

# The Impact of Sexual Violence on the Psycho-physical Well-being of LGBTQIA+ Individuals: A Qualitative Approach to Holistic Health and Recovery

Annu Biswas

Assistant Professor of Psychology, Department of Psychology, School of Health and Medical Sciences, Adamas University, Kolkata

## Abstract

**Background and Objective:** This study explored the psycho-physical impact of sexual violence on LGBTQIA+ individuals, utilizing a qualitative approach that integrated grounded theory, narrative, and ethnographic analysis.

**Method:** Three focus-group interviews were conducted with 7 participants each in every interview, totaling the sample size as 21 LGBTQIA+ survivors of sexual violence.

**Findings:** This research revealed significant psychological effects, including PTSD, anxiety, and depression, compounded by internalized stigma related to participants' sexual orientation and gender identity. Physical symptoms, such as chronic pain and sexual dysfunction were common. Many participants reported barriers to accessing healthcare, including discrimination, hetero-normativity, and a lack of affirmative services. Participants reported coping mechanisms, ranging from community support to substance use and social withdrawal. Social support was identified as crucial for recovery, though many experienced intersectional marginalization hindering access to care. Narrative analysis revealed that participants often reframed their identity and trauma, finding empowerment through storytelling and community connection. The study also generates a preliminary grounded theory of recovery, suggesting a multi-stage process involving identity challenges, community connection, and resilience-building.

**Conclusion:** The findings call for LGBTQIA+-competent, trauma-informed care and structural policy changes to better support this population. This research underscores the need for a holistic, culturally competent approach to healthcare for LGBTQIA+ survivors of sexual violence that addresses holistic health outcomes while reducing barriers to care.

**Keywords:** LGBTQIA+, sexual violence, holistic health, recovery

## Background

### *Defining Sexual Violence, Identifying the Perpetrators and Means -*

Sexual violence is any sexual act or attempt to obtain a sexual act through coercion, force, or without consent (Bagwell et al, 2015). It includes a range of behaviors, from physical assault to unwanted sexual advances, and encompasses a variety of abuses, including rape, sexual harassment, and exploitation (Dartnall et al., 2013). Sexual violence can be perpetrated by strangers, acquaintances, intimate partners,

or others in positions of power or trust (Muehlenhard et al., 2014). Sexual violence occurs when there is no voluntary agreement between individuals for a sexual act or advance. This can involve physical force, threats, intimidation, manipulation, or exploiting someone who is unable to give consent (such as when under the influence of substances or in a vulnerable situation) (Lyndon et al., 2007). The effects of sexual violence are often profound, with impacts on physical, emotional, and psychological well-being.

### ***Prevalence of Sexual Violence-***

The prevalence of sexual violence worldwide affects a significant portion of the global population across all demographics; with certain groups being disproportionately impacted (Palermo et al., 2011). Sexual violence is highly underreported due to stigma, fear, cultural norms, and lack of support (Lehner, E. A., 2017). Victims may not seek help or may feel unsafe reporting incidents. Some regions report higher or lower prevalence due to cultural attitudes, reporting mechanisms, and legal systems, which can vary widely across countries (Tummala-Narra et al., 2017).

According to the World Health Organization (WHO), about 1 in 3 women worldwide (35%) have experienced either physical and/or sexual intimate partner violence or non-partner sexual violence in their lifetime (Palermo et al., 2011). Approximately 7% of women globally report being sexually assaulted by someone other than a partner. Nearly 27% of women aged 15–49 who have been in a relationship report experiencing physical and/or sexual violence from an intimate partner (Borumandnia et al., 2020).

Sexual violence against men is often underreported due to stigma, fear, and lack of support resources (Russell, W. 2007). However, it is estimated that around 1 in 6 men have experienced sexual abuse or assault in their lifetime. Men are particularly vulnerable to sexual violence in military and prison environments, where rates of sexual abuse are often high (Valente et al., 2007). According to a study, about 8% of boys globally report experiencing sexual violence before the age of 18 (Sumner et al., 2016).

The WHO estimates that around 1 in 5 girls and 1 in 13 boys worldwide have experienced sexual abuse during childhood (Johnson, C. F. 2004). Children, particularly in vulnerable regions, are at higher risk of sexual exploitation through trafficking, especially in regions with armed conflicts or humanitarian crises (Leatherman, J. 2011). Schools can also be a location for sexual violence against children, with reports indicating that between 1% and 11% of children have experienced some form of abuse within school settings (Fineran, S. 2002).

Studies show that members of the LGBTQIA+ community experience higher rates of sexual violence, with some studies reporting that around 47% of bisexual women and 40% of gay men experience sexual violence at some point in their lives (Brown et al., 2015). Research indicates that nearly half of transgender individuals are likely to experience sexual violence at some point in their lives, with reports suggesting rates as high as 50%. LGBTQIA+ individuals are also at greater risk for sexual violence motivated by bias or discrimination, further compounding the trauma (Stotzer, R. L. 2009).

### ***Victims of Sexual Violence and Reporting-***

Underreporting and lack of support for sexual violence are significant issues across all groups, but the groups that underreport the most and receive the least support often include men and boys and the LGBTQIA+ community (Kiss et al., 2020). Men and boys are one of the most underreporting groups in cases of sexual violence due to deeply ingrained societal norms and stigma. Cultural beliefs that equate masculinity with invulnerability or physical strength discourage many men from coming forward, as they fear judgment, shame, or disbelief (Forde et al., 2017). Support services for male victims of sexual

violence are limited, with fewer resources, counseling, and shelter services tailored to male needs (Russell, W. 2007). Many legal and healthcare systems are structured to primarily address female victims, creating gaps in effective support.

At the same time, LGBTQIA+ individuals also face high rates of underreporting, often due to fear of discrimination, stigma, or even being outed (Tillewein et al., 2023). For transgender and non-binary individuals, fear of judgment or harassment in medical and legal settings further discourages reporting. Services for LGBTQIA+ survivors are frequently lacking, as not all support organizations are inclusive or sensitive to the unique needs of this community (Mallory et al., 2015). All the above studies have been done in the west; however, this study revolves around the lived experiences of LGBTQIA+ individuals in the Indian subcontinent.

While substantial research has been conducted on the effects of sexual violence on heterosexual individuals, research specifically addressing LGBTQIA+ survivors is limited, especially within the Indian context of psycho-physical health. Given the unique challenges faced by LGBTQIA+ individuals due to societal stigma, discrimination, and intersectional marginalization, their recovery needs differ from those of non-LGBTQIA+ survivors (Steele et al., 2017).

The majority of existing studies on sexual violence tend to focus on quantitative measures, such as prevalence rates, rather than deeply exploring survivors' personal experiences, emotional responses, and coping mechanisms (Brown et al., 2015). A qualitative approach allows for a richer, more nuanced understanding of how sexual violence affects LGBTQIA+ individuals on psychological, physical, and social levels. LGBTQIA+ individuals face significant barriers when seeking healthcare, such as discrimination, heteronormative attitudes, and a lack of LGBTQIA+-competent providers (Martinez et al., 2023).

Many healthcare providers are not adequately trained to address the trauma of sexual violence within the LGBTQIA+ community (Bonvicini, K. A. 2017). Social support, particularly from LGBTQIA+ communities, plays a critical role in the recovery process for many survivors (Klien, E. 2017). However, access to these communities may be hindered by intersectional challenges, such as class, race, and geographic location (Redman, L. F. 2010).

#### ***Healthcare facilities for LGBTQIA+ Individuals in India-***

Healthcare facilities in India face significant gaps when it comes to catering effectively to the needs of the LGBTQIA+ community. Despite some progress in terms of legal recognition and social awareness, many areas still lack comprehensive, inclusive, and affirming healthcare services. Medical education in India often fails to include training on the specific health needs and challenges faced by LGBTQIA+ individuals (Agarwal et al., 2023). Healthcare providers are frequently uninformed about sexual orientation, gender identity, and related health concerns, which can lead to inadequate or insensitive care (Majumder et al., 2022).

Most healthcare professionals do not receive training on how to engage respectfully and knowledgeably with LGBTQIA+ patients (Kumar et al., 2021). This includes understanding gender-affirming language, recognizing the impact of minority stress, and addressing the mental health implications associated with discrimination and stigma (Sharma, S. & Sharma, B. 2022). There is a lack of specialized services for transgender and non-binary individuals, such as hormone replacement therapy (HRT), gender-affirming surgeries, and related healthcare services (Rajuani et al., 2022). When these services are available, they are often concentrated in urban areas and can be prohibitively expensive, limiting access for many.

LGBTQIA+ individuals often face higher rates of mental health issues due to stigma, discrimination, and social isolation. However, inclusive mental health services that are knowledgeable about LGBTQIA+ issues are sparse, and many providers lack the necessary training to address specific challenges faced by this community (Wandrekar et al., 2020). There is few inclusive sexual and reproductive health services tailored to the needs of LGBTQIA+ people. This includes services related to safe sex practices, sexually transmitted infections (STIs) prevention, and fertility options for LGBTQIA+ couples (Wandrekar et al., 2019).

LGBTQIA+ individuals often report facing discrimination, harassment, or judgmental behavior when accessing healthcare (Arora et al., 2022). This deters many from seeking necessary medical attention, leading to a cycle of avoidance and untreated health conditions. For many LGBTQIA+ individuals, especially those not open about their identity; the fear of being "outed" within the healthcare system can be a significant barrier to seeking care (Achar et al., 2023). There is often a lack of assurance that their personal information will remain confidential, leading to mistrust of healthcare providers.

LGBTQIA+ individuals often experience trauma related to discrimination, violence, or familial rejection (Sinha et al., 2024). The availability of trauma-informed mental health care that specifically addresses these experiences is limited in India. The LGBTQIA+ community faces disproportionately high rates of suicidal ideation and self-harms (Virupaksha et al., 2016). However, crisis intervention services tailored to LGBTQIA+ individuals are limited, and general suicide prevention programs may not be equipped to handle LGBTQIA+-specific issues.

There is a lack of community outreach programs that actively work to engage LGBTQIA+ individuals and provide education on health and wellness. Outreach efforts are needed to spread awareness about available services, promote preventive health practices, and connect individuals with LGBTQIA+-friendly healthcare providers (Majumder et al., 2022). Although India has made strides in HIV prevention and care, many programs still have a narrow focus and do not cater inclusively to all members of the LGBTQIA+ community. Services targeting men who have sex with men (MSM) may exclude transgender women, lesbians, and other groups who also need comprehensive care (Chakrapani et al., 2002).

### ***Legal Aid for Supporting LGBTQIA+ Community in India***

In India, while there have been notable advancements in LGBTQIA+ rights, significant gaps remain in the legal support and protections for the community. India does not have comprehensive anti-discrimination laws that explicitly protect LGBTQIA+ individuals in employment, housing, healthcare, and education (Hariharan, Y. 2023). While the *Transgender Persons (Protection of Rights) Act, 2019* aims to prevent discrimination against transgender individuals, it has been criticized for its limited scope and implementation (Charan, A. 2021).

The Indian Constitution guarantees equality, but there are no specific laws that provide protection based on sexual orientation or gender identity. This absence leaves LGBTQIA+ individuals vulnerable to discrimination and harassment without clear legal recourse (Boyce, B. 2015). Same-sex marriages or civil unions are not legally recognized in India. This lack of recognition denies LGBTQIA+ couples various legal benefits, including spousal rights, inheritance, tax benefits, and adoption rights (Kishor, A. 2021).

LGBTQIA+ individuals face significant legal barriers when it comes to adopting children. Joint adoption by same-sex couples is not permitted, and single LGBTQIA+ individuals face challenges due to biases in the system (Balpande, G. 2021). Laws surrounding surrogacy and assisted reproductive

technology are restrictive and do not account for LGBTQIA+ families, preventing access to family-building options (Mukhopadhyay, I. 2022).

While some progressive companies in India have adopted non-discrimination policies and inclusive practices, there is no overarching legislation that protects LGBTQIA+ individuals from workplace discrimination (Poojary, V. 2023). This absence means many LGBTQIA+ employees face harassment, bias, and unfair treatment without formal avenues for redress. There is no provision for affirmative action or support systems to encourage diversity and inclusion in employment for LGBTQIA+ individuals.

There are no specific laws addressing hate crimes based on sexual orientation or gender identity. Incidents of violence, abuse, and hate crimes against LGBTQIA+ individuals often go unaddressed due to the lack of legal mechanisms to specifically categorize and prosecute such offenses (Ukrani, A. 2023). Many LGBTQIA+ individuals face challenges in reporting hate crimes or harassment due to fear of secondary victimization, bias from law enforcement, or inadequate legal understanding of LGBTQIA+ issues (Kar et al, 2018).

Although healthcare is a fundamental right, there are no specific protections to ensure that LGBTQIA+ individuals receive non-discriminatory treatment in medical settings. This can lead to unequal access to medical care and reluctance to seek necessary services due to fear of stigma and discrimination (Arora et al., 2022). While the *Transgender Persons (Protection of Rights) Act* mentions access to healthcare, there is limited implementation and no standardized protocols for gender-affirming treatments such as hormone therapy or surgeries.

Current legal systems do not adequately recognize non-binary and other gender-diverse identities. While transgender individuals can change their gender on legal documents, the process is often complex, intrusive, and inconsistently applied (Gupta, R. & Murarka, A. 2009). There is a lack of support for non-binary people to have their identities accurately represented on official documentation. The existing legal procedures for changing one's gender on official documents are often lengthy, bureaucratic, and subject to invasive requirements, which can deter individuals from completing the process.

LGBTQIA+ individuals often face difficulties accessing social welfare schemes that may be critical to their economic and social well-being (Dhall, P. & Boyce, P. 2015). These programs do not typically include provisions that recognize the unique challenges faced by LGBTQIA+ people. LGBTQIA+ youth are at a higher risk of homelessness due to family rejection and lack of support systems (Sharda, S., & Marisport, A. 2024). Legal frameworks that ensure safe housing and protection for at-risk LGBTQIA+ individuals are largely absent.

Comprehensive sex education and LGBTQIA+ awareness are not part of the standard curriculum in most educational institutions (Biswas, A. 2023). The absence of inclusive educational policies perpetuates misinformation and stigma, leading to a lack of understanding and increased discrimination (Agrawal, M. 2021). Anti-bullying policies that specifically address LGBTQIA+ students are not mandated, leaving students vulnerable to harassment without adequate protection or recourse (Banerjea, N. 2023).

LGBTQIA+ individuals often lack access to affordable legal services that are knowledgeable and sensitive to their needs. While some non-governmental organizations provide legal assistance, there is a need for more extensive, government-backed legal aid services tailored to LGBTQIA+ issues (Sahu, M. K. 2016). Discrimination and bias within the police and judicial systems can prevent LGBTQIA+ individuals from pursuing legal action or accessing justice. Legal reforms that include sensitivity

training and policies to protect LGBTQIA+ individuals from discrimination within the justice system are needed.

### ***Research on LGBTQIA+ Needs in India-***

Reliable research on the health and legal needs of the LGBTQIA+ community in India is still limited, although awareness and academic interest in these areas have been growing (Debnath, K. 2017). There is a significant lack of large-scale, nationally representative data focusing on the health and legal needs of LGBTQIA+ individuals. Much of the existing research is conducted by NGOs, smaller academic projects, or international organizations, and often relies on limited sample sizes or anecdotal evidence (Badgett, M. V. 2014). This restricts the ability to generalize findings or develop evidence-based policies.

The social stigma surrounding LGBTQIA+ identities makes conducting research difficult. Participants may be reluctant to engage due to fear of exposure, discrimination, or lack of trust in researchers. This stigma also extends to funding bodies and academic institutions, which may be hesitant to support LGBTQIA+-focused research (Mandhan et al., 2023). The ambiguous legal status of many LGBTQIA+ issues complicates research, as scholars may encounter legal challenges or lack access to government data that could inform their studies. Government support for LGBTQIA+-related studies is rare, which limits the scope and depth of research efforts.

Given the above literature indicate a dearth of studies that would indicate that people from the marginalized community also face sexual violence, or what challenges they face socially, and what kind of resources they have to turn to and seek help from. It is not known to what extent, social stigma impacts them, whether they have any social support system, if there is lack of proper health care facilities that would specifically cater their needs, and if they have any proper legal or constitutional framework that would get them justice without delay. There are studies saying that this community is the least reporting of any sexual violence happening to them, at the same time, there is a dearth of research surrounding LGBTQIA+ Community, specifically focusing on reasons, why they opt for not reporting their narratives in the Indian context, and what psycho-physical implications are brought on to them with violent physical sexual abuse.

### **Rationale of the Study**

The current study emerges as critical due to significant gaps in existing research and support systems for LGBTQIA+ individuals in India. While global research indicates that LGBTQIA+ communities face disproportionately high rates of sexual violence and subsequent psycho-physical trauma, Indian research has been limited and fragmented, often overlooking in-depth, qualitative explorations of these issues. It becomes essential to understand the nature of support that is expected by this community so that they can be helped with appropriate usage of the available resources. Furthermore, the existing literature underscores that research focusing on the LGBTQIA+ community's coping mechanisms and recovery processes is limited, especially in the Indian context. This study aims to fill this gap by adopting a holistic, qualitative approach to examine survivors' experiences, identify systemic barriers, and propose a multi-stage recovery model tailored to LGBTQIA+ needs. The rationale for this research is grounded in the necessity of amplifying marginalized voices and fostering evidence-based policy changes that support health equity and LGBTQIA+-competent care in India.

## Research Methodology

**Design-** This study employed a qualitative research design that draws on grounded theory, narrative, and ethnographic interpretations. This design is chosen to capture the lived experiences, coping mechanisms, and recovery processes of LGBTQIA+ survivors of sexual violence. The framework allowed for a deep exploration of participants' psycho-physical experiences and supports the generation of theories that are grounded in participants' narratives.

**Sample-** The study's participants include 21 LGBTQIA+ individuals, all above 18 years of age, with at least undergraduate level learning and were fluent in English, who had experienced sexual violence at least 2 years ago from the time of data collection, and were at varying stages of recovery. This sample is designed to reflect a range of identities within the LGBTQIA+ spectrum (e.g., gay, lesbian, bisexual, transgender, queer, intersex, asexual, and non-binary individuals). The sample includes individuals of different ages, socio-economic backgrounds, and geographic locations within India to ensure diversity and richness in the data.

- **Inclusion Criteria:** LGBTQIA+ individuals, above 18 years of age, who had experienced sexual violence at least 2 years prior to the time of data collection, possessing at least an undergraduate level of education with fluency in English, and were at various stages of recovery.
- **Exclusion Criteria:** Individuals under 18 years of age, who had not experienced sexual violence, or those who did not identify within the LGBTQIA+ spectrum, as well as those who experienced sexual violence less than 2 years prior to data collection or lack an undergraduate level of education with non-fluency in English, were not included in the study.

## Operational Definitions-

- Intersex refers a sex category of those who have natural anatomical features that do not adjust typical definitions of male and female. Their condition involves wide or specific variations in chromosomes, hormones and reproductive anatomy that do not align with binary categorization. (Hughes et al., 2006)
- Queer is an all-inclusive concept portraying persons whose sexual preference and gender integrity diverges from the societal norms of binary understanding of sex and sexuality. (APA, 2021)
- Lesbian refers to individuals who identify as female and experience primary or exclusive emotional, romantic and/or sexual attractions towards the same sex. (APA, 2021)
- Gay is used to describe individuals typically males, who are romantically, emotionally/sexually attracted to the same sex. (APA, 2021)
- Bisexual orientation describes individuals who experience emotional, romantic/sexual attractions to individuals of both sexes. (APA, 2021)
- Asexual is a term to describe individuals who do not prioritize sexual relationships as a central part of their lives as they do not experience sexual desirability or have a deficiency of sexual interest towards others, while they may experience other forms of attraction such as romantic or emotional. (Brotto et al., 2010)
- An all-around concept to portray gender identity that differs (it can be male, female, non- binary or outside of the binary categories) from the sex identified by the physician at birth is called Transgender. (APA, 2021)

**Sampling Technique-** A *purposive sampling* method was utilized to recruit participants with specific characteristics pertinent to the study (i.e., LGBTQIA+ individuals who have experienced sexual violence). Additionally, *snowball sampling* was employed to identify further participants with sexual

abuse through existing networks within the LGBTQIA+ community. This approach helped to access individuals who may be hesitant to come forward due to stigma and ensured a broad representation within the sample.

**Data Collection Method-** The primary data collection method consisted of *in-depth, semi-structured focus group discussions*. These discussions provided flexibility to probe deeper into participants' responses, and revealed shared experiences among participants. Interviews were conducted both in-person and online, depending on participant preference and location, to maximize accessibility.

**Procedure of the study-** Initially, a semi-structured interview guide was developed with open-ended questions focused on participants' experiences of sexual violence, psychological and physical impacts, coping strategies, and barriers to recovery. This guide helped facilitate group discussions on shared experiences, social support systems, and healthcare access. As per the availability of the participants, three different Focus group discussions were arranged by the researcher, each discussion comprising 7 participants, with mutual consent and discussion. With participants' consent, they were given pseudonyms as "Participant A, Participant B" and so on, for the discussions to keep their identity anonymous to each other, and the entire interviews were audio-recorded to ensure accurate transcription and analysis. Two of the interviews took place online, through google meet, while one meeting took place offline at a private clinician's chamber where the researcher practiced counseling services. Observational notes were taken throughout the interviews to capture non-verbal cues, contextual details, and additional observations. Ethical guidelines were strictly adhered to, including obtaining informed consent from all participants, ensuring confidentiality, and providing resources for support following the interviews. Participants were informed that their identities will remain anonymous, and pseudonyms were used in all documentation.

**Data Analysis-** A *thematic analysis* was used to identify, analyze, and report patterns within the data. Audio recordings were transcribed verbatim to capture every detail of participants' narratives. The raw data was structured into codes and themes as per the steps as follows:

- Familiarization- the researcher read the transcripts multiple times to get accustomed with the data
- Identifying thematic framework- a thematic framework was followed to support the theory-based strategy of impact of sexual violence on the psychophysical well-being of these individuals and possible interventions they sought.
- Indexing- additional codes and themes were derived from the data
- Mapping- Quotes from the interview were assigned under codes, and these codes were rearranged to the right theme.
- Interpretation- finally with the analysis, the process was completed.

This method has been adapted from the framework model to ease data collection and investigation for the current exploration (Pope et al., 2000). Transcripts were reviewed, and initial codes were developed to highlight significant statements, phrases, and recurrent themes. Peer debriefing sessions with researchers and clinical queer affirmative psychologists experienced in LGBTQIA+ studies and therapy were also held to discuss coding decisions and thematic development.

- Thematic Development: Codes were grouped into larger themes that encapsulated the key experiences, coping mechanisms, and barriers faced by participants.
- Grounded Theory: Emerging themes contributed to building a preliminary grounded theory that described the stages of recovery and resilience-building in LGBTQIA+ survivors.



- Narrative Analysis: Personal stories were analyzed to explore how participants framed their identities and experiences, examining shifts in self-perception and empowerment over time.
- Ethnographic Insights: Observational notes added context to the analysis, capturing group dynamics and cultural nuances relevant to participants’ experiences.

**Results**

Themes	Sub-Themes	Quotes from the Data
<b>Psychological and Emotional Impact</b>	Depression and Anxiety	"After my experience, I struggled with depression. It felt like I was invisible." (Interview 1, PK) "My body would physically react—sweating, shaking—whenever I was in crowded places." (Interview 1, RD) "I developed anxiety and panic attacks. Even now, certain situations trigger those feelings." (Interview 2, DP) "I struggled with anxiety and had difficulty sleeping." (Interview 3, SR) "I constantly feel on edge. It’s hard to relax or feel safe around people." (Interview 2, MR) "I fell into a deep depression. I struggled with anxiety and had difficulty sleeping." (Interview 3, NG)
	PTSD and Flashbacks	"I started having nightmares and flashbacks." (Interview 1, KP) "I often find myself reliving that night." (Interview 3, KS)
	Self-Worth and Isolation	"I felt like I lost a part of myself. I started drinking heavily to cope." (Interview 1, SR) "I started to isolate myself from friends and family." (Interview 2, AK) "I felt betrayed and ashamed."

		<p>(Interview 3, PM)</p> <p>“It was like I lost a part of myself.” (Interview 1, PK)</p> <p>“I question my right to happiness.” (Interview 2, PR)</p>
	Loss of Trust and Emotional Disconnection	<p>“It changed how I see friendships entirely.” (Interview 1, RD)</p> <p>“The trust I had in people shattered.” (Interview 3, DP)</p>
<b>Physical Manifestations of Trauma</b>	Chronic Pain and Health Issues	<p>"My body would physically react—sweating, shaking—whenever I was in crowded places." (Interview 1, KP)</p> <p>"I often feel fatigued and have headaches that I can't explain." (Interview 3, RG)</p> <p>“I feel like I’m carrying a heavy burden, I have this on my mind all the time, and it affects me physically as fatigue and body aches as I don’t feel rested.” (Interview 3, MB)</p>
	Somatic Reactions	<p>"I developed an eating disorder as a way to control something in my life." (Interview 1, SR)</p> <p>"I feel nauseous and dizzy, and it affects my daily life." (Interview 3, SR)</p>
	Insomnia and Sleep Disturbances	<p>“I developed insomnia, and it was difficult for me to feel safe even in my own home.” (Interview 3, NG)</p> <p>“I lost a lot of weight due to stress.” (Interview 3, KS)</p>
	Eating Disorders and Body Image Issues	<p>“I developed an eating disorder as is diagnosed, and this has become a way to control something in my life.” (Interview 1, PK)</p> <p>“I avoided mirrors because I</p>

		felt so disgusted with myself.” (Interview 2, SN)
<b>Impact on Relationships</b>	Difficulty Forming and Maintaining Relationships	“It changed how I see friendships entirely.” (Interview 1, RD) “I’ve struggled to connect with others after what happened.” (Interview 3, PM)
	Fear of Intimacy and Vulnerability	“I developed body image issues, avoiding mirrors because I felt so disgusted with myself.” (Interview 2, LN) “I panic whenever I’m in crowded places or near someone who resembles my assaulter.” (Interview 2, RS)
<b>Internalized Stigma and Self-Identity</b>	Shame and Guilt	"I felt ashamed of being gay after my assault. I internalized the belief that I deserved what happened." (Interview 2, DP) "I believed it was my fault for being a lesbian." (Interview 2, MR) “I felt ashamed of being gay after my assault.” (Interview 2, AK)
	Doubt in Identity Validity	“My bisexuality feels invalidated all the times.” (Interview 2, PR) “I’ve faced so much pressure to conform- from family, friends, teachers, colleagues... no where.. just no where I found acceptance. My identity feels like a burden at times.” (Interview 3, DP)
	Questioning Self-Worth	"I often feel like I’m in a constant struggle for acceptance, both internally and externally." (Interview 2, SN) "The stigma often makes me

		feel ashamed. It's exhausting." (Interview 3, RG)
	Fear of Disclosure	"I fear that if people find out about my identity, I'll face more violence or rejection." (Interview 3, MB) "I often suppress it, which only fuels my anxiety." (Interview 2, LN)
<b>Experiences of Marginalization Within the LGBTQIA+ Community</b>	Feeling Excluded or Unsupported by the Community	"It made me question my place within the LGBTQIA+ community." (Interview 2, LN) "I faced harassment at a community event from someone I thought was an ally." (Interview 2, LN)
<b>Barriers to Accessing Healthcare Support</b>	Lack of LGBTQIA+-Competent Healthcare	"I felt like the counselor didn't really understand what I was going through." (Interview 1, KP) "Many therapists seem unaware of the specific challenges we face." (Interview 3, SR)
	Judgment and Discrimination in Medical Settings	"They treated me like I was a burden. They didn't understand my identity." (Interview 1, SR) "I felt judged by providers. They didn't understand the impact of my identity on my mental health." (Interview 3, NG)
	Financial and Accessibility Issues	"Many support services are unaffordable, and I worry that I'll be forced to choose between getting help and meeting my basic needs." (Interview 2, LN) "There are few affordable support services in our area." (Interview 3, KS)

	<p>Geographic Barriers to Support</p>	<p>“I attempted to join a support group, but it was located far from my home.” (Interview 2, RS)          “Accessing resources feels like an uphill battle.” (Interview 3, PM)</p>
	<p>Cultural Stigma and Family Dynamics</p>	<p>“I was told to keep quiet and ‘not bring shame’ to my family.” (Interview 1, PK)          “There’s a pervasive belief that being a lesbian is wrong.” (Interview 2, DP)</p>
<p><b>Community and Social Support</b></p>	<p>Importance of Safe Spaces</p>	<p>"Creating safe spaces where we can share our stories without judgment would encourage healing." (Interview 1, RD)          "Community initiatives could help bridge that gap and create a more supportive environment." (Interview 2, MR)          “Find your community; sharing your story can be healing.” (Interview 3, DP)</p>
	<p>Peer Support, Connection, Reframing and Story Telling for Healing</p>	<p>"I’m trying to reclaim my narrative. I started a blog where I share my story and connect with others." (Interview 1, KP)          "Connect with others in the community. Sharing your story can be incredibly healing." (Interview 3, RG)</p>
	<p>Advocacy, community involvement and Education</p>	<p>"Education is important. If healthcare providers were trained to understand our community better, I think we’d feel safer seeking help." (Interview 1, SR)          "Workshops for parents and families can be beneficial.</p>

		<p>They need to understand the impact of their attitudes and actions." (Interview 2, AK)</p> <p>"I've begun volunteering with organizations that support survivors." (Interview 1, PK)</p> <p>"Speak your truth when you're ready. Your story can inspire others." (Interview 3, MB)</p> <p>"We could use social media to spread awareness." (Interview 1, RD)</p> <p>"A campaign where survivors can anonymously share their stories could help." (Interview 1, KP)</p>
<b>Coping Mechanisms</b>	Avoidance and Isolation	<p>"I started to isolate myself from friends and family." (Interview 2, PR)</p> <p>"I avoided seeking help altogether. The fear of being dismissed or misunderstood kept me silent." (Interview 3, SR)</p>
	Substance Use as Self-Medication and Developing Mental Health conditions	<p>"I started drinking heavily to cope, thinking it would help. But it just made everything worse." (Interview 1, SR)</p> <p>"I developed an eating disorder as a way to control something in my life." (Interview 1, PK)</p>
	Resilience and Recovery Practices	<p>"I started volunteering with organizations that support survivors. It's empowering to turn my pain into something positive." (Interview 1, RD)</p> <p>"For me, it's about self-care. I'm learning to love myself again and not let my past define me." (Interview 1, KP)</p> <p>"It's okay to take your time in your healing journey. You</p>

		deserve happiness and peace.” (Interview 3, NG)
<b>Trust and Safety Concerns</b>	Lack of Safe Spaces	<p>“It made me question my place within the LGBTQIA+ community.” (Interview 2, SN)</p> <p>“It’s disheartening that there are so few safe spaces for people like us.” (Interview 3, KS)</p>
	Reluctance to Disclose Experiences	<p>“I avoided seeking help altogether. The fear of being dismissed or misunderstood kept me silent.” (Interview 3, PM)</p> <p>“The fear of judgment from both the straight community and my own makes it even harder.” (Interview 2, LN)</p> <p>“I was told to keep quiet and ‘not bring shame’ to my family.” (Interview 1, SR)</p> <p>“The fear of being outed adds to my internal struggle.” (Interview 2, RS)</p>
	Mistrust of Authorities	<p>“When I tried to talk to a therapist, they seemed uncomfortable discussing my sexuality.” (Interview 1, PK)</p> <p>“Many therapists seem unaware of the specific challenges we face.” (Interview 3, SR)</p> <p>“Many LGBTQIA+ individuals fear that their experiences won’t be taken seriously by the Police.” (Interview 1, RD)</p> <p>“I feared being judged, so I thought, ‘What’s the point?’” (Interview 2, DP)</p> <p>“I thought, ‘What’s the point?’ when it seemed like nobody</p>

		would understand my struggles.” (Interview 2, MR)
<p><b>Recommendations for Change</b></p>	<p>Training for Healthcare Providers</p>	<p>“If healthcare providers were trained to understand our community better, I think we’d feel safer.” (Interview 1, KP)</p> <p>“Training for law enforcement and healthcare providers is crucial.” (Interview 2, AK)</p> <p>“Comprehensive sex education should be inclusive of LGBTQIA+ issues.” (Interview 2, PR)</p>
	<p>Education and Awareness</p>	<p>“Schools and colleges should include comprehensive sex education.” (Interview 2, SN)</p> <p>“Many people still believe myths about sexual violence—that it only happens to certain groups. They need to know that it happens with everybody, with children, adults, animals, man, woman and probably even to inanimate objects.” (Interview 1, SR)</p> <p>“Workshops for parents and families can be beneficial. They need to understand the impact of their attitudes and actions.” (Interview 2, RS)</p>

**Discussion**

*Interpretation of the data based on Narrative Perspective*

A narrative analysis approach focuses on understanding how LGBTQIA+ survivors of sexual violence construct and convey their personal stories, emphasizing the themes of identity, meaning-making, and resilience. This perspective provides insight into how individuals interpret their experiences and use storytelling as a tool for coping, healing, and reclaiming agency. The narratives collected from LGBTQIA+ individuals reveal a profound struggle with identity in the aftermath of sexual violence. Quotes such as “I felt ashamed of being gay after my assault. I internalized the belief that I deserved what happened” (Interview 2, DP) and “I believed it was my fault for being a lesbian” (Interview 2, MR) highlight how survivors’ self-perceptions are shaped by both the trauma and the societal stigma attached



to their sexual orientation. These stories reflect an internalized narrative where victims grapple with guilt and shame, often viewing their identity as a source of their suffering.

Participants' narratives often include moments of doubt and questioning, as seen in, "My bisexuality feels invalidated all the times" (Interview 2, PR) and "I often feel like I'm in a constant struggle for acceptance, both internally and externally" (Interview 2, SN). These stories show how survivors' understanding of themselves is interwoven with external validation and internalized societal messages. This narrative of identity challenges demonstrates how LGBTQIA+ survivors often confront a dual trauma: the assault itself and the struggle to maintain a positive sense of self in a stigmatizing environment.

The theme of self-worth and isolation is prevalent in how participants recount their experiences. Narratives such as "I started to isolate myself from friends and family" (Interview 2, AK) and "I avoided seeking help altogether. The fear of being dismissed or misunderstood kept me silent" (Interview 3, SR) emphasize how survivors' stories often include a period of withdrawal and silence. This phase represents a defensive response to protect oneself from potential judgment and further trauma. The reluctance to disclose experiences, highlighted by "I feared being judged, so I thought, 'What's the point?'" (Interview 2, DP), suggests that narratives of isolation are rooted in the fear of invalidation and misunderstanding.

Survivors' stories frequently reflect a struggle with societal expectations and the anticipation of negative reactions. The quote "The fear of judgment from both the straight community and my own makes it even harder" (Interview 2, LN) illustrates the complex dynamics of seeking support and safety. This narrative speaks to the layered experiences of rejection that many LGBTQIA+ survivors face, where even safe spaces within their communities can feel precarious.

The data shows that survivors' narratives often shift from stories of isolation to accounts of seeking control through various coping mechanisms. Statements like "I started drinking heavily to cope, thinking it would help. But it just made everything worse" (Interview 1, SR) and "I developed an eating disorder as a way to control something in my life" (Interview 1, PK) reveal attempts to find agency amidst chaos. These coping strategies, while harmful, are narrated as acts of self-preservation and attempts to regain a sense of control over their lives.

Coping narratives also include initial efforts to engage with support systems, despite barriers. The quote "I felt like the counselor didn't really understand what I was going through" (Interview 1, KP) underscores stories of disappointment in support structures that are not equipped to handle LGBTQIA+ experiences. This phase in their narratives captures both the need for validation and the frustration of not finding it in formal systems.

A significant turning point in many survivors' narratives is the decision to share their stories and engage in acts of storytelling as a form of empowerment. The quotes "I'm trying to reclaim my narrative. I started a blog where I share my story and connect with others" (Interview 1, KP) and "Speak your truth when you're ready. Your story can inspire others" (Interview 3, MB) reflect how storytelling serves as a method for reframing trauma. This narrative shift signifies a movement from being a victim to being an active participant in one's recovery journey.

These acts of storytelling are not just personal; they become part of a collective story within the LGBTQIA+ community. Statements such as "Find your community; sharing your story can be healing" (Interview 3, DP) and "We could use social media to spread awareness" (Interview 1, RD) indicate that

sharing narratives has a dual purpose: fostering personal healing and contributing to a broader movement of solidarity and visibility.

As narratives progress, many survivors express themes of resilience and the search for meaning. This stage of storytelling reflects the reconstruction of identity and purpose following trauma. The statement “I started volunteering with organizations that support survivors. It’s empowering to turn my pain into something positive” (Interview 1, PK) illustrates how survivors use their experiences to foster resilience and support others. This is not just a coping strategy but a transformative process that integrates their trauma into a story of advocacy and strength.

The narrative “It’s okay to take your time in your healing journey. You deserve happiness and peace” (Interview 3, NG) represents a shift from narratives of victimhood to stories of acceptance and self-compassion. Survivors convey that the process of storytelling and community involvement helps reconstruct their sense of identity, showing that recovery is not a linear path but one filled with setbacks and breakthroughs.

Finally, the narrative analysis reveals a collective voice among survivors advocating for systemic and social change. Quotes like “Education is important. If healthcare providers were trained to understand our community better, I think we’d feel safer seeking help” (Interview 1, SR) and “Workshops for parents and families can be beneficial. They need to understand the impact of their attitudes and actions” (Interview 2, AK) show that personal stories often evolve into broader calls for advocacy and systemic reform.

This part of the narrative signifies the shift from individual healing to collective empowerment. Survivors become agents of change, using their stories to challenge societal norms and push for LGBTQIA+-competent services and inclusive education. The desire to “spread awareness” and participate in campaigns, as mentioned in “A campaign where survivors can anonymously share their stories could help” (Interview 1, KP), encapsulates the shared belief that storytelling can create a ripple effect leading to greater acceptance and support.

### ***Interpretation of the data based on Ethnographic Perspective***

Applying an ethnographic perspective to the data reveals the complex interplay between cultural norms, societal attitudes, and lived experiences of LGBTQIA+ individuals who have experienced sexual violence in India. This interpretation emphasizes the socio-cultural context that shapes the participants' experiences, highlighting how collective cultural beliefs, family expectations, and societal dynamics influence their psychological, physical, and social well-being.

One of the most compelling themes that emerge from the data is the pervasive cultural stigma that LGBTQIA+ individuals face, which significantly impacts their ability to process trauma and seek support. The quotes, “I was told to keep quiet and ‘not bring shame’ to my family” (Interview 1, PK) and “There’s a pervasive belief that being a lesbian is wrong” (Interview 2, DP), illustrate how traditional values and societal taboos frame LGBTQIA+ identities as deviant or shameful. This cultural lens creates an environment where survivors are pressured to remain silent to protect their families' reputations, reinforcing isolation and hindering recovery.

The ethnographic perspective shows that such stigma is not limited to external societal pressures but is deeply embedded within familial relationships. This leads to internalized shame and guilt, as seen in the data: “I felt ashamed of being gay after my assault” (Interview 2, AK). The fear of rejection by one’s family and the broader community often results in survivors concealing their identity and trauma, which compounds their mental health struggles. The data reveals that family dynamics, influenced by cultural

and religious beliefs, perpetuate silence and discourage seeking help, which ethnographically highlights the intersection of personal and communal honor in shaping responses to trauma.

The theme of internalized stigma and self-identity illustrates how LGBTQIA+ individuals are marginalized not just by broader society but also within their sub-communities. The quote “It made me question my place within the LGBTQIA+ community” (Interview 2, LN) suggests that even within spaces presumed to be safe, there can be layers of exclusion and judgment. This ethnographic insight reveals the heterogeneity within the LGBTQIA+ community and the existence of social hierarchies that may marginalize certain identities, such as bisexual or non-binary individuals.

This layered marginalization reinforces feelings of shame and self-doubt, as reflected in, “I’ve faced so much pressure to conform—family, friends, teachers, colleagues... nowhere... just nowhere I found acceptance. My identity feels like a burden at times” (Interview 3, DP). These narratives point to a societal fabric that intertwines LGBTQIA+ identities with negative connotations, leading individuals to internalize societal rejection and question their self-worth. The ethnographic perspective helps us understand that these identity struggles are not just personal but are deeply rooted in cultural and social structures that fail to validate diverse identities.

A critical aspect of the ethnographic interpretation involves examining how cultural and systemic factors affect access to healthcare. The theme of barriers to accessing healthcare support is illustrated through statements like, “I felt like the counselor didn’t really understand what I was going through” (Interview 1, KP) and “Many therapists seem unaware of the specific challenges we face” (Interview 3, SR). These quotes reveal that healthcare systems in India often reflect the same cultural biases that exist in society at large. Providers may lack LGBTQIA+-competent training, which perpetuates feelings of alienation and mistrust among survivors seeking help.

Further, the quotes “Many support services are unaffordable, and I worry that I’ll be forced to choose between getting help and meeting my basic needs” (Interview 2, LN) and “I attempted to join a support group, but it was located far from my home” (Interview 2, RS) emphasize how financial and geographic barriers compound the impact of socio-cultural exclusion. Ethnographically, this points to the broader issue of systemic inequities, where healthcare infrastructure and services fail to accommodate marginalized communities, reinforcing their socio-economic and cultural isolation.

The data reveals significant trust and safety concerns that are ethnographically tied to broader social attitudes towards LGBTQIA+ individuals. The reluctance to disclose experiences, as indicated by quotes like “The fear of judgment from both the straight community and my own makes it even harder” (Interview 2, LN), showcases a deep-seated fear of being further marginalized. This reluctance reflects the societal norms that stigmatize LGBTQIA+ identities and contribute to a pervasive sense of insecurity.

Furthermore, mistrust in authorities and healthcare providers is apparent in the quote, “When I tried to talk to a therapist, they seemed uncomfortable discussing my sexuality” (Interview 1, PK). This discomfort points to an ethnographic insight: mainstream institutions are not equipped to handle the unique challenges faced by LGBTQIA+ individuals, stemming from a lack of cultural competency and entrenched biases. The perception that “Many LGBTQIA+ individuals fear that their experiences won’t be taken seriously by the Police” (Interview 1, RD) underscores the systemic mistrust of law enforcement and judicial systems, rooted in historical and cultural discrimination.

Despite these challenges, the theme of community and social support presents an ethnographic paradox where, although societal structures often marginalize LGBTQIA+ individuals, sub-communities within

the LGBTQIA+ population provide spaces for healing and resilience. Quotes such as “Creating safe spaces where we can share our stories without judgment would encourage healing” (Interview 1, RD) and “Find your community; sharing your story can be healing” (Interview 3, DP) reveal the importance of collective support in overcoming trauma. This insight shows that while mainstream society may stigmatize these individuals, the LGBTQIA+ community can act as a counter-cultural force that fosters empowerment and solidarity.

Ethnographically, this highlights the dual nature of cultural belonging for LGBTQIA+ individuals in India—being marginalized by the larger society but finding a sense of identity and resilience within smaller, inclusive communities. The act of reclaiming narratives through storytelling, as seen in “I’m trying to reclaim my narrative. I started a blog where I share my story and connect with others” (Interview 1, KP), underscores the power of shared experiences in resisting cultural stigma and reshaping identity.

### ***Interpretation of the data based on Grounded Theory Perspective***

Applying a grounded theory approach to the data allows for the development of a conceptual framework that explains the processes and pathways LGBTQIA+ individuals navigate after experiencing sexual violence. This approach is process-oriented, enabling the identification of stages that these individuals move through in their psychological, emotional, and social journeys from trauma to potential recovery. Here, the data helps generate a multi-stage theory of trauma response and recovery that is specific to LGBTQIA+ survivors in India.

#### **• Stage 1: Initial Trauma Response**

The first stage, marked by acute psychological and physical reactions, is evident through the consistent reporting of depression, anxiety, PTSD, and somatic symptoms. The quotes “After my experience, I struggled with depression. It felt like I was invisible” (Interview 1, PK) and “I started having nightmares and flashbacks” (Interview 1, KP) illustrate the immediate impact of trauma. The physical responses, such as “My body would physically react—sweating, shaking—whenever I was in crowded places” (Interview 1, RD), indicate that trauma manifests not only in the body but also in the mind, reinforcing the interconnectedness of psycho-physical experiences.

This stage is characterized by overwhelming feelings of fear, vulnerability, and loss of safety. Individuals struggle with hyper-vigilance and anxiety, as shown in “I constantly feel on edge. It’s hard to relax or feel safe around people” (Interview 2, MR). Grounded in this initial response is the pervasive sense of being overwhelmed by the trauma and grappling with an altered sense of self.

#### **• Stage 2: Isolation and Internalized Stigma**

Following the initial response, many survivors enter a phase of isolation and internalized stigma. This stage is marked by the withdrawal from social connections and the struggle with self-worth. For example, “I started to isolate myself from friends and family” (Interview 2, AK) demonstrates how survivors begin to distance themselves from supportive relationships due to shame or fear of judgment. This isolation is compounded by self-blame and guilt, as seen in “I felt ashamed of being gay after my assault. I internalized the belief that I deserved what happened” (Interview 2, DP).

Internalized stigma becomes a defining feature of this stage, with survivors questioning their identity and feeling burdensome. The statement “My bisexuality feels invalidated all the times” (Interview 2, PR) highlights how survivors may struggle with accepting their identity post-trauma, reinforcing negative self-perceptions. The grounded theory perspective here reveals that this stage can deepen the emotional wounds as survivors face not only external stigma but also internal conflicts that perpetuate a

cycle of self-doubt and isolation.

- **Stage 3: Seeking Coping Mechanisms**

In response to isolation and self-stigma, survivors often turn to various coping mechanisms, both adaptive and maladaptive. This stage is characterized by efforts to regain control or numb the emotional pain. The quote “I started drinking heavily to cope, thinking it would help. But it just made everything worse” (Interview 1, SR) underscores the use of substance abuse as a means of temporary relief. Similarly, “I developed an eating disorder as a way to control something in my life” (Interview 1, PK) reflects how some survivors resort to controlling behaviors to manage overwhelming emotions.

However, this stage can also include the first steps toward positive coping, such as reaching out for community support or engaging in advocacy. Survivors may start recognizing the need for change and seek external support systems, although barriers remain significant. This movement highlights the turning point where individuals begin searching for ways to navigate their trauma, signaling the start of potential resilience-building.

- **Stage 4: Barriers to Access and Support**

A significant stage that emerges from the data is the barriers to accessing support. This phase emphasizes the systemic challenges faced by LGBTQIA+ survivors. Quotes like “I felt like the counselor didn’t really understand what I was going through” (Interview 1, KP) and “Many therapists seem unaware of the specific challenges we face” (Interview 3, SR) illustrate that healthcare providers often lack LGBTQIA+-competent training. This can deter survivors from seeking the support they need, reinforcing isolation and delaying recovery.

The data also reveals financial and geographic challenges as additional barriers: “Many support services are unaffordable, and I worry that I’ll be forced to choose between getting help and meeting my basic needs” (Interview 2, LN). These barriers hinder the shift from maladaptive coping strategies to healthier recovery pathways, extending the period of distress.

- **Stage 5: Initial Attempts at Reconnection**

Survivors who manage to overcome some barriers often enter a stage of initial attempts at reconnection with community or personal empowerment. This stage involves the realization that healing requires external support and personal agency. The data shows that individuals begin to explore storytelling and advocacy as ways to process trauma, as evidenced by “I started a blog where I share my story and connect with others” (Interview 1, KP). This stage involves reclaiming narrative power and using personal stories as a means of both self-expression and solidarity-building.

Reconnection also involves engaging in peer support or advocacy, such as “I started volunteering with organizations that support survivors. It’s empowering to turn my pain into something positive” (Interview 1, PK). This marks a shift from isolation to seeking meaningful ways to contribute and find purpose, which is crucial for long-term recovery.

- **Stage 6: Building Resilience and Advocacy**

The final stage that emerges is building resilience and engaging in advocacy. Survivors who reach this stage use their experiences to foster healing for themselves and others. Quotes like “Creating safe spaces where we can share our stories without judgment would encourage healing” (Interview 1, RD) highlight the importance of safe, inclusive spaces. This stage is where survivors reclaim their narratives and transform their pain into collective empowerment.

The grounded theory suggests that this stage involves developing a newfound sense of purpose, resilience, and strength. Survivors may advocate for policy changes, create support networks, or educate

others, signaling a full-circle journey from trauma to transformation. The emphasis on “Workshops for parents and families can be beneficial. They need to understand the impact of their attitudes and actions” (Interview 2, AK) reflects survivors’ aspirations for systemic changes that prevent others from experiencing similar trauma.

### **Conclusion**

From the above discussion it can be concluded from the narrative analysis that it reveals LGBTQIA+ survivors of sexual violence use storytelling not only to make sense of their experiences but also as a transformative tool for recovery and advocacy. The stories start with trauma and isolation, progress through coping and attempts at reconnection, and often culminate in resilience and community engagement. This progression shows how survivors reframe their identities and stories, moving from being defined by trauma to reclaiming power and contributing to collective change. The narratives underscore the importance of shared storytelling in healing, fostering resilience, and advocating for systemic improvements to support LGBTQIA+ individuals in their journey toward recovery.

From an ethnographic perspective, that the data reveals that the experiences of LGBTQIA+ survivors of sexual violence in India are profoundly influenced by the socio-cultural context. Cultural stigma, family dynamics, systemic barriers in healthcare, and societal attitudes shape how these individuals experience and process trauma. While the mainstream society perpetuates silence and marginalization, there are sub-communities and support networks within the LGBTQIA+ population that provide spaces for healing and resilience. This interpretation underscores the need for culturally informed policies, inclusive healthcare practices, and community-driven support systems that acknowledge and address the unique challenges faced by LGBTQIA+ individuals in the Indian context.

The grounded theory interpretation highlights a multi-stage process through which LGBTQIA+ individuals move from initial trauma response to potential recovery and resilience. This model captures the progression from acute psychological distress and isolation to active coping, overcoming barriers, and ultimately finding empowerment through reconnection and advocacy. Each stage is influenced by cultural, social, and systemic factors, underscoring the need for comprehensive, trauma-informed, and LGBTQIA+-competent support services that acknowledge these nuanced experiences. This framework can guide both practitioners and policymakers in designing better interventions to support the recovery journey of LGBTQIA+ survivors of sexual violence.

### **Limitations of the Study**

The study involves a relatively small sample of 21 LGBTQIA+ individuals, which may limit the generalizability of the findings. The sample may disproportionately represent individuals who are more willing to share their experiences, potentially excluding those who are more marginalized or hesitant to participate due to fear or stigma. The use of *purposive* and *snowball sampling* techniques could introduce bias, as participants may have been drawn from similar social circles or networks. The study relies heavily on participants’ self-reported experiences, which may be subject to recall bias. The interpretation of the data is deeply rooted in the socio-cultural context of India, hence the findings may not be applicable to LGBTQIA+ individuals in other countries with different cultural norms and legal frameworks. The LGBTQIA+ community encompasses a wide range of identities, and certain sub-groups are underrepresented in the sample. This limits the study’s ability to draw comprehensive conclusions about the entire LGBTQIA+ spectrum. Differences in experiences based on intersecting

factors like caste, religion, and economic status might not be fully captured or explored, which can impact the inclusivity of the findings. The qualitative nature of the study requires interpretation by the researcher, which may have introduced subjective bias. While efforts are made to mitigate bias through member checking and peer debriefing, complete objectivity is challenging in qualitative research, especially in highly sensitive and complex areas such as trauma and identity. Verifying the accuracy of participants' accounts is inherently difficult in studies focused on personal experiences and trauma, and hence corroboration from external sources or records was not feasible.

### **Implications of the Study**

: The study highlights the pressing need for healthcare professionals to receive training that includes LGBTQIA+-specific issues and trauma-informed care. Policymakers and healthcare institutions should prioritize incorporating LGBTQIA+ competency in medical education and ongoing training programs. The data suggest that survivors face unique psycho-emotional challenges, such as internalized stigma and identity-related struggles. Mental health interventions should be tailored to address these specific aspects, integrating approaches like narrative therapy and cognitive-behavioral strategies adapted for LGBTQIA+ trauma. The importance of community and peer support was consistently highlighted. Mental health services can incorporate group therapy models and community-based programs that foster a sense of belonging and solidarity, empowering survivors to share their stories in a non-judgmental space. The study underscores the need for stronger anti-discrimination laws in employment, healthcare, and social services to protect LGBTQIA+ individuals. Findings related to financial and geographic barriers indicate the necessity for government and non-profit organizations to create more accessible and affordable support services tailored to LGBTQIA+ survivors. This could include subsidized counseling, telehealth services, and community outreach programs in both urban and rural areas. Incorporating LGBTQIA+ issues and trauma-related content into school and university programs can help reduce stigma and promote understanding. The study's findings point to a critical need for comprehensive sex education that includes discussions on consent, respect, and LGBTQIA+ awareness, preparing younger generations to be more empathetic and supportive. Educators and school administrators should be trained to recognize and address the specific needs of LGBTQIA+ students, particularly those who may be survivors of sexual violence. This can create a more supportive environment that encourages students to seek help without fear of discrimination. The study implies the need for public health and awareness campaigns that address the stigma associated with LGBTQIA+ identities and sexual violence. These campaigns should aim to destigmatize seeking help and highlight the availability of LGBTQIA+-competent support services.

### **Recommendations for Future Research**

The cross-sectional nature of this study points to the need for longitudinal research to track how survivors' coping mechanisms and recovery journeys evolve over time. This can provide more detailed insights into the long-term impact of sexual violence on LGBTQIA+ individuals. Future studies should aim for larger and more diverse samples to capture the varied experiences across different sub-groups within the LGBTQIA+ community. This would enhance the understanding of intersectional factors, such as socioeconomic status, caste, and rural versus urban living, on trauma and recovery.

## References

1. Achar, M., & Gopal, B. (2023). Coming out of the desi closet: disclosure of same-sex sexuality in metropolitan-India. *Journal of LGBT Youth*, 20(1), 160-178.
2. Agarwal, A., & Thiyam, A. (2023). Healthcare, culture & curriculum: addressing the need for LGBT+ inclusive medical education in India. *The Lancet Regional Health-Southeast Asia*, 8.
3. Agrawal, M. (2021). Need for Sex Education as a Right in India. *Jus Corpus LJ*, 2, 402.
4. American Psychological Association. (2021). *APA Dictionary of Psychology*
5. Arora, L., Bhujang, P. M., & Sivakami, M. (2022). Understanding discrimination against LGBTQIA+ patients in Indian hospitals using a human rights perspective: an exploratory qualitative study. *Sexual and Reproductive Health Matters*, 29(2), 2104678.
6. Badgett, M. V. (2014). The economic cost of stigma and the exclusion of LGBT people: A case study of India.
7. Bagwell-Gray, Meredith E., Jill Theresa Messing, and Adrienne Baldwin-White. "Intimate partner sexual violence: A review of terms, definitions, and prevalence." *Trauma, Violence, & Abuse* 16.3 (2015): 316-335.
8. Banerjea, N. (2023). Homopopulism: A new layer of LGBTQ politics in India. *Sexualities*, 26(1-2), 12-36.
9. Balpande, G. (2021). A Case for Adoption Rights for LGBTQ Community in India. *Issue 6 Int'l JL Mgmt. & Human.*, 4, 1162.
10. Biswas, A. (2023). A Cross-sectional Survey on the Quality of Sex Education in India. *JOURNAL OF SOCIAL WORK & SOCIAL DEVELOPMENT*.
11. Bonvicini, K. A. (2017). LGBT healthcare disparities: What progress have we made?. *Patient education and counseling*, 100(12), 2357-2361.
12. Borumandnia, N., Khadembashi, N., Tabatabaei, M., & Alavi Majd, H. (2020). The prevalence rate of sexual violence worldwide: a trend analysis. *BMC public health*, 20, 1-7.
13. Boyce, B. (2015). Sexuality and gender identity under the Constitution of India. *J. Gender Race & Just.*, 18, 1.
14. Brotto, A., Knudson, G., Inskip, J., Rhodes, K., & Erskine, Y. (2010). Asexuality: A mixed-methods approach. *Archives of Sexual Behavior*, 39(3), 599-618. doi:10.1007/s10508-008-9434-x
15. Brown, T. N., & Herman, J. (2015). *Intimate partner violence and sexual abuse among LGBT people*. eScholarship, University of California.
16. Chakrapani, V., Kavi, A. R., Ramakrishnan, L. R., Gupta, R., Rappoport, C., & Raghavan, S. S. (2002). HIV prevention among men who have sex with men (MSM) in India: Review of current scenario and recommendations. Background paper prepared for SAATHII (Solidarity and Action Against the HIV Infection In India).
17. Charan, A. (2021). Transgender Persons (Protection of Rights) Act, 2019: An Analysis. *Jus Corpus LJ*, 2, 706.
18. Dartnall, E., & Jewkes, R. (2013). Sexual violence against women: the scope of the problem. *Best practice & research Clinical obstetrics & gynaecology*, 27(1), 3-13.
19. Debnath, K. (2017). LGBT identity: the illustration of "othering" in India. *Sexuality, Gender & Policy*, 1(1), 89-100.



20. Dhall, P., & Boyce, P. (2015). Livelihood, exclusion and opportunity: socioeconomic welfare among gender and sexuality non-normative people in India.(Evidence Report No. 106: Sexuality, Poverty and Law).
21. Fineran, S. (2002). Sexual harassment between same-sex peers: Intersection of mental health, homophobia, and sexual violence in schools. *Social work*, 47(1), 65-74.
22. Forde, C., & Duvvury, N. (2017). Sexual violence, masculinity, and the journey of recovery. *Psychology of Men & Masculinity*, 18(4), 301.
23. Gupta, R., & Murarka, A. (2009). Treating transsexuals in India: History, prerequisites for surgery and legal issues. *Indian Journal of Plastic Surgery*, 42(02), 226-233.
24. Hughes, I. A., Houk, C., Ahmed, S. F., Lee, P. A., & Lawson Wilkins Pediatric Endocrine Society/European Society for Paediatric Endocrinology Consensus Group. (2006). Consensus statement on management of intersex disorders. *Journal of pediatric urology*, 2(3), 148-162. doi:10.1016/j.jpuro.2006.03.004
25. Johnson, C. F. (2004). Child sexual abuse. *The lancet*, 364(9432), 462-470.
26. Kishor, A. (2021). Validity of Same-Sex Marriage in India: Past & Future. *Indian JL & Legal Rsch.*, 3, 1.
27. Kar, A., & Anirudh, K. A. (2018). Legal recognition and societal reaction on sexual minorities: reflections on moral policing and mental health of LGBT community in India. *Research in Social Change*, 4.
28. Kiss, L., Quinlan-Davidson, M., Pasquero, L., Tejero, P. O., Hogg, C., Theis, J., ... & Hossain, M. (2020). Male and LGBT survivors of sexual violence in conflict situations: a realist review of health interventions in low-and middle-income countries. *Conflict and health*, 14(1), 11.
29. Klein, E. (2017). Using social support for LGBTQ clients with mental illness to be out of the closet, in treatment, and in the community. *Journal of Gay & Lesbian Social Services*, 29(3), 221-232.
30. Kumar, V., Atre, S., Jain, R., Bhanushali, N., Singh, S., & Chaudhari, S. (2021). Include-integrate-involve: Deciphering oral healthcare providers' professional demeanor towards sexual and gender minority cohorts in a metropolitan city of western India. *Journal of Oral Biology and Craniofacial Research*, 11(2), 149-157.
31. Leatherman, J. (2011). Sexual violence and armed conflict. *Polity*.
32. Lehner, E. A. (2017). Rape process templates: a hidden cause of the underreporting of rape. *Yale JL & Feminism*, 29, 207.
33. Lyndon, A. E., White, J. W., & Kadlec, K. M. (2007). Manipulation and force as sexual coercion tactics: Conceptual and empirical differences. *Aggressive Behavior: Official Journal of the International Society for Research on Aggression*, 33(4), 291-303.
34. Majumder, S., & Kar, A. (2022). Primary healthcare for the Indian LGBTQ community—A call for inclusion. *Journal of Gay & Lesbian Social Services*, 34(4), 424-442.
35. Mallory, C., Hasenbush, A., & Sears, B. (2015). Discrimination and harassment by law enforcement officers in the LGBT community.
36. Mandhan, S., & Sharma, P. (2023). Social Exclusion of Rights of LGBTQIA: The Invisible Conflict. Issue 1 *Indian JL & Legal Rsch.*, 5, 1.
37. Martinez, T. S., & Tang, C. (2023, November). Gender Identity and Sexual Orientation Use in and Impact on LGBTQIA+ Healthcare. In *International Conference on Pervasive Computing Technologies for Healthcare* (pp. 402-419). Cham: Springer Nature Switzerland.

38. Muehlenhard, C. L., Goggins, M. F., Jones, J. M., & Satterfield, A. T. (2014). Sexual violence and coercion in close relationships. In *Sexuality in close relationships* (pp. 155-175). Psychology Press.
39. Mukhopadhyay, I. (2022). Surrogacy Rights of LGBTQ. *Jus Corpus LJ*, 3, 960.
40. Palermo, T., & Peterman, A. (2011). Undercounting, overcounting and the longevity of flawed estimates: statistics on sexual violence in conflict. *Bulletin of the World Health Organization*, 89, 924-925.
41. Pope C, Ziebland S, Mays N. Qualitative research in health care: analysing qualitative data. *BMJ*. (2000) 320:114–6. doi: 10.1136/bmj.320.7227.114
42. Rajueni, K., Royal, A., Pawar, S., Kumar, A., & Kumar, V. (2022). Practices for accessing hormone therapy in male to female transgenders in Maharashtra, India. *Clinical Epidemiology and Global Health*, 15, 101071.
43. Redman, L. F. (2010). Outing the invisible poor: Why economic justice and access to health care is an LGBT issue. *Geo. J. on Poverty L. & Pol'y*, 17, 451.
44. Russell, Wynne. "Sexual violence against men and boys." *Forced Migration Review* 27 (2007): 22-23.
45. Sahu, M. K. (2016). National legal services authority v. Union of India & ors.(AIR 2014 SC 1863): a ray of hope for the LGBT community. *BRICS LJ*, 3, 164.
46. Sharda, S., & Marisport, A. (2024). Homelessness, poverty and Transgender persons: qualitative evidence from the Garima Greh of Rajasthan under the SMILE Scheme, India. *Krytyka Prawa*, 162.
47. Sharma, S., & Sharma, B. (2022). Gender affirmative care clinic: an overview. *Indian Journal of Plastic Surgery*, 55(02), 144-148.
48. Sinha, A., & Badani, Y. (2024). Pride and Prejudice: Exploring the Experiences of Discrimination, Psychological Distress and Resilience Amongst the Individuals of LGBTQ Community in India. *Authorea Preprints*.
49. Steele, L. S., Daley, A., Curling, D., Gibson, M. F., Green, D. C., Williams, C. C., & Ross, L. E. (2017). LGBT identity, untreated depression, and unmet need for mental health services by sexual minority women and trans-identified people. *Journal of Women's Health*, 26(2), 116-127.
50. Stotzer, R. L. (2009). Violence against transgender people: A review of United States data. *Aggression and Violent Behavior*, 14(3), 170-179.
51. Sumner, S. A., Mercy, J. A., Buluma, R., Mwangi, M. W., Marcelin, L. H., Lea, V., ... & Hillis, S. D. (2016). Childhood sexual violence against boys: a study in 3 countries. *Pediatrics*, 137(5).
52. Tillewein, H., Shokeen, N., Powers, P., Rijo Sánchez, A. J., Sandles-Palmer, S., & Desjarlais, K. (2023). Silencing the rainbow: Prevalence of LGBTQ+ students who do not report sexual violence. *International journal of environmental research and public health*, 20(3), 2020.
53. Tummala-Narra, P., Houston-Kolnik, J., Sathasivam-Rueckert, N., & Greeson, M. (2017). An examination of attitudes toward gender and sexual violence among Asian Indians in the United States. *Asian American Journal of Psychology*, 8(2), 156.
54. Ukrani, A. UNDERSTANDING HATE CRIMES AGAINST THE LGBT: A COMPARATIVE STUDY OF INDIA, UK and USA. *Research at DME 2023*, 88.
55. Valente, S., & Wight, C. (2007). Military sexual trauma: Violence and sexual abuse. *Military medicine*, 172(3), 259-265.
56. Virupaksha, H. G., Muralidhar, D., & Ramakrishna, J. (2016). Suicide and suicidal behavior among transgender persons. *Indian journal of psychological medicine*, 38(6), 505-509.

57. Wandrekar, J. R., & Nigudkar, A. S. (2020). What do we know about LGBTQIA+ mental health in India? A review of research from 2009 to 2019. *Journal of Psychosexual health*, 2(1), 26-36.
58. Wandrekar, J. R., & Nigudkar, A. S. (2020). What do we know about LGBTQIA+ mental health in India? A review of research from 2009 to 2019. *Journal of Psychosexual health*, 2(1), 26-36.
59. Y., Hariharan. (2023). Anti-Discrimination Laws in India: A Need for Reform. *Issue 1 Indian JL & Legal Rsch.*, 5, 1.