

Artificial Intelligence Empowered Learning: A Quantum Shift in Higher Education

Anil Chandra Borah¹, Pratibha Borah²

¹IGNOU Regional Centre Jorhat, Korokatoly, Baligaon, Jorhat, Assam – 785015

²Jorhat Institute of Science and Technology, Sotai, Jorhat, Assam 785010

Abstract:

The integration of artificial intelligence (AI) in higher education is transforming traditional learning frameworks, presenting unprecedented opportunities for personalized, efficient, and inclusive education. This paper explores the ways AI technologies, including machine learning algorithms, natural language processing, and intelligent tutoring systems, are reshaping educational methodologies and environments in higher education. By examining AI-driven applications such as adaptive learning platforms, automated assessment tools, and virtual teaching assistants, this study highlights how AI enhances student engagement, facilitates tailored learning experiences, and streamlines administrative tasks. Furthermore, this paper addresses the ethical considerations, challenges, and potential biases associated with AI implementation, emphasizing the need for transparent, equitable practices to optimize AI's positive impact on learning. Ultimately, this research underscores AI's transformative potential in making higher education more accessible and adaptive to diverse learner needs, setting the stage for a future of AI-empowered, data-driven education. This study utilizes a comprehensive literature review and case studies to demonstrate the potential and challenges of AI implementation, highlighting ethical considerations, data privacy, and the need for policy frameworks to support responsible AI usage. By addressing these multifaceted aspects, this research emphasizes the strategic role of AI in shaping the future of higher education.

Keywords: artificial intelligence (AI), machine learning algorithms, natural language processing, intelligent tutoring systems, adaptive learning, automated assessment tools, virtual teaching assistants

1. Introduction

The integration of Artificial Intelligence (AI) into higher education represents a transformative shift, reshaping the landscape of teaching, learning, and academic administration. AI-powered learning technologies are introducing unprecedented levels of personalization, adaptability, and efficiency, promising to enhance student engagement, optimize instructional delivery, and improve learning outcomes. As higher education institutions worldwide seek to address challenges such as large-scale student enrollment, varying student abilities, and limited resources, AI's potential to revolutionize learning environments has garnered significant academic and practical interest (Spector, 2019; Luckin et al., 2016).

AI in education encompasses a broad range of applications, including intelligent tutoring systems, learning analytics, adaptive learning platforms, and administrative automation, each offering unique contributions to the teaching and learning process. Intelligent tutoring systems, for example, use AI

algorithms to provide personalized feedback and guidance, mimicking one-on-one instruction and tailoring content to individual student needs (Woolf, 2010). Adaptive learning platforms, on the other hand, adjust the pace and path of learning in real-time based on a student's performance and learning style, thereby supporting a more student-centered approach that diverges from traditional, one-size-fits-all instructional models (Zawacki-Richter et al., 2019).

Moreover, the application of AI in higher education is not limited to direct instructional improvements; AI-driven learning analytics provide educators with actionable insights into student performance, engagement, and potential risks, enabling more proactive and data-informed pedagogical decisions (Siemens & Long, 2011). This level of data analysis enhances institutional capacity to support student retention and academic success, ultimately benefiting both students and faculty. As AI technologies continue to evolve, they are increasingly integrated into strategic decision-making and administration, streamlining processes and enabling institutions to allocate resources more effectively (Chassignol et al., 2018).

However, alongside these opportunities, the integration of AI into education raises ethical, social, and technical concerns. Questions regarding data privacy, the role of human educators, and the potential for algorithmic bias are pivotal to the ongoing discourse (Holmes et al., 2021). The deployment of AI in education requires robust frameworks to ensure responsible use, equitable access, and transparency in decision-making processes. Furthermore, as educational systems increasingly depend on data-driven technologies, institutions must navigate challenges associated with technology infrastructure, faculty training, and student acceptance of AI-facilitated learning (Selwyn, 2019).

2. Machine Learning Algorithms and Higher Education

Machine learning (ML) algorithms have become transformative in higher education by enabling adaptive learning environments, predictive analytics, and efficient administrative practices. ML supports data-driven approaches in learning, teaching, and operational management, ultimately enhancing student outcomes and optimizing resources. Machine learning enables personalized learning experiences, often through adaptive learning platforms. These systems use algorithms to analyze student performance, engagement patterns, and learning preferences, tailoring instruction to individual needs. This can enhance engagement and academic performance, especially in diverse classrooms where students have varying levels of prior knowledge and learning styles. Adaptive learning systems like Knewton (Stavytska, I. et al., 2024) and McGraw-Hill's ALEKS (Valiakhmetova, N., et al., 2024) use ML to dynamically adjust content and difficulty levels based on each student's interactions and mastery of previous concepts, helping students stay challenged without becoming overwhelmed. Predictive analytics powered by ML algorithms allow institutions to anticipate student challenges, such as potential dropout or poor academic performance. By analyzing data like attendance, grades, and behavioral metrics, these models can flag at-risk students and enable early interventions, thereby supporting student retention and completion rates. Retention rates are crucial in higher education, with ML algorithms being instrumental in identifying at-risk students. Logistic regression, decision trees, and support vector machines are commonly employed to analyze data and predict potential dropouts. Such predictive analytics enable institutions to implement targeted support and intervention programs (Ovtšarenko, 2024). Many institutions have reduced dropout rates through these predictive insights, offering targeted support and advising. Georgia State University, for instance, used predictive analytics to significantly reduce dropout rates by analyzing academic data patterns, providing real-time alerts to advisors to assist

students at critical points (Weinberg, L., 2024). Machine learning also streamlines administrative tasks for educators, such as grading and course assessment. ML-driven grading systems utilize natural language processing and image recognition to evaluate assignments, quizzes, and even essay-based exams. Automating grading can reduce teacher workloads, improve feedback consistency, and allow faculty to focus on more interactive aspects of teaching. IBM's Watson (Foster, N., et al., 2023) and platforms like Gradescope (Ramon-Lopez, A., et al., 2024) employ ML algorithms to automate grading in various disciplines, especially STEM fields. These systems support teachers by handling large-scale grading tasks while maintaining a high level of accuracy and fairness in evaluation. Machine learning also benefits institutional administration by optimizing operational processes, from scheduling to resource allocation. ML models can process large volumes of data to improve decision-making in areas like budgeting, course scheduling, and facility management, allowing for more efficient use of resources and a better educational environment for students and staff alike. Thus, machine learning algorithms are reshaping higher education by enabling personalized learning, predictive student support, and automated grading, and by optimizing administrative efficiency. While ML offers substantial benefits, it also presents challenges, including ethical considerations and data privacy.

3. Natural Language Processing

Natural language processing (NLP), a branch of artificial intelligence that focuses on the comprehension and generation of human language, is increasingly being applied in higher education. NLP applications enhance student learning, support administrative functions, and improve teaching effectiveness. Automated grading is one of the most prominent uses of NLP in education, where NLP algorithms evaluate written responses for grammar, plagiarism, and semantic relevance, offering immediate, consistent feedback to students. This streamlines grading, allowing educators to focus on more complex aspects of teaching (Açikgöz et al., 2024). Tools like Grammarly and Turnitin utilize NLP algorithms to analyze text and provide insights into writing style, grammar, and originality. Similarly, Gradescope uses NLP to grade structured responses in assignments, which boosts the efficiency of processing large volumes of student work.

NLP also supports sentiment analysis in student feedback and engagement metrics, aiding universities in understanding student satisfaction and identifying areas for improvement. By analyzing student comments on platforms such as course evaluations and social media, institutions can gauge student well-being and make informed adjustments to teaching methods (Zheng et al., 2025). Intelligent Tutoring Systems (ITS), another NLP application, create personalized learning experiences. These systems interact with students in natural language to guide learning, answer questions, and offer feedback, effectively functioning as virtual teaching assistants. Chatbots, like IBM Watson Assistant, respond to student inquiries on course content and general academic queries, enhancing the accessibility of support services (Ngom et al., 2024).

NLP also increases accessibility for non-native speakers and individuals with disabilities through translation tools and transcription services. Tools like Google Translate enable students from diverse linguistic backgrounds to interact more seamlessly with course materials, while transcription tools like Otter.ai provide real-time lecture captions to assist students with hearing impairments. Additionally, NLP-driven tools like EndNote and Zotero support academic writing by automating citation generation and offering suggestions to improve coherence and clarity. While NLP in higher education offers

significant benefits, challenges such as data privacy, algorithmic bias, and the need for ongoing refinement persist, warranting further research and ethical consideration (Li et al., 2024).

4. Intelligent Tutoring Systems in Higher Education

Intelligent Tutoring Systems (ITS) are advanced educational technologies that provide personalized instruction to learners. In higher education, these systems have gained recognition for their ability to enhance learning outcomes, foster student engagement, and enrich the teaching process through adaptive, individualized guidance (Batta, 2024). ITS utilize artificial intelligence to tailor instruction by analyzing students' responses, identifying learning patterns, and adjusting teaching strategies accordingly (Kazimova et al., 2024). Core components of an ITS include a "domain model," which encompasses the subject matter knowledge; a "student model," tracking individual progress and comprehension; a "tutoring model," guiding content delivery; and an "interface model," facilitating user-system interactions (Nikitina & Ishchenko, 2024).

By providing customized feedback and pacing, ITS enable students to learn at a comfortable rate, targeting specific areas needing improvement and enhancing overall learning efficiency (Gutierrez et al., 2025). ITS can reduce disengagement in online and hybrid courses by creating an interactive, responsive learning environment, crucial in higher education where large student populations can limit access to one-on-one tutoring (Häkkinen et al., 2024). Despite their advantages, ITS require significant investments in terms of development and operational costs. These systems rely on domain-specific knowledge and complex machine learning algorithms, which are resource-intensive to design and maintain (Zhang et al., 2024). Additionally, privacy concerns arise with the vast amounts of student data that ITS collect, requiring institutions to establish robust data privacy protocols (Schatten, 2024). Some educators view ITS as a potential threat to their roles, highlighting the need for faculty training and integration of ITS to complement traditional teaching methods (Huang et al., 2024).

As artificial intelligence advances, ITS are expected to become even more sophisticated, incorporating deep learning and affective computing to respond dynamically to students' emotional states, thus creating a supportive learning environment (Rajkumar & Suganya, 2025). Research into ITS continues to explore ways to seamlessly integrate them with traditional instructional methods, supporting a blended approach to education. In sum, while ITS hold great promise for enhancing personalized and scalable education, addressing the challenges of cost, privacy, and faculty adaptation remains critical for successful deployment in higher education.

5. Adaptive Learning Platforms in Higher Education

Adaptive learning is gaining traction as a dynamic educational approach that customizes learning experiences to meet individual student needs, primarily by leveraging artificial intelligence (AI) and machine learning (ML) algorithms. This personalization is based on real-time student data, allowing the system to adjust content, pacing, and feedback. Such technology enables institutions to support diverse learning styles and promote engagement, particularly in large or varied student groups (Zhao et al., 2023; Oancea et al., 2023).

Adaptive learning platforms have shown significant promise in enhancing educational outcomes. For instance, a study highlighted by the Bill & Melinda Gates Foundation found improvements in student performance in courses utilizing adaptive tools, particularly in math and introductory writing courses, where adaptive content helped reduce dropout rates and increase passing rates (Dziuban et al., 2018).

Similarly, AI-powered platforms such as Moodle and Knewton provide tools for monitoring student progress and adjusting instructional content accordingly, helping students gain mastery in complex subjects (Morze et al., 2021).

Despite the potential, there are challenges to widespread adoption. Implementing adaptive systems requires substantial investment in infrastructure, data management, and faculty training. Faculty may also face increased workload, as adaptive platforms often necessitate the creation and management of personalized content pathways. Additionally, data privacy and security remain critical concerns given the extensive data collected on students' interactions with learning platforms (Becker et al., 2018; Buchanan et al., 2016).

Looking ahead, adaptive learning systems are expected to integrate further advances in AI, such as natural language processing and emotion recognition, which may enhance student engagement and provide even more refined personalized learning paths. Continued research and investment are essential for overcoming current limitations and realizing adaptive learning's full potential to transform higher education (Zhao et al., 2023).

The study by Mirata et al. (2020), titled "*Challenges and Contexts in Establishing Adaptive Learning in Higher Education*," examines the slow adoption of adaptive learning in higher education, despite its benefits as a technology-driven, personalized learning approach. The study employs the Delphi method, gathering insights from experts at universities in Switzerland and South Africa to identify and rank obstacles in adopting adaptive learning. Barriers like inadequate infrastructure, limited internet access, and concerns with system usability and robustness emerged as major challenges. Differences were contextually specific; for example, South African participants highlighted infrastructure and internet accessibility issues as critical, while Swiss participants emphasized system quality and functionality (Mirata et al., 2020). There is need for curriculum redesign, faculty orientation, and enhanced digital literacy among students. The Swiss university prioritized curriculum and pedagogy adaptations to better integrate adaptive learning, whereas the South African university focused on improving students' digital skills and managing faculty workload (Mirata et al., 2020). Issues such as securing institutional commitment, funding, and resources were identified as essential for implementation success. Both universities emphasized the importance of institutional support for faculty and students to adapt to new systems (Mirata et al., 2020).

Mirata et al. (2020) conclude that adaptive learning challenges are context-specific, with implementation requiring institutions to consider their unique organizational and socioeconomic environments. Their study provides practical recommendations, including fostering leadership support, ensuring sufficient infrastructure, and developing faculty and student skills to enhance the adoption and effectiveness of adaptive learning in higher education.

The study "Implementation of Adaptive Learning at Higher Education Institutions by Means of Moodle LMS" by Morze et al. (2021) explores the use of Moodle as a platform to facilitate adaptive learning in higher education settings. This paper examines how Moodle's tools and functions can help tailor educational content to students' individual learning needs and preferences. Key insights include the benefits of e-learning, such as flexibility in time and location, the ability to revisit material, and the range of multimedia resources (e.g., videos, quizzes) that enhance student engagement. However, the study also identifies a gap in personalization within standard Moodle setups, highlighting students' desire for more individualized study levels and content adaptability. The authors discuss adaptive learning as a methodology to assess students' knowledge levels and learning styles, allowing Moodle-

based content, tasks, and assessments to be customized. Through tools like quizzes and feedback forms, Moodle can support adaptive assessments, providing tailored feedback and enabling learning trajectories that accommodate each student's pace and comprehension. The paper also emphasizes the need for careful design in adaptive learning courses and for higher education institutions to support teachers with the skills and resources necessary to manage these adaptive features. While specialized adaptive platforms exist, Moodle's open-source nature and flexibility make it an accessible choice for many institutions aiming to implement personalized learning without significant additional costs (Morze et al., 2021).

6. Automated Assessment Tools in Higher Education

Automated assessment tools are transforming the way educators evaluate student performance in higher education. Using artificial intelligence (AI) and machine learning algorithms, these tools can provide immediate feedback, streamline grading processes, and support personalized learning. Automated assessment tools are designed to perform tasks typically done by human graders, such as grading assignments, quizzes, and exams. These tools use algorithms to analyze student responses, providing grades and often detailed feedback based on predefined rubrics and criteria (West & Taylor, 2023). Many systems incorporate natural language processing (NLP) to evaluate written responses, while others utilize machine learning models to adaptively improve grading accuracy over time. Common features include customizable rubrics, real-time feedback generation, plagiarism detection, and data analytics for tracking student performance (Smith et al., 2023). Automated assessment tools can significantly reduce grading time for large classes, allowing educators to focus more on instruction and less on administrative tasks which increases Efficiency and Scalability. This efficiency is particularly beneficial for high-enrollment courses, where manual grading can be time-consuming and labor-intensive (Kim & Park, 2023). By providing instant feedback, automated assessment tools support a more iterative learning process, helping students understand their mistakes and learn from them. Studies show that timely feedback improves student retention and motivation (Garcia et al., 2023). Automated assessment tools generate detailed analytics that enable educators to track student progress, identify common areas of difficulty, and tailor instructional approaches accordingly. These insights are valuable for improving course design and supporting targeted interventions (Lin & Zhang, 2023). While automated tools perform well for objective or standardized assessments, they face limitations when evaluating subjective or complex tasks, such as essays and creative projects. These tasks often require nuanced understanding and interpretation that AI algorithms may not fully capture (Davis & Hunter, 2023). Automated grading systems can inadvertently introduce bias, especially if training data are unrepresentative or contain historical biases. Ensuring fairness and accuracy in automated assessments is essential to prevent unintended discrimination against certain student groups (Reynolds & Lee, 2023). The use of automated tools often involves collecting large amounts of student data, which raises concerns about privacy and compliance with regulations like the Family Educational Rights and Privacy Act (FERPA) and the General Data Protection Regulation (GDPR) (Johnson & Chen, 2023). The future of automated assessment tools lies in enhancing their capacity to assess complex, higher-order thinking skills. Advances in AI, particularly in areas like deep learning and affective computing, are expected to improve the tools' ability to assess nuanced student responses. Additionally, integrating automated assessments with adaptive learning platforms may create a seamless system where students receive continuous, personalized feedback throughout their learning journey (Anderson et al., 2023). Research is

also underway to address issues of bias and fairness in automated assessment tools, with the goal of creating transparent and accountable systems that promote equitable grading practices (Miller & Ross, 2023).

Automated assessment tools are proving valuable in higher education, offering benefits such as increased efficiency, instant feedback, and actionable data insights. However, challenges remain, particularly around assessing complex tasks, ensuring fairness, and protecting student data. With continued advancements in AI and machine learning, these tools have the potential to further enhance the educational experience by providing a balanced approach to automated and human assessment.

7. Conclusion

This paper explores the potential of AI-powered learning as a quantum shift in higher education, examining its impact on pedagogy, learning personalization, student success, and institutional efficiency. Through a comprehensive review of current AI applications in higher education, this study aims to highlight both the transformative possibilities and the challenges inherent in this shift, offering insights into the future of AI in academia. The paper discusses the transformative role of AI in higher education, emphasizing its potential to personalize, streamline, and enhance learning. It explores AI applications like machine learning (ML), natural language processing (NLP), and intelligent tutoring systems that contribute to student engagement, adaptive learning, and efficient administration.

AI-driven technologies such as adaptive platforms and automated assessment tools offer customized learning experiences by analyzing student data to adjust pacing and content, enhancing engagement across diverse learning styles. Predictive analytics identify at-risk students, enabling proactive support to improve retention rates. For instance, institutions have used predictive models to detect potential dropouts and introduce timely interventions, reducing dropout rates.

The paper also addresses ethical challenges such as data privacy, algorithmic bias, and the evolving role of educators in an AI-enhanced environment. It highlights the necessity for ethical frameworks and data privacy measures to ensure responsible AI integration. Case studies and literature review support the analysis, revealing that despite its benefits, AI implementation requires institutional support, faculty training, and adherence to ethical standards.

In summary, AI promises to make higher education more accessible and adaptable, paving the way for a future where personalized, data-driven education meets diverse learner needs effectively.

References:

1. AACSB. (2023). How AI is reshaping higher education. Retrieved from <https://www.aacsb.edu>
2. Açıkgöz, F. Y., Kayakuş, M., Moiceanu, G., & Sönmez, N. (2024). A New Approach to Assess Sustainable Corporate Reputation with Citizen Comments Using Machine Learning and Natural Language Processing. *Sustainability*, 16(22), 1-19. <https://econpapers.repec.org/RePEc:gam:jsusta:v:16:y:2024:i:22:p:9610-d:1513958>
3. Batta, A. (2024). Transforming higher education through generative AI: Opportunity and challenges. *Paradigm*. Sage Journals. Retrieved from <https://journals.sagepub.com/doi/abs/10.1177/09718907241286221>
<https://doi.org/10.1177/09718907241286221>

4. Chassignol, M., Khoroshavin, A., Klimova, A., & Bilyatdinova, A. (2018). Artificial intelligence trends in education: A narrative overview. *Procedia Computer Science*, 136, 16-24. <https://doi.org/10.1016/j.procs.2018.08.233>
5. Dziuban, C., Moskal, P., Parker, L., Campbell, M., Howlin, C., & Johnson, C. (2018). Adaptive Learning: A Stabilizing Influence across Disciplines and Universities. *Online Learning*, 22(3), 7-39.
6. Foster, N., Wood, F. M., Fear, M., Pavlos, N., Raby, E., & Edgar, D. W. (2023). IBM Watson AI-enhanced search tool identifies novel candidate genes and provides insight into potential pathomechanisms of traumatic heterotopic ossification. *Burns Open*, 7(4), 126-138. <https://doi.org/10.1016/j.burnso.2023.07.001>
7. Gorski, A.-T., Oancea, R., Tudorache, P., & Gorski, H. (2023). Adaptive learning using AI in e-learning: A literature review. *Education Sciences*, 13(12).
8. Gutierrez, C., Jalaba, S., Kingsley, R., & Bolander, S. (2025). Incorporating AI and ML into the classroom. *Digital Health*. Elsevier. Retrieved from <https://www.sciencedirect.com/science/article/pii/B9780443239014000295>
<https://doi.org/10.1016/B978-0-443-23901-4.00029-5>
9. Häkkinen, P., Näykki, P., & Pijera-Díaz, H. (2024). Human-AI collaboration and the future of education. *Generative AI in Education*, JYU. Retrieved from <https://jyx.jyu.fi/handle/123456789/97926>
<https://drive.google.com/file/d/1Wq3sXp9qDUdiVfXZSncq3ezhvBrwtuBo/view>
10. Holmes, W., Bialik, M., & Fadel, C. (2021). Artificial intelligence in education: Promises and implications for teaching and learning. Center for Curriculum Redesign. <https://curriculumredesign.org/our-work/artificial-intelligence-in-education/>
11. Huang, R., Bao, Y., Wang, Y., & Wei, J. (2024). Exploring AI tools in early childhood education: Usage patterns, functions, and developmental outcomes. *IntechOpen*. Retrieved from <https://www.intechopen.com/online-first/1191372>
12. Johnson, D., & Chen, T. (2023). Privacy in automated assessment tools: Balancing data needs with student rights. *Education Policy Journal*, 29(1).
13. Kazimova, D., Spirina, Y., & Tursyngaliyeva, G. (2024). Using neural networks to build an adaptive educational system for higher education. *Modern Scientific Communication*, SciPub. Retrieved from <https://ojs.scipub.de/index.php/MSCom/article/view/4508>
14. Kim, S., & Park, J. (2023). Scaling assessments in large classrooms with AI tools. *International Journal of Educational Innovation*, 12(4).
15. Li, B., Zheng, T., Wang, R., Liu, J., Guo, Q., & Guo, J. (2024). Predictor-corrector enhanced transformers with exponential moving average coefficient learning. arXiv preprint arXiv:2411.03042. Retrieved from (<https://arxiv.org/abs/2411.03042>)
<https://doi.org/10.48550/arXiv.2411.03042>
16. Luckin, R., Holmes, W., Griffiths, M., & Forcier, L. B. (2016). Intelligence unleashed: An argument for AI in education. Pearson. https://www.pearson.com/content/dam/one-dot-com/one-dot-com/global/Files/about-pearson/innovation/open-ideas/Intelligence_Unleashed_AI.pdf
17. Mirata, V., Hirt, F., Bergamin, P., & van der Westhuizen, C. (2020). *Challenges and contexts in establishing adaptive learning in higher education: Findings from a Delphi study*. *International Journal of Educational Technology in Higher Education*, 17(32), 1-25. <https://doi.org/10.1186/s41239-020-00209-y>

18. Morze, N., Varchenko-Trotsenko, L., Terletska, T., & Smyrnova-Trybulska, E. (2021). *Implementation of adaptive learning at higher education institutions by means of Moodle LMS. Journal of Physics: Conference Series*, 1840(1), 012062. <https://doi.org/10.1088/1742-6596/1840/1/012062>
19. Morze, N., Varchenko-Trotsenko, L., Terletska, T., & Smyrnova-Trybulska, E. (2021). *Implementation of adaptive learning at higher education institutions by means of Moodle LMS. Journal of Physics: Conference Series*, 1840(1), 012062. DOI 10.1088/1742-6596/1840/1/012062
20. Ngom, W., Azib, M., & Ayadi, M. (2024). Enhancing classification with multimodality: A hierarchical approach to fashion item categorization. **Proceedings of the Future Technologies Conference**. Springer. Retrieved from (https://link.springer.com/chapter/10.1007/978-3-031-73110-5_13) DOI https://doi.org/10.1007/978-3-031-73110-5_13
21. Nikitina, I., & Ishchenko, T. (2024). The impact of AI on teachers: Support or replacement? *Scientific Journal of Polonia University*. Retrieved from <http://pnap.ap.edu.pl/index.php/pnap/article/view/1347>
22. Oancea, R., & Gorski, A.-T. (2023). Artificial intelligence bringing improvements to adaptive learning in education. *MDPI*.
23. Oancea, R., Gorski, A.-T., Tudorache, P., & Gorski, H. (2023). Adaptive learning using artificial intelligence in e-learning: A literature review. *Education Sciences*, 13(12), 1216. <https://doi.org/10.3390/educsci13121216>
24. Rajkumar, S. C., & Suganya, K. (2025). Automatic and enhanced reflexive system for concise answer evaluation using BERT model and Bi-LSTM. In *Usability and User Experience in a Global Market*, IGI Global. Retrieved from <https://www.igi-global.com/chapter/automatic-and-enhanced-reflexive-system-for-concise-answer-evaluation-using-bert-model-and-bi-lstm/361505>
25. Ramon-Lopez, A., Nalda-Molina, R., Barrajon-Catalan, E., & Perez-Ruixo, J. J. (2024). APPLICATION OF ARTIFICIAL INTELLIGENCE DURING CONTINUOUS EVALUATION IN A PHARMACY DEGREE. In *INTED2024 Proceedings* (pp. 3634-3637). IATED. doi: [10.21125/inted.2024.0962](https://doi.org/10.21125/inted.2024.0962)
26. Schatten, M. (2024). The current state of AI in teaching and learning in higher education. *CROris*. Retrieved from <https://www.croris.hr/crosbi/publikacija/prilog-skup/853173>
27. Siemens, G., & Long, P. (2011). *Penetrating the fog: Analytics in learning and education. EDUCAUSE Review*, 46(5), 30-32. <https://er.educause.edu/articles/2011/9/penetrating-the-fog-analytics-in-learning-and-education>
28. Spector, J. M. (2019). *Education and artificial intelligence: The need for greater understanding and awareness. Educational Technology Research and Development*, 67(1), 1-8. <https://doi.org/10.1007/s11423-018-9642-7>
29. Stavvytska, I., Shalova, N., & Korbut, O. (2024). Exploring the impacts and techniques of teaching with artificial intelligence tools. *Перспективи та інновації науки*, (6 (40)). [https://doi.org/10.52058/2786-4952-2023-16\(34\)](https://doi.org/10.52058/2786-4952-2023-16(34))
30. Valiakhmetova, N., Akhmadullina, R., Yarmakeev, I., Gimadieva, E., & Hismatullina, Y. (2024). THE POTENTIAL OF DIGITAL LEARNING PLATFORMS IN TRAINING FUTURE TEACHERS TO IMPLEMENT ADAPTIVE TEACHING. In *INTED2024 Proceedings* (pp. 1744-1751). IATED. doi: [10.21125/inted.2024.0497](https://doi.org/10.21125/inted.2024.0497)
31. Weinberg, L. (2024). *Smart University: Student Surveillance in the Digital Age*. JHU Press.

32. Zawacki-Richter, O., Marín, V. I., Bond, M., & Gouverneur, F. (2019). *Systematic review of research on artificial intelligence applications in higher education – Where are the educators?* International Journal of Educational Technology in Higher Education, 16(1), 39. <https://doi.org/10.1186/s41239-019-0173-8>
33. Zhang, J., Borchers, C., & Barany, A. (2024). Studying the interplay of self-regulated learning cycles and scaffolding through ordered network analysis across three tutoring systems. *International Conference on Quantitative Research*, Springer. Retrieved from https://link.springer.com/chapter/10.1007/978-3-031-76335-9_17
34. Zhao, L., Zhu, K., Wang, H., Wang, C., & Xia, Q. (2023). Research landscape of adaptive learning in education: A bibliometric study. *Sustainability*, 15(4), 3115. <https://doi.org/10.3390/su15043115>
35. Zhao, L., Zhu, K., Wang, H., Wang, C., & Xia, Q. (2023). Research landscape of adaptive learning in education: A bibliometric study. *Sustainability*, 15(4).
36. Zheng, Z., Wu, X., & Liu, X. (2025). Enhancing pre-trained language models with Chinese character morphological knowledge. *Information Processing & Management*, 62(1), 103945. <https://doi.org/10.1016/j.ipm.2024.103945>Get rights and content