent among engineering students at MIT. R&D Management, 33(2), 135-147.

33. McMullen, J. S., & Shepherd, D. A. (2006). Entrepreneurial action and the role of uncertainty in the theory of the entrepreneur. Academy of Management Review, 31(1), 132-152.

34. Miles, M. B., & Huberman, A. M. (1994). Qualitative data analysis: An expanded sourcebook (2nd ed.). Sage Publications.

35. Mitchell, R. K., Busenitz, L., Lant, T., McDougall, P. P., Morse, E. A., & Smith, J. B. (2002). Toward a theory of entrepreneurial cognition: Rethinking the people side of entrepreneurship research. Entrepreneurship Theory and Practice, 27(2), 93-104.

36. Musawa, M. S., & Wahab, E. (2012). The adoption of electronic data interchange (EDI) technology by Nigerian SMEs: A conceptual framework. *Journal of Business Management and Economics*, *3*(2), 55-68.

37. Nguyen, T. H. (2009). Information technology adoption in SMEs: an integrated framework. *International Journal of Entrepreneurial Behavior & Research*, *15*(2), 162-186.

38. Oliveira, T., & Martins, M. F. (2011). Literature review of information technology adoption models at firm level. *Electronic journal of information systems evaluation*, *14*(1), pp110-121.

39. Patton, M. Q. (2015). Qualitative research & evaluation methods: Integrating theory and practice (4th ed.). Sage Publications.

40. Rogers, E. M. (2003). Diffusion of innovations (5th ed.). Free Press.

41. Santisteban, J., Mauricio, D., & Cachay, O. (2021). Critical success factors for technology-based startups. *International Journal of Entrepreneurship and Small Business*, *42*(4), 397-421.

42. Santos-Vijande, M. L., López-Sánchez, J. Á., Pascual-Fernández, P., & Rudd, J. M. (2021). Service innovation management in a modern economy: Insights on the interplay between firms' innovative culture and project-level success factors. *Technological Forecasting and Social Change*, *165*, 120562.

43. Sarasvathy, S. D. (2001). Causation and effectuation: Toward a theoretical shift from economic inevitability to entrepreneurial contingency. Academy of Management Review, 26(2), 243-263.

44. Shane, S., & Venkataraman, S. (2000). The promise of entrepreneurship as a field of research. Academy of Management Review, 25(1), 217-226.

45. Stam, E., Stel, A., & Parker, S. (2020). Entrepreneurial ecosystems and regional policy: A sympathetic critique. Small Business Economics, 54(1), 27-41.

46. Thong, J. Y. L. (1999). An integrated model of information systems adoption in small businesses. Journal of management information systems, 15, 187-214.

47. Tornatzky, L. G., & Fleischer, M. (1990). The processes of technological innovation. Lexington Books.

48. Van de Ven, A. H., & Johnson, P. E. (2006). Knowledge for theory and practice. Academy of Management Review, 31(4), 802-821.

49. Venkatesh, V., Morris, M. G., Davis, G. B., & Davis, F. D. (2003). User acceptance of information technology: Toward a unified view. MIS Quarterly, 27(3), 425-478.

50. Wang, D., Zhao, J., & Lim, K. H. (2019). Understanding the determinants of mobile application adoption intention in startups: An empirical study. Information Systems Frontiers, 21(1), 1-18.

51. Welter, F., & Wuebker, R. (2011). Brave new world? Exploring the new context of entrepreneurship. In D. B. Audretsch, O. Falck, S. Heblich, & A. Lederer (Eds.), Handbook of research on innovation and entrepreneurship (pp. 497-521). Edward Elgar Publishing.

52. Wiltermuth, S. S., & Heath, C. (2009). Synchrony and cooperation. Psychological Science, 20(1), 1-5.

53. Wu, L., Liu, H., Sun, Y., & Geng, R. (2021). The influence of artificial intelligence adoption on startup performance: A moderated mediation model. Frontiers in Psychology, 12, 734276.

54. Yin, R. K. (2018). Case study research and applications: Design and methods (6th ed.). Sage Publications.

55. Zhou, L., Zhang, P., & Zimmermann, H. D. (2018). Social influence and mobile technology adoption: The roles of trust and social network centrality. Information Systems Frontiers, 20(3), 547-559.

56. Zott, C., & Amit, R. (2007). Business model design and the performance of entrepreneurial firms. Organization Science, 18(2), 181-199.