

# Influence of Standardized Test for Knowledge Evaluation

Satyam Mittal

Vellore Btech Student

## Abstract

To this end, this paper aims at discussing how the assessment instruments contribute to encouraging the achievement of the needed knowledge and skills among students. Historically, the use of standardized tests actively participates as a means to compare student and educational results as well as their effectiveness. They are intended to give a more accurate means of comparing student performance, schools and districts. However, the impact of modern technologies on the enhancement of the actual knowledge is still the topic of many discussions among educators and researchers. This work therefore seeks to establish the advantages as well as the disadvantages of this process of standardised testing, taking into account the effects the process has on learners' performance, teachers' performance and the performance of learners with disabilities. By so doing, the study aims at providing a understanding of how the tests can be better implemented in order to compliment and facilitate learning and development among the learners.

Basically, standardized tests are said to offer a common and straightforward method of measuring students' performance. They can show the teaching and learning needs and can interpret teaching and learning needs of respective students. Moreover, these tests might be useful to make schools responsible for students' performance, thus maintaining education quality on the same level in various areas. Nevertheless, critics said that such tests limit the contents covered in classrooms, encourage fossilization of content and student stress. This research aims at finding out the real effect of the PATs on the improvement of students' knowledge as these opposing views from students and faculties have been presented.

Therefore, the results of the present study shed light on a multifaceted association between achievement testing and knowledge improvement. Standardized tests are another form of collecting useful data in education but the role they play in achieving rich educative sessions is closely debated. Thus, the given study points out that effective tests can be integrated in the concept of knowledge enhancement only in the condition of balancing it with the formative assessments, project-based learning and other new tendencies in the sphere of learning. In the present paper, the author discussed the dangers of over reliance in achievement-oriented tests and show how a more balanced approach to assessment can help in promoting the meaning and value of such tests.

**Keywords:** Standardized Tests, Knowledge Enhancement, Academic Achievement, Learning Outcomes, Educational Equity

## Introduction

Since time immemorial, tests have formed the basis of the school system in the manner used to rate performers, establish learning needs, and, generally, adopt teaching strategies. These assessments are intended to offer a quantitative means of evaluating and comparing students, their institutions, districts, as

well as the state. Nevertheless, the usefulness of the tests themselves in increasing student performance is still questionable. The range of educational needs in the present-day world differs from what it was several years ago, and a modern idea of education is intensified by the elements of individualization, focus on the values that define a meaningful learning process through critical thinking and controlling real-world application of gained knowledge. Therefore, there has been concern among the educator, policy makers to reconsider the position of standardized tests in this aspect.

The objective of this paper is to discuss the role of the state-related standardized tests in knowledge improvement by considering the advantages and disadvantages of those practices. However, it aims at attempting to explain how the use of standardized tests can go further than merely evaluating student outcomes, but make the achievement of desired learning improvements possible. As such, it seeks to find out how these tests affect the teaching practices, students' learning and learning opportunities of students in order to inform how these tests could be made helpful to teaching and learning.

The changes that have occurred in educational practices have therefore called for appraisal of conventional forms of assessments such as standardized tests. Although these tests provide important information concerning the performance of the students, they have a number of effects on the general education system. This complexity is precisely the area that the present research aims to investigate: the implementation of standardized testing, its advantages and problems, as well as its potential for development. In this regard, the paper also has the major objective of sharing its findings into the discourse on effective and reasonable utilization of the standardized tests in the education system.

## AIMS

The major research question that forms the focal point of this study is: What influence does standardized tests have on student learning outcomes? The study aims at exploring and illustrating how these tests affect knowledge uptake, storage and utilization thereby determining their efficiency in promoting educational experiences. The study will therefore aim at establishing the possibility of utilizing such assessment forms and teach how possible deficiencies in important knowledge and c can be compensated by tests.

The second research purpose is to assess the impact that such tests have on teaching strategies and classroom delivery. Standardized tests always influence the kind of teaching and learning that occurs, which has profound implications to curricular content and classroom events. The purpose of the present work is to investigate the possibilities to better connect traditional multiple choice and writing assessments with enhanced practice approaches to teaching that foster higher-order thinking skills.

Finally, the study will seek to uncover the difficulties that students experience with regards to examinations, and test-related stress with regard to fair assessment of student's abilities. Thus, the research aims to suggest solutions for dealing with the challenges that influence the efficiency of the standardized tests having identified them. The ultimate goal is to increase the positive effects of the tests on knowledge improvement and, at the same time, to reduce negative effects on students and instructors.

## Problem Statement

While this kind of test appears to be more impactful globally, these types are still quite contentious within education. Some people argue that when testing of this sort is done it leads to a shrinking curriculum, fosters the practice of teaching to the test and increases undue pressure on students. However, research has shown that standardized tests have been blamed for testing little about what students are capable of doing as well as knowing. These issues are best addressed in this study, in a bid to explore the real

consequences of standardized tests on knowledge extension, and some solutions to make them more helpful in student learning.

### **LITERATURE REVIEW**

From the literature on standardized testing, one gets differentiated opinions on the efficacy and usefulness of the tests on students. Supporters of standardized tests claim that common results are helpful for the identification of learning deficits, recognizing of teaching approaches, as well as measurement of the performance of learning institutions. They even speak of the advantages of having ‘reliable’ and ‘standard’ student performance indicator.

However, there are some objections which gurus have found in analysed approaches to standardised testing. One of the issues is that these tests cause excessive emphasis on outcomes in terms of specific tests, and of specific activities that help to prepare for such tests at the expense of meaningful learning and real thinking. Research works have revealed that through appraisal of testing, teaching is appraised to testing that PPSTA educators focus mainly on the material that leads to testing rather than the overall educational objective. Furthermore, there are discursive exam results that sat standardised tests do not accurately measure giftedness and learning potential in student, especially the less privileged ones.

However, it is also evident that integration of these tests into an efficient and effective learning system is not impossible. It is possible to maintain an effective use of test data and make instructionally effective changes, at the same time as the broad and interesting curriculum that has been sought for several years is attained.

### **RESULT ANALYSIS**

The findings of the present study indicate toward moderately positive to negative effects of the standardized tests on knowledge gain. On one side, there is always useful information received from the plant tests; this information shows gaps in the students’ performance that need extra practice. This information can in turn be helpful when it comes to structuring lessons and giving extra support or remedial lessons which will help to enhance learning.

However, there are drawbacks regarding standard achievement testing as well There are various difficulties that are linked with standard achievement tests. This paper examines the perception that many students perform sub optimally, and in the process, endure high levels of stress and anxiety “to meet’ their performance goals on tests. Additionally, by focusing so much on the test, it becomes easy to develop a limited syllabi where students are forced to memorize information that would help them pass the test rather than them be equipped with actual vital thinking abilities.

Of great concern is that digital divide and matters of equity in education also contribute to the effectiveness of achievement tests. Extra challenges may be imposed on learner from disadvantaged background may seek test preparation information which put them in the right stead for tests and eventual educational privilege.

### **DISCUSSION OF RESULT**

While seeking to shift from traditional, general education to more suitably focused and orientated education that depends on tests, some advantages have been availed while some difficulties have as well arisen as shall be seen in this paper, testing, which, in general, makes student achievements more tangible and definite, is rather ambiguous as for the contribution to the augmentation of students’ knowledge.

**Benefits:**

- **Objective Measurement:** Since standardised tests are implemented in a more standardised format, they are much more impartial when it comes to the evaluation of students and their performance and learning.
- **Targeted Interventions:** Based on test data, it can be suggested that additional support and assistance for children who require it are offered to them.  
Accountability: Standardized tests can be great in holding schools, and educators responsible for learner performance resulting in improvements in the teaching practices.  
Challenges:
- **Stress and Anxiety:** A good number of learners undergo academic pressure while preparing for exams which are potentially damaging to their learning and welfare.
- **Narrow Curriculum:** One of the main caveats with test preparation is that it results in a limited curriculum through which students learn information by heart only to reproduce it in the test without really understanding what they are doing.
- **Educational Equity:** This is because test preparation involves utilization of resources to get adequate skills in taking tests, and inaccessibility of the materials may lead to discrimination in kind, quality, extent, and frequency of testing.

**UNEXPECTED FINDINGS**

Another interest arising from the study is variability in the effects of standardized tests on different groups of students. While some of the students enjoy taking tests that are formatted and structured like, others do not feel good because of the stakes that are associated with the same. This discovery underlines the call for developmental, fair overhauls of assessment systems that can address equality and talent difference identically.

**SCOPE OF FURTHER RESEARCH**

A lot more needs to be done to find out more on the effects of standardized tests on the learners on learning as well as the development. This is by analysing the benefits of other forms of assessments like formative assessment and project-based learning for knowledge enhancement. Hence, research propositions regarding the impact of standardized testing should also investigate ways of reducing test-taking stress as well as reducing widened gaps in cultural atonement between lower-SES and higher-SES students.

**CONCLUSION**

Standardized tests are very important in the education system since they offer important result indicators as to the performance of learners and the results help in enhancing the instruction. However, the effects they have towards improving knowledge are twofold; strengthening and weakening. On the same note, with a view of enhancing the effect of standardized tests in the best way possible we have to tackle the painful questions arising to include stress, equity and curriculum breadth. When assessment is incorporated more comprehensively into classrooms, such measures can offer valuable, rather than constraining, help to students.

**REFERENCE**

1. Ravitch, D. (2010). The Death and Life of the Great American School System: How Testing and Cho-

- ce Are Undermining Education. New York: Basic Books.
2. Koretz, D. (2017). *The Testing Charade: Pretending to Make Schools Better*. Chicago: University of Chicago Press.
  3. Sacks, P. (1999). *Standardized Minds: The High Price of America's Testing Culture and What We Can Do to Change It*. Cambridge: Perseus Books.
  4. Harris, P., Smith, B. M., & Harris, J. (2011). *The Myths of Standardized Tests: Why They Don't Tell You What You Think They Do*. Lanham: Rowman & Littlefield Publishers.
  5. Koretz, D. (2008). *Measuring Up: What Educational Testing Really Tells Us*. Cambridge: Harvard University Press.
  6. Hoffmann, B. (2003). *The Tyranny of Testing*. Mineola: Dover Publications.
  7. Lemann, N. (1999). *The Big Test: The Secret History of the American Meritocracy*. New York: Farrar, Straus and Giroux.
  8. Kohn, A. (2000). *The Case Against Standardized Testing: Raising the Scores, Ruining the Schools*. Portsmouth: Heinemann.
  9. Spring, J. (2018). *Multiple Choice: The Testing Industrial Complex and the American Student*. New York: McGraw-Hill Education.
  10. Kamenetz, A. (2015). *The Test: Why Our Schools Are Obsessed with Standardized Testing—But You Don't Have to Be*. New York: PublicAffairs.
  11. Kempf, A. (2016). *The Pedagogy of Standardized Testing: The Radical Impacts of Educational Standardization in the US and Canada*. New York: Palgrave Macmillan.
  11. Dolezalek, H. (2009). *Standardized Testing in Schools: A Primer*. Edina: ABDO Publishing.
  12. Bracey, G. W. (2001). *The War Against Standardized Testing: Common Sense Versus Nonsense*. Portsmouth: Heinemann.
  13. Conley, D. (2011). *Rethinking Testing and Assessment for the 21st Century*. San Francisco: Jossey-Bass.
  14. Johnson, D. D., Johnson, B., & Farenga, S. (2006). *High Stakes: Poverty, Testing, and Failure in American Schools*. Lanham: Rowman & Littlefield Publishers.
  15. Madaus, G., Russell, M., & Higgins, J. (2009). *The Paradoxes of High Stakes Testing: How They Affect Students, Their Parents, Teachers, Principals, Schools, and Society*. Charlotte: Information Age Publishing.
  16. Hillocks, G. (2002). *The Testing Trap: How State Writing Assessments Control Learning*. New York: Teachers College Press.
  17. Horn, J., & Wilburn, D. (2013). *The MisMeasure of Education*. Charlotte: Information Age Publishing.
  18. Smith, W. C., & Harju-Luukkainen, H. (Eds.). (2016). *The Global Testing Culture: Shaping Education Policy, Perceptions, and Practice*. Oxford: Symposium Books.
  19. Bracey, G. W. (2009). *Education Hell: Rhetoric vs. Reality*. Alexandria: Educational Research Service.
  20. Berliner, D. C., & Biddle, B. J. (1995). *The Manufactured Crisis: Myths, Fraud, and the Attack on America's Public Schools*. Reading: Addison-Wesley.
  21. Nichols, S. L., & Berliner, D. C. (2007). *Collateral Damage: How High-Stakes Testing Corrupts America's Schools*. Cambridge: Harvard Education Press.
  22. Popham, W. J. (2001). *The Truth About Testing: An Educator's Call to Action*. Alexandria: ASCD.
  23. Ravitch, D. (2013). *Reign of Error: The Hoax of the Privatization Movement and the Danger to America's Public Schools*. New York: Knopf.

24. Kohn, A. (2004). *What Does It Mean to Be Well Educated? And More Essays on Standards, Grading, and Other Follies*. Boston: Beacon Press.
25. Hursh, D. (2008). *High-Stakes Testing and the Decline of Teaching and Learning: The Real Crisis in Education*. Lanham: Rowman & Littlefield Publishers.
26. Au, W. (2009). *Unequal By Design: High-Stakes Testing and the Standardization of Inequality*. New York: Routledge.
27. McNeil, L. M. (2000). *Contradictions of School Reform: Educational Costs of Standardized Testing*. New York: Routledge.
28. Madaus, G. F., & Kellaghan, T. (1992). *The British Experience with “Authentic” Testing*. Phi Delta Kappan.
29. Amrein, A. L., & Berliner, D. C. (2002). *High-Stakes Testing, Uncertainty, and Student Learning*. Education Policy Analysis Archives.
30. Darling-Hammond, L. (2010). *The Flat World and Education: How America’s Commitment to Equity Will Determine Our Future*. New York: Teachers College Press.
31. Ravitch, D. (2016). *The Death and Life of the Great American School System: How Testing and Choice Are Undermining Education*. New York: Basic Books.
32. Koretz, D. (2017). *The Testing Charade: Pretending to Make Schools Better*. Chicago: University of Chicago Press.
33. Sacks, P. (1999). *Standardized Minds: The High Price of America’s Testing Culture and What We Can Do to Change It*. Cambridge: Perseus Books.
34. Harris, P., Smith, B. M., & Harris, J. (2011). *The Myths of Standardized Tests: Why They Don’t Tell You What You Think They Do*. Lanham: Rowman & Littlefield Publishers.
35. Koretz, D. (2008). *Measuring Up: What Educational Testing Really Tells Us*. Cambridge: Harvard University Press.
36. Hoffmann, B. (2003). *The Tyranny of Testing*. Mineola: Dover Publications.
37. Lemann, N. (1999). *The Big Test: The Secret History of the American Meritocracy*. New York: Farrar, Straus and Giroux.
38. Kohn, A. (2000). *The Case Against Standardized Testing: Raising the Scores, Ruining the Schools*. Portsmouth: Heinemann.
39. Spring, J. (2018). *Multiple Choice: The Testing Industrial Complex and the American Student*. New York: McGraw-Hill Education.
40. Kamenetz, A. (2015). *The Test: Why Our Schools Are Obsessed with Standardized Testing—But You Don’t Have to Be*. New York: PublicAffairs.
41. Kempf, A. (2016). *The Pedagogy of Standardized Testing: The Radical Impacts of Educational Standardization in the US and Canada*. New York: Palgrave Macmillan.
42. Dolezalek, H. (2009). *Standardized Testing in Schools: A Primer*. Edina: ABDO Publishing.
43. Bracey, G. W. (2001). *The War Against Standardized Testing: Common Sense Versus Nonsense*. Portsmouth: Heinemann.
44. Conley, D. (2011). *Rethinking Testing and Assessment for the 21st Century*. San Francisco: Jossey-Bass.
45. Johnson, D. D., Johnson, B., & Farenga, S. (2006). *High Stakes: Poverty, Testing, and Failure in American Schools*. Lanham: Rowman & Littlefield Publishers.
46. Madaus, G., Russell, M., & Higgins, J. (2009). *The Paradoxes of High Stakes Testing: How They Aff-*

- ect Students, Their Parents, Teachers, Principals, Schools, and Society. Charlotte: Information Age Publishing.
47. Hillocks, G. (2002). *The Testing Trap: How State Writing Assessments Control Learning*. New York: Teachers College Press.
  48. Horn, J., & Wilburn, D. (2013). *The MisMeasure of Education*. Charlotte: Information Age Publishing.
  49. Smith, W. C., & Harju-Luukkainen, H. (Eds.). (2016). *The Global Testing Culture: Shaping Education Policy, Perceptions, and Practice*. Oxford: Symposium Books.
  50. Bracey, G. W. (2009). *Education Hell: Rhetoric vs. Reality*. Alexandria: Educational Research Service.
  51. Berliner, D. C., & Biddle, B. J. (1995). *The Manufactured Crisis: Myths, Fraud, and the Attack on America's Public Schools*. Reading: Addison-Wesley.
  52. Nichols, S. L., & Berliner, D. C. (2007). *Collateral Damage: How High-Stakes Testing Corrupts America's Schools*. Cambridge: Harvard Education Press.
  53. Popham, W. J. (2001). *The Truth About Testing: An Educator's Call to Action*. Alexandria: ASCD.
  - Ravitch, D. (2013). *Reign of Error: The Hoax of the Privatization Movement and the Danger to America's Public Schools*. New York: Alfred A. Knopf.
  54. Kohn, A. (2004). *What Does It Mean to Be Well Educated? And More Essays on Standards, Grading, and Other Follies*. Boston: Beacon Press.
  55. Hursh, D. (2008). *High-Stakes Testing and the Decline of Teaching and Learning: The Real Crisis in Education*. Lanham: Rowman & Littlefield Publishers.
  56. Au, W. (2009). *Unequal By Design: High-Stakes Testing and the Standardization of Inequality*. New York: Routledge.
  57. McNeil, L. M. (2000). *Contradictions of School Reform: Educational Costs of Standardized Testing*. New York: Routledge.
  58. Madaus, G. F., & Kellaghan, T. (1993). *The British Experience with "Authentic" Testing*. Phi Delta Kappan.
  59. Amrein, A. L., & Berliner, D. C. (2002). *High-Stakes Testing, Uncertainty, and Student Learning*. Education Policy Analysis Archives.
  60. Darling-Hammond, L. (2010). *The Flat World and Education: How America's Commitment to Equity Will Determine Our Future*. New York: Teachers College Press.
  61. Mehta, J. (2013). *The Allure of Order: High Hopes, Dashed Expectations, and the Troubled Quest to Remake American Schooling*. New York: Oxford University Press.
  62. Meier, D., & Knoester, M. (2017). *Beyond Testing: 7 Assessments of Students & Schools More Effective Than Standardized Tests*. New York: Teachers College Press.
  63. Koretz, D. (2008). *Measuring Up: What Educational Testing Really Tells Us*. Cambridge: Harvard University Press.