

# A Survey of AI-Powered Proactive Threat-Hunting Techniques: Challenges and Future Directions

Temidayo Osinaike<sup>1</sup>, Adekoya Yetunde<sup>2</sup>, Chisom Victory Onyenagubo<sup>3</sup>

<sup>1</sup>College of Information Assurance, St cloud state University, Minnesota

<sup>2</sup>D'Amore-McKim School of Business, Northeastern University, Boston, MA, USA.

<sup>3</sup>Southern University and A & M College

## Abstract

The study narrates the dimensions of AI-powered threat hunting techniques that keep changing and draws on their importance in cybersecurity measures while dealing with advanced cyber threats. While the cyber adversary is continuously adapting and innovating, the integration of advanced AI algorithms, including reinforcement learning and hybrid models, has become one of the key strategies for real-time threat detection and response. The research investigates the transformative potential of these algorithms to facilitate adaptive systems that can quickly recognize and act on new attack vectors. It also discusses the integration of AI with emergent technologies like blockchain, quantum computing, and IoT in the dimension of their potential to leverage threat intelligence and response mechanisms. This would naturally lead to increased efficiency in threat hunting by leveraging the strengths brought into this endeavor by both the AI systems and human analysts. The study also advocates for the development of strong policy and governance frameworks to drive home some ethical concerns in deploying AI in cybersecurity. Such a framework is very important for addressing challenges on data privacy, accountability, and transparency. The findings bring into sharp relief that, in this continuing evolution of the cybersecurity landscape, what will work best is a proactive stance—a proactive stance that incorporates innovative technologies with human ingenuity and ethics. This white paper broadly documents the considerable promise of AI in threat hunting and strategic advances needed to harden organizational defenses against a dynamic threat environment.

**Keywords:** AI (Artificial Intelligence), Cybersecurity, Threat Hunting and Security

## 1.0 Introduction

With the advancement of technology, and communications in particular, the information age has led to an increased risk of computer security breaches, whereby organizations have to protect their resources at all times. Most of the existing IT security measures, which the majority of firms would invest in, work as traditional 'passive' security measures like firewalls or Intrusion Detection Systems (IDSs) or even Antivirus solutions are inadequate and outdated because the wave of cybercriminals continues to evolve. Keeping in view these challenges, proactive stance, or better to say, proactive 'threat-hunting,' when possible threats are sought and hunted down before they can inflict any damage, has been introduced as one of the components of the cybersecurity operations and one of its pivotal aspects. This is chiefly

made possible because of the application of artificial intelligence (AI), which delivers faster and better assessment of threat perception extending even to real life invisible threats. Advanced analytical machine learning techniques that target qualitative deep learning, and data studies are used to address the proactive threat hunt and threat hunting process also addressing the issues (Kwon, et al., 2020).

The basic principle behind machine-based threat-hunting is to hunt for threats in humanly unfathomable large datasets that can be processed in digestible fraction of a time. AI algorithms are especially useful in finding patterns or outliers in a particular kind of traffic which could be presumed to possess harmful properties (Zhou et al., 2019). For example, such tools are able to spot changes in the normal operating behavior, how new threats may appear or are likely to emerge, and how old ones are expected to change. Such resilience is important because contemporary conflicts made through the cyberspace do involve delicate multidimensional approaches which aim at circumventing extant architecture of protection. Analysis of Shaukat et al. (2021) indicates that such kinds of threat prevention systems have successfully managed to analyze large amounts of data in different domains and have been able to spot threats that were not identifiable before.

Yet, taking advantage of the AI for proactive threat-hunting purposes involves certain challenges as well. The first concern has to do with the nature of the data available for the analysis. AI systems are able to train effective models only when a lot of quality controlled and labeled training data is available; therefore, the lack of such provisions can impair the ability of the system to respond to new threats (Gharib et al., 2022). Moreover, in the context of cybersecurity, AI-based models are most of the time considered ‘black boxes’, thus the decisions and insights achieved by such systems are hardly interpretable by cybersecurity experts. This aspect becomes a big barrier to the application of AI-based threat-hunting systems in scenarios with such gain where there is a need for explainability (Singh et al., 2020). Likewise, the other side of the coin is that the attackers are also utilizing AI in order to create more advanced attacks, which makes the defense of such systems more complex.

However, the use of AI in cybersecurity is not limited to the detection of threats. There are great expectations regarding AI usage in enhancing the speed and effectiveness of the threat-hunting process. Systems employing AI technologies are able to analyze great volumes of log files, able to perform IOCs correlation automatically and even forecast the possible actions of the intruder based on the already existing behaviors (Nguyen & Tran, 2021). Such automated processes would be extremely beneficial in alleviating the overall pressure and workload placed on cybersecurity experts, as they would only be required to deal with the overall strategy and response. In addition, the machine learning component of AI such systems enhances their ability to recognize and counter threats as time goes by. This becomes significant since most of the cyber threats develop and change considerably faster than the defenses developed decades ago (Li et al., 2020).

In summary, AI-powered proactive threat hunting marks a quantum leap in cybersecurity strategy, opening ways for an organization not only to detect cyber threats but also to predict them well before they cause serious damage. Though data quality, model interpretability, and adversarial AI remain challenges, continuous research and development in the field keep improving the effectiveness of AI-driven threat-hunting tools. As the cyber threat landscape continues to evolve, AI's role in proactive cybersecurity measures will no doubt be increasingly integral to protecting digital assets and maintaining trust in those digital systems (Shaukat et al., 2021).

### **1.1 Why AI is critical for proactive threat detection and response**

AI has become an important aspect of threat detection and response because of its capacity to manage la-

Large volumes of real-time data and detect those subtle changes that, even the best, human analysts will never be able to detect. In the field of cybersecurity, some preventative systems that are commonplace such as firewalls and intrusion detection systems work to address that threat, but most of the time they fail at dealing with threats that are unknown and evolving. This obsolescence can be circumscribed by Artificial Intelligence by embedding machine learning and deep learning algorithms in the systems that are coming in to counter the aggressors. Threats that are socially engineered are normally only identified through the use of behavioral analysis of the monitored networks, which reveals certain discrepancies, hence the learned behaviors, and everybody's angles of attack, other strategies, and exposing those attacks. Leveraging on AI algorithms enables organizations to change security gears from that of waiting to reacting to aggressors to that of preemptive identification of problems before they turned to active hostile situations (Okenwa et al., 2024).

Yet, one more key benefit derived from the effective use of AI in proactive threat detection: it allows to greatly streamline the efforts in analysis of multivariate data and datasets. Daily cyber security operations involve the generation of huge amount of data, most of which is composed of logs, network traffic, and endpoint activity information. The problem of going through this information is, however, quite the contrary: time-taking and contains high chances of inaccuracies. These datasets can be mandated to an AI system, which will go through the datasets and look out for threats to be identified in real time (Nguyen & Tran, 2021). Additionally, proportional to the power of AI, new threat-hunting devices incorporate risk factors into the evaluation of network status and adjust it each time when the data is provided, which allows a more rapid response. In this day and age where cyberattacks are sharp due to their occurrence and sophistication, action and learning all the time is a must (Chen et al., 2020).

The predictive functions of cybersecurity safeguards are also improved by Artificial Intelligence. Engaging in Predictive Analytics with AI technology helps organizations to know threats and take action in preventing them. AI models based on historic data can also be used to predict attack modes and the weaknesses that would be targeted through such attacks. Zhu et al., (2020) opined that AI models can assess and give a predict a certain level of attacks and so resource distributions as well as defensive plans can be done more efficiently. This active strategy means that the concerned institutions are not only responding to attacks that are ongoing but they are also working on steps that will help them reduce the extent of damage should the threat escalate in the future (Okenwa et al., 2024).

It is important to understand that the remodel of the response to cyber threats is rapid and is heavily reliant on AI. When an anomaly or an attack is identified, for example, an artificial intelligence system activates certain routines, such as cutting off the neck of the attacked network by quarantining damaged endpoints, banning certain IP addresses, or applying corrective system updates. This eliminates the gap between the identification and intervention of a threat which is of great importance in reducing the effects of a cyberattack (Gharib et al., 2022). There is also a lessened pressure created on the cybersecurity teams since the basic threat management is done by the AI and leaders can engage themselves on aspects requiring high levels of strategy. This division of labor encourages AI in combination with human skills in a robust cyber security system which is helpful against any types of threats effective or not effective (Singh et al., 2020).

## **2.0 Evolution of Threat-Hunting: A review of manual and automated threat-hunting techniques.**

The progress of threat hunting as a concept in the realm of cybersecurity was at first a futile endeavor in which only damage causing experts could identify damage as a result of their capability. Although

played a pivotal role in threat detection, it was a primitive and reactive approach. Organizations used to have cyber security teams that used to get alerts from the primary security tools in use like firewalls and IDS and SOC teams simply responded to those alerts. Analysts used to go through logs, network traffic and system behaviors and try to establish if there was anything unusual or any form of malicious activity. The effectiveness of this which was referred to as reconnaissance of the unknown principles for overcoming attacks was absolutely correct in restraining known threats or incapacitating disablers definable attacks (Zhou et al., 2019). Systematic threat hunting due to the extensive volume of data in contemporary networks proved an impossibility as it was very labour and time-intensive and also prone to human error.

As cyber threats reached new heights regarding their robustness and occurrence, it was apparent that manual threat-hunting systems were not going to be sufficiently effective within sophisticated networks. This created a paradigm shift which called for the invention of techniques for undertaking hunting threats without hunting oneself augmentation. The first generation of these systems was rule-based and used pattern-matching algorithms of known compromised objects (IOCs). However, these methods were like advancements in terms of speed and concern with efficiency, they came with their own drawbacks especially with respect to new attacks with zero-day exploits or completely new variants that don't fit any existing patterns (Nguyen & Tran, 2021). The other challenge with the automated systems was that there were excessive false alarms, which made it impossible for a majority of the warnings to be actionable without the report of the human editor verification.

The use of AI and ML in Threat-Hunting marked a paradigm shift in the sector. With the development of intelligence based threat-hunting systems, it became possible to not only detect previously known threats but to learn the behavior of new threats in real time by processing large volumes of data. For instance, instead of rigid systems where there are fixed procedures or instructions on how to perform a certain task, AI models are dynamic and adaptive in that they change over time based on the available information where they will also be able to highlight suspicious behavior that would otherwise be normal activity (Shaukat et al., 2021). Classifying Apparition of network, host, or user activity anomalies that would be impossible for even the most sophisticated analytic systems or humans is probably the greatest advancement in the art of AI aware threat-hunting.

Even automated threat-hunting helped to achieve the necessary elasticity in dealing with the overwhelming volumes of data brought about by the modern network infrastructure. For instance, it is possible for log files, endpoint information, and network behaviour to be processed concurrently by an AI within a subset of time that is impracticable to a human being that it enables constant observation of the organization's surroundings even in real-time. This is very crucial in the fight against advanced persistent threats (APTs), which are designed to infiltrate a network surreptitiously and remain there for a very long time without being detected (Li et al., 2020). In addition, such systems are also capable of handling threats without human intervention by preventing actions like moving affected machines, blacklisting certain ip addresses and downloading updates immediately after a threat is detected. Thus, minimizing the duration between detection of a threat and a countermeasure is implemented.

Despite great improvements with automation, the human analyst remains a key part of the threat-hunting process. While AI-driven tools are excellent in recognizing patterns and detecting anomalies, many times they lack that contextual understanding or intuitive sense that a seasoned threat hunter can provide. Hybrid approaches, whereby the best of AI-driven automation is blended with human oversight, do continue to be seen by many scholars as the best means with which to perform threat hunting. REF Chen

et al. (2020). Most of the data processing and initial detection of data in these systems are done by AI, while human experts validate findings, investigate more complicated threats, and make strategic decisions on mitigation and response. Due to the fact that cyber threats are continually changing, AI will combine with human intelligence in the effort toward cybersecurity continuously.

### **2.1 Role of AI in Cybersecurity: Machine learning, deep learning, and NLP applications in detecting security threats.**

The role of artificial intelligence (AI) within the modern world of cybersecurity has evolved to become one of the primary tools in combating sophisticated security threats. In light of the exponential increase in the amount of data produced and stored within digital systems, conventional methods of detecting security threats that rely on fixed signatures have failed. More specifically, AI offers substantial resources for on the spot identification of both pre-existing and new threats due to its branches of machine learning (ML), deep learning (DL) and natural language processing (NLP). The technologies allow for self-training cybersecurity systems to detect data patterns and work with system modifications so as to increase the efficiency of attacks detection with very limited manpower (Zhou et al., 2019). For this reason, with the Addition of AI intelligence, it is possible for Organizations to improve the effectiveness of Threat Detection Systems and Increase the security level.

Primarily, Machine learning, which heavily relies on artificial intelligence, is important in cyber security in that it helps the systems identify irregularities in the functioning of the network in question. Networks are able to train ML algorithms to construct attack models based on historical data and analyze its current network traffic in order to find attacks. For instance, in supervised learning approaches, models may draw upon labelled examples and actively learn to separate benign vs malicious behaviors in network traffic, while outlier detection, or clustering, techniques are able to find novel threats by unsupervised grouping of behaviors (Li et al., 2020). This does not mean that such systems would not work because they would have to train each and every sample beforehand, which is hardly realistic when it comes using such systems against APT or zero-day type of attacks which manage to slip through defense lines.

As a more sophisticated subset of machine learning, deep learning can be more effective in threat detection. This is because it can handle unstructured data and retrieve high-level characteristics from it. At this level, especially among older generation models, deep learning dlsedep learning approached has proven to be effective security cyber-crimes by detection of malware, phishing among other forms of analysis via big data abstraction layers. As for instance convolutional neural networks, CNNs are helpful in processing photos to reveal harmful documents while agritha networks and long-short term memory LSTM networks have been tagged along with modeling data in motion; for instance, new traffic coming in for specific times. Their structural complexity makes it possible for these models to capture subtle hints and large compromise indicators which a less complex system would probably not (Aminu et al., 2024).

The other AI application that is gaining a strong foothold in cybersecurity is natural language processing (NLP) and mainly in the area of phishing, spam, and even toxic communication mitigation. These techniques can visualize, understand and produce human language for example checking emails, social media, and chats for malicious content. For use, NLP can analyze emails and help determine if they contain any elements such as language requesting information in an aggressive, coercive manner consistent with phishing attacks (Sahay et al, 2020). Even more, technologies powered by such NLP tools can also use them to prevent users from executing natural language commands that contain hidden

instructions on how to release malware.

AI technologies like ML, DL, and NLP are not limited to threat detection only; rather, these technologies also help in incident response automation and prioritization of security alerts. An AI-powered system may independently respond to low-level threats, like blocking malicious IP addresses or device isolation, and let human analysts decide on higher-order tasks independently. Also, by ranking alerts on severity and likelihood of being a true positive, AI systems can alleviate the problem of alert fatigue, in that security teams do not get overwhelmed by a high volume of false positives. This enhances the efficiency in the overall security operations to aid in unprecedented speeds while responding to key incidents (Aminu et al., 2024).

Despite these benefits, the integration of AI in cybersecurity does not come without its challenges. Of major concern is the quality of data used to train these AI models. Incomplete or biased data may lead to a very wrong detection of threats, increasing the risks of false negatives or positives. Meanwhile, adversaries also harness AI for more sophisticated attacks, including AI-generated phishing schemes and malware that can adapt and learn to evade detection by AI-based systems. Thus, while AI brings about many substantial advantages in the field of threat detection, constant updating and complementarity with human intelligence will be imperative for its effectiveness against the shifting landscape of cyber threats (Aminu et al., 2024).

## 2.2 Key AI-Powered Techniques in Cybersecurity

The recent, tremendous development of AI technologies has reshaped the cybersecurity landscape, especially within developing techniques for threat detection and response. Among these, the most salient are anomaly detection, behavioral analysis, and real-time monitoring systems. Such methods enhance the ability to detect and respond to threats more efficiently and effectively when compared to traditional approaches. Large volumes of data could, therefore, result in better machine learning algorithms to help organizations protect against evolving cyber threats.

### 2.2.1 Anomaly Detection Using AI

Anomaly detection is one of the most important techniques in the field of cybersecurity where it focuses on the detection of outliers in the data. AI algorithms especially machine learning models are trained on available historical data to create a model of the general activities inside a particular environment or system. This is followed with the projection of the expected level of activities and surveillance about the changes from the projection which are viewed as possible security threats. In general there are two classes of strategies: supervised learning and unsupervised learning based approaches to build an anomaly detection system. Models built using supervised methods perform better than those built using unsupervised methods but due to the ability to detect out of the box threats that have not been categorized earlier such methods are priceless (Ahmed et al., 2016). The AI elements based on known patterns have been used successfully in many domains ranging from detection of network attacks to detection of abnormal activities of users.

Support Vector Machines (SVM) and K-Means clustering are some of the examples of machine learning approaches applied in developing anomaly detection systems. These techniques look for abnormalities which may be potential threats to the organization, such as, for instance, a break of its data security integrity or internal sabotage. For instance, time series data anomaly detection techniques have found deep learning models, notably autoencoders and recurrent neural networks (RNN), useful for networks and system logs which are sequential data (Xu et al., 2020). Anomaly detection systems which are

powered by Artificial Intelligence are Adaptive in that they improve in performance over time by learning from new incoming data whereby reducing the number of false alarms.

### **2.2.2 Behavioral Analysis and Threat Prediction**

Behavioral analysis is another prime technique of AI in cybersecurity; this involves understanding the behavior pattern of users and systems on a network. An organization may establish baseline behaviors for individual users whereby deviations from such behavior could serve as a signal for potential threats. This will help in matters related to insider threats and account compromise, as malicious actors normally behave differently from other legitimate users. Among the most frequent ones, in this case, would be decision trees and ensembles, applied for the study of users' behavior and anomalies detection.

With AI, predictive analytics goes further ahead in predicting such threats before they occur. AI models analyze historical data and patterns in user behavior to predict forward-looking actions and identify which users or systems are likely to be compromised in the future. This proactive approach allows an organization to take necessary security measures in advance, before the occurrence of an incident. Examples include AI-powered insights to prioritize security alerts to focus security teams on the most critical threats.

### **2.2.3 Real-Time Monitoring and Response Systems**

In today's high-velocity digital world, it is very crucial to have active monitoring and responsive systems in place to support the cybersecurity efforts of any organization. Nowadays, it is possible thanks to the AI driven technologies that focus on enabling real-time surveillance of the network traffic, how users conduct themselves and the overall system behavior in order to find the threats in real time. By complementing the monitoring systems with the machine learning algorithms and other technologies, the organizations are then able to detect and deal with the threatening activities as they occur automatically (Garcia et al., 2021). Such systems can enhance the situational awareness with respect to the network and perimeter security by incorporating active anomaly detection and behavior monitoring.

It is also possible with these AI real time monitoring systems to achieve incident response even without human intervention. These systems have potential threats and in such cases; they will enact the predetermined actions, including but not limited to, removing the non-functioning devices, rejecting the identified devices with known threats, or inform the operatives in charge of security. Such automation leads to swift responses and less adverse effects on other services during a security breach impact (Zheng et al., 2020). Further, real-time monitoring systems can self-correct and gain insight from ongoing issues thereby enhancing their detection and response rate over time.

Though AI powered real time monitoring and response systems are beneficial, they also pose some problems. One of the biggest problems is false alarms that could cause excessive alerts and alertness weary among the analysts. As such, it is important for organizations to minimize these false alarms by making sure the monitoring systems are well tuned and the appropriate machine learning systems in place are kept current with threat environments (Becker et al., 2019). Lastly, for a comprehensive incident response system, the use of machines in place of human response should not exclude the human input for analyst because they give reason for making certain decisions and the capacity to think.

## **2.3 Current State of AI in Threat-Hunting: Recent advancements and frameworks used in proactive threat-hunting.**

The advancements in artificial intelligence (AI) for threat-hunting today bear lots of improvements that will assist in enhancing the proactive nature of cyber security. Threats from the cyberspace have become

quite alarming for organizations that they are resorting to new strategies, the previous methods of detection are proving insufficient and wanting. Thanks to the recent innovations on artificial intelligence; – especially machine and deep learning, immense amounts of data can now be correlated for patterns of behaviors and irregularities that signal possible danger and security assaults. Those techniques supported by artificial intelligence have proven to be more efficient and effective towards the detection of advanced persistent threats (APTs) as well as zero-day attacks, which are mostly out of reach of most security boxes (Wang et al., 2022). There is a shift in the dynamics of threat hunting with the embrace of AI technologies enabling the organizations from reacting negatively towards the gay wildebeest attacks to strategizing on how ahead of the curve they can be.

One notable advancement in AI-powered threat-hunting is the emergence of frameworks that facilitate the deployment of machine learning models for detecting and mitigating security threats. The attacked and the kill chain both incorporate model-based approaches enabling attacked or breaches and the ridging threats mitigation thereafter. In particular, the MITRE ATT&CK framework provides a useful outline of all adversary’s tactics, techniques and procedures (TTPs) which aids the organizations in deploying threat models of such behavior (Huang et al., 2021). Using these frameworks, the organization can further improve its capabilities in threat-hunting ensuring that AI models are designed to recognize the relevant threat and prepare for it.

In addition, it can also be pointed out that the methods of ensemble learning and hybrid techniques have helped to enhance the performance of the threat detection systems. Each methodological algorithm employs its full capacitive potential while lessening the operational weaknesses of each of the machine learning algorithms. For example, in this case, ensemble techniques such as the random forests and the gradient boosting techniques tend to perform better in capturing the anomalous and malicious behaviors and therefore aids in combating the problem of false alarms (Chen et al., 2020). Moreover, given the fact that threat-hunting pursues the use of natural language processing (NLP) techniques, there is an increase in the amount of data that can be collected for analysis, data that is not network traffic, such as logs and even social media content (Buehrer et al., 2022). Such developments are ever-present and speak of the growth of AI techniques towards the protection of systems against intrusions.

However, in spite of such developments, the use of AI in threat-hunting still incurs challenges. One of the major concern is linked to the issue of interpretability of AI models as most machine learning approaches are rather “black boxes” which security experts can’t make sense of how a conclusion was reached (Lipton, 2016). It reduces the retroactive trust in the AI system and makes it hard to initiate effective responses to incidents. Furthermore, the dynamic nature of cyber threats also implies that there is need to retrain and reconfigure the AI models periodically. This means that Organizations are required to implement regular system changes and infusion of the latest threat information in order bolster their defense mechanisms against incorporation of such AI S ys (Zhao et al., 2021). As the opportunities and capabilities of such AI technologies expand, the above-discussed issues must be tackled if proactive threat-hunting is to reach its full feasible extent.

## 2.4 AI-Powered Threat-Hunting Techniques

Now, AI has started to become a game-changing force in cybersecurity, particularly in threat hunting. An organization can effectively strengthen the capability for real-time threat detection and response through different forms of machine learning. Of them, two well-known methodologies are supervised and unsupervised learning, which find broad applications in threat detection. That would involve the co-



comparative analysis of those approaches, the place of automated threat detection in identifying zero-day vulnerabilities, and the use of AI in threat intelligence to develop actionable insights.

#### **2.4.1 Supervised vs. Unsupervised Learning**

It's no doubt that supervised learning and unsupervised learning are two dominant schools of thought in the context of machine learning, and both of them have their advantages and shortcomings as far as threats identification is concerned. Supervised learning is based on labeled datasets. In this method, the input is associated with the output with labelled data. This approach is useful for classical problems because it is possible to train the models on the history of attack patterns and apply those models to coming attack data (Alzubaidi et al., 2021). For example, supervised learning techniques like SVM and decision trees, can be trained on data sets containing examples of both the malicious and the normal activity, so that their output can be used to classify novel examples based on what they have learnt. In any case, however, the application of supervised learning is dependent on the existence of extensive labeled data sets, which are often difficult to get for new and emerging threats.

On the other hand, unsupervised learning solves the problem of unknown threats in a dataset without the use of labeled data. Allain et al. (2007) explain that to discover existing groupings and outliers in the data, unsupervised approaches, such as k-means and autoencoders, look for structure in the data. This method is most useful in identification of threats such as zero-day attacks or advanced persistent threats (APTs) as there are no signatures for such threats. Due to the presence of outliers, unsupervised learning systems do not require prior knowledge of the threats in order to anticipate a security breach. Rather, they model what is normal behavior and when behavior is significantly different from the expected, an alert is raised about the possibility of a security breach. It becomes clear that one can find both types of learning useful or appropriate depending on the situation and types of threats that need addressing, and this serves to enhance further the importance of both techniques in a threat hunting cycle.

#### **2.4.2 Automated Threat Detection**

Automated threat detection is an innovation that greatly enhances the capacity of organizations to detect and forecast zero-day threats. Above all, these threats are extremely difficult to deal with since they take advantage of the unpatched and undocumented flaws in software and systems that have just been unearthed. Automatic threat detection with the aid of AI involves the deployment of machine learning techniques to process large volumes of streams of information in real-time, thereby analyzing and addressing possible threats before they can be able to act (Safa et al., 2022). Continuous analysis of network activity, server logs, and behavioral patterns is employed by such system to detect abnormal activities and relate them to abnormal activities with known correlates, hence reducing the concern of exposure.

One key advantage of automated threat detection is that its functioning improves with the input of new data over a period of time. New threats which evolve many strategies can be handled by learning new traits of such threats and retraining the AI models which can be used to detect the composition of the attacks (Kumar et al., 2021). Also, robotic vision systems performance enhancement can also be achieved through the utilization of ensemble strategies where various machine learning models are put together for better performance of the specific automated detection systems. More as the deep learning models especially the convolutional neural networks (CNNs) are able to find their way around the complex datasets like network traffic data, code repositories, or etc., they have the exceptional potential in vulnerability assessments as they perform automated feature extraction even from unprocessed information (Gao et al., 2020).

### 2.4.3 AI in Threat Intelligence

Alongside detection, AI enables the composition of various threat intelligence feeds and building insights, which is an aspect of threat intelligence. The process of threat intelligence involves gathering and analyzing data relating to current and emerging cyber threats to aid an organization in making decisions on ways to enhance its security. According to Abdelhamid et al. (2021), AI systems automate the processing of information from threat-oriented data repositories such as threat exchanges, security blogs, and the dark web, and other industrial sources to help pinpoint situationally relevant threats and assist security teams. Such a feature is essential in a situation where there exists an information explosion on threat intelligence data, and sifting through impossible.

Threat intelligence applications supported by artificial intelligence use natural language processing (NLP) to mine information from unstructured forms for real-time analysis and generation of insights (Silva et al., 2022). For instance, these systems can deploy techniques such as sentiment analysis and entity recognition to track potential threats, gauge their likely impact, and rank them in relation to the threats faced by the organization. This advancement in technology greatly improves situational awareness, as it allows for preventative measures to be taken towards threats that have been evaluated and found to be of high importance. In addition, because the intelligence of an organization can be modified to incorporate the latest information, its resolution to fight back cunning cyber threats will be effective.

However, due to the advancements in AI technology that has taken threat hunting to a new level, there are many factors that need to be taken into consideration in order to make the most out of it. This is due to the fact that all AI models must be trained using high quality data without which, they become useless in detecting or responding to anything (Sinha et al., 2022). Also, because cybercrimes can evolve, there is a need to constantly retrain and refine the models so that the AI systems can be useful even after a long period. Lastly, they have to also think about the legal implications of using AI technology, which includes avoiding invading the privacy of people and employing counter measures to protect AI systems from attacks.

## 2.5 Challenges in AI-Powered Threat-Hunting

While more organizations are embracing AI-powered threat hunting to improve their security postures, several key challenges must be addressed if these technologies are to succeed. Key challenges span dimensions of data availability, model interpretability, adversarial attacks, resource and scalability issues, and regulatory and ethical concerns. Each one of them brings different obstacles that can affect the successful application of AI in cybersecurity and needs special attention and strategic planning.

### 2.5.1 Data Challenges

One of the principal difficulties encountered in the threat detection process, which relies heavily on AI, is accessing, classifying, and gathering high-quality threat data that can be utilized for training the AI models. Comprehensive and accurate models capable of analyzing attacks and normal user behavior activity, are someday expected to be integrated within such systems. However, data of this kind is hardly ever available. The lack of labelled datasets, more so for emerging new threats can also affect the ability of supervised learning models to generalize well (Noble et al., 2021). Labeling data, in addition, can be an expensive undertaking especially if the threat behavior is not common as it requires some degree of expertise to label the threat behavior correctly. As a result, organizations may find it difficult enhancing their detection infrastructure as they may not have sufficient data proactively addressing the various thr-

eat actors.

As much as the quantity of threat data is important, the quality of the threat data is likewise important. Bad data may lead to the development of models that may yield distorted results and which may even be successfully trained but which will be ineffective in threat detection due to or will raise many false alarms (Sinha et al., 2022). In this case, for example, if the training dataset is biased towards certain attacks, the model would be enraged to such attacks that he may have never encountered before. Further, it must be clear that only with a wide range of data thickness, it is possible to create models that will be effective in all the advantages. In simple terms, the model's effectiveness is directly linked with the availability of adequate representation of threat data in particular the AI based threat detection (Bertino et al., 2020).

### **2.5.2 Model Interpretability**

The interpretability of the models is yet another critical issue confronting the use of AI in hunting threats. It is, the understanding and explaining the AI's output. Most of the sophisticated AI technologies especially in deep learning networks are referred to as 'black boxes,' making it hard for the security analysts to understand the reasons that led to particular conclusions (Lipton, 2016). Such opaqueness has negative implications on the trust placed on the AI systems and is also detrimental to the incident handling processes. For example, in a situation where an AI model indicates that a normal and acceptable action is malicious, it is essential that the security team understands reason as to why such a conclusion was reached to appraise the relevant threat and act accordingly. It is inherent risks of relying on such technology and occupying such a space especially in cybersecurity, where responsiveness and accuracy are of the essence. There have been instances when lack of an explanation of the results of an AI system caused such results to be seen as too risky and less inspiring for the acceptance of the automated suggestions. As a result, the commercially inclined departments still end up retaining traditional security solutions. Organizations may find themselves in a situation where they purchase cutting-edge AI tools but do not utilize the tools because of the worries on how the tools can be interpreted (Miller, 2019). There are ongoing debates regarding the creation of AI models that are explainable, however the issue of complexity versus simplicity so as to achieve understanding is still a very complicated one in cyberspace.

### **2.5.3 Adversarial AI**

The utilization of adversarial AI is seen as another big concern in AI-driven threat hunting. Many organizations are now employing AI for threat mitigation; however that same technology is being adopted by cybercriminals to enhance their operations by preventing or avoiding detection (Goodfellow et al., 2014). In the realm of adversarial attacks, one can simply refer to structural manipulations of input data, which are often used to slip by AI models trained to detect threats. Through something such as, changing the attack surface of the attack pattern so that it does not match any trained machine learning systems based on their historical attack surface data.

The dynamic development of Adversarial AI presents a permanent problem for security operations, with deterrence models requiring changes in order to protect against new attack strategies (Huang et al., 2021). As the threats posed by adversaries turn more advanced in incorporating AI, there is a need for the organization to develop counter threats that focus on detecting potential threats but also on manipulation that may be done. The importance of developing strong methods that can withstand adversarial attacks while threat detection efficiency remains high for threatening AI initiatives that include the use of AI.

#### 2.5.4 Resource and Scalability Issues

Implementing AI-powered threat-hunting solutions also brings some resource and scalability issues for the organizations. What is more, during the processes of training or employing any machine learning algorithms, there is a need for intensive computing putting an extra burden on the devices and structures already in place (Khan et al., 2021). Such specifications become cumbersome for enterprises designed with numerous Computer Networks and large datasets. In addition to this, the center of a complex system is multifaceted rotating AI systems vertically and horizontally and integrating them into various processes proves challenging to such organizations because their models have to perform in different countries and geographies.

Scalability is especially pronounced in gearing up to counter a changing threat environment in which development of organizational countermeasures must take place almost instantaneously. That is, if AI models fail to scale successfully to cope with big data and the advanced complexity of networks, organizations may find themselves unable to carry out effective threat detection (Nguyen et al., 2020). It is imperative that resource and scalability issues are resolved through thorough planning, taking into consideration the need for allocating adequate resources to develop and implement appropriate infrastructure while still providing for the optimization of AI models for use in modern day cyber security practices.

#### 2.5.5 Regulatory and Ethical Concerns

First of all, data privacy and compliance issues have regulatory as well as ethical dimensions, which present hurdles toward the integration of AI in cybersecurity. Most often, it involves the collection and processing of massive sets of data including sensitive personal information and images. Organizations have to adhere to competing legal standards, including the General Data Protection Regulation (GDPR) in Europe, which calls for the highest level of respect for data processing activities (Voigt & Von dem Bussche, 2017). Non-compliance with such data regulations may lead through legal actions as well as monetary ultimatums, which makes the incorporation of artificial intelligence in threat-hunting more difficult.

Also, with some of these applications, it is necessary to consider the ethics of using such technology in the area of computer security. As AI systems are used for watchful control, a problem arises with the influencing of the privacy and freedom of people. It is thus important for such organizations to implement AI for improved security but also protect the data of the people that will be collected (Schermer, 2021). If policies and ethical frameworks for use of AI in cybersecurity are in place, they will assist the organizations to manage the risks, although the threat-hunting methodologies employed will not conflict with the societal expectations.

The AI-powered threat hunting is associated with a multi-factorial obstacle and requires strategic approaches for its solution. Addressing data challenges, improving model interpretability, countering adversarial attacks, managing resource and scalability issues, and navigating regulatory and ethical concerns make up the essential ingredients of an overall successful AI implementation in cybersecurity. As organizations continue to test the limits of AI technologies, a deeper understanding of these challenges becomes critical in devising effective threat-hunting strategies that improve security while observing legal and ethical requirements.

#### 2.6 Future Directions

The future of threat-hunting techniques with the use of AI will be transformative, enabled by developm-

ents in algorithms, integrations into emerging technologies, human-AI collaboration, self-learning systems, and policy and governance frameworks. Cybersecurity threats will continue to grow in terms of their sophistication and complexity; thus, leveraging these innovations in threat detection and response capabilities will become cardinal. This paper, therefore, discusses these future directions and what they portend for cybersecurity practice.

### **2.6.1 Advances in AI Algorithms**

Equally important within the scope of their menace detection capabilities is the prominence of algorithms improvement especially in the utilization of reinforcement approaches and hybrid methods within threat-hunting. Reinforcement learning is a type of interactive AI in which an agent learns to make decisions based on a reward system, and it has been used in autonomous systems for adaptive threat recognition (Mnih et al 2015). Since reinforcement learning allows for real-time assessment of the success and failures of AI systems, the application of such will shorten indirect feedback loops in the recognition of emerging threats. On top of that, a hybrid model that integrates supervised learning and includes some degree of unsupervised learning, serves the purpose to utilize every machine learning method in the approach to combat increases the accuracy of threat detection (Wang et al, 2021). Furthermore, deep reinforcement learning can be combined with deep learning in order to improve AIs ability of making projections. Deep reinforcement learning can simplify the interpretation of a large amount of data without any structured forms which is applicable in hunting threats where predictive models fail (Levine et al. 2016). The complex algorithms are capable of prediction and helping organizations in overcoming the enemies by beating them with new or different threats. Considering the advances that have been made in algorithm development. It is most likely that there will be improvements in more detection and response systems aimed at the cases of new threats.

### **2.6.2 Integration of AI with Other Technologies**

Additionally, combining AI with other developing technologies will be equally important in improving threat detection capability. Along with AI, other technologies like blockchain, quantum computing and the Internet of Things (IoT) are expected to help build more secure systems. For Example, a blockchain makes it almost impossible for attackers since the records are kept in a decentralized manner which is hard to alter (Kouadio et al., 2020). When deployed in a blockchain-enhanced AI ecosystem, threat detection learnt from data will be faster due to the safe space for data examination and validation provided by the technologies.

Out of the advantages that quantum computing has, it is its capability for much faster processing that can be taken advantage of in analyzing massive amounts of information within seconds. With the improvement of quantum algorithms, AI-based threat hunting tools will become faster and more advanced (Huang et al., 2020). Organizations will be able to leverage these technologies in addition to AI fraught with the challenges of intricate attack vectors. The combination of AI and these disruptive technologies will change the current landscape of cybersecurity, and threats will be impeded by the organizations' provision of more sophisticated means.

### **2.6.3 Human-AI Collaboration**

As threat-hunting evolves, there will be an emphasis on how to integrate AI technologies with human efforts, combining them to enhance the efficiency of the threat-hunting processes. It may be true that AI algorithms can sift through millions of documents, analyze them and correlate incidents at a speed, and efficiency that is quite certainly unmatched, however, human factors contribute the most important aspect required in analysis, which is clear reasoning within the right context, critical decision-making

(Meyer et al., 2021). This results in better threat evaluation and swifter counter-offensive response in case there is an attack.

Coupling AI insights with human cognitive functions presents the potential of introducing a more comprehensive approach to hunt threats in the organization. AI is especially useful in freeing humans of dull but necessary chores, leaving the thinking experts to more concerned issues which do not require a rigid comprehension. Further, training programs that do not shy away from the reality of the necessity of synergy between AI systems and human users enhance the effectiveness of the use of AI techniques for threat-hunting's purposes (Hoffman et al., 2021). Investments in fostering such systems will further enrich the organizational capacity aimed at countering ever-evolving threats.

#### **2.6.4 Real-time Adaptation and Self-Learning Systems**

Adaptation and self-learning systems in real-time represent another important milestone toward AI-powered threat-hunting. AI systems are predicted to learn autonomously to keep pace with the growing threats and also identify and mitigate unforeseen attack vectors instantly (Peters et al., 2022). With the advent of online learning as well as adaptive algorithms, those may augment their capabilities by adding new information, also known as intelligence, making it possible for them to counter new threats. Self-learning systems would lessen the need for human interaction in making model changes thereby facilitating more efficient threat-hunting patterns. This dynamic ability to learn will contribute to retaining organizations in a constant level of alertness, ready to adapt their defenses in the face of any changing threat. The challenges of adapting AI systems on a real-time basis can only be addressed through further research and meeting the requirements to build appropriate structures that will render these capabilities.

#### **2.6.5 Policy and Governance Frameworks**

As developments in AI technologies for example machine learning enhance cybersecurity, there will be a need to lay down policy and governance structures geared towards the application of the technologies. In this respect, it is very imperative that institutions formulate acceptable standards and rules in relation to the use of AI in cybersecurity so that issues regarding data management, blind adherence to rules and operations, and opaqueness in processes are managed (Calder & Watkins, 2021). Widespread reliance on technology in the forms of artificial intelligence will often require the drafting and adoption of such policies to assist in legal compliance and engendering public confidence in the systems.

Furthermore, the stakeholder involvement is also necessary for other areas of policy development as it includes government institutions, private sector players and researchers who will provide different perspectives on the policy aspects of AI in cybersecurity – the policies being developed are not generic but deal with specific challenges. These policies should also respect the ethical aspects and at the same time encourage advancements and responsible use of AI (Dignum, 2021). In other words, given the positive aspect of governance, organizations can avoid the pitfalls of AI used in threat-hunting and aid in improving the digital space.

The way forward in AI-enhanced threat-hunting promises to be a game-changer in the discipline of cybersecurity. The future of technologies such as threat detection and response will depend on advanced algorithms and artificial intelligence, new technologies interfacing with human intelligence, real time performance tweaking, and appropriate policies and agreements. Collectively, these provide improved capabilities against continuous cyber attacks, thus fostering a safer global cyberspace.

### 3.0 Methodology

Interest in evolution, present applications, challenges, and future directions creates the backdrop for AI-powered threat-hunting techniques being pursued in this research. Basically, the key interest is in understanding the part of AI in cybersecurity: what happens at machine learning, anomaly detection, behavioural analysis, and real-time threat prediction systems. Interviews will be used as the primary data collection tool to capture in-depth insights from experts, considering that AI in cybersecurity is highly technical and still in its development process.

#### 3.1 Research Design

This study will be based on a qualitative research design in order to gain insight from experts on the effectiveness of threat hunting powered by AI, the challenges that it faces, and its future. The qualitative approach is apt in this research because cybersecurity is an area of interest that involves highly contextual and complex issues requiring meaning rather than statistical data. Semi-structured interviews will be used to derive detailed subjective responses that reflect nuanced experiences and expertise.

#### 3.2 Research Population and Sample

The target population will include cybersecurity professionals, AI researchers, threat intelligence analysts, and industry experts who have had experience in AI-driven threat detection. A purposive technique shall be utilized in selecting participants with appropriate knowledge and experience related to AI-powered threat hunting. The sample size for the study shall consist of 20 participants.

#### 3.3 Data Collection Method: Interviews

The primary data collection method will be semi-structured interviews. This technique allows flexibility in questioning while ensuring that key themes such as supervised and unsupervised learning, automated threat detection, AI in threat intelligence, and the challenges of AI in cybersecurity are explored. Interview questions will be designed to elicit detailed responses on the following areas:

- The evolution of threat-hunting techniques from manual to AI-powered systems.
- The role of AI techniques (machine learning, deep learning, NLP) in threat detection.
- Key challenges such as data quality, model interpretability, adversarial AI, and scalability.
- The potential future directions, including AI algorithm advancements, integration with other technologies, and human-AI collaboration.
- Ethical concerns and regulatory issues surrounding AI in cybersecurity.

The interviews were conducted via video conferencing and face-to-face, depending on the availability and preference of the participants. Each interview is expected to last between 45 minutes, and all interviews will be recorded (with participant consent) and transcribed for analysis.

### 4.0 Interview Discussions

#### Theme 1: Effectiveness of AI-Powered Proactive Threat-Hunting Techniques

**Participant 1 (Cybersecurity Analyst, Global Security Firm):** *"The introduction of AI into cybersecurity has changed the dynamics of dealing with threats. Earlier, in manual threat-hunting, the approach was more of a reactionary and a long-drawn one, many times putting us behind the attackers. With the introduction of AI especially with the machine learning algorithms, invasive patterns can be picked up within no time. For instance, this type of detection has been effective in detecting aberrations in the structure of networks, and therefore helping to prevent potential risks of threats from within or infections from harmful software."*

**Analysis:** Participant 1 is stating the fact that AI improves the speed and efficiency of threat identification, especially by means of anomaly detection. A transformative point is that AI allows for a shift from being reactive to proactive threat-hunting as it can now anticipate and identify threats way ahead of human capabilities.

**Participant 2 (Threat Intelligence Researcher, AI Security Lab):** *“AI assists us in detecting threats at early stages, but this is primarily tied to the amount of high-quality data available. There have been instances where lack of or poorly labeled data has caused false positive results, which may be very costly to the system. That is a major issue – making sure that we provide the AI with quality, labeled data so that detection can be improved.”*

• **Analysis:** This participant highlights the challenges that stem from the skewed quality of data that is often characteristic of usage driven artificial intelligence systems. All the above notwithstanding, the impact of quality data on AI training, often serves as a bottleneck. A false sense of security entailed by the reliance on such systems may, in fact, promote inefficiencies, such false positives where the system indicates the presence of a threat where there is none, which in may result in less confidence in AI systems in the process of threat-hunting.

### **Theme 2: Key Challenges in AI-Powered Threat-Hunting**

**Participant 3 (Chief Information Security Officer, Financial Institution):** *“Model interpretability is one of the critical issues facing us. I find it challenging to explain to the board or other non-technical audiences why an AI model identified a certain behavior as suspicious. These black-box models, especially deep learning models, make it impossible for us to provide an explanation for even accurate decisions.”*

• **Analysis:** Participant 3 brings to the fore the issue of model interpretability and explains how this is a common challenge in the course of the applications of the AI systems. The challenge of explaining how and of why the AI makes specific choices is one of the reasons why it hinders such a system more so in organizational settings especially in the financial industries that are highly regulated and have a high degree of accountability.

**Participant 4 (AI Engineer, Cybersecurity Startup):** *“Attacks done with AI techniques have also increased, and we are countering those attacks too because people try to use the AI to get around detection mechanisms. Specifically attackers have been writing malicious payloads designed to escape even the best AI detection systems. The implication here is that there is a cat and mouse game between the defender and the attacker, since the state of the art efforts forces us to advance our AI capabilities.”*

• **Analysis:** This participant presents the aspect of adversarial AI where better techniques are developed to evade the AI where the defenses have been incorporated. In this context, which is known as the arms race, security teams working with AI systems are forced to wear off their systems and incorporate new ones several times in a year. Such variations of the so-called cat and mouse game in the application of AI technology generate unease in the interviews held.

### **Theme 3: Future Directions for AI-Powered Threat-Hunting**

**Participant 5 (Cybersecurity Consultant, Large Tech Firm):** *“But indeed, the future is in reinforcement learning and blended AI approaches. At this moment, we are training our models on supervised or unsupervised learning mostly, which however demands frequent update of exercises with new data. In contrast, with reinforcement learning, the AI system trains itself with feedback from external sources and mitigates any outbreak without having to wait for any human intervention, thereby enhancing the tactician’s autonomy of the entire system.”*



• **Analysis:** Comments made by Participant 5 indicate reinforcement learning functions as a developing focus for the future. The key advantage with this is that AI systems will be able to grow once more as the AI encounters threats, removing the requirement for humans to step in and retrain the system. This denotes the movement of the industry towards less human-controlled AI systems within the context of cybersecurity.

**Participant 6 (AI Researcher, National Research Center):** *"Another stimulating avenue would be combining AI with other technologies such as blockchain, or IoT security. For instance, AI may be able to assist in the monitoring of blockchain networks in real time and help in the detection of any suspicious activities, that may imply fraud or breach of network security. These and other new and promising technologies together with AI will promote new developments in proactive threat-hunting processes."*

• **Analysis:** Participants acknowledge that many emerging technologies, including blockchain and IoT, are ideally suited for applications of artificial intelligence, which would enhance. This could allow for more safe and distributed cyber security systems, which would also improve the effectiveness of proactive threat detection.

#### **Theme 4: Ethical and Regulatory Concerns in AI-Powered Threat-Hunting**

**Participant 7 (Legal Compliance Officer, Cybersecurity Regulatory Body):** *"One of the greatest challenges we can predict has to do with regulation. The more AI is integrated into cyber security, the more we will have to ensure that these AI powered systems are compliant with data protection laws such as the GDPR. There are risks when it comes to using personal information for AI model training and there is a thin line between employing security measures and flagrantly violating people's privacy."*

• **Analysis:** This participant is primarily concerned about the regulatory and ethical issue of privacy. As always, the use of personal data for training AI systems like any other technology, is prone to examination and efficiency, we can barely protect such data privacy and still use AI on aggression profiling. That will require development of policy that address the use of AI in which its use has to balance aggressiveness and protection of individual privacy. 'Threat-hunting' using AI has worked, but doing so at the expense of protecting someone's privacy can be quite challenging.

**Participant 8 (AI Ethics Researcher, University Cybersecurity Lab):** *"Furthermore, we must also pay attention to the possible bias that may exist in AI systems. Such models can be hostile and unfairly monitor certain users or behaviors if they have been developed using biased data sets. However, ethical AI in cybersecurity needs to establish fairness, accountability, and transparency in its decision-making process."*

• **Analysis:** Participant 8 reinforces the ethical concern regarding the bias that may occur in AI models above any other concern. The threat of biased AI decisions utilized in security, such as 'flagging' certain behaviors of users unfairly, illustrates the need for transparency and accountability in effective AI systems. Thus, it underlines the importance of governance regulations for artificial intelligence technologies being ethical and fair.

#### **Theme 5: Human-AI Collaboration in Threat-Hunting**

**Participant 9 (Security Operations Manager, Cloud Services Provider):** *"Ubiquity of data and ability to recognize trends buried within it is one of the major advantages of AI, however, one cannot discount human reasoning. 'AI can assist to filter the information and reduce the number of potential risks, yet without seasoned analysts who will assess whether it is a real risk or just an elevator alarm, it is pointless.'"*

- **Analysis:** Participant 9 argues for the necessity of human and AI engagement in both support and decision making activities. AI can analyze and manage huge data, but the judgment of a threat is still best done by a human being. This indicates that there is a role for both technology and people in analyzing the situation and taking preemptive action.

**Participant 10 (Threat-Hunting Specialist, Government Cybersecurity Agency):** *"I envision a world where the human workforce does not take a backseat, rather technology complements their capabilities. For example, routine tasks can be carried out by machines and faults detected with more advanced technology while human analysts will only have to carry out advanced strategic analysis. This will enhance our capacity to detect and respond to threats in the real-time context."*

- **Analysis:** This respondent holds the same perspectives towards practical relevance of AI towards counter-terrorism and states that in future all will work towards the same goal of more efficient threat-hunting. Given that AI will perform the tedious task of monitoring and hunting down threats, human specialists can deal with more complicated problems thus enhancing the speed and precision of reactions to imminent dangers.

**Summary of Key Findings:** According to the discussions, it is clear that proactive threat-hunting augmented by AI has a lot of advantages when it comes to speed, performance, and capability of predicting and reacting to cyber threats in real-time. Nevertheless, there are other issues such as data quality, model explainability, adversarial AI, and ethical concerns which hinder the scale of adoption quite considerably. Experts however advocate that the revolution in threat-hunting will be propelled by more advanced AI algorithms, the incorporation of new technologies, and increased human-AI interactions in future.

## 5.0 Conclusion

The investigation into AI-based threat-hunting methods opens up new avenues of research that could assist in the improvement of security measures against ever-growing cyber threats. Improving Reinforcement learning along with combining algorithms enables organizations to adopt systems that are equip for real time detection and response to threats. The advancement of these algorithms improves the threat detection as well as allowing very quickly acclimatization to a change in the type of threat. These innovations indicate just how important AI is and will be in the early detection and management of threats, which will improve the existing systems of cybersecurity infrastructure.

This also means that there are synergies with other upcoming technologies which propel the functionality of AI in threat-hunting further. The integration of these systems into cyber security, which is interms of strategic threat hunting and defence, consists of cyberspace, AI, blockchain, quantum computing, and IoT. This corroboration of technology ensures that the surroundings are safer and capable of faster and more effective threat detection and analysis. Most of these technologies will enable better access to threat intelligence and enhance the ways organizations deal with these threats, promoting a more aggressive defense posture toward cyber threats.

AI systems have been re-engaged with a long-standing practice of human-AI interaction, even though the importance of the latter cannot be exaggerated to cybersecurity. The reason is that, as is well known, even the most powerful AI-based systems do not replace human beings - they have their limitations and cannot resolve the issue of context. Appropriate use of AI in combination with Human analysts will ultimately make Threat hunting more powerful whereby the defenses will be dynamic and effective. Societal impact training programs that focus on this type of integration will be important in developing a

workforce capable of addressing such threats.

In conclusion, it is very important to develop policy as well as protection frameworks to make certain that AI technologies will be used in a safe manner to address cyber security issues. While these organizations seek to secure the data from being misused and ensure responsibility and transparency, especially with the strong risks to innovations, adequate governance will help back the safe use of information technologies to support creative insights. Therefore, the barriers that have been imposed due to the incorporation of AI in cybersecurity should instead be viewed as challenges that can be solved; the community should set up and incorporate standards addressing ethics in this field. AI and other threats who wade into the wonderful world of AI threat-hunting, its future capabilities are enormous and if properly secured and thought through will greatly help avert any cyber threats to organizations.

## 6.0 Contribution to Knowledge

The contributions to knowledge from the study on AI-powered proactive threat-hunting techniques can be itemized as follows:

### **Comprehensive Review of AI-Driven Threat-Hunting Techniques:**

The research presents an in-depth investigation of the shift from traditional threat-hunting to artificial intelligence-enabled threat-hunting, explaining how cyberspace threats are presently mitigated using machine learning, deep learning, and natural language processing. This review helps comprehend the extent of the applicability of artificial intelligence in the areas of anomaly detection, behavior monitoring, and data analysis.

### **Identification of Practical Challenges in AI-Driven Systems:**

This research recognizes difficulties faced by organizations in implementing AI for threat-hunting through expert interviews. Apart from data availability, labeling and quality, and the interpretability of the models, other issues are concerned. Furthermore, it highlights adversarial AI, in which attackers use AI techniques to prevent being found, along with the constraints of calculation and scalability seen in large networks. This addition offers practical lessons on the challenges encountered in deploying such concepts in the real world.

### **Insight into Future Directions for AI in Cybersecurity:**

The research investigates advanced emerging horizons involving reinforcement learning and applying AI in combination with blockchain, quantum computing, and the internet of things, and the creation of autonomous systems. Furthermore, it also underlines the significance of man-machine interactions, thus addressing the issue of ethical AI in cyberspace as well as policy development. This investigates rash hunting techniques and their integration with AI while ensuring responsible use of the technology.

## References

1. Abdelhamid, A., Alzubaidi, M., & Mohamed, E. (2021). Automated threat intelligence generation using machine learning techniques. *Journal of Cybersecurity and Privacy*, 1(3), 290-308.
2. Ahmed, M., Mahmood, A. N., & Hu, J. (2016). A survey of network anomaly detection techniques. *Journal of Network and Computer Applications*, 60, 16-31.
3. Alzubaidi, M., & Gupta, L. (2021). A survey on machine learning and deep learning for cybersecurity. *Computers & Security*, 106, 102292.
4. Aminu, M., Anawansedo, S., Sodiq, Y. A., & Akinwande, O. T. (2024). Driving Technological Innovation for a Resilient Cybersecurity Landscape. *International Journal of Latest Technology in*

- Engineering, Management & Applied Science*, 13(4), 126-133.
5. Aminu, M., Akinsanya, A., Oyedokun, O., & Tosin, O. (2024). A Review of Advanced Cyber Threat Detection Techniques in Critical Infrastructure: Evolution, Current State, and Future Directions. *Iconic Research and Engineering Journals*, 8(2), 75-89
  6. Becker, M., Lindner, S., & Zeller, M. (2019). AI-based security monitoring: Potentials and challenges. *Computers & Security*, 83, 115-123.
  7. Bertino, E., Islam, N., & Ahmed, M. (2020). Cybersecurity in the Internet of Things: A survey of challenges and solutions. *Journal of Cybersecurity and Privacy*, 1(2), 240-265.
  8. Buehrer, G., Reddy, K. R., & Chen, Y. (2022). Threat hunting with natural language processing: A comprehensive approach to detect cyber threats. *IEEE Transactions on Information Forensics and Security*, 17, 1234-1245.
  9. Calder, A., & Watkins, S. (2021). *Cybersecurity risk management: A governance perspective*. IT Governance Publishing.
  10. Chandola, V., Banerjee, A., & Kumar, V. (2009). Anomaly detection: A survey. *ACM Computing Surveys (CSUR)*, 41(3), 1-58.
  11. Chen, X., Zhang, L., & Li, Y. (2020). Machine learning in cybersecurity: Automating proactive threat-hunting. *Journal of Information Security Research*, 15(3), 221-233.
  12. Chen, X., Zhang, L., & Li, Y. (2020). Ensemble learning in cybersecurity: A review. *Journal of Cybersecurity and Privacy*, 3(2), 149-167.
  13. Dignum, V. (2021). Responsible artificial intelligence: Designing AI for human values. *AI & Society*, 36(3), 739-751.
  14. Gao, M., Zhai, Z., & Yang, J. (2020). Anomaly detection in network traffic using deep learning techniques: A survey. *IEEE Communications Surveys & Tutorials*, 22(4), 2392-2422.
  15. Garcia, R., Rios, J., & Thibault, A. (2021). AI and machine learning for cybersecurity: How to build an adaptive cybersecurity model. *Information Systems Frontiers*, 23(4), 923-938.
  16. Gharib, M., Kloza, D., & Fouladgar, S. (2022). Data quality challenges in AI-driven threat detection. *Journal of Cybersecurity*, 18(2), 251-264.
  17. Goodfellow, I. J., Shlens, J., & Szegedy, C. (2014). Explaining and harnessing adversarial examples. arXiv preprint arXiv:1412.6572.
  18. Hoffman, R. R., Lee, J. D., & Szalma, J. L. (2021). Human-centered AI: The human-AI partnership in cyber defense. *IEEE Transactions on Human-Machine Systems*, 51(2), 212-224.
  19. Huang, S., Zhang, W., & Liu, Y. (2021). Enhancing threat detection with AI: An application of the MITRE ATT&CK framework. *Journal of Information Security Research*, 16(3), 315-329.
  20. Huang, L., Li, Y., & Zhang, J. (2021). Adversarial machine learning in cybersecurity: A survey. *IEEE Transactions on Information Forensics and Security*, 16, 3284-3301.
  21. Khan, A., Awais, M., & Rehman, A. (2021). Resource-efficient AI for cybersecurity: Techniques and challenges. *Journal of Network and Computer Applications*, 179, 102962.
  22. Kouadio, B., Boulanger, L., & Noumonvi, K. (2020). Blockchain technology: Security and privacy issues in IoT. *Journal of Cybersecurity and Privacy*, 1(2), 162-178.
  23. Kumar, A., Tripathi, S., & Gupta, A. (2021). Automated detection of zero-day vulnerabilities: A machine learning approach. *International Journal of Information Security*, 20(2), 159-173.
  24. Kwon, T., Lee, H., & Cho, Y. (2020). AI-powered cyber threat-hunting: A review of current technologies and future research directions. *Journal of Information Security*, 12(3), 194-210.

25. Levine, S., FILIPPENKO, A., & G., R. (2016). End-to-end training of deep reinforcement learning agents. *Proceedings of the 33rd International Conference on Machine Learning*, 48, 251-258.
26. Li, Z., Chen, X., & Xu, Y. (2020). Machine learning-based automation in proactive threat hunting. *Cybersecurity Advances*, 7(4), 365-378.
27. Lipton, Z. C. (2016). The myths of model interpretability. *Communications of the ACM*, 59(10), 36-43.
28. Meyer, J., Thonnard, O., & Phan, H. T. (2021). A survey on human-AI collaboration in cybersecurity: Current state and future directions. *ACM Computing Surveys*, 54(4), 1-35.
29. Miller, T. (2019). Explanation in artificial intelligence: Insights from the social sciences. *Artificial Intelligence*, 267, 1-38.
30. Mnih, V., Kavukcuoglu, K., Silver, D., Rusu, A. A., & Veness, J. (2015). Human-level control through deep reinforcement learning. *Nature*, 518(7540), 529-533.
31. Noble, S. U., & Binns, A. (2021). The role of data in AI decision-making: A review of the issues in AI accountability. *AI & Society*, 36(2), 313-325.
32. Nguyen, P. & Tran, H. (2021). Enhancing human-AI collaboration in cybersecurity threat hunting. *Computers & Security*, 99, 102056.
33. Okenwa, C. D., David, O. D., Orelaja, A., & Tosin, O. (2024). Exploring the Role of Explainable AI in Compliance Models for Fraud Prevention. *International Journal of Research and Scientific Innovation*, 13(5), 232-239.
34. Peters, M., Moller, T., & Rittgen, P. (2022). Towards adaptive cybersecurity: The role of self learning AI systems. *Computers & Security*, 114, 102565.
35. Rao, A. R., & Rao, P. M. (2019). User behavior analysis: A survey of techniques and challenges. *Journal of Cybersecurity and Privacy*, 2(3), 235-251.
36. Safa, N., Von Solms, R., & Furnell, S. (2022). Zero-day vulnerabilities and their impact on organizations: A study of detection techniques. *Journal of Cybersecurity*, 5(2), 103-117.
37. Sahay, R., Mir, A. H., & Sarkar, A. (2020). Natural language processing techniques for detecting phishing attacks: A comprehensive survey. *Journal of Cybersecurity and Privacy*, 2(3), 534-558.
38. Schermer, B. W. (2021). The ethics of AI in cybersecurity: The need for accountability. *Journal of Cybersecurity and Privacy*, 1(4), 557-569.
39. Silva, D. R., Ribeiro, A. F., & Oliveira, L. F. (2022). Leveraging AI for enhanced threat intelligence: A framework for integrating machine learning in cybersecurity. *Journal of Information Security Research*, 17(3), 245-261.
40. Shaukat, F., Ali, M., & Raza, S. (2021). AI-driven threat detection systems in cybersecurity: Current challenges and future prospects. *IEEE Access*, 9, 106558-106572.
41. Singh, A., Raj, S., & Ghosh, D. (2020). Understanding the black box: Interpretability in AI driven cybersecurity. *Journal of Artificial Intelligence Research*, 69, 543-562.
42. Sinha, S., Gupta, A., & Roy, B. (2022). Challenges and opportunities in the application of machine learning for cybersecurity: A review. *Computers & Security*, 120, 102734.
43. Voigt, P., & Von dem Bussche, A. (2017). *The EU General Data Protection Regulation (GDPR): A practical guide*. Springer.
44. Wang, Y., Chen, Y., & Zhang, W. (2021). A hybrid approach for cybersecurity threat detection based on supervised and unsupervised learning. *Journal of Network and Computer Applications*,

178, 102959.

45. Wang, Y., Zhang, Y., & Zhao, H. (2022). Recent advances in AI-driven threat hunting: A survey. *Journal of Network and Computer Applications*, 204, 103315.
46. Xu, R., Yin, Y., & Yang, Y. (2020). Deep learning for anomaly detection: A review. *Journal of Computational Science*, 38, 101022.
47. Zheng, J., Wu, S., & Wu, J. (2020). Real-time threat detection and mitigation using AI techniques. *International Journal of Information Security*, 19(5), 487-502.
48. Zhou, X., Liu, Q., & Zhang, J. (2019). AI in proactive network defense: A comprehensive review. *IEEE Transactions on Cybernetics*, 50(8), 3211-3224.
49. Zhao, L., Chen, H., & Liu, Z. (2021). Adaptability of AI in cybersecurity: Challenges and solutions. *International Journal of Information Security*, 20(4), 263-277.
50. Zhu, Y., Liu, Q., & Zhang, X. (2020). Predictive analytics in cybersecurity: AI-based forecasting of emerging threats. *IEEE Transactions on Cybernetics*, 51(4), 2986-2998.