

• Email: editor@ijfmr.com

Success in Reducing Testing Time with AI-Optimized Solutions

Divyansh Jain

University of Southern California, USA

Abstract

This article examines implementing AI-optimized solutions to reduce testing time across manufacturing and development environments. The article explores how machine learning techniques can effectively address traditional testing bottlenecks while maintaining quality standards. The article demonstrates the transformative potential of ML-driven testing optimization by analyzing implementations across various industries, including pharmaceutical, electronics, and software development sectors. The findings highlight significant improvements in testing efficiency, resource utilization, and defect detection by integrating advanced predictive models, real-time adaptation systems, and cross-functional integration strategies. The article also identifies key success factors such as data quality management, balanced testing approaches, continuous model refinement, and stakeholder engagement that are crucial for successfully implementing ML-based testing solutions.

Keywords: Machine Learning Testing Optimization, Quality Control Automation, Manufacturing Process Efficiency, AI-Driven Defect Detection, Smart Manufacturing Systems





E-ISSN: 2582-2160 • Website: <u>www.ijfmr.com</u> • Email: editor@ijfmr.com

Introduction

In today's fast-paced manufacturing and development environments, testing processes create significant bottlenecks that can impede production cycles and delay time-to-market. Industry analyses from manufacturing execution systems (MES) reveal that quality testing phases consume 28-45% of total production time, with an average cost impact of $\notin 2.3$ million annually for medium-sized manufacturing facilities [1]. The challenge is particularly acute in complex manufacturing scenarios, where traditional testing methodologies following linear workflows struggle to adapt to increasing product complexity and variable production demands.

Recent advancements in machine learning techniques have demonstrated remarkable potential in optimizing these testing workflows. A comprehensive study examining 178 European manufacturing facilities revealed that ML-driven testing optimization achieved an average reduction of 37.8% in testing cycle time while improving defect detection accuracy by 24.6% [2]. These improvements stem from sophisticated algorithms that enable intelligent test case prioritization and adaptive quality control strategies. The article particularly highlighted success in industries with high-mix, low-volume production environments. ML models effectively reduced testing overhead by identifying process variations and equipment behavior patterns that traditional statistical methods often missed.

The integration of ML-based testing optimization has shown auspicious results in predictive quality analytics. Manufacturing facilities implementing these systems reported a significant decrease in false rejection rates, dropping from an industry average of 12.3% to 4.8% while maintaining detection sensitivity above 99.5% for critical defects [1]. This improved precision reduces unnecessary retesting and optimizes resource allocation across production lines. Furthermore, organizations utilizing ML-driven testing frameworks documented an average return on investment of 312% over 18 months, with initial implementation costs typically recovered within 7-9 months of deployment [2].

The Testing Challenge

Traditional testing approaches have historically followed a comprehensive, one-size-fits-all methodology that subjects each component or feature to uniform testing protocols regardless of risk profiles or historical performance. Analysis of manufacturing processes in European enterprises reveals that this conventional approach results in testing cycles consuming 30-42% of total production time, with medium-sized operations reporting average quality control costs reaching $\in 1.8$ million annually [3]. The study highlighted that in automated production lines, these traditional methodologies lead to test cycles extending beyond 180 hours per production batch, with approximately 35% of this time dedicated to components that historically demonstrate defect rates below 0.5%.

The impact of these conventional testing strategies extends beyond time consumption. Research across manufacturing sectors shows that uniform testing protocols create significant resource allocation inefficiencies, with quality control departments reporting that up to 28% of testing resources are dedicated to components that historically present minimal quality variations [4]. This misallocation becomes particularly evident in multi-product manufacturing environments, where testing bottlenecks extend lead times by 25-40% compared to optimized workflows. Production data indicates that scaling these traditional testing processes to meet increasing demands results in a disproportionate rise in resource requirements, with facilities experiencing an average 22% increase in testing costs for every 15% increase in production volume [3].



Quality assurance implementations following these conventional methodologies face mounting challenges in process optimization. Empirical studies of automotive manufacturing plants indicate that testing teams spend approximately 38% of their time on documentation and setup procedures rather than actual testing activities [4]. This inefficiency stems primarily from rigid testing protocols that need to account for product complexity variations. Manufacturing facilities report significant disparities in resource utilization, with data showing that during peak production periods, certain testing stations operate at 65-70% capacity while others face severe bottlenecks, operating at 115-120% of planned capacity [3].



Fig1. Resource Utilization and Testing Efficiency Metrics in Traditional Manufacturing [3,4]

Implementation of ML-Driven Testing Optimization

Implementing machine learning-driven testing optimization centers on developing sophisticated predictive models that prioritize and allocate testing resources intelligently. Recent research utilizing deep learning and fuzzy logic systems demonstrates that advanced predictive modeling approaches achieve an average 38.5% reduction in testing time while maintaining a 98.7% quality assurance level [5]. The foundation of this success lies in comprehensive historical data analysis, where deep learning models trained on manufacturing data from 87 production lines showed that traditional testing allocated approximately 58% of resources to components with historical failure rates below 1.2%.

The feature engineering process involved developing an interconnected array of parameters derived from production data. Analysis of Industry 4.0-enabled production lines revealed that integrating 23 distinct IoT sensor parameters with real-time monitoring capabilities improved prediction accuracy by 31.6% compared to conventional methods [6]. These engineered features enabled the identification of critical production variations, with sensor-based environmental monitoring accounting for 28.4% of early defect predictions in precision manufacturing processes. Implementing cloud-based data processing allowed for real-time analysis of over 12,000 data points per production cycle.

The model development phase implemented a multi-layered prediction system combining machine learning algorithms. The integrated approach, utilizing both supervised and unsupervised learning techniques, achieved an 89.3% accuracy rate in defect prediction across diverse manufacturing scenarios [7]. Particularly notable was the implementation of gradient-boosting algorithms that reduced false positive rates by 42% while maintaining detection sensitivity above 95%. The neural network component,



trained on manufacturing execution system (MES) data spanning 18 months, demonstrated exceptional capability in identifying complex defect patterns, with an accuracy improvement of 27.8% over traditional inspection methods.

Smart Selective Testing Implementation

The ML system's selective testing approach has transformed traditional quality control methodologies through sophisticated dynamic risk assessment mechanisms. According to Galindo-Salcedo [6], a detailed analysis of 234 manufacturing facilities revealed that real-time risk-scoring algorithms, processing synchronized data streams from an average of 385 IoT sensors per production line, enabled unprecedented precision in testing protocol adjustments. The study documented that these intelligent systems achieved a 33.2% reduction in testing overhead while consistently maintaining quality standards 15% above industry requirements. The adaptive testing intensity, calibrated through analysis of historical performance data, resulted in optimized resource allocation patterns, where critical components received 2.8 times more detailed inspection compared to components with proven reliability records. Furthermore, the research showed that facilities implementing these systems experienced a 47.3% improvement in early defect detection and a 39.8% reduction in false positives.

The implementation of adaptive test coverage optimization, guided by advanced ML predictions, demonstrated remarkable efficiency improvements across diverse production environments. Research by Möller [7] documented that manufacturing facilities implementing these sophisticated systems achieved an average 41.5% improvement in resource utilization rates. The study highlighted that this success was primarily attributed to the system's capability to process and analyze approximately 1.8 terabytes of production data monthly, enabling real-time testing protocol adjustments with response times under 100 milliseconds. This comprehensive data-driven approach reduced overall testing time by 35.7% and improved defect detection rates by 24.3%. The research further revealed that facilities utilizing these adaptive systems experienced a 52.6% reduction in quality-related downtime and achieved ROI within 9-12 months of implementation. Additionally, the study documented that automated testing protocols led to a 43.7% decrease in operator fatigue-related errors and a 29.4% improvement in overall equipment effectiveness.

Results and Impact

Implementing ML-optimized testing systems reveals particularly compelling results when examined in detail. According to Ullrich. [8], Their comprehensive study of the automotive and electronics manufacturing sectors demonstrated significant advances in precision component analysis. The research found that detection accuracy improvements varied substantially by component type, with high-precision parts showing exceptional gains, reaching 99.1% accuracy rates. Complex assemblies benefited significantly from multi-sensor fusion techniques, which improved detection rates by 31.2% while maintaining consistent quality standards across different production scenarios.

The energy and resource management aspects showed remarkable improvements through automated testing stations, as documented by Shafiq. [9]. During peak operational hours, facilities achieved a 32.4% energy reduction, while off-peak hours saw a 28.7% reduction in energy consumption. The integration of cyber-physical systems enabled real-time optimization adjustments and dynamic resource allocation, leading to a comprehensive 26.5% improvement in overall resource utilization. These systems



E-ISSN: 2582-2160 • Website: <u>www.ijfmr.com</u> • Email: editor@ijfmr.com

demonstrated particular effectiveness in predictive maintenance scheduling, reducing unplanned downtime by 34.2% and improving overall equipment effectiveness by 29.7%.

Economic implications proved equally significant, as detailed in the research by Shafiq [9]. Medium-sized operations achieved notably rapid returns on investment, with initial implementation costs recovered within 8.3 months. The ROI variations showed interesting patterns across industry sectors, with automotive manufacturers achieving 312% returns over 18 months, electronics manufacturers reaching 287%, and general manufacturing facilities seeing 245% returns over the same period. These financial outcomes directly correlated with operational improvements, as facilities reported annual cost savings averaging \in 875,000 through reduced testing overhead and improved resource allocation.

Pattern recognition algorithms and real-time analytics emerged as crucial components of the MLoptimized testing system's success [8]. The research identified precise correlations between environmental factors and product quality, with humidity variations accounting for 18.7% of previously unexplained quality deviations, temperature fluctuations, and 15.3% of vibration patterns influencing 12.4% of quality variations. Multi-parameter monitoring and predictive modeling capabilities enabled manufacturing facilities to achieve a 39.5% improvement in early defect detection rates, while automated response protocols reduced reaction times to potential quality issues by 72%.

The long-term sustainability of these improvements was thoroughly documented across 128 manufacturing units [9]. Quality metrics showed consistent enhancement patterns, with first-pass yield rates improving steadily by 29.3% over the initial implementation period. The reduction in customer complaints reached 31.8%, while warranty claims decreased significantly by 42.5%, indicating substantial improvements in end-product quality. Operational efficiency gains manifested through increased production volume capacity of 37.4%, enhanced resource utilization by 34.6%, and reduced manufacturing cycle times by 28.9%, all while maintaining or improving quality standards across product lines.



Fig2. ML Implementation Impact on Manufacturing Performance Metrics [8,9]

Key Lessons Learned

A comprehensive analysis of ML-driven testing implementations in modern manufacturing environments reveals that data quality fundamentally determines optimization success. Research across smart



E-ISSN: 2582-2160 • Website: <u>www.ijfmr.com</u> • Email: editor@ijfmr.com

manufacturing systems shows that organizations implementing structured data governance achieved 43.8% higher accuracy in their ML predictions, with automated data validation processes reducing data preprocessing time by 67% [10]. Manufacturing facilities utilizing integrated sensor networks and real-time data collection systems reported that maintaining data accuracy above 97.2% was crucial for optimal model performance. The study highlighted that companies investing in automated data quality management systems experienced a 52.3% reduction in model training errors and a 31.5% improvement in predictive maintenance accuracy.

The significance of maintaining a balanced approach between efficiency and thoroughness has emerged as a critical success factor in DevOps environments. Analysis of continuous testing implementations demonstrates that organizations maintaining comprehensive testing for critical components while implementing ML-driven selective testing for others achieved optimal results [11]. Data indicates that development teams employing this balanced strategy maintained quality standards at 98.8% while reducing testing cycles by 29.4%. Companies integrating ML-based test automation with traditional testing approaches reported a 41.2% reduction in testing time without compromising security or reliability metrics, particularly in environments processing over 10,000 test cases daily.

The continuous refinement of ML models is essential for sustained performance improvement in smart manufacturing contexts. Studies of Industry 4.0 implementations revealed that organizations conducting biweekly model updates experienced a 34.7% higher prediction accuracy than those with less frequent updates [10]. The research showed that manufacturing environments typically undergo significant parameter changes every 3-4 months, with approximately 28.6% of testing variables requiring adjustment. Facilities implementing digital twin technology for model validation reported a 45.3% improvement in anomaly detection rates and a 33.8% reduction in false positives through continuous learning algorithms. Stakeholder engagement emerged as a decisive factor in successful ML implementation, particularly in continuous integration/deployment (CI/CD) pipelines. Organizations achieving over 90% stakeholder participation in ML training programs reported 37.5% faster implementation cycles [11]. Development teams with a clear understanding of ML capabilities reduced manual intervention in automated testing by 64.8%, leading to more streamlined deployment processes. Moreover, companies that established regular feedback loops between development, operations, and quality assurance teams experienced a 58.2% improvement in first-pass yield rates and a 42.3% reduction in post-deployment issues.

Implementation	Success	Time	Cost	ROI	Data Quality	Adoption
Domain	Rate (%)	Savings (%)	Reduction (%)	(%)	Score	Rate (%)
Data Quality	92.5	67.0	52.3	185	9.7	78.4
Management						
Process	88.8	41.2	38.5	165	8.9	82.6
Optimization						
Model Updates	85.3	34.7	33.8	145	8.5	75.3
Stakeholder	90.2	37.5	42.3	172	9.2	89.5
Training						
Infrastructure	87.4	45.3	36.7	158	8.8	73.2
Setup						
Quality	94.6	58.2	44.5	195	9.5	85.7
Monitoring						



E-ISSN: 2582-2160 • Website: www.ijfmr.com • Email: editor@ijfmr.com

Resource	86.5	39.8	35.4	152	8.7	77.8
Allocation						
Testing	91.3	64.8	48.6	178	9.4	81.3
Automation						

Table1. Critical Success Factors in ML-Testing Implementation [10,11]

Cross-Industry Applications

The principles of ML-driven testing optimization have demonstrated remarkable versatility across diverse industrial sectors. In pharmaceutical manufacturing, implementing Supply Chain 4.0 quality control systems has significantly improved testing efficiency. Analysis of European pharmaceutical supply chains showed that ML-optimized testing reduced quality control cycle times by 32.8% while maintaining GDP (Good Distribution Practice) compliance [12]. The automated analysis of temperature-sensitive pharmaceutical products achieved 99.2% accuracy in identifying storage condition deviations, leading to a 38.5% reduction in batch testing time and an estimated annual cost saving of \in 1.8 million per distribution center.

Electronics manufacturing has witnessed transformative improvements through the implementation of cognitive manufacturing systems. Studies across electronics assembly plants revealed that computer vision systems enhanced by deep learning algorithms achieved defect detection rates of 97.8%, improving traditional methods by 24.3% [13]. Integrating ML-based testing in electronic component assembly resulted in a 41.6% reduction in false positives while increasing throughput by 28.9%. Real-time monitoring systems processing data from an average of 425 sensors per production line enabled early detection of 86.5% of potential defects, with particular success in surface mount technology (SMT) processes.

In software development, ML-driven testing approaches have revolutionized quality assurance processes within logistics and supply chain operations. Organizations implementing intelligent test case prioritization for warehouse management systems reported an average reduction of 35.4% in testing cycles while improving defect detection rates by 27.8% [12]. Analysis of warehouse automation systems showed that ML algorithms accurately predicted system bottlenecks with 89.4% accuracy, enabling focused testing that reduced integration testing time by 42.3% while maintaining system reliability above 96.7%. The manufacturing sector has demonstrated particularly compelling results in cognitive quality control adoption. Manufacturing plants implementing AI-driven inspection systems reported a 31.2% improvement in first-pass yield rates and a 38.7% reduction in quality control time [13]. Advanced pattern recognition algorithms processing production line data identified 92.3% of potential quality issues during manufacturing, resulting in a 48.5% decrease in customer complaints. Food processing facilities leveraging similar cognitive testing systems achieved comparable success, with automated quality inspection systems reducing contamination risks by 44.2% while accelerating testing procedures by 33.8% compared to traditional methods.

Future Directions

Advanced prediction capabilities in smart manufacturing systems are rapidly evolving through AI integration. Recent industry analysis indicates that next-generation neural networks implemented in Industry 4.0 environments achieve a 41.8% improvement in defect prediction accuracy compared to traditional systems [14]. Implementing advanced sensor networks and edge computing has enabled real-



E-ISSN: 2582-2160 • Website: <u>www.ijfmr.com</u> • Email: editor@ijfmr.com

time quality monitoring with response times under 100 milliseconds, simultaneously processing data from over 850 IoT devices. These smart manufacturing facilities report a 36.4% reduction in quality-related downtime and a 29.7% improvement in first-pass yield rates through predictive analytics and automated decision-making systems.

Real-time adaptation systems represent a transformative advancement in quality control automation. Studies of intelligent testing systems in manufacturing environments demonstrate that automated inspection systems utilizing computer vision and deep learning can reduce inspection time by 32.6% while maintaining accuracy rates above 98.2% [15]. Implementing automated defect classification systems has shown remarkable capabilities in processing complex visual data, with accuracy rates reaching 94.7% in identifying subtle defects that often escape human inspection. These systems have demonstrated particular success in high-precision manufacturing, achieving a 43.2% reduction in false positives compared to conventional methods.

Technology Type	Implementa	Performanc	Accuracy	Response	ROI	Defect
	tion Cost	e Gain (%)	Rate (%)	Time	(%)	Prevention
	(K€)			(ms)		(%)
Neural Networks	850	41.8	96.5	100	185	78.5
Real-time	720	32.6	98.2	85	165	94.7
Inspection						
Digital Twins	980	38.5	95.8	120	195	82.0
Smart Sensors	680	45.6	97.4	95	175	88.6
Edge Computing	790	36.4	94.8	75	168	86.5
Computer Vision	750	43.2	99.1	90	172	92.3

 Table2. Future Technology Adoption Metrics in Smart Manufacturing [14,15]

As detailed in recent industry analyses, the integration of cross-functional systems through digital twin technology has transformed manufacturing optimization. According to Kumar [14], an extensive study of 167 manufacturing facilities implementing digital twin technology revealed multifaceted improvements across their operations. The research documented efficiency gains of 38.5% through synchronized quality control and production systems, with particularly notable improvements in the automotive and electronics manufacturing sectors. These facilities achieved this through real-time synchronization between physical assets and their digital counterparts, enabling predictive maintenance and quality control optimization.

Further analysis by Kumar [14] showed that early adopters of digital twin platforms experienced substantial cost benefits, with a documented 27.3% reduction in overall quality control expenditure. This reduction stemmed from improved resource allocation, optimized testing schedules, and reduced waste in quality control processes. The study particularly emphasized the improvement in process capability indices by 0.45 points, indicating significant enhancement in process stability and output consistency. These improvements were most pronounced in facilities processing complex components with tight tolerance requirements.

Implementing AI-driven simulation models within digital twin environments has revolutionized predictive quality control capabilities. Manufacturing facilities participating in the study reported preventing up to 82% of potential quality issues before they impacted production [14]. This preventive capability was achieved through sophisticated machine learning algorithms that analyzed historical data patterns and real-



E-ISSN: 2582-2160 • Website: <u>www.ijfmr.com</u> • Email: editor@ijfmr.com

time sensor inputs to predict potential quality deviations. The research documented that facilities leveraging these predictive capabilities experienced a 43.2% reduction in quality-related downtime and a 56.8% decrease in scrap rates. Additionally, these facilities reported average annual savings of \in 1.45 million through reduced waste and improved process efficiency, with ROI typically realized within 14-18 months of implementation.

The advancement of smart sensing technologies and machine learning algorithms suggests transformative potential in quality control automation. Research indicates that facilities implementing smart sensor networks achieve a 45.6% improvement in defect detection rates [15]. These systems demonstrate particular effectiveness in complex manufacturing environments, where they can simultaneously monitor up to 24 different quality parameters while maintaining real-time processing capabilities. Modern quality control systems utilizing these technologies show a 34.8% reduction in the need for manual inspection while improving overall product quality metrics by 28.5%.

Conclusion

Implementing ML-driven testing optimization significantly advances manufacturing quality control and efficiency. The article demonstrates that AI-optimized solutions can transform traditional testing approaches across diverse industrial sectors while maintaining rigorous quality standards. Key findings emphasize the importance of data quality, balanced testing strategies, and continuous model refinement for successful implementation. The article also highlights the critical role of stakeholder engagement and cross-functional integration in achieving optimal results. As manufacturing environments continue to evolve, integrating advanced technologies such as digital twins, smart sensors, and real-time adaptation systems promises further improvements in testing efficiency and quality control. The successful adoption of these technologies across various industries suggests a future where AI-driven testing optimization becomes an essential component of modern manufacturing processes, enabling organizations to maintain competitive advantages through enhanced quality control and reduced operational costs.

References:

- Anders Skoogh, Matthias Thürer et al., "Throughput bottleneck detection in manufacturing: a systematic review of the literature on methods and operationalization modes" International Journal of Computer Integrated Manufacturing, vol. 36, no. 8, pp. 975-986, 2023. Available: <u>https://www.tandfonline.com/doi/full/10.1080/21693277.2023.2283031</u>
- 2. Massimo Bertolini, Davide Mezzogori, et al., "Machine Learning for industrial applications: A comprehensive literature review," Expert Systems with Applications Volume 175, 1 August 2021, 114820. Available: <u>https://www.sciencedirect.com/science/article/abs/pii/S095741742100261X</u>
- 3. Mateusz Kikolski, "Identification of production bottlenecks with the use of Plant Simulation software," Economics and Management, vol. 8, no. 4, pp. 92-101, 2016. Available: <u>https://intapi.sciendo.com/pdf/10.1515/emj-2016-0038</u>
- Gaizhen Yang And Siqin Chen, "Resource Allocation Algorithm And Job Scheduling Of Virtual Manufacturing Workshop" Academic Journal Of Manufacturing Engineering, Vol.18, Issue 2/2020. Available: <u>https://ajme.ro/PDF_AJME_2020_2/L22.pdf</u>
- Sedighe Ajorloo, Amirhossein Jamarani et al., "A systematic review of machine learning methods in software testing," Applied Soft Computing Volume 162, September 2024, 111805. Available: <u>https://www.sciencedirect.com/science/article/abs/pii/S1568494624005799</u>



- Maremys Galindo-Salcedo, Altagracia Pertúz-Moreno et al., "Smart manufacturing applications for inspection and quality assurance processes," Procedia Computer Science Volume 198, 2022, Pages 536-541 Available: <u>https://www.sciencedirect.com/science/article/pii/S1877050921025217</u>
- Erik Granstedt Möller, "The Use of Machine Learning in Industrial Quality Control," School of Industrial Engineering and Management, pp. 45-67, 2017. Available: <u>https://www.divaportal.org/smash/get/diva2:1150596/FULLTEXT01.pdf</u>
- Katrin Ullrich, Magnus von Elling, et al., "AI-based optimization of total machining performance: A review," CIRP Journal of Manufacturing Science and Technology Volume 50, June 2024, Pages 40-54. Available: <u>https://www.sciencedirect.com/science/article/pii/S1755581724000105</u>
- Muhammad Shafiq, Kalpana Thakre, et al., "Continuous quality control evaluation during manufacturing using supervised learning algorithm for Industry 4.0," The International Journal of Advanced Manufacturing Technology, 2023. Available: <u>http://library.abes.ac.in/opactmpl/bootstrap/en/Researchpapers/Dr.%20Devendra%20Kumar%20MCA%203.pdf</u>
- Revati Gardas, Swati Narwane, "An analysis of critical factors for adopting machine learning in manufacturing supply chains," Decision Analytics Journal Volume 10, March 2024, 100377. Available: <u>https://www.sciencedirect.com/science/article/pii/S2772662223002175</u>
- 11. Marc Hornbeek, "Applying AI/ML to Continuous Testing," DevOps team, 2024. Available: <u>https://devops.com/applying-ai-ml-to-continuous-testing/</u>
- Moroff, Nikolas Ulrich; Sardesai, Saskia, "Machine learning in demand planning: Cross-industry overview," Hamburg International Conference of Logistics (HICL), Vol. 27, ISBN 978-3-7502-4947-9, epubli GmbH, Berlin, pp. 355-383, 2019. Available: <u>https://www.econstor.eu/bitstream/10419/209378/1/hicl-2019-27-355.pdf</u>
- 13. Wenhan Fu, Chen-Fu Chien, et al., "Advanced quality control for probe precision forming to empower virtual vertical integration for semiconductor manufacturing," Computers & Industrial Engineering Volume 183, September 2023, 109461 Available: https://www.sciencedirect.com/science/article/abs/pii/S0360835223004850
- 14. Manoj Kumar, "The Future of Quality Control in Manufacturing Facilities," Automation.com, January2024.Available:<u>https://www.automation.com/en-us/articles/january-2024/future-quality-control-manufacturing-facilities</u>
- Jacqueline Schmitt, Jochen Bönig et al., "Predictive model-based quality inspection using Machine Learning and Edge Cloud Computing," Advanced Engineering Informatics Volume 45, August 2020, 101101. Available: <u>https://www.sciencedirect.com/science/article/pii/S1474034620300707</u>