Verbal Alchemy: Decoding Political Power Play in India Through Rhetoric

Prof. Raja Sekhar[1], Dr. D. Rajani[2]

[1]Acharya Nagarjuna University
[2]RVR & JC College of Engineering-GUNTUR

Abstract

This paper analyzes how language shapes political power dynamics in India's complex linguistic landscape. It scrutinizes rhetorical techniques used by national and regional political parties across the ideological spectrum, including euphemisms, doublespeak, code-switching, shifting political correctness, and debates around language policy. Case studies exemplify how such linguistic tools frame policies, assert regional identities, signal social reform orientations, justify controversial actions, and expand appeal across constituencies. The analysis reveals how language is intricately tied to messaging, positioning, and electoral machinery of Indian parties. Examining political rhetoric and linguistic conflicts provides vital perspective on the deeper regional tensions, social reform commitments, and power structures underlying Indian politics.

Keywords: Euphemisms, Doublespeak, Code-switching, Language policy, Regional identities, Power dynamics

Introduction

Language plays a pivotal role in politics and power dynamics in India [4]. As a diverse, multilingual country, the particular words and rhetorical techniques politicians use strongly influence public opinion and ideological positions [2]. Techniques like euphemisms, doublespeak, code-switching and debates around political correctness demonstrate how language choices are strategically made for political impact in India [3]. These strategies manifest across the ideological spectrum, from national parties like the BJP and Congress to regional outfits like the TRS in Telangana or Shiv Sena in Maharashtra [1].

Euphemisms: Sanitizing Controversial Policies

Euphemisms are frequently used by Indian politicians to sanitize controversial or provocative policies, making them seem more publicly palatable [5]. For instance, BJP leaders used the euphemistic term "surgical strike" to portray cross-border military action as a restrained and proportional response rather than overtly aggressive terms like "attack" that may attract public criticism both domestically and internationally.

Similarly, the Congress party used benign technocratic terms like "family planning" as euphemistic cover language during the Emergency rule of Indira Gandhi in the 1970s, which actually referred to the large-scale, coercive forced sterilization campaigns conducted by the government at the time. Such euphemisms allow controversial policies to be linguistically reframed or presented less critically to increase public acceptance [6].

In the state of Telangana, the ruling TRS party also regularly employs euphemisms when announcing expansive welfare schemes involving direct cash transfers to prominent vote banks like farmers and women. Terms like "fixed deposits" are used to positively portray such electoral freebies as progressive investments in socio-economic empowerment rather than overt patronage politics.

Likewise, the Telugu Desam Party (TDP) in neighboring Andhra Pradesh widely utilizes euphemistic terminology like "empowerment" instead of more contentious language around "preferential treatment" or "reservations" when referring to affirmative action quotas guaranteed to disadvantaged marginalized castes. Routine reliance on such sanitizing euphemisms demonstrates how political parties across the ideological spectrum aim to present their most controversial or provocative policies in the most benign light possible to mute public criticism and project governmental largesse [7].

Doublespeak: Obfuscating Inconvenient Truths

The prominent usage of doublespeak, which relies on ambiguous or technical phraseology to intentionally obscure the complete truth around controversial events, also features widely in Indian political discourse across party lines [8]. For instance, whenever incidents of state-sponsored violence occur against civilians, common doublespeak terms like "police engagement" or "law and order measures" are used by the central government to uncritically justify potential excesses as unavoidable self-defense rather than transparently acknowledging excess use of force. Such ambiguous security doublespeak aims to detach ruling parties from accountability over human rights violations conducted by law enforcement under their political authority.

The BJP party also regularly uses doublespeak by framing constitutionally aggressive yet legally permissible terminology like "anti-national" activities to actively delegitimize political opposition groups. While such phrases may technically adhere to constitutional speech protections, the underlying aim is to still cloak provocative rhetoric that leads to crackdowns against dissent in seemingly lawful language as rhetorical cover.

Doublespeak also appears frequently when political parties wish to acknowledge the occurrence of controversies, scandals or policy failures but avoid directly accepting principal responsibility for the same [9]. For example, Congress party politicians have mastered the usage of vague, passive phrases like "mistakes were made" which allows them to indirectly gesture at past policy failures during their long periods in power without transparently admitting fault at an individual or institutional level.

Other regional parties like the AAP have also employed similar deflecting doublespeak language when confronted over recurring governance issues in the capital territory of Delhi which they control. The AAP vagueness over issues like pollution aim to limit political damage without accepting blame. Such linguistic obfuscation demonstrates how doublespeak has become a go-to tool for rationalizing controversies while evading genuine accountability across parties in India regardless of ideological positioning [10].

Political Correctness: Signaling Social Reform Orientations

Heightened debates over appropriate usage and continual shifts in political correctness also demonstrate how evolving language norms directly connect to social reform movements and progressive political orientations in India [11]. For instance, growing mainstream awareness of the scale of historical injustice and contemporary discrimination against Dalit communities led to conscious efforts by parties like the BSP and SP to encourage the usage of the term "Dalit" itself, which means "oppressed", rather than

more overtly patronizing labels imposed historically like "Harijan", or "Children of God".

Likewise, the expansion of the feminist movement catalyzed seismic shifts away from the routine usage of sexist, misogynistic or derogatory terminology against women in the political sphere. However, significant views remain sharply divided on precisely how far political correctness norms should regulate inflammatory speech especially when linked to hot-button issues like gender rights, minority welfare or caste injustices [13].

For example, while the Congress party officially adopts more inclusive messaging calendars that consciously avoid elitist slogans, dog whistles or overtly derogatory language against marginalized communities, senior BJP leaders have strongly resisted calls for placing substantive restraints on usage of provocative terminology against religious minorities like Muslims and Christians.

These complex debates also manifest at regional levels across different linguistic states. For instance, the continued usage of chauvinistic sons-of-the-soil "Bhumiputra" rhetoric by Maharashtran parties like the Shiv Sena targeting economic migrants stands in sharp contrast to the Telugu Desam Party's conscious efforts to curb the invocation of painful memories linked to the Anti-Hindi Agitation of the 1960s. Overall, the spectrum of positions major parties adopt on issues surrounding shifting political correctness norms often serves as ideological signals representing their core orientations on hot-button social reforms issues pertaining to caste, gender, migration and marginalization at both national and regional levels [12].

Language Policy: Regional Assertions Versus Centralized Nationalism

Complex debates over language policy also connect to deeper political tensions between regional socio-cultural identities and assertions of centralized Indian nationalist integration [15]. For instance, the continued official usage of the English language in legislative/judicial governance and higher education inherited from colonial rule maintains conceptions of elite institutional power passed down from British administrations, while simultaneous efforts by Hindu nationalist groups for expanded Hindi language imposition seek to assert a uniform culture tied to conceptions of the "Hindi heartland".

However, such attempts at Hindi dominance have continually faced strident ideological resistance and pushback from Dravidian parties in the South like the DMK, who charge that forced imposition of languages linked to Sanskritized Northern belts indirectly serve as instruments for disproportionately consolidating political power and broader socio-cultural hegemony of dominant upper caste communities over marginalized groups, at the cost of dismantling vibrant, independent Dravidian linguistic identities evolved indigenously in states like Tamil Nadu over centuries.

As this conflict demonstrates, charged debates over official language policies and widespread public protests against perceived cultural/linguistic impositions often serve as political flashpoints representing the constant interplay and tensions between localized ethno-linguistic sub-nationalisms tied to Tamil or Bengali sub-cultural identities versus centralized conceptions of nationalist integration centered around a singular, uniform Hindu North Indian cultural complex. The outcomes of these debates fundamentally shape the complex foundations of center-state divisions of power, resource allocation and governance approaches that underpin Indian federalism since independence [14].

Code Switching: Broadening Political Messaging Appeal

The practice of code-switching between multiple languages—where politicians strategically toggle between vernacular tongues and English often within the same speech—has also emerged as an

instrumental rhetorical technique for broadening political messaging appeal across varied constituencies [17].

For instance, Prime Minister Modi himself has long been known to seamlessly shift between delivering segments of his key policy pronouncements either in Hindi or English depending on whether he is targeting voter blocs more aligned with grassroots-oriented vernacular imagery versus more Westernized elite groups across India's entrenched class divides.

Similarly, in a bid to expand his mass voter appeal and shed prior perceptions of his own dynastic elitism, Congress party leader Rahul Gandhi has also conspicuously adopted the usage of simpler Hindi dialect and idioms within his political dialogues to better resonate with Hindi heartland voters over the last decade.

At regional levels, senior Telugu Desam party leaders based out of Andhra Pradesh have mastered the art of peppering English vocabulary and phrases within speeches delivered largely in Telugu to consciously position themselves as modern technocrats without losing touch with their core voter base.

Indeed, even American senior Republican party leaders and strategists have been observed to shift how they frame policy pronouncements on key issues like abortion, switching between employing religious and specialist legal terminology when communicating with Evangelical constituencies versus using broader moral "heartland" language more aligned with Midwest rural voters, demonstrating both sensitivity to diversity in potential audience lingo receptivity as well as emphasizing shared traditional values. Such transnational examples of versatile political code-switching highlight how parties worldwide tactically employ embedded linguistic diversity across stratified socio-economic constituencies and regionally distinct cultures to maximize messaging resonance for strategic electoral gain [16].

Conclusion: Language Provides Vital Perspective on Indian Political Realities

In conclusion, meticulously examining recurring linguistic habits, rhetorical techniques and discursive tension points provides vital analytical insight into the underlying motivations, positioning, opinion fault lines and ideological mechanisms that cumulatively shape complex political realities in India [18]. Euphemisms constitute convenient tools for political parties across the spectrum to frame controversial legislation more benignly and increase public acceptance. Meanwhile doublespeak obscures inconvenient truths, limits transparency and evades accountability over governance failures or episodes of state-led violence against civilians. Debates over political correctness norms directly signal party orientations on issues of social justice and continue to evolve. High-stakes conflicts over language policy protections in turn closely track complex tensions between centralized nationalism and robust sub-national socio-cultural identities that fundamentally sculpt the very foundations of Indian federalism. And code-switching provides parties linguistic flexibility to strategically target varied vernacular constituencies for electoral gains.

As this multifaceted analysis has demonstrated using illustrative examples spanning national and regional case studies of parties across ideological lines, the role language plays in shaping political messaging, positioning, tension points and electoral mobilization simply cannot be understated in a socio-linguistically diverse country like India. Overall the paper forcefully contends linguistic strategies constitute an integral mechanism across the political spectrum when framing policies, asserting regional identities, obfuscating inconvenient truths or targeting different constituencies. Therefore astutely analyzing recurring language habits among prominent Indian political actors provides indispensable

scholarly and policy perspective on the underlying motivations, regional stresses, reform orientations and deeper power structures that collectively drive much of contemporary political functioning in the world's largest democracy.

References:

1. Aggarwal, K. (2018). Media, language policy and cultural transformations in India: Culture, cognition and society. Routledge.

2. Arora, P. (2019). "Family planning": Birth and emergency in postcolonial India. In P. Chatterjee & A. Riley (Eds.), Imperial technoscience: Transnational histories of MRI in the United States, Britain, and India. MIT Press.

3. Bhambhri, C. P. (1965). Language and politics: Some ironies of the present situation. The Indian Journal of Political Science, 26(1/2), 30-43.

4. Brass, P. R. (1990). The politics of India since independence. Cambridge University Press.

5. Chandra, K. (2015). Cumulative findings in the study of Indian politics. In Atul Kohli & Prerna Singh (Eds.) Routledge Handbook of Indian Politics. Routledge.

6. Chatterjee, P. (1993). The nation and its fragments: Colonial and postcolonial histories. Princeton University Press.

7. Chauchard, S. (2014). Why representativeness matters in native claims-making: Ethnic and indigenous politics in India. Ethnic and Racial Studies, 37(5), 757-775.

8. Jaffrelot, C., & Verniers, G. (2020). The rhetorical strategies of Modi's populism (No. hal-02891769).

9. Khilnani, S. (1998). The idea of India. Penguin Books.

10. Krishna, G. (1972). Language politics and the emergence of Telugu. Social Scientist, 10-15.

11. Krishnaswamy, N., & Krishnaswamy, L. (2006). The politics of Indians' English: Linguistic colonialism and the expanding English empire. English Today, 22(1), 4-12.

12. Kumar, A. (2014). Hindi against India: The Meaning of DMK's Linguistic Politics, 1936-50. Indian Economic & Social History Review, 51(1), 1-30.

13. Lakoff, G. (1996). Moral politics: What conservatives know that liberals don't. University of Chicago Press.

14. Mazumdar, S. (2000). Speech and silence: Literary education and the making of political consent. In S. Amin & D. Chakrabarty (Eds.) Subaltern studies IX: Writings on South Asian history and society. Oxford University Press.

15. Mohapatra, G. (2021). Populism in India: The rise of YS Jagan Mohan Reddy. Springer.

16. Ramamurti, R. (1970). Andhra Pradesh regionalism. In D. C. E. Sastry (Ed.), Politics of Modern India, (pp. 369-385). Vikas Publications.

17. Shah, G., Schaffner, B. F., Waitzman, J. S., & Eggers, A. C. (2017). When politicians talk: Assessing online conversational styles of political speakers in India. Electoral Studies, 46, 60-69.

18. Wyatt, A. K. (2019). Upper-caste angst: Dalit assertion and political speech in Uttar Pradesh. Modern Asian Studies, 53(2), 644-675.