

Analysing the Breakdown of Astronomical Theories

Ishaan Agarwal

Student, Daly College

Abstract

This paper investigates the limitations of Newton's law of gravity, general relativity, special relativity, dark matter, dark energy, and the big bang theory in describing the universe's origins, development, and properties. It aims to illuminate the boundaries of these theories, furthering our understanding of where these theories apply and how their alternative solutions address their problems. By evaluating these theories, this research aims to provide an assessment of our current understanding of the universe and identify the primary obstacles and future directions in cosmological research.

Keywords: Newton's law of gravity, General Relativity, Special Relativity, Dark Matter, Dark Energy, Big Bang theory, Limitations

Introduction

Understanding the universe's origins, structure, and fundamental laws has resulted in the development of numerous important astronomical theories, including Newton's law of gravity, general and special relativity, dark matter and energy theories, and the Big Bang theory. Though none of the theories fully explain the universe, they have all greatly expanded our understanding of it. The limits of these foundational theories have been examined in this study.

This study will detail how Newton's law of gravity breaks down at high speeds, at quantum scales, and under extremely strong gravitational fields. Einstein famously quoted 'God does not play dice' while referring to quantum mechanics, rejecting the proposed probabilistic nature of the universe and instead believing that there is some hidden 3rd variable at play. This is reflected through his work, as general and special relativity, which describes gravitational phenomena using spacetime curvature, have trouble merging with quantum mechanics and often contradict. The Big Bang theory is the predominant cosmological model. However, it faces significant challenges in explaining the initial conditions of this universe (pre-Big Bang), why the cosmic inflation occurred, and the origin of dark matter and energy. Dark matter and dark energy, constituting most of the universe's mass-energy content, remain elusive due to the incredible difficulty in directly observing them as they interact very weakly with matter. This paper aims to highlight whether the Big Bang theory is a complete explanation for the origin of the universe, the limitations of general relativity and special relativity, the scales at which Newton's law of gravitation stop predicting accurately, and the problems faced when trying to determine the nature and laws of dark matter and energy.

Research Objectives

This paper will assess the current understanding of the Big Bang theory and identify its strengths and wea-

knesses in explaining the origin of the universe. It will also analyse the scenarios in which general relativity fails to provide accurate predictions, particularly in strong gravitational fields and at singularities. It will explore the limitations of special relativity in non-inertial frames and its incompatibility with quantum mechanics, as well as determine the specific conditions and scales (e.g., strong gravitational fields, relativistic speeds) under which Newton's law of gravitation ceases to yield accurate predictions. It will also identify the primary obstacles researchers face in detecting dark matter and dark energy and evaluate alternative theories that challenge or extend the current understanding of dark matter, dark energy, and gravity.

Discussion

The Big Bang Theory

How were matter, space, and energy caused? The Big Bang theory is the prevailing cosmological model that describes the origin and evolution of the universe. It posits that the universe began approximately 13.8 billion years ago from an extremely hot and dense state, often referred to as a singularity. This initial state underwent rapid expansion, leading to the cooling and formation of fundamental particles, atoms, and eventually stars and galaxies. The theory is supported by several key pieces of evidence, including the cosmic microwave background radiation and the observed abundance of light elements like hydrogen and helium [1].

Limitations and Scenarios Where the Big Bang Model Does Not Work

The Big Bang model begins with a singularity, a point of infinite density and temperature. However, the laws of physics as currently understood break down at this singularity, making it impossible to describe the conditions before the Big Bang or what caused it. This limitation leaves significant gaps in our understanding of the universe's origins [2]. The theory also does not fully account for the observed phenomena associated with dark matter and dark energy, which together comprise about 95% of the universe's total mass-energy content. The nature of these components remains largely unknown, and their effects complicate the predictions made by the Big Bang model [3]. The Big Bang theory provides a framework for understanding the universe's expansion but has limitations in explaining the detailed formation of large-scale structures like galaxies and galaxy clusters. While simulations based on the Big Bang model can reproduce some features of the universe, discrepancies remain between observations and theoretical predictions [4] [5] [6].

General relativity

General relativity is how Einstein explained gravity, in which gravitational forces are nothing but a consequence of the curvature of spacetime. In general relativity, objects under gravity are following the paths of least resistance in a curved, non-Euclidean space. The amount that spacetime curves depends on how much matter and energy is present in the spacetime, as summarised by the famous quote by physicist John Archibald Wheeler: "Spacetime tells matter how to move; matter tells spacetime how to curve." The first equation, called Einstein's field equations, encodes the curvature of spacetime on the left-hand side and the matter/energy content on the right-hand side. The second equation, called the geodesic equation, governs how the trajectories of objects evolve in a curved spacetime.

Limitations and Scenarios Where General Relativity Does Not Work

General relativity is a classical theory and does not incorporate the principles of quantum mechanics. At very small scales, such as those found in particle physics, quantum effects become dominant, and general relativity fails to provide an accurate description. Theories like string theory attempt to reconcile general relativity with quantum mechanics but have not yet been fully successful [7]. String theory predicts the existence of 10 dimensions and multiple universes, which is yet to be proven. More specifically, bosonic string theory predicts spacetime as 26-dimensional, superstring theory as 10-dimensional, and M-theory as 11-dimensional. These predictions are not possible to verify experimentally currently and for the foreseeable future. This is known as the ‘landscape problem.’

The observed value of the cosmological constant is much smaller than what is predicted by quantum field theory. The cosmological constant is a constant term used in the relativistic equations for gravity to represent a repulsive force, which may account in part for the rate of expansion of the universe. This discrepancy suggests that our current understanding of gravity and quantum mechanics is incomplete [8]. General relativity, when combined with the standard model of cosmology, requires the existence of dark matter and dark energy to explain various observations, such as the rotation curves of galaxies and the accelerated expansion of the universe. However, the nature of dark matter and energy remains unknown, as they hardly interact with ordinary matter except gravity, making it incredibly hard to detect [9][10]. There have been attempts to modify or replace general relativity with alternative theories of gravity, such as modified gravity and scalar-tensor theories. They aim to, yet have failed to, address the limitations of general relativity, particularly in the context of cosmology and the need for dark matter and dark energy [11].

Special Relativity

Special relativity, formulated by Albert Einstein in 1905, is a fundamental theory in physics that describes the behaviour of objects moving at constant speeds, particularly those approaching the speed of light. The theory is based on two postulates: first, the laws of physics are the same in all inertial frames of reference (non-accelerating frames), and second, the speed of light in a vacuum is constant and independent of the motion of the light source or observer. These principles lead to several counterintuitive consequences, such as time dilation, length contraction, and the relativity of simultaneity, fundamentally altering our understanding of space and time [12].

Limitations and Scenarios Where Special Relativity Does Not Work

Special relativity is strictly applicable only to inertial frames of reference. An inertial frame of reference is a stationary or uniformly moving frame of reference. There is no need for acceleration correction because objects stay at rest until they are acted on by external forces. In other scenarios involving acceleration or rotation, such as objects in a gravitational field, the predictions of special relativity break down. In these cases on accelerated motion, general relativity, which, unlike special relativity, extends the principles of relativity to include acceleration and gravity, must be employed [13].

Special relativity does not incorporate the principles of quantum mechanics, which govern the behaviour of particles at very small scales. One of the many implications of Einstein's special relativity work is that time moves relative to the observer; however, in quantum mechanics, the flow of time is regarded as universal and absolute. The incompatibility between quantum mechanics and relativity leads to challenges in developing a unified theory of quantum gravity. For instance, phenomena such as quantum entangleme-

nt cannot be adequately described within the framework of special relativity [14].

Special relativity imposes a universal speed limit, stating that no information or matter can travel faster than the speed of light. However, theories such as the one of tachyons (hypothetical particles that travel faster than light) challenge this. No experimental evidence supports the existence of tachyons; however, their theoretical implications highlight the limitations of special relativity in addressing such scenarios [15].

In high-energy environments, such as those found in particle accelerators or astrophysical phenomena, relativistic effects are more noticeable. Special relativity provides a framework for understanding these effects, but it still does not account for interactions involving strong nuclear forces or the complexities of particle collisions [16].

Special relativity is also not sufficient to describe the dynamics of the universe on cosmological scales, where the effects of gravity and the expansion of space become significant. In these contexts, the more broader theory of general relativity is necessary to accurately model the behaviour of the universe [17].

Newton's Law of Universal Gravitation

Newton's law of gravitation, formulated by Sir Isaac Newton in 1687, posits that every particle of matter in the universe attracts every other particle with a force that is directly proportional to the product of their masses and inversely proportional to the square of the distance between their centres.

Limitations and Scenarios Where Newton's Law of Gravitation Does Not Work

In regions near black holes and neutron stars, there are extremely strong gravitational forces due to the large masses of these bodies. In this situation, Newton's law fails to accurately describe gravitational interactions. General relativity provides a more accurate framework for understanding gravity in these contexts [18]. According to Newton's law, gravity is the attraction of masses, but even in a black hole, even light cannot escape due to high gravitational forces. This was proved in the 1919 solar eclipse, where the path of light rays coming from distant stars was bent by the sun's gravitational pull.

Furthermore, when objects move at speeds approaching the speed of light, relativistic effects become significant. Newton's law does not account for these relativistic changes, leading to inaccuracies in predicting gravitational interactions. Instead, general relativity must be applied to accurately describe the gravitational forces in such scenarios [19]. At the quantum level, gravitational interactions are negligible compared to forces such as the electromagnetic and the strong nuclear force.

Gravitation is successful in predicting at human and many planetary scales, but Newton's law does not incorporate quantum mechanics, which is essential for understanding particle interactions. How gravity will be incorporated with quantum mechanics remains an unresolved challenge [20]. Even on large cosmological scales like the expansion of the universe, Newton's law does not suffice to explain observations without considering dark matter and dark energy, which make up a large portion of the universe's mass. These phenomena suggest that additional mass distributions and forces are at play, which Newton's law alone cannot account for [21].

Dark Matter and Dark Energy models

Dark matter is a form of matter that does not emit, absorb, or reflect light, making it invisible and detectable only through its gravitational effects. The universe is composed of approximately 20% dark matter and 76% dark energy [22]. Observations of galaxy rotation curves and gravitational lensing indicate

that there is significantly more mass present in galaxies than can be accounted for by visible matter alone, leading to the inference of dark matter.

Dark energy, on the other hand, is a mysterious form of energy that permeates all of space and is responsible for the observed accelerated expansion of the universe. The discovery of the universe's acceleration in the late 1990s through observations of distant supernovae led to the conclusion that dark energy must exist to counteract the gravitational attraction of matter [23].

Limitations and Scenarios Where the Dark Matter and Dark Energy Models Do Not Work

The exact nature of dark matter remains unknown. While theories such as weakly interacting massive particles (WIMPs) and axions provide explanations, none have been definitively detected. The lack of direct detection raises questions about the validity of current dark matter models [24]. While dark matter explains many observations at large scales, discrepancies still exist at smaller scales, such as the "core-cusp problem." In this, simulations predict a cuspy density profile of dark matter in galaxy centres, but observations suggest a flatter core. This inconsistency between observation and simulation suggests that our understanding of dark matter interactions is incomplete [25].

Dark energy is often modelled as a cosmological constant, but this leads to the cosmological constant problem, where the predicted value from quantum field theory is vastly larger than the observed value. This suggests that our understanding of dark energy is fundamentally flawed, while alternative models such as modified gravity have not yet provided satisfactory explanations.

The study of dark matter and dark energy relies heavily on indirect observations rather than direct interactions. For instance, gravitational lensing and cosmic microwave background measurements are subject to various systematic errors and uncertainties. This can lead to misinterpretations and complicate the validation of dark matter and dark energy models [26]. Some alternative theories, such as Modified Newtonian Dynamics (MOND) and TeVeS (Tensor-Vector-Scalar Gravity), attempt to explain the phenomena attributed to dark matter without invoking unseen matter. While these theories have had some success in explaining specific observations, they generally struggle to account for the broader range of cosmological data [27] [28].

Scope for Future Research

There are a few critical areas that future research should look to address in order to understand the universe's fundamental nature. Developing a theory that unifies general relativity with quantum mechanics remains a significant challenge, which can be done by exploring the approaches of string theory and loop quantum gravity. Theories of quantum cosmology or alternative models like the cyclic universe could potentially address the breakdown of physical laws at singularities, like those at the Big Bang's inception or within black holes. The elusive nature of dark matter and dark energy, which significantly influence the universe's structure and expansion, requires advanced observational techniques such as next-generation telescopes and particle detectors for proper identification and characterization. Additionally, an interdisciplinary approach to integrating insights from cosmology, particle physics, quantum mechanics, and astrophysics is essential. Collaborative efforts that combine theoretical, observational, and experimental research will be crucial in developing a comprehensive understanding of the universe.

Conclusion

In conclusion, the Big Bang theory encounters critical challenges, such as the breakdown of physical laws

at the initial singularity and difficulties in explaining dark matter, dark energy, and large-scale structure formation. These issues suggest the need for alternative cosmological models to better understand the universe's origins and evolution. General relativity has been enormously successful in describing gravitational phenomena and has passed numerous experimental tests; however, it faces limitations in certain scenarios, particularly at very small scales, in the presence of singularities, and in the context of cosmology. Furthermore, special relativity has revolutionised our understanding of space and time and has been confirmed through numerous experiments. Still, there are limitations in scenarios involving acceleration, quantum mechanics, superluminal speeds, high-energy physics, and cosmological contexts. Additionally, Newton's law of gravitation serves as a foundational principle in classical mechanics, but it is limited in its application under extreme conditions, high velocities, quantum scales, and cosmological contexts. In these cases, more advanced theories like general relativity and quantum mechanics are necessary for accurate descriptions of gravitational phenomena. Also, while dark matter and dark energy are essential components of our current cosmological model, their exact nature and the underlying physics remain elusive.

Bibliography

1. Uzan, Jean-Philippe. (2021). The big-bang theory: construction, evolution and status. *The Universe: Poincaré Seminar 2015*. Springer International Publishing
2. Hawking, S. W., & Penrose, R. (1996). *The Nature of Space and Time*. Princeton University Press. <https://press.princeton.edu/books/paperback/9780691168449/the-nature-of-space-and-time>
3. Zakharov, A. F., Capozziello, S., De Paolis, F., Ingrosso, G., & Nucita, A. A. (2009). The Role of Dark Matter and Dark Energy in Cosmological Models: Theoretical Overview. *Space Science Reviews*, 148(1–4), 301–313. <https://doi.org/10.1007/s11214-009-9500-2>
4. Springel, V., et al. (2005). Simulating the Joint Evolution of Baryons and Dark Matter in the Universe. *Nature*, 435(7042), 629–636.
5. Bondi, H., & Gold, T. (1948). The Steady-State Theory of the Expanding Universe. *Monthly Notices of the Royal Astronomical Society*, 108(3), 252–270.
6. Khoury, J., Ovrut, B. A., Steinhardt, P. J., & Turok, N. (2011). Ekpyrotic and Cyclic Cosmology. *Physical Review D*, 64(12), 123522.
7. Maldacena, J. (2003). The illusion of gravity. *Scientific American*, 293(5), 56–63.
8. Weinberg, S. (1989). The cosmological constant problem. *Reviews of Modern Physics*, 61(1), 1–23.
9. Faber, S. M., & Gallagher, J. S. (1979). Masses and mass-to-light ratios of galaxies. *Annual Review of Astronomy and Astrophysics*, 17(1), 135–187.
10. Riess, A. G., Filippenko, A. V., Challis, P., Clocchiatti, A., Diercks, A., Garnavich, P. M., ... & Tonry, J. (1998). Observational evidence from supernovae for an accelerating universe and a cosmological constant. *The Astronomical Journal*, 116(3), 1009–1038.
11. Clifton, T., Ferreira, P. G., Padilla, A., & Skordis, C. (2012). Modified gravity and cosmology. *Physics Reports*, 513(1), 1–189.
12. Einstein, A. (1905). On the Electrodynamics of Moving Bodies. *Annalen der Physik*, 322(10), 891–921.
13. Rindler, W. (2001). *Relativity: Special, General, and Cosmological*. Oxford University Press.
14. Maldacena, J. (2003). The Illusion of Gravity. *Scientific American*, 288(6), 54–61.
15. Cohen, A. G., & Glashow, S. L. (2011). Very Special Relativity: An Alternative to Einstein's Postula-

- tes. The European Physical Journal C, 71(1), 1-10.
16. Klein, S. (2016). Special Relativity and Its Applications in High-Energy Physics. *Journal of Physics: Conference Series*, 762(1), 012003.
 17. Peebles, P. J. E. (2001). *The Large-Scale Structure of the Universe*. Princeton University Press.
 18. Will, C. M. (2014). The Confrontation between General Relativity and Experiment. *Living Reviews in Relativity*, 17(1).
 19. Rindler, W. (2001). *Relativity: Special, General, and Cosmological*. Oxford University Press.
 20. Maldacena, J. (2003). The Illusion of Gravity. *Scientific American*, 288(6), 54-61.
 21. Peebles, P. J. E. (2001). *The Large-Scale Structure of the Universe*. Princeton University Press.
 22. Frieman, J. A., Turner, M. S., & Huterer, D. (2008). Dark energy and the accelerating universe. *Annu. Rev. Astron. Astrophys.*, 46(1), 385-432.
 23. Riess, A. G., et al. (1998). Observational Evidence from Supernovae for an Accelerating Universe and a Cosmological Constant. *The Astronomical Journal*, 116(3), 1009-1038.
 24. Bertone, G., Hooper, D., & Silk, J. (2005). Particle dark matter: Evidence, candidates and constraints. *Physics Reports*, 405(5-6), 279-390.
 25. de Blok, W. J. G. (2010). The core-cusp problem. *Advances in Astronomy*, 2010, 789293.
 26. Planck Collaboration. (2016). Planck 2015 results. XIII. Cosmological parameters. *Astronomy & Astrophysics*, 594, A13.
 27. Bekenstein, J. D. (2004). Relativistic gravitation theory for the MOND paradigm. *Physical Review D*, 70(8), 083509.
 28. Milgrom, M. (1983). A modification of the Newtonian dynamics as a possible alternative to the hidden mass hypothesis. *The Astrophysical Journal*, 270, 365-370.