International Journal for Multidisciplinary Research (IJFMR)
E-ISSN: 2582-2160 ● Website: www.ijfmr.com ● Email: editor@ijfmr.com

Routing Analysis in Wireless Sensor Networks

Rajeev Kumar[1], Dr. Manisha Kumari Deep[2]

[1]Research Scholar, School of Computer Science and IT, YBN University, Ranchi-834003, India
[2]Professor, School of Computer Science and IT, YBN University, Ranchi-834003, India

Abstract:
Routing in Wireless Sensor Networks (WSNs) is a critical process that enables sensor nodes to communicate with each other and transmit data to a central sink or base station. The role of intermediate nodes is to forward the message to the destination quickly and without any alteration. The entire process of forwarding a message from source to destination is called as routing.

Keywords: WSN, routing, broadcasting

Communication is the most important activity of sensor nodes and the main objective of the network is to share the collected information with the powerful node. However, the process of communication is not possible in a direct mode always.
This makes sense that the source cannot reach the destination directly, but it is made possible by means of intermediate nodes. The role of intermediate nodes is to forward the message to the destination quickly and without any alteration. The entire process of forwarding a message from source to destination is called as routing. Routing is the most basic activity of any sensor node and it consumes more energy than any other activities. When too much energy is consumed by the sensor nodes, the lifetime of the sensor network diminishes. When the lifetime of the sensor nodes is reduced, the purpose of the network cannot be attained in a full-fledged manner.
Hence, the energy consuming activity must be carried out very carefully. The reason is that the energy consumption and network lifetime are closely related with each other. When the energy consumption increases, the network lifetime decreases automatically and vice versa. In order to handle this problem, several energy efficient routing schemes are introduced in the literature. The major goals of a routing scheme are to ensure efficient energy consumption, better network lifetime, availability, minimized latency and so on. All these factors together increase the efficiency of the routing technique and the performance is enhanced as well.
Routing in Wireless Sensor Networks (WSNs) is a critical process that enables sensor nodes to communicate with each other and transmit data to a central sink or base station. Unlike traditional wired networks, WSNs operate in an inherently dynamic, distributed, and energy-constrained environment, making routing a complex but essential task. Efficient routing in WSNs directly affects the network's lifetime, energy efficiency, data delivery reliability, and overall performance.

Challenges in Routing for WSNs

1. **Energy Constraints**: Sensor nodes are typically powered by batteries, and energy consumption must be minimized to extend the network's lifetime.

2. **Dynamic Topology**: The network topology is highly dynamic, as sensor nodes may fail, move, or join/leave the network.
3. **Limited Processing Power**: Sensor nodes are often resource-constrained, with limited CPU power, memory, and storage.
4. **Scalability**: The network can grow to thousands or even millions of nodes, so routing protocols must scale efficiently.
5. **Interference and Loss**: Wireless communication is prone to interference and packet loss, especially in dense networks.
6. **Data Aggregation**: Many WSN applications require data aggregation to reduce redundant transmissions and save energy.

Goals of Routing in WSNs

- **Energy Efficiency**: Minimize energy consumption for both data transmission and reception to prolong the network's lifetime.
- **Data Delivery**: Ensure reliable data transmission from source nodes to the sink or base station.
- **Scalability**: Efficiently manage large numbers of nodes and large-scale networks.
- **Latency**: Minimize communication delays, especially in time-sensitive applications.
- **Robustness**: Handle network changes such as node failures and topology changes.

Types of Routing Protocols in WSNs

Routing protocols in WSNs can be broadly classified into the following categories based on their design goals and strategies:

Flat-Based Routing

In **flat-based routing**, all nodes are treated equally, and there is no hierarchy or clustering. Every node can act as a source, relay, or destination for data.

- **Example Protocols**:
  - **Flooding**: Every node that receives a message retransmits it to its neighbors. Although simple, flooding is inefficient as it generates excessive traffic, leading to energy wastage.
  - **Gossiping**: Similar to flooding, but instead of broadcasting to all neighbors, the node sends data to a random neighbor. This reduces traffic but can increase latency and data loss.

Advantages:

- Simple and easy to implement.
- Suitable for small networks or scenarios where all nodes need to be treated equally.

Disadvantages:

- Not energy-efficient due to redundant transmissions.
- Scalability issues in large networks.
- Increased risk of congestion and collisions.

Hierarchical Routing

In **hierarchical routing**, the network is organized into clusters or levels. Nodes are grouped into clusters, and each cluster has a **cluster head** that handles communication with other clusters or the sink. These protocols are energy-efficient since they aim to reduce the communication load on sensor nodes by using data aggregation and reducing the number of transmissions.

- **Example Protocols**:
  - **LEACH (Low-Energy Adaptive Clustering Hierarchy)**: One of the most well-known hierarchical protocols. LEACH organizes the network into clusters and selects a cluster head for each cluster in a rotating fashion to balance energy consumption across the network.
  - **TEEN (Threshold-sensitive Energy Efficient Sensor Network)**: A hierarchical routing protocol designed for time-critical applications. It sends data only when a predefined threshold is crossed.
  - **HEED (Hybrid Energy-Efficient Distributed Clustering)**: A clustering algorithm that selects cluster heads based on both residual energy and node proximity to the sink.

Advantages:

- Energy-efficient by reducing communication range (nodes only communicate with their cluster head).
- Data aggregation minimizes redundant transmissions, further saving energy.
- More scalable and effective in large networks.

Disadvantages:

- Complex to implement and requires synchronization.
- The selection of cluster heads and their rotation can be challenging.
- Cluster head failure can disrupt communication.

Location-Based Routing

In **location-based routing**, nodes use their geographical location (typically obtained via GPS or other localization methods) to make routing decisions. This approach eliminates the need for extensive routing tables and reduces the overhead involved in route discovery.

- **Example Protocols**:
  - **GPSR (Greedy Perimeter Stateless Routing)**: This protocol forwards data to the neighbor that is closest to the destination. If the greedy forwarding fails (i.e., no neighbor is closer to the destination), GPSR switches to perimeter mode to route around the obstacles.
  - **Geographic Routing**: Data is forwarded to the node that is geographically closer to the destination, based on their location.

Advantages:

- Reduces overhead by eliminating the need for complex routing tables.
- Efficient in scenarios where location information is available.
- Scalable and works well in large networks.

Disadvantages:

- Requires accurate location data.
- May not be suitable for highly mobile networks unless node locations are updated frequently.
- Vulnerable to attacks that can spoof node location.

Data-Centric Routing

In **data-centric routing**, instead of routing based on the identity of nodes, the routing decisions are made based on the data content or attributes. Queries are issued for specific types of data, and nodes that have relevant data respond.

- **Example Protocols**:
  - **Directed Diffusion**: A data-centric protocol where data is diffused through the network, and nodes forward data based on interest in specific attributes. It minimizes energy consumption by focusing only on relevant data.
  - **TAG (Tiny Aggregation Protocol)**: A protocol designed for data aggregation, where nodes collect and aggregate data from their neighbors before sending it to the sink to minimize redundant data transmission.

Advantages:

- Efficient for applications where data collection is more important than the identities of the nodes.
- Reduces unnecessary transmissions by focusing on data relevance.

Disadvantages:

- Requires efficient data aggregation mechanisms.
- Not suitable for scenarios where data is required from specific nodes or exact node locations.

QoS-Based Routing

Quality of Service (QoS)-based routing focuses on meeting the specific requirements of the application, such as minimizing delay, ensuring reliability, or optimizing energy consumption while meeting other service constraints.

- **Example Protocols**:
  - **ERQ (Energy-Rate-based QoS)**: A protocol that focuses on ensuring quality of service by considering energy consumption and data delivery rate.
  - **MQAR (Maximum QoS-Aware Routing)**: A routing protocol that optimizes both energy efficiency and data transmission reliability to meet QoS requirements.

Advantages:

- Meets the application’s specific needs for performance, reliability, and energy usage.
- Can ensure reliable communication in mission-critical applications.

Disadvantages:

- Increased complexity in managing multiple QoS constraints.
- Potentially higher overhead for maintaining QoS parameters.

Multi-path Routing

In **multi-path routing**, multiple paths are used to route data from source to destination. This can provide better reliability, load balancing, and fault tolerance.

- **Example Protocols**:
  - **SMECN (Stable Election-based Multi-path Routing)**: A protocol that creates multiple paths between sensor nodes and the sink to enhance reliability and robustness.
  - **MPR (Multipath Routing)**: Uses multiple paths to ensure data delivery even in the presence of node failures or communication disruptions.

Advantages:

- Improves network reliability and fault tolerance.
- Balances the energy consumption across multiple paths to avoid network partitioning.

Disadvantages:

- Increases communication overhead.

- Requires mechanisms for managing multiple paths.

Bibliography

1. Jennifer Yick, Biswanath Mukherjee, and Dipak Ghosal. Wireless sensor network survey. Computer Networks, 52(12):2292–2330, 2008.
2. Parag Aggarwal and Aditya Trivedi. Secure wireless communication using friendly noise through an untrusted relay. Wireless Personal Communications, 84(4):2927– 2941, 2015.
3. Rajiv K Tripathi, Yatindra Nath Singh, and Nishchal K Verma. Two-tiered wireless sensor networks–base station optimal positioning case study. IET Wireless Sensor Systems, 2(4):351–360, 2012.
4. Rajeev K Shakya, Yatindra Nath Singh, and Nishchal K Verma. A novel spatial correlation model for wireless sensor network applications. 9th International Conference on Wireless and Optical Communications Networks, WOCN 2012. 1-6. IEEE, 2012.
5. Waltenegus Dargie and Christian Poellabauer. Fundamentals of Wireless Sensor Networks: Theory and Practice. John Wiley & Sons, 2010.
6. Abdelmalik Bachir, Mischa Dohler, Thomas Watteyne, and Kin K Leung. Mac essentials for wireless sensor networks. IEEE Communications Surveys & Tutorials, 12(2):222–248, 2010.
7. H Karl and A Willig. Protocols and Architectures for Wireless Sensor Networks: John Wiley. 2005.
8. Tinynode 584 user’s manual. Shockfish SA. www.tinynode.com.
9. MICAz: Wireless measurement system. Crossbow Technology Inc. www.xbow.com.
10. Crossbow Technology Inc. and Imote2: High-performance wireless sensor network node. Intel Corporation. www.xbow.com.
11. Tmote Sky: Low power wireless sensor module. Sentilla Corporation. www.sentilla.com.
12. Sukhchandan Lally and Ljiljana Trajkovic. Performance analysis of routing protocols for wireless Ad-Hoc networks. OPNETWORK. 1–8, 2011.
13. Vinay Kumar, Sanjay B Dhok, Rajeev Tripathi, and Sudarshan Tiwari. A review study of hierarchical clustering algorithms for wireless sensor networks. International Journal of Computer Science Issues (IJCSI), 11(3):92, 2014.
14. Ajay Singh Raghuvanshi, Sudarshan Tiwari, Rajeev Tripathi, and Nand Kishor. Optimal number of clusters in wireless sensor networks: a FCM approach. International Journal of Sensor Networks, 12(1):16–24, 2012.
15. Chulsung Park, Kanishka Lahiri, and Anand Raghunathan. Battery discharge char- acteristics of wireless sensor nodes: An experimental analysis. 2nd Annual IEEE Communications Society Conference on Sensor and Ad Hoc Communications and Networks, IEEE SECON 2005. 430–440, IEEE, 2005.
16. Giuseppe Anastasi, Marco Conti, Mario Di Francesco, and Andrea Passarella. Energy conservation in wireless sensor networks: A survey. Ad hoc Networks, 7(3):537– 568, 2009.
17. Huige Wei, Daowei Ding, Xingru Yan, Jiang Guo, Lu Shao, Haoran Chen, Luyi Sun, Henry A Colorado, Suying Wei, and Zhanhu Guo. Tungsten trioxide/zinc tungstate bilayers: electrochromic behaviors, energy storage and electron transfer. Electrochimica Acta, 132:58–66, 2014.
18. Jiahua Zhu, Minjiao Chen, Honglin Qu, Xi Zhang, Huige Wei, Zhiping Luo, Henry A Colorado, Suying Wei, and Zhanhu Guo. Interfacial polymerized polyaniline/graphite oxide nanocomposites toward electrochemical energy storage. Polymer, 53(25):5953–5964, 2012.

19. Christophe J Merlin and Wendi B Heinzelman. Duty cycle control for low-power- listening MAC protocols. IEEE Transactions on Mobile Computing, 9(11):1508– 1521, 2010.
20. Robin Singh Bhadoria, Geetam Singh Tomar, and Sungmin Kang. Proficient energy consumption aware model in wireless sensor network. International Journal of Multimedia and Ubiquitous Engineering, 9(5):27–36, 2014.
21. SR Khara and R Tripathi. An efficient polling and information-request packet transfer for wireless networks in fading and interference environments. Journal of High Speed Networks, 14(3):235–242, 2005.
22. Rajeev K Shakya, Yatindra N Singh, and Nishchal K Verma. A correlation model for MAC protocols in event-driven wireless sensor networks. IEEE Region 10 Conference TENCON 2012. 1–6, IEEE, 2012.
23. Kemal Akkaya and Mohamed Younis. A survey on routing protocols for wireless sensor networks. Ad hoc Networks, 3(3):325–349, 2005.
24. Mano Yadav, Vinay Rishiwal, and KV Arya. Routing in wireless Ad hoc networks: A new horizon. Journal of Computing, 1(1):204–208, 2009.
25. Stephen Makonin, William Sung, Ryan Dela Cruz, Brett Yarrow, Bob Gill, Fred Popowich, and Ivan V Bajic. Inspiring energy conservation through open source metering hardware and embedded real-time load disaggregation. IEEE PES Asia- Pacific Power and Energy Engineering Conference, APPEEC 2013. 1–6, IEEE, 2013.
26. Amit Sinha and Anantha Chandrakasan. Dynamic power management in wireless 176 sensor networks. IEEE Design & Test of Computers, 18(2):62–74, 2001.
27. Jeongyeup Paek, Ben Greenstein, Omprakash Gnawali, Ki-Young Jang, August Joki, Marcos Vieira, John Hicks, Deborah Estrin, Ramesh Govindan, and Eddie Kohler. The Tenet architecture for tiered sensor networks. ACM Transactions on Sensor Networks (TOSN), 6(4):34, 2010.