International Journal for Multidisciplinary Research (IJFMR)

E-ISSN: 2582-2160 • Website: <u>www.ijfmr.com</u> • Email: editor@ijfmr.com

Literary Analysis of the Commentary of Rangadasa on Meghadutam

Dr. Nibedita Pati

Assistant Professor, Dharanidhar University, Keonjhar, Odisha

Abstract

Rangadasa is an Odishan commentator on $Meghad\bar{u}tam$. This is commentary is preserved in Jayadeva Odisha State Museum, Bhubaneswar in palm-leaf manuscript form. There are two manuscripts available, one is numbered as L/371 and another is L/671. This paper aims to highlight how the exposition of literature is envisaged in the commentary of Rangadasa on *Meghadutam*.

Keywords: Analysis of Literature, Figures of speech, Application of Dhvani

1. Introduction

Literary analysis is an important feature in Rangadasa's commentary. It bears the author's erudition and skill of judgment. Like a popular system of criticism, he explains the themes in the light of the structure of literature. The author does not deviate from the style of the commentary. The commentator applies catechism method by asking questions and answering to it. His style of writing commentary is simple and original.

2. Analysis of literature:

This commentary quotes quotes *Ghaṭakarparam*, *Bhāvaprakāśanam*, *Śārngadharapaddhatiḥ Raghuvamsam*, *Māghakāvyam*, *Manusmrtiḥ*, ṭ*īkā* of *Raghuvamsam*, Bharata, *Hastīśikṣā* etc. Though Rangadasa directly does not name the texts as *Bhāvaprakāśanam* and *Manusmrtiḥ*, yet adopts some references from those texts. Some references are cited below.

- Verse 11 tyaktānyarāgā iva mānasotkāķ / hamsāķ nadan meghabhayād dravanti //(Ghaṭakarparam)
- Verse 24 manonukūlesvarthesu sukhasamvedanam ratiķ / asamprayogavisayā saiva pritirnigadyate //(Bhāvaprakāśanam 2.21)
- Verse 26-

Unnamaya sakacagrahamāsyam cumbati priyatame hathavrtyā / munca munca mama netrakalpitām yoṣitām jayati mānavatināmiti //

(Śārngadharapaddhatih)

• Verse 40-

rupayauvanasampannā kulabhogadhanādikā / vāsongarāgamalakam candanendudayādibhiḥ // uddipyamanam panceṣu pancavāṇapratāpitā / yābhisārayate kāntam sā bhavedabhisārikā //(Bhāvaprakāśanam 4.167)



- Verse 51- sarasvatīdrṣadvatyorantaram / taddevanirmitam deśam brahmāvartam pracakṣate //(Manusmṛtiḥ)
- Verse 88- svarāh samurcchito yatra rāgatām pratipadyate/ murcchanāmiti tām prāhuh kavayo grāmasambhavāmiti // (Bharatah)

3. Description on Figures of speech:

Sometimes, the commentator Rangadasa refers to the figures of speech. He names the figures of speech like *Uktipoşa, Utprekşā, Vākyārthopamā, Arthāntaranyāsa, Adbhutopamā*, etc. There is naturalism found in his description of figures of speech with definition. He quotes *Adbhutopamā* two times with different definitions. He sorts out five figures of speech from *Meghadūtam* but does not give any source to those references. They are:

- Verse no. 2- Uktipoşa uktipoşah kvacidhetoh phalabhāvena yojanam/ kvacidākşepalabhyasya sākşācchedanam kīrttanam//
- Verse no. 9 Utprekṣā manye sanke dhruvam prayo nynamityevamadibhiḥ/ utprekṣā vyajyate śabdairiva śabdopi tādṛśaḥ //(Kāvyādarśaḥ 2.234)
- Verse no. 19 Vākyārthopamā- vākyārthe naiva vākyārthaḥ kopi yadupamiyate / ekānekevaśabdatvat sa vākyārthopamā dvidhā // (Kāvyādarśaḥ 2.43)
- Verse no. 21 Arthāntaranyāsa jneyaķ sonyonyasya vastunaķ /
- Verse no. 49 Adbhutopamā,
 - yadi kimcidbhavetpadmam subhru vibhrantalocanam / tatte tada sriyam dhattamityasavadbhutopmiti //
- Verse no. 61 Adbhutopamā, sarvapadmaprabhāsārah samāhṛta iva kvacit / tadānanam vibhātīti tāmadbhutopamām vidu riti((Kāvyādarṣah 2.43)

4. Application of *Dhvani*^h:

Rangadasa addresses the problem of poetic blemishes. They are classical in nature. His illustration on *Dhvanih* is quite objective and noteworthy. They are as follows:

- In Verse no. 15, gopaveśasya visnorityanena visnormayūrarucitvam sūcyate /
- In stanza no. 23, kampasambhramābhyām ityanena nāyikāyāh suratasamāptisukham sūcitam /
- In śloka no. 28, klāntikarņa ityanena rativimatisamānāvasthā vichittiķ dhvanitā /
- In 34th Verse, nrtyopahāreņa gītādiśravaņam vyajyate /
- In sloka no. 41, tām kasyāmcidbhavanavallabhau suptapārāvatāyāmityatra suptetyādinā pramādakāmijanocita surato nivāsa sthanam vyajyate /
- In 64th stanza, valayakuliśodghaţanod gīrņatoyamityanena sundarīvŗnda parirambhasambhavo vyajyate /
- In 65th, hemāmbhojasya kalpavṛkṣasya ca sevanādalaka praveśe mangalam vyajyate /
- In 73rd Verse, suraprārthanayā sarvasya vihārayogyatā vyajyate /

In the above examples, whatever is suggested they are all mentioned by the commentator

5. To Sum Up

The richness of his venerable adaptability, the massiveness and wisdom of his learning, his principal command over the language, his style of intermingling in literature are quite praise-worthy. Thus, the



commentary of Rangadasa has a mode of its own which proves his individuality as a scholar poet and commentator.

Considering the above brief discussion, it could be concluded that the commentator Rangadasa never makes an unnecessary display of knowledge but strictly follows the principles laid down by his predecessors as 'be precise and authoritative'. The basic qualities like lucidity, simplicity, elegance and decorative expressiveness are the most striking features of Rangadasa's com. on *Meghadūtam*. Rangadasa's style of approach, critical view, deep observation over the theme, grammatical verbosity, lexical knowledge, mythological implementation and pin-pointed explanation of the text can sufficiently figure himself as a celebrated commentator of India.

References:

- 1. Dash, Sinirudha(et all), New Catalogus Catalogorum(vol. XXI), University of Madras, 2011
- 2. Mahapatra, K. N., A Descriptive Catalogue of Sanskrit Manuscripts of Orissa(vol.II), Orissa Sahitya Academy, Bhubaneswar, 1960
- 3. Mishra, Prafulla Kumar & Pati, Nibedita, *Meghadutam: In the eyes of the Commentators*, Pratibha Prakashan, New Delhi, 2016
- 4. Pati, Nibedita, *Meghadutatika of Rangadasa*(A Critical Edition and Study), Pratibha Prakashan, New Delhi, 2018
- 5. Shastri, Krushnamohan, Sahityadarpana, Chowkhamba Samskrta samsthan, Varanasi, 2011