International Journal for Multidisciplinary Research (IJFMR)
E-ISSN: 2582-2160 ● Website: www.ijfmr.com ● Email: editor@ijfmr.com

A Review paper on Energy and Cost Optimization of Construction and Demolition Waste

Vrushabesh A C[1], Akshaykumar V Hanagodimath[2]

[1]PG Student, Department of civil Engineering, M S Ramaiah University of Applied Sciences, Bangalore, Karnataka, India.
[2]Assistant Professor, Department of Civil Engineering, M S Ramaiah University of Applied Sciences, Bangalore, Karnataka, India.

Abstract
The rapid growth of the construction industry has intensified the demand for natural resources and led to substantial waste generation from construction and demolition (C&D) activities. This review addresses the dual challenge of managing C&D waste sustainably while reducing energy use and costs associated with recycling. The focus is on optimizing the recycling of C&D materials, such as concrete and steel, within the Indian construction context, where rapid urbanization has exacerbated waste management issues. The study employs Life Cycle Analysis (LCA) and Life Cycle Cost Analysis (LCCA) to assess the environmental and economic implications of using recycled versus conventional materials, with metrics including embodied energy, carbon emissions, and financial impact. Additionally, the Non-dominated Sorting Genetic Algorithm II (NSGA-II) is used to determine efficient recycling routes and plant locations, demonstrating the advantages of recycled aggregates in reducing energy consumption and carbon emissions. This review promotes a circular economy model, providing a framework for sustainable resource management and a pathway toward reduced environmental impact in India’s construction sector.

Introduction

The global construction industry is a major consumer of raw materials and a significant contributor to environmental degradation, largely due to the extensive use of non-renewable resources and generation of construction and demolition (C&D) waste. As urbanization accelerates, especially in rapidly developing regions like India, the challenges of C&D waste management have become increasingly urgent. Construction waste, which constitutes a substantial portion of total solid waste, is often disposed of in landfills, leading to resource loss, environmental contamination, and heightened energy consumption due to the production of new materials.

Optimizing C&D waste recycling offers a promising solution to mitigate these impacts. Recycling not only reduces the demand for raw materials but also cuts down the energy and costs associated with producing new materials. However, traditional recycling practices are often limited in efficiency, which underscores the need for advanced methodologies that address both energy and cost optimization.

In this review, we examine approaches for recycling C&D waste through the lenses of Life Cycle Assessment (LCA) and Life Cycle Cost Analysis (LCCA). LCA provides a comprehensive understanding of environmental impacts across the material lifecycle, while LCCA offers insights into cost management throughout the recycling process. Furthermore, by incorporating a genetic algorithm-based optimization model (NSGA-II), this review explores strategies to optimize recycling routes and processing locations. The findings underline the potential of recycled aggregates to minimize energy use and carbon footprint, aligning with sustainable construction goals and the principles of a circular economy. This review aims to highlight the benefits and strategies for integrating energy-efficient recycling processes within India's construction sector to achieve both environmental and economic sustainability.

Literature review

The paper compares the processes of reusing and recycling structural steel, focusing on the cost implications across the entire life cycle. Reuse and recycling are examined not just in terms of environmental impact but also in terms of long-term economic effects. Prior research has identified several challenges that hinder the broader adoption of structural steel reuse, highlighting areas such as technical, logistical, and regulatory barriers. To support reuse efforts, the concept of Design for Deconstruction (DfD) is emphasized, which involves designing buildings in ways that make deconstruction and material recovery more efficient. Additionally, a sensitivity analysis within the study identifies specific conditions under which reusing steel offers greater advantages compared to recycling, providing insight into scenarios where reuse may be more economically and environmentally beneficial. (Yeung, et al., 2017)

The design phase plays a crucial role in determining a building's overall performance, particularly its environmental impact and energy efficiency. By utilizing simulation software, architects and designers can analyze and predict how a building will perform under various environmental conditions, allowing them to explore and implement sustainable design solutions. This software provides valuable insights into factors like energy usage, carbon footprint, and resource efficiency, enabling architects to experiment with innovative approaches to sustainability. Making design decisions during the early stages of a project is especially important, as it opens up a range of options for minimizing energy consumption and achieving optimal performance, setting the foundation for more sustainable building outcomes. (Drochytka, et al., 2020)

The optimization of waste collection and transportation has been a primary focus in many studies, aimed at enhancing efficiency and sustainability. Geographic Information System (GIS) applications have proven valuable for optimizing routes in solid waste collection, significantly reducing travel time. In addition, Mixed-Integer Linear Programming (MILP) models are frequently employed to determine the most efficient routes and appropriate fleet sizes for waste collection operations. Sensitivity analysis is also used to examine parameters such as the length of the longest collection tours, guiding the development of effective routing policies. The environmental impact of waste collection is particularly important in developing countries, where sustainable practices are essential for minimizing ecological harm. To support decision-making in this area, Multi-Criteria Decision-Making (MCDM) techniques have been evaluated as tools for optimizing waste transportation. However, prior research often overlooks the optimal selection of transportation fleets. Addressing this gap, the current study integrates economic, social, and environmental considerations into the selection of an ideal fleet, striving to balance operational efficiency with sustainability goals. (S, et al., 2023)

The development of construction and demolition waste (CDW) recycling is still at an early stage worldwide, with numerous studies contributing valuable insights into CDW management systems. These systems address critical factors such as turnover management, efficient transportation, and the strategic selection of treatment sites. Among these, transportation costs play a significant role in overall CDW treatment expenses, often influencing the economic viability of recycling operations. Notably, recycling CDW is generally more cost-effective than relying on landfills, emphasizing the economic benefits of sustainable waste management. Effective CDW management demands a strong grasp of relevant regulations, financial incentives, and the latest technological advancements. Various methods for predicting CDW generation have also been explored, including approaches based on building floor area and Geographic Information Systems (GIS). To improve efficiency and sustainability in CDW management, multi-objective models are being employed to address complex, competing goals. In China, however, the CDW management system continues to face significant challenges and operational inefficiencies, underscoring the need for further advancements in this field. (Elshaboury & Marzouk, 2020)

This paper focuses on identifying key gaps in the existing literature on construction waste management. One major gap highlighted is the limited number of comprehensive life cycle assessments within this field, leaving an incomplete understanding of the environmental and economic impacts over a project's full lifespan. Additionally, the research notes that optimization-based decision support frameworks—tools that could assist in making more effective and efficient waste management decisions—are relatively underexplored. Previous studies also lack quantifiable insights into the implications of recycling within a full life cycle context, missing critical data on the long-term benefits or costs associated with recycling practices. To support the development of their own optimization model, the authors conducted an in-depth literature review, ensuring that their model is informed by existing knowledge while addressing these overlooked areas. (Sun, et al., 2022)

This paper examines significant gaps in the literature surrounding construction waste management, emphasizing the need for more robust analysis across several areas. Notably, there is a shortage of comprehensive life cycle assessments that would provide a holistic view of environmental and economic impacts over the lifespan of construction projects. Additionally, optimization-based decision support frameworks, which could enhance decision-making in waste management, remain underrepresented in current research. Existing studies also often fail to quantify the effects of recycling from a life cycle perspective, leaving a gap in understanding the long-term benefits or costs of recycling within construction. To address these issues, the authors conducted an extensive literature review to inform the development of an optimization model, ensuring it builds upon and advances beyond the current body of knowledge. (Kucukvar, et al., 2016)

Only a limited number of studies examine energy use across the entire life cycle of construction projects. In particular, energy consumption during the construction phase is not well understood and is often analyzed in a fragmented way, if at all. Many researchers overlook this phase in their assessments of total energy consumption, leading to gaps in understanding the complete energy profile of buildings. However, the construction phase itself contributes approximately 7-10% of the building's total embodied energy, which is a substantial amount that should not be ignored. As more buildings are designed to be energy-efficient and focus on minimizing operational energy use, the significance of embodied energy, including that from construction activities, grows, making it a crucial component of the building's overall environmental impact. (Shrivastava & Chini, 2011)

The literature review reveals significant discrepancies in the reported values for embodied energy. These variations can be attributed to differences in study areas and the boundaries defined for the systems under consideration. The methods used for calculating embodied energy are influenced by several factors, such as the type of machinery employed, the distance involved in transportation, and the energy sources used throughout the process. For instance, studies examining the embodied energy of natural aggregates have shown a range of values, with figures varying between 62 and 119 MJ per ton. These differences underscore the complexity of determining precise energy values for construction materials, as they depend on a variety of contextual and methodological factors. In the current study, the findings are compared with the results of previous research to assess the consistency and reliability of the reported figures. This comparison helps to identify patterns and highlight the factors contributing to the variability in embodied energy values. By considering these earlier investigations, the study provides valuable insights into the ways in which different variables influence the embodied energy of materials, thereby offering a more comprehensive understanding of the subject. (S & Keshava, 2019)

This study focuses on analyzing the embodied energy of different building systems, with a particular emphasis on comparing precast and conventional construction methods. The comparative analysis highlights the energy efficiency of these two systems, offering insights into their sustainability and resource utilization. The research underscores the growing importance of sustainability in the construction industry, especially in terms of optimizing resource usage and reducing environmental impact. A key aspect of the study is its process-based energy analysis, which examines the energy consumed during various stages of construction. However, the study also acknowledges certain limitations in this approach, including potential gaps in data and the complexities of accurately measuring energy use across diverse building processes. The data used in the analysis is drawn from energy consumption figures specific to Sri Lanka and India, providing a regional context for understanding the energy dynamics in these countries. By integrating these data sources, the study aims to offer a clearer picture of the embodied energy profiles of building systems in different geographical settings, contributing to the broader conversation about improving energy efficiency and sustainability in construction practices. (Dissanayake & Jayasinghe, 2015)

The literature review examines the issue of construction and demolition waste (CDW) and explores different end-of-life management options, specifically comparing landfilling with recycling. It highlights that recycling CDW results in significantly lower environmental impacts compared to landfilling. The review emphasizes that using recycled aggregates (RA) is particularly beneficial for reducing land use and mitigating respiratory impacts, both of which are crucial environmental concerns. The findings suggest that producing aggregates from CDW not only minimizes the demand for virgin materials but also substantially decreases environmental harm, reducing overall impacts by up to 50%. This comparison underscores the potential benefits of recycling over disposal, particularly in terms of sustainability and environmental conservation. By focusing on RA, the literature review emphasizes the advantages of diverting CDW from landfills and reusing materials in construction, offering an environmentally friendly alternative that supports resource efficiency and reduces the ecological footprint of the construction industry. (Maria, et al., n.d.)

The paper identifies a total of 80 root causes for the generation of construction waste. Among these, five primary causes were found to be the most significant, with each scoring over 50% in the articles reviewed. The most substantial contributor to waste generation is frequent design changes, which result in significant material wastage and inefficiencies. Other controllable causes include improper storage and poor handling of materials, which can be managed through better practices and planning on site. On the other hand, weather effects are classified as uncontrollable factors that contribute to waste, such as damage to materials or delays caused by adverse conditions. The study also draws from past research that categorized these root causes based on different stages of construction and the involvement of various industrial players.

This approach allows for a more comprehensive understanding of waste generation, highlighting where interventions can be made to minimize waste across the entire construction process. By identifying these factors, the paper provides valuable insights into both preventable and unavoidable causes of construction waste, with the aim of promoting more efficient and sustainable construction practices. (Kaliannan, et al., 2018)

The study evaluates the management of construction and demolition waste (C&DW) in Finland in relation to the European Union's recycling targets. It employs various methodologies to assess both the environmental and economic impacts of C&DW. One approach used is material flow analysis (MFA), which helps determine recovery rates and track waste flows. Life cycle assessment (LCA) is also applied to measure the climate change impacts associated with C&DW, providing a comprehensive view of the environmental effects throughout the material's life cycle. Additionally, environmental life cycle costing (ELCC) is utilized to assess the costs related to the management system, ensuring that economic considerations are also taken into account. The study also applies the best available technology (BAT) approach to identify potential improvements in waste management efficiency, aiming to optimize the overall process. Data collection for the LCA and MFA is informed by findings from previous studies, ensuring a solid foundation for analysis. Furthermore, the research notes that regional differences across Europe may necessitate tailored recycling targets, taking into account local conditions and capabilities. This highlights the importance of customizing waste management strategies to specific regional needs and contexts within the EU. (Dahlbo, et al., 2015)

Construction and demolition (CD) waste primarily consists of surplus and damaged materials from construction activities. Over the past two decades, there have been significant international efforts to address and improve the management of CD waste. In India, CD waste represents about 33% of the total solid waste generated, highlighting its considerable contribution to the overall waste stream. The European Waste Catalogue classifies CD waste into eight distinct categories, providing a structured approach to its management. To estimate the amount of CD waste generated, the Waste Generation Rate (WGR) is a critical metric that helps in waste forecasting and planning. Various models have been developed to quantify CD waste, most of which are based on volume measurements. The potential for reusing materials, such as bricks, depends on factors like the age of the building being demolished, as older buildings may provide materials in better condition for reuse. These factors are essential for designing effective waste management strategies, as they influence both the amount of waste generated and the potential for material recovery. The growing awareness of the importance of CD waste management reflects the ongoing efforts to minimize its environmental impact and maximize the reuse of materials. (Ghosh, et al., 2015)

The literature review explores different methods used to assess the performance of construction and demolition waste management (CDWM). It delves into various research approaches and data collection techniques, highlighting the diverse ways in which CDWM performance is evaluated across studies. The review identifies key indicators that are critical for assessing the effectiveness of CDWM, such as material recovery rates, waste diversion, and environmental impacts. These indicators are essential for understanding the success of waste management strategies and for improving overall system efficiency. Additionally, the review points out gaps in the existing literature, revealing areas where further research is needed to enhance the understanding and implementation of CDWM practices. Based on these findings, the review proposes a comprehensive framework for assessing CDWM performance, aimed at filling these gaps and providing a structured approach to evaluating waste management systems. This framework seeks to address the limitations identified in current studies and offer a more robust method for assessing

CDWM, ultimately contributing to better decision-making and more sustainable waste management practices in the construction industry. (Wu, et al., 2019)

The paper titled *"A Review of Performance Assessment Methods for Construction and Demolition Waste Management"* provides a thorough evaluation of methodologies used to assess construction and demolition waste management (CDWM). It categorizes existing research into three main approaches: sustainability-based methods, system thinking-based methods, and life cycle thinking-based methods, offering a comprehensive review of their applications, strengths, and limitations. A key contribution of the paper is the development of an integrated framework and a systematic five-step procedure for assessing CDWM performance, addressing gaps in current practices. Notably, the paper highlights the underrepresentation of social aspects in CDWM research compared to environmental and economic dimensions, encouraging further exploration in this area. While the study provides valuable insights, challenges such as data accessibility, particularly for economic and social indicators, and the validation of System Dynamics (SD) models remain significant limitations. To enhance the applicability of the proposed methods, the authors recommend increased collaboration among researchers, industry stakeholders, and policymakers, as well as the integration of social impact assessments into existing frameworks. Overall, this paper is a significant contribution to advancing sustainable CDWM practices and research. (Wu, et al., 2019)

The paper, "Experimental study on mechanical behaviors of concrete with large-size recycled coarse aggregate", explores the viability and performance of concrete incorporating large-size recycled coarse aggregate (LRCA). The study presents a systematic evaluation of mechanical properties such as compressive strength, axial strength, and splitting tensile strength, with varying incorporation rates of LRCA. The research demonstrates that concrete with LRCA maintains mechanical integrity, especially when the incorporation rate does not exceed 30%, making it a viable alternative for sustainable construction practices. The study highlights that LRCA enhances resource utilization and simplifies the recycling process by reducing fine particle formation during production. The results suggest that while the cube compressive strength of concrete with LRCA closely matches that of natural aggregate concrete (NAC), other properties like axial compressive and splitting tensile strength exhibit minor reductions. The research provides valuable insights into optimizing the use of LRCA in construction, emphasizing its potential application in mass concrete structures like pile foundations and reinforced concrete members. (Li, et al., 2016)

The study titled *"Energy consumption of RC buildings during their life cycle"* provides a detailed analysis of the energy demands associated with reinforced concrete (RC) buildings across their entire lifecycle, including production, management, and destruction phases. The research investigates three RC buildings in Izmir, Turkey, quantifying energy use in each phase and revealing that the management phase accounts for the highest energy consumption (over 75% of total energy use). It emphasizes the significant contributions of concrete and steel, which comprise more than 80% of the energy demands in the production phase. The study also highlights that energy efficiency in heating and electricity during the management phase is critical for minimizing total lifecycle energy consumption. Results show that total energy use per square meter per year increases as the building's total usable area decreases, emphasizing the role of building design in energy optimization. This research provides valuable insights into the sustainability and energy efficiency of RC buildings, offering practical implications for reducing lifecycle energy use in the construction industry. (Bozdag & Secer, 2020)

The paper, *"Fuel Consumption and Engine Load Factors of Equipment in Quarrying of Crushed Stone"*, investigates the fuel efficiency and engine load factors of various equipment used in small-scale quarrying. The study identifies load factors based on empirical data from a five-year observation of equipment such as bulldozers, wheel loaders, and excavators. It highlights the impact of operating conditions and equipment application on fuel consumption, with factors ranging significantly across equipment types. For example, bulldozers showed an average load factor of 0.48 under light to moderate conditions, while wheel loaders and trucks had lower load factors of 0.27 and 0.23, respectively. The paper also explores discrepancies in data from various sources, emphasizing the need for site-specific adjustments to ensure accurate fuel consumption estimates. This research offers valuable insights into optimizing fuel use and improving operational efficiency in quarrying operations. (Klanfar, et al., 2016)

The paper titled *"Experimental study on mechanical behaviors of concrete with large-size recycled coarse aggregate"* investigates the mechanical properties of a new type of recycled aggregate concrete (RAC) using large-size recycled coarse aggregate (LRCA). The study demonstrates that LRCA simplifies the recycling process by reducing fine particle formation and enhances the feasibility of using RAC in practical engineering applications. The research findings show that the cube compressive strength of concrete with LRCA is comparable to that of natural aggregate concrete (NAC) when the incorporation rate of LRCA is kept below 30%. Beyond this rate, a notable decrease in strength occurs, with a 14% reduction observed at 40% incorporation. Additionally, the study highlights that the axial compressive strength and splitting tensile strength of concrete with LRCA are slightly lower than NAC but remain within acceptable limits for specific structural applications. The research underscores the potential of using LRCA in mass concrete structures, such as pile foundations, emphasizing its economic and environmental benefits in sustainable construction. (Li, et al., 2016)

The paper titled *"Review of Energy Recovery from Construction and Demolition Waste in Australia"* provides a comprehensive examination of the potential for energy recovery in Australia's construction and demolition (C&D) waste management sector. Despite the significant potential for energy recovery, the study finds that the practice is underutilized due to limited regulatory support and public acceptance. The research underscores the importance of the waste hierarchy in prioritizing recycling and energy recovery within waste management strategies. It identifies a lack of consistent regulatory frameworks across jurisdictions, with only Victoria and New South Wales having established guidelines for energy recovery. The study highlights the negligible role of the Energy from Waste (EfW) sector in the current system and calls for robust government involvement to promote its adoption. Recommendations include increasing public awareness, fostering investments in EfW technologies, and developing cohesive national policies to address regulatory disparities. Additionally, the paper emphasizes the need for further research into community acceptance of EfW to enhance its viability. This review is a valuable resource for stakeholders, including researchers, industry professionals, and policymakers, aiming to develop sustainable practices for C&D waste management in Australia. (S, et al., 2019)

Conclusion

This review paper highlights the growing importance of sustainable construction and demolition (C&D) waste management (CDWM) in the context of rapid urbanization, particularly in developing regions like India. As the construction industry continues to expand, the challenge of effectively managing C&D waste becomes more critical. The review underscores the need to optimize the recycling of C&D materials, such as concrete and steel, to minimize environmental impacts, reduce energy consumption, and lower costs.

The use of advanced methodologies, including Life Cycle Assessment (LCA), Life Cycle Cost Analysis (LCCA), and optimization models like the Non-dominated Sorting Genetic Algorithm II (NSGA-II), offers a comprehensive approach to understanding the environmental and economic implications of using recycled versus conventional materials.

The review also identifies key performance indicators for assessing CDWM, such as recovery rates, waste diversion, and carbon emissions, and points out significant gaps in the existing literature. These gaps highlight the need for more robust life cycle assessments and optimization-based decision support frameworks to guide more effective waste management practices. The promotion of a circular economy model, alongside strategies for reducing the environmental footprint of the construction sector, offers a pathway toward sustainable resource management.

Overall, the findings emphasize that recycling and reusing C&D materials not only contribute to environmental sustainability but also present significant economic opportunities. By addressing the gaps in current research and adopting advanced recycling strategies, the construction industry can move towards more sustainable practices, aligning with global sustainability goals while reducing the ecological footprint of construction activities.

References

1. A. Belarbi, M. D., 2016. Sustainability of fiber-reinforced polymers (FRPs) as a construction material. *Woodhead Publishing Series in Civil and Structural Engineering,* pp. 521-538.
2. Andrea Di Maria, J. E., 2018. Downcycling versus recycling of construction and demolition waste: Combining LCA and LCC to support sustainable policy making. *Waste Management,* Volume 75, p. 3–21.
3. Bozdag, O. & Secer, M., 2020. *Energy Consumption of reinforced Concrete Buildings During Their Life Cycle.* Izmir, Turkey: s.n.
4. Dahlbo, H., Bacher, J. & Lahitnen, K., 2015. *Construction and demolition waste management - A holistic evaluation of environment performance.* Helsinki, Finland: Elsevier.
5. Dissanayake, K. & Jayasinghe, C., 2015. *Embodied Energy Analysis of a Pre-cast Building System.* Kandy, Sri Lanka: Internation Conference on Structural Engineering and Construction Management (SECM).
6. Drochytka, R., Dufek, Z., Michalcikova, M. & Hodul, J., 2020. *Study of Possibilities of Using Special Types of Building and Demolition Waste in Civil Engineering.* Brno, Czech Republic: Periodica Polytechnica Civil Engineering.
7. Elshaboury, N. & Marzouk, M., 2020. *Opimizing Construction and demolition waste Tranportation for sustainable Construction Projects.* Giza, Egypt: Emerld Group Publishing.
8. Ghosh, S. K., Haldar, H. S., Chatterjee, S. & Ghosh, P., 2015. *An Optimization Model on Construction and Demolition Waste.* s.l.:Procedia Environmental Sciences.
9. Irma T. Mercante, M. D. B., 2011. Life cycle assessment of construction and demolition waste management systems: A Spanish case study. *Int J Life Cycle Assess.*
10. Kaliannan, S., Nagapan, S., Abdullah, A. H. & Sohu, S., 2018. *Determining Root Cause of Construction Waste Generation: A Global Context.* Parit Raja, Johor, Malaysia: Civil Engineering Journal.
11. Klanfar, M., Korman, T. & Kujundzic, T., 2016. *Fuel Consumption and Engine Load Factors of Equipment in Quarrying of Crushed Stone.* Zagreb, Croatia: Technical Gazette.

12. Kucukvar, M., Egilmez, G. & Tatari, O., 2016. *Life Cycle Assessment and Optimization - Based Decision Analysis of Construction Waste Recycling for a LEED-Certified University Building.* Istanbul, Turkey; West Haven, CT, USA; Orlando, FL, USA: MDPI.
13. Li, T., Xian, J., Zhu, C. & Zhong, Z., 2016. *Experimental Study on Mechanical behaviors of Concrete with Large-size recycled coarse aggregate.* Shanghai, PR China: Elsevier.
14. Maria, A. D., Eyckmans, J. & Acker, K. V., n.d. *Downcycling versus Recycling of Construction And Demolition Waste: Combining LCA and LCC to Support Sustainable Policy Making.* Leuven, Belgium: s.n.
15. Martina Pini, A. M. F., 2014. Life cycle assessment of a large, thin ceramic tile with advantageous technological properties. *Building Components And Buildings,* Volume 19, p. 1567–1580.
16. S, A., S, . H. & E, N., 2023. *Reducing energy consumption in operation and demolition phases by integrating multi-objective optimization with LCA and BIM.* s.l.:Springer Nature.
17. Scheving, A. G., 2011. *Life Cycle Cost Analysis of Asphalt and Concrete Pavements,* s.l.: s.n.
18. Shrivastava, S. & Chini, A., 2011. *Estimating Energy Consumption during Construction of Buildings: A Contractor's Persepective.* Gainesville,FL, USA: Conference paper.
19. S, R., 2019. *A study on Embodied energy of recycled aggregates obtained from processed demolition waste.* Bengaluru, s.n.
20. S, S. et al., 2019. *Review of energy recovery from construction and demolition waste in Australia.* Australia: Golden Light Publishing.
21. Sun, H., Liu, H. & Tian, J., 2022. *Modelling and Opimizing Resource Management and Environment Benefit of Construction and Demolition Waste: A Case Study in China.* Nantong, China: MDPI.
22. Valeria Ibáñez-Forés, M.-D. B., 2011. Life cycle assessment of ceramic tiles. Environmental and statistical analysis. *Lca Of Buildings And Building Materials,* Volume 16, p. 916–928 .
23. VG Ram, S. N. K., 2017. Estimation of construction and demolition waste using waste generation rates in Chennai, India. *SAGE journals.*