

# The Role of National Assessment and Accreditation Council (NAAC) in Higher Education in India

Dr. Jagadeesha D.S<sup>1</sup>, Nandeesh B R<sup>2</sup>

<sup>1</sup>Assistant Professor of Economics (Senior Scale), Government First Grade Collage, Bettadapura, Periyapattana Taluk, Mysore District

<sup>2</sup>Senior Scale Lecturer in Mathematics, Government Polytechnic College Mirle, Saligrama Taluk, Mysore District 571603

## Abstract

Education plays a vital role in the development of any nation. Therefore, the higher education is to be the best on both quantity and quality. There has been a great increase in the number of Universities and Colleges in India. To check and assess the quality of these institutions, an autonomous and independent organization called The National Assessment and Accreditation Council (NAAC) was established by the University Grants Commission (UGC) of India in 1994. There is a positive relationship between education and economic development. However, in this paper an attempt has been made to explain the role of NAAC in higher education in India, Its Job is to assess and accredit the institutions of higher education in India. It came into existence as a result of the recommendations by the National policy on Education (1986) and the Programme of Action (POA-1992) that had stressed on enhancing and improving the quality of higher education in the country.

**Keywords:** Education, Equity, Access and Excellence, Assessment ,Accreditation ,Higher Education.

## Introduction:

There is a positive relationship between education and economic development (Chakravarthy, 1981), (Amitab Kundu, 1999). However, allocation to education may not be evenly distributed to all regions and places due to various reasons (Chandan, 2010). Disparities in resource allocation lead to disparities in educational infrastructure development which result in uneven educational development of the state (Nanjundappa.D.M., 2001), (Gayathri.k., 2007), (Mishra S.N., 2001), (Gulati, 2001), (Meade, 2012). Hence, in this paper an attempt has been made to explain the role of NAAC in higher education in India. Education plays a vital role in the development of any nation. Therefore, the higher education is to be the best on both quantity and quality. There has been a great increase in the number of Universities and Colleges in India. To check and assess the quality of these institutions, an autonomous and independent organization called The National Assessment and Accreditation Council (NAAC) was established by the University Grants Commission (UGC) of India in 1994.

Its Job is to assess and accredit the institutions of higher education in India. It came into existence as a result of the recommendations by the National policy on Education (1986) and the Programme of Action (POA-1992) that had stressed on enhancing and improving the quality of higher education in the

country. In spite of the built-in regulatory mechanisms that aim to ensure satisfactory levels of quality in the functioning of Higher Educational Institutions (HEIs), there had been no specific modalities to assess and ensure the quality of education imparted by them. To address this issue, the NAAC has been instilling a momentum of quality consciousness amongst Higher Educational Institutions, through a process of assessing their strengths and weaknesses and motivating them for continuous quality improvement. The NAAC after considering the Institutional Assessment and Accreditation application of the intent institution declares the Institutional Eligibility for Quality Assessment (IEQA) status for the institution.

### Review of Literature

Literature about the importance of infrastructure and its requirement in the development of an economy were presented by development economists like Albert Hirschman (A.O, 1958). Hoffman (W.G, 1958), Lewis (Lewies, 1954), Nurkse (Nurks, 1954), and Rostow who have expressed their opinion as infrastructure is a pre-condition for economic development. Isaac M Ntshoe has analyzed the political economy of access to education and equitable allocation of resources to higher education. (Ntshoe, 2003). Konstantions Angelo Poulos, Jim Malley, Apostalis, Philippoulos have analyzed the welfare implication of resource allocation and public education. It appears, according to authors it is possible to design allocation improving education policy rules (Konstantions Angelo Poulos, 2011). Mehmet Mercan, S evgi Sezer have analyzed the effect of education expenditure on economic growth. A Greater allocation of resource on education expenses could make the Turkish economy more dynamic (Mehmet Mercan, 2014). Xuejuan Su examined the endogenous determination of budget allocation and education. In developed economies, the budget allocation is more balanced (Su, 2006). Mukhopadhaya P have attempted to analyze the income disparity and equality in education in Singapore. The occupational disparity has a strong impact on overall in income equality through education resource allocation (P, 2003).

D.M. Nanjundappa (Nanjundappa.D.M., 2001), P.R. Brahmananda (P.R., 2001) A.K. Bhargawa (A.K, 2001), S.K. Chopra (S.K, 2001), K. Gayathri (Gayathri.k., 2007), Jayaram M.S (Jayaram M.S, 2005), S.N. Mishra and Sweta Mishra (Mishra S.N., 2001), Nanda Dhameja (Dhameja, 2001) and Yatish Mishra (Mishra, 2001), have also traced some aspects of infrastructure and disparities. However, these studies have not examined the role of NAAC in higher education in India.

### Methodology:

In this paper has been used to secondary source of information. Information collected from journals, books, reports and websites.

### QUALITY IN HIGHER EDUCATION

Approaches to quality in higher education in most countries have started with an assumption that, for various reasons, the quality of higher education needs monitoring. At root, governments around the world are looking for higher education to be more responsive, including

- Making higher education more relevant to social and economic needs;
- Widening access to higher education.
- Expanding numbers, usually in the face of decreasing unit cost.

- Ensuring comparability of provision and procedures, within and between institutions, including international comparisons.

Quality has been used as a tool to ensure some compliance with these concerns. Thus approaches to quality are predominantly about establishing quality monitoring procedures

### **NAAC AND HIGHER EDUCATION**

The performance of the colleges affiliated with universities, autonomous colleges and universities is assessed after every five years. The programme of assessing an institution is based on international practices and experiences which the academicians, intellectuals and officials connected with the NAAC receive. It inspects the infrastructure, facilities and also assesses the performance and academic excellence of the teachers of an institution. It gives grades on the basis of performance and prospects of an institution.

### **VISION and MISSION of the NAAC**

#### **VISION**

To Make quality defining element of higher education in India through a combination of self and external quality evaluation, promotion and sustenance initiatives.

#### **MISSION**

- To arrange for periodic assessment and accreditation of institutions of higher education or units thereof, or specific academic programmes or projects;
- To stimulate the academic environment for promotion of quality of teaching-learning and research in higher education institutions;
- To encourage self-evaluation, accountability, autonomy and innovations in higher education;
- To undertake quality-related research studies, consultancy and training programmes, and
- To collaborate with other stakeholders of higher education for quality evaluation, promotion and sustenance.

Guided by its vision and striving to achieve its mission, the NAAC primarily assesses the quality of institutions of higher education that volunteer for the process, through an internationally accepted methodology.

### **FUNCTIONS OF NAAC**

NAAC has been entrusted with the following functions, which are expected to reflect the above mentioned vision, mandate and core value framework.

#### **PRIMARY FUNCTIONS:**

To assess and accredit higher education institutions which include the following:

- Assessing and Accrediting Institutions/ Departments/ Programmes
- Evolving appropriate instruments of accreditation and fine tuning them whenever necessary.
- Identifying, enlisting and creating a pool of dependable assessors.
- Providing appropriate training to assessors.
- Preparing in-house pre-visit documents for the perusal of assessors.
- Co-coordinating the 'on-site' visit to its effective completion.

**COMPLEMENTARY FUNCTIONS**

To organize promotional activities related to quality in higher education, and Assessment & Accreditation, which include the following:

- Develop pre- and post-accreditation strategies
- Disseminate the NAAC processes and quality enhancement mechanisms through relevant publications
- Organize Seminars/Workshops/ Conferences to share and discuss education quality-related issues.
- Provide guidance to institutions for preparing their Self-study Reports (SSRs)
- Partner with stakeholders for promoting Assessment & Accreditation.
- Promote the establishment of Quality Assurance units
- Internal Quality Assurance Cells(IQAC)
- State level Quality Assurance Co-ordination Committee (SLQACC)
- State Quality Assurance Cell (SQAC)
- Establish collaborations with other National and International professional Agencies of Assessment & Accreditation.

**ELIGIBILITY OF HEIs:****ELIGIBILITY CRITERIA OF HEIS FOR NAAC A&A:**

The NAAC has adopted its New Methodology of Assessment and Accreditation from 1<sup>st</sup>April 2007.

- Universities recognized under Sections 2f, 2f and 12B of the UGC Act of 1956 or established under Section 3 of the UGC Act, which have completed 5 years since establishment or with a record of at least 2 batches of students having completed their degree programs, whichever is earlier.
- All Universities recognized under Section 3 of the UGC Act are eligible, regardless of the number of years of establishment.
- Colleges/Institutions/Autonomous Colleges, affiliated to a Recognized University, and Constituent Colleges coming under the jurisdiction of Recognized Universities which have the same record as mentioned in the case 1
- Institutions coming under the jurisdiction of Professional Regulatory Councils are eligible if they are duly recognized by the Concerned Councils.
- Any other Institutions/Units may also be taken up for Assessment and Accreditation by NAAC, if directed by the UGC and/or Ministry of Human Resources Development, Govt. of India.

**VALUE FRAMEWORK OF NAAC**

The changes in the education system as a result of the impact of technology, private participation, and globalization and the consequent shift in values have been taken into consideration by the NAAC while formulating the following core values for its accreditation framework

**• CONTRIBUTING TO NATIONAL DEVELOPMENT**

The HEIs have a significant role in human resource development to cater to the needs of the economy, society and the country as a whole, thereby contributing to the development of the Nation. It is therefore appropriate that the Assessment and Accreditation process of the NAAC looks into the ways HEIs have been responding to and contributing towards National Development.

- **FOSTERING GLOBAL COMPETENCIES AMONG STUDENTS**

With liberalization and globalization of economic activities, the demand for internationally acceptable standards in higher education has grown. Therefore, the accreditation process of the NAAC needs to examine the role of HEIs in preparing the students to achieve core competencies (innovative and creative) to face the global requirements successfully.

- **INCULCATING VALUE SYSTEM AMONG STUDENTS**

The HEIs have to shoulder the responsibility of inculcating the desirable value systems (values commensurate with social, cultural, spiritual, moral etc.) amongst the students. The NAAC assessment therefore examines how these essential and desirable values are being inculcated in the students by the HEIs.

- **PROMOTING USE OF TECHNOLOGY:**

To keep pace with the developments in other spheres of human endeavor, the HEIs have to enrich the learning experiences of their wards by providing them with the state-of-the-art educational technologies.

- **QUEST FOR EXCELLENCE:**

Excellence in all that the institutions do will contribute to the overall development of the system of higher education of the country as a whole. This 'Quest for Excellence' could start with the preparation of the SAR of an institution. Another step in this direction could be the identification of the institution's strengths and weaknesses in various spheres/criteria.

The five core values as outlined above form the foundation for assessment of institutions that volunteer for accreditation by the NAAC.

## **ACCREDITATION**

### **CRITERIA AND PROCESSES FOR ACCREDITATION**

Since the accreditation framework of the NAAC is expected to assess the institution's contributions towards the five core values mentioned above, the NAAC has integrated these into the seven criteria identified for Assessment and Accreditation, which are:

1. Curricular Aspects
2. Teaching-Learning and Evaluation
3. Research, Consultancy and Extension
4. Infrastructure and Learning Resources
5. Student Support and Progression
6. Governance and Leadership
7. Innovative Practices

At NAAC, a five-stage process of external quality monitoring/assessment is undertaken covering:

- a. On-line submission of a Letter of Intent (LoI)
- b. Submission of Institutional Eligibility for Quality Assessment (IQEA) required in the case of certain HEIs coming forward for assessment and accreditation for the first time and feedback to the applicant institution regarding specific improvements needed for reaching the threshold level of quality for applying for the comprehensive Assessment and Accreditation by NAAC
- c. Preparation and submission of Self-Study Report (SSR)/ Self-Appraisal Report (SAR)/ Re-accreditation Report (RAR), as the case may be, by the HEIs
- d. On-site visit by Peer Teams for validation of the SSR/SAR/RAR and reporting the assessment outcome to the NAAC and The final decision by the Executive Committee of the NAAC.

### THE ASSESSMENT OUTCOME

There are two outcomes of Assessment and Accreditation: The qualitative part of the outcome is called Peer Team Report and the quantitative part would result in a Cumulative Grade Point Average, a letter grade and a performance descriptor. The final declaration (1st April 2007) of the accreditation status of an institution is as given below

Range of Institutional Cumulative Grade Point Average (CGPA)	Letter Grade	Performance Descriptor
3.01 – 4.00	A	Very Good (Accredited)
2.01 – 3.00	B	Good (Accredited)
1.51 – 2.00	C	Satisfactory (Accredited)
≤ 1.50	D	Unsatisfactory (Not accredited)

Institutions which secure a CGPA equal to or less than 1.50 will be intimated and notified by the NAAC as "assessed and found not qualified for accreditation". The accreditation status is valid for five years from the date of approval by the Executive Committee of the NAAC.

### BENEFITS OF ACCREDITATION

Helps the institution to know its strengths, weaknesses, opportunities and challenges through an informed review

- Categorizes internal areas of planning and resource allocation
- v Enhances collegiality on the campus
- v Outcome of the process provides the funding agencies with objective and systematic database for performance based funding
- Initiates institution into innovative and modern methods of pedagogy
- Gives the institution a new sense of direction and identity
- Provides the society with reliable information on the quality of education offered by the institution
- Gives employers access to information on standards in recruitment
- Promotes intra-institutional and inter - institutional interactions.

### REACCREDITATION and RE-ASSESSMENT

Institutions which would like to make an improvement in the accredited status in institutional grade may volunteer for re-assessment after completing at least one year, but not after the completion of three years.

### RE-ACCREDITATION

Re-Accreditation Report (RAR) should be submitted to the NAAC by the first half of the fifth year, so that the process of assessment visits could be completed by the end of the fifth year. The NAAC will endeavour to expedite the re-accreditation process to complete within six months after receiving Re-Accreditation Report. The re-accreditation by the NAAC will look upon how far the institution has achieved the objectives enshrined in the five core values mentioned earlier and assesses how it has progressed during the accredited period. In particular, the re-accreditation makes a shift in focus in assessing the developments with reference to three aspects –

### 1. QUALITY SUSTENANCE

During the first assessment for accreditation, the NAAC's process would have triggered quality initiatives in many aspects of functioning of the HEIs resulting in significant changes in the pedagogical, managerial, administrative and related aspects of functioning of the accredited institutions. These changes have a direct bearing on the quality of education and re-accreditation will consider how these initiatives have been sustained during the accredited period.

### 2. QUALITY ENHANCEMENT

The re-accreditation would give due credit to the quality initiatives promoted by the first assessment and the consequent quality enhancement that has taken place.

### 3. ACTION BASED ON THE ASSESSMENT REPORT

Re-accreditation will address how HEIs have taken steps to overcome the deficiencies mentioned in the first assessment report and also build on the strengths noted in the report and will prepare a Re-Accreditation Report (RAR) accordingly.

### IMPACT OF NAAC

- Created better understanding of Quality Assurance among the HEIs
- Generated keen interest and concerns about Quality Assurance among the stakeholders
- Helped in creation of institutional database of the accredited institutions
- Encouraged the institutions to get more funds from the funding agencies
- Facilitated regulatory agencies to make use of accreditation for funding
- Triggered Quality Assurance activities in many of the HEIs
- Activated a 'Quality Culture' among the various constituents of the institution.

### Conclusion:

Education is an important means of achieving development in general and human development in particular. Knowing the fact, government of India has been given considerable importance to higher education and its one of the scheme of National Assessment and Accreditation Council (NAAC) In Higher Education In India. It aims to improve the quality of state universities and colleges and enhance their capacities.

### References:

1. A.K, B. (2001). Infrastructure development in India. *Journal of public administration* 3, 427-433.
2. A.O, H. (1958). *The new stratege of Economic development*. New Haven Conn: Yale University press.
3. Amitab Kundu, S. B. (1999). Regional distribution of infrastructure and basic amenities in urban India. *Economic and political weekly* july 10, 1893.
4. Chakravarthy. (1981). *Planning commission draft report of chakravarthy committee onbackward areas development*. New Dehli: Government of India publication 41.
5. Chandan, K. (2010). Infrastructure Development in India Current Issues and Future options. *Southern Economist* August 1, 9-13.
6. Dhameja, N. (2001). Power sector reform governance. *The Indian journal of public administration*, 359-365.

7. Gayathri.k. (2007). District level analysis of role of infrastructure in industrial development of karnataka. *Economic development of India*, 237-239.
8. Gulati, R. i. (2001). *Inter state disparities in India*. New Delhi.
9. Jayaram M.S, G. G. (2005). Irrigation development in Karnataka key issues. *Southren economist*, 25-32.
10. Konstantions Angelo Poulos, J. M. (2011). The Welfare Implication of Resource allocation Policies under Uncertainty;The case of Public Education Spending. *The journal of Macro Economics*, 176-192.
11. Lewies, W. (1954). *Economic development with unlimited supplies of lobour*. Manchester: Manchester school.
12. Meade, B. (2012). A Mixed Methods analysis of achivement Disparities in Guatemalen Primary schools. *International Journal of Educational Development* 32, 575-589.
13. Mehmet Mercan, S. S. (2014). The effect of Education expenditure on Economic Growth;The case study of Turkey. *Procedia-Social and Behavioral Sciences* 109, 925-930.
14. Mishra S.N., S. M. (2001). Development of rural infrastructure with special reference to rural roads. *The Indian journal of public administration*, 359.
15. Mishra, Y. (2001). Telecom Infrastructure in rural India. *The Indian journal of public administration*, 427-433.
16. Nanjundappa.D.M. (2001). Karnataka Economic Retrospect and Prospect economic development of Karnataka leading issues. *Economic development of India*, 427-433.
17. Ntshoe, I. M. (2003). The Political Economy of access and Equitable Allocation of Resource to Higher Education. *International Journal of Educational Development*, 381-398.
18. Nurks, R. (1954). *Problems of capital formation under developed countries*. Manchester: Manchester school.
19. P, M. (2003). Trends in Income Disparity and Equality enhancing;Education on Policies in the Development stages of Singapore. *International Journal of Educational Development*, 453-465.
20. P.R., B. (2001). Some aspects of growth in Karnataka;economic development of Karnataka leading issues. *Economic development of India*, 237-248.
21. S.K, C. (2001). Sustainable energy development in India in the 21st century man and development. *Economic development of India* 41, 27-31.
22. Su, X. (2006). Endogenous Determination of Budget allocation across Education Stages. *Journal of Development Economics* 81, 438-456.
23. W.G, H. (1958). *The growth of industrial economics*. Manchester: Manchester university press.
24. University Grants Commission Annual Report, 2021-2022, New Delhi.
25. [www.naac.gov.nic.in](http://www.naac.gov.nic.in)
26. [www.ugc.ac.in](http://www.ugc.ac.in)
27. [www.mhrd.gov.in](http://www.mhrd.gov.in)