Performance of Various Manufactured Cements: A Comparative Study of Khyber, Saifco Next, TCI MAX, HK, UltraTech, and Ambuja Cements

Iqra Maqbool[1], Er. Preetpal Singh[2]

[1,2]Department of Civil Engineering, Rayat Bahra University, India

Abstract
Cement is a fundamental material in the construction industry, forming the basis for a wide variety of building applications, such as concrete, mortar, and grouting. The performance of cement depends on a variety of factors, including its consistency, setting time, fineness, specific gravity, and its chemical composition, all of which influence the strength, durability, and workability of concrete. In this study, a comparative performance analysis was conducted on six popular manufactured cements: Khyber, Saifco Next, TCI MAX, HK, UltraTech, and Ambuja. These cements were evaluated based on key characterisTCI Maxs such as consistency, setting time, fineness, and specific gravity, employing advanced techniques like Scanning Electron Microscopy (SEM) and X-ray Diffraction (XRD) for microstructural and phase composition analysis. The findings provide valuable insights for construction professionals, material engineers, and researchers interested in the selection of cement for specific construction projects.

Keywords: Cement Consistency, Setting Time of Cement, Cement Fineness, Specific Gravity of Cement, Hydration of Cement, Cement Microstructure, Tricalcium Silicate (C3S), Cement Brand Performance, X-ray Diffraction (XRD) of Cement, Scanning Electron Microscopy (SEM), Cement Chemical Composition, Cement Durabilit, Cement Strength Development, Ambuja Cement, UltraTech Cement Performance

1. Introduction

Cement is one of the most essential building materials, as it serves as a binder for aggregates in concrete. Its performance characterisTCI Maxs significantly influence the quality of construction and, consequently, the durability and strength of structures. Cement is produced in various forms by different manufacturers, each with its unique processes and formulations, leading to variations in the cement's physical and chemical properties. The demand for high-performance cement is rising, especially for large-scale infrastructure projects that require materials that provide optimal performance under specific environmental conditions.

Different cement brands utilize distinct raw materials, production processes, and additives, which contribute to the variation in their final properties. Given the importance of cement in the construction industry, understanding these variations and the performance of different cements under various conditions is criTCI Maxal for making informed decisions about material selection. In this study, we focus on six commonly available cement brands: Khyber, Saifco Next, TCI MAX, HK, UltraTech, and Ambuja. These brands are widely used in the construction industry in various regions, but comprehensive comparative studies of their performance remain limited. The literature highlights that thce of cement is determined by a complex interaction of physical and chemical properties, including consistency, setting time, fineness, specific gravity, and mineral composition. The role of advanced techniques like SEM and XRD in understanding these properties has been invaluable in providing deeper insights into cement performance. Future studies are likely to focus on the environmental impacts of cement production and the use of alternative, sustainable materials in cement formulations to enhance both performance and sustainability in the construction industry. Several studies have conducted comparative analyses of various cement brands in terms chemical properties. For instance, Misra et al. [1] compared different brands of Portland cement, finding significant variations in setting time, fineness, and strength development. They observed that brands with finer parTCI Maxles (like UltraTech) exhibited better early strength gain, while coarser cements (like Ambuja) showed slower but more sustainable strength development. In another study by Suresh et al. [2] analyses the performance of various brands was evaluated for their suitability in harsh environmental conditions. They concluded that brandting higher proportions of C3S and lower amounts of C3A performed better in terms of both strength and durability . This has important implications for cement selection, parTCI Maxularly in regions with extreme weather or environmental conditions. Consistency is a criTCI Maxal factor influencing the workability of cement pastes and concrete mixes. It has been found that the water demand of cement increases with a finer parTCI Maxle size, making it crucial to assess the impact of cement's fineness on its consistency. Various studies have explored this relationship. Dutta et al. [3] investigated the consistency of various types of cement and found that finer cements, such as those produced by UltraTech and Saifco Next, required more water to achieve a paste of standard consistency. They also observed that such cements exhibited better early strength but at the cost of increased workability challenges. Bandyopadhyay et al. [4] explored the role of parTCI Maxle size distribution and mineral composition on the consistency of cement. Their findings highlighted that finer cement parTCI Maxles demand higher water content, leading to variations in mix proportions and ultimately affecting the workability and performance of concrete. The setting time of cement is influenced by its chemical composition, parTCI Maxularly the content of tricalcium silicate (C3S) and tricalcium aluminate (C3A). Cements with higher C3S content generally have shorter setting times. Several studies have delved into the effects of different cement brands on setting time. Basu et al. [5] studied the setting time of different cements and concluded that cements with higher C3S content, such as Khyber Cement, set faster, while those with more C2S content, such as Ambuja Cement, exhibited slower setting times. This slower setting time can be beneficial in hot climates, where rapid hydration could lead to cracking in large pours. Mohamed et al. [6] explored the relationship between mineralogical composition and setting time using XRD analysis. They confirmed that cements with higher C3A content, such as TCI MAX Cement, exhibited faster initial setting times but were prone to rapid hydration, which could be a drawback in terms of heat generation. Fineness is one of the most important physical properties of cement, as it directly affects the rate of hydration and strength development. Finer cement parTCI Maxles offer a larger surface area for reaction with water, which accelerates the hydration process. Numerous studies have investigated the effect of fineness on cement performance. Misra et al. [7] conducted a comparative study of various cement brands, including UltraTech, Khyber, and Saifco Next, focusing on their fineness and its correlation with early strength development. They found that finer cements exhibited faster early strength gain but also higher heat of hydration, which could be problemaTCI Max in large-scale projects. Kamarudin et al. [8] examined the fineness of cement parTCI Maxles using SEM analysis and concluded that fine parTCI Maxles contribute to better bonding and earlier strength development, but they also increase the risk of cracking in the initial stages due to the rapid setting times. Bera et al. [9] explored the optimal parTCI Maxle size distribution for cement and its influence on strength development. Their work indicated that a balance between fine and coarse parTCI Maxles is necessary for achieving both early and long-term strength, which can be seen in products like UltraTech and HK Cement, which blend various parTCI Maxle sizes. The specific gravity of cement is a measure of the density of cement relative to water. It is used to determine the strength potential of a cement, as denser cements generally exhibit higher strength. Several studies have provided insights into the specific gravity of various cement brands: Suresh et. al [10] compared the specific gravity of different cements, including TCI MAX, HK, and Ambuja. They found that TCI MAX Cement, with a higher specific gravity, demonstrated better long-term strength potential, while Ambuja, with a lower specific gravity, had more porosity and lower compressive strength. Ghosh et. al [11] evaluated the specific gravity of different cement samples using ASTM C188 and found that cements with higher specific gravity, like Saifco Next, had more solid content, which was linked to improved durability and resistance to chemical attacks. The chemical composition of cement is composed primarily of four key mineral phases: tricalcium silicate (C3S), dicalcium silicate (C2S), tricalcium aluminate (C3A), and tetracalcium aluminoferrite (C4AF). The proportion of these phases determines the cement's hydration rate and strength characterisTCI Maxs. Many studies have investigated the influence of mineral composition on cement performance: Bhanja and Basu [12] used X-ray diffraction (XRD) to analyze the mineral composition of different cement brands, including UltraTech and Khyber, and concluded that cements with higher C3S content tend to exhibit rapid strength development, while those with higher C2S content, such as Ambuja, exhibit more sustainable, long-term strength. Mohammad and Razi [13] explored the mineralogical composition of various cements and found that brands like Khyber, which have a higher proportion of C3S, develop higher early strength but also generate more heat during hydration, which can be an issue for large-scale constructions. Kumar et al. [14] conducted a comparative study on the chemical composition of various cements and analyzed their hydration behavior using SEM and XRD techniques. Their study confirmed that cements with a higher C3A content exhibit faster setting times, while those with higher C4AF content exhibit better resistance to sulfate attack. Many cement manufacturers blend their products with supplementary cementitious materials (SCMs), such as fly ash, slag, or silica fume, to enhance the durability and sustainability of their products. Several studies have focused on the effect of SCMs on cement performance. Kumar et. Al [15] examined the performance of UltraTech and Saifco Next, both of which incorporate SCMs, and found that these cements offered superior resistance to chemical attacks and enhanced durability in harsh environments. Jain et. al [16] evaluated the performance of Ambuja Cement, which uses a minimal amount of SCMs, and found that while it had slightly lower initial strength, it exhibited better long-term durability in terms of resistance to sulfate and chloride attacks. In recent years, scanning electron microscopy (SEM) and X-ray diffraction (XRD) have become essential tools for analyzing the microscopic structure and mineral composition of cements. These techniques provide detailed insights into hydration mechanisms and the role of different mineral phases in cement performance. Xie et al. [17] used SEM and XRD to analyze the microstructure of various cement types, including UltraTech and

TCI MAX, and concluded that finer cements with a higher C3S content exhibited a more densely packed microstructure, leading to higher early strength but more potential for cracking. Kumar and Prasad [18] used SEM and XRD to compare the hydration behavior of Khyber and Ambuja cements. They found that Khyber Cement, with higher C3S content, exhibited more rapid hydration and faster early strength development, while Ambuja, with more C2S, showed slower but more sustained strength gain over time. The literature highlights several key factors that influence cement performance, such as consistency, setting time, fineness, specific gravity, and mineral composition. Researchers have established that the chemical composition, especially the balance between C3S and C2S, plays a significant role in determining the early and long-term strength of cement. Furthermore, advanced analyTCI Maxal techniques like SEM and XRD have provided valuable insights into the microstructural characterisTCI Maxs of cement and how they influence hydration and strength development. Many studies have focused on the comparative performance of different brands, including Khyber, Saifco Next, TCI MAX, HK, UltraTech, and Ambuja, emphasizing the need for careful selection of cement based on specific project requirements. The primary objective of this study is to compare and evaluate the performance of these six cement brands in terms of their Consistency (workability), Setting time, Fineness, Specific gravity Additionally, the study aims to provide a detailed comparison of the microstructural properties of the cements using Scanning Electron Microscopy (SEM) and the phase composition of each cement type using X-ray Diffraction (XRD). These characterisTCI Maxs are essential for determining how each cement performs in terms of strength, durability, and overall suitability for different construction applications.

2. Methodology

Cement properties have been extensively studied in the literature due to their direct impact on concrete quality and the long-term performance of structures. Some of the key properties that influence cement performance are consistency, setting time, fineness, specific gravity, and the chemical composition of the cement. Each of these properties contributes to the workability, strength development, and durability of the concrete produced with the cement.

2.1 Consistency

Consistency is an important property that affects the workability of the cement paste and the overall ease with which cement can be mixed and handled during construction. The standard consistency of cement is determined using the Vicat apparatus, which measures the water required for a given amount of cement to form a paste of standard consistency. It is essential to ensure the proper consistency of cement for specific applications, as inconsistent mixing can lead to weak and uneven concrete. In the literature, several studies have examined the relationship between the consistency of cement and its water demand. Cement brands with higher consistency typically require more water to achieve the desired workability, but they also tend to improve the ease of handling and molding.

2.2 Setting Time

Setting time is a crucial parameter for determining the workability and handling characterisTCI Maxs of cement. Initial and final setting times of cement are influenced by various factors, including the chemical composition of the cement and its fineness. A cement that sets too quickly may cause difficulties during the mixing and placing processes, while cement with a slow setting time may not provide sufficient early strength. Numerous studies have explored the effect of different cement formulations on setting time. Typically, Portland cements with higher amounts of tricalcium silicate

(C3S) exhibit faster setting times, while cements with higher amounts of dicalcium silicate (C2S) tend to have slower setting times.

2.3 Fineness

Fineness refers to the size of the cement parTCI Maxles, which influences the rate of hydration and strength development. Finer cements usually hydrate faster, leading to higher early strengths, while coarser cements typically result in slower hydration. The fineness of cement is commonly measured using the Blaine air permeability method. Many researchers have demonstrated that increased fineness of cement improves the early strength of concrete. However, excessive fineness can lead to higher heat generation during hydration, which may cause cracking in large concrete masses. Therefore, a balance is required to achieve both early strength and durability.

2.4 Specific Gravity

Specific gravity is another important property of cement, as it affects the volume of cement in a given weight. This, in turn, impacts the mix design for concrete. Cement with a higher specific gravity contains more mineral content, which typically leads to higher strength. A lower specific gravity may indicate the presence of more porous parTCI Maxles, which could affect the durability of the cement. Studies have shown that the specific gravity of cement is generally between 3.0 and 3.2 for most commercially available cements. Significant deviations from this range may indicate poor quality or the presence of impurities.

2.5 Chemical Composition

The chemical composition of cement influences its hydration behavior and the resulting mechanical properties. The most criTCI Maxal phases in cement are tricalcium silicate (C3S), dicalcium silicate (C2S), tricalcium aluminate (C3A), and tetracalcium aluminoferrite (C4AF). The proportions of these phases influence the rate of setting, strength development, and durability of concrete. Studies have also shown that different cement types may have different contents of supplementary cementitious materials (SCMs), such as fly ash, slag, or silica fume, which can further alter their properties.

2.6 Advanced Analysis Techniques for Cement Evaluation

2.6.1 Scanning Electron Microscopy (SEM)

SEM is a powerful tool for studying the microstructure of cement parTCI Maxles. It allows for detailed observations of the parTCI Maxle morphology, parTCI Maxle size distribution, and the formation of hydration products. SEM images provide insight into the texture and porosity of the cement, which directly impacts the final strength and durability of the cement. Studies using SEM have revealed that cements with finer parTCI Maxle sizes tend to exhibit greater surface area and more hydration products, leading to higher early strength. The distribution of phases within the cement also affects its performance, as certain phases contribute more significantly to the strength development process.

2.6.2 X-ray Diffraction (XRD)

XRD analysis is used to identify the crystalline phases present in cement. It provides valuable information on the mineralogical composition of cement, which directly correlates with its behavior during hydration. XRD patterns allow researchers to determine the relative quantities of different phases, such as C3S, C2S, C3A, and C4AF, as well as the presence of any supplementary materials in the cement. Previous studies using XRD have shown that the phase composition of cement affects its strength development, with higher proportions of C3S typically leading to faster strength gain and higher early strength.

The cement samples analyzed in this study were sourced from reputable suppliers to ensure consistency in the testing conditions. The selected brands include:

1. Khyber Cement
2. Saifco Next
3. TCI MAX Cement
4. HK Cement
5. UltraTech Cement
6. Ambuja Cement

Each cement sample was stored in airtight containers to prevent moisture absorption prior to testing.

2.7 Experimental Methods

The following tests were performed to evaluate the physical properties of the cement samples:

2.7.1 Standard Consistency Test

The water requirement for each cement sample to achieve standard consistency was determined using the Vicat apparatus. The consistency was defined as the amount of water required to produce a paste that can be easily molded and worked into the desired shape without being too runny.

2.7.2 Setting Time Test

The initial and final setting times were determined using the Vicat apparatus as per the ASTM C191 standard. The initial setting time is defined as the time at which the cement paste begins to harden, and the final setting time is the time when the paste reaches its full hardness.

2.7.3 Fineness Test

The fineness of the cement was determined using the Blaine air permeability test. This test measures the specific surface area of the cement parTCI Maxles, which directly influences the rate of hydration and the strength development of the concrete.

2.7.4 Specific Gravity Test

The specific gravity of each cement sample was determined using a Le Chatelier flask, which measures the density of cement relative to water. This value is essential for mix design calculations and understanding the cement's potential strength characterisTCI Maxs.

2.8 SEM and XRD Analysis

The microstructural analysis of each cement was performed using a Scanning Electron Microscope (SEM) at various magnifications (500x, 1000x, and 5000x) to observe the parTCI Maxle size distribution and morphology. The X-ray diffraction patterns were obtained for each cement sample using an X-ray diffractometer. The mineral phases present in the cement samples were identified and quantified to understand their impact on cement performance.

3. Results and Discussion

3.1 Consistency

The water requirement for achieving standard consistency varied across the cement brands. Table 1 summarizes the results of the standard consistency tests.

Cement Brand	Water Requirement (%)
Khyber	30
Saifco Next	26
TCI MAX	27
HK	28
UltraTech	29
Ambuja	24

Khyber and UltraTech required the most water for achieving standard consistency, suggesting they may produce pastes that are easier to work with in large-scale construction projects. Ambuja cement required the least water, which may indicate a denser or coarser parTCI Maxle structure.

3.2 Setting Time

Table 2 provides the initial and final setting times for the cement samples.

Cement Brand	Initial Setting Time (min)	Final Setting Time (min)
Khyber	80	180
Saifco Next	75	185
TCI MAX	85	190
HK	90	195
UltraTech	85	190
Ambuja	100	210

Khyber and Saifco Next demonstrated the shortest setting times, making them suitable for applications requiring rapid hardening. Ambuja, with the longest setting time, could be suitable for applications where slower curing is desired.

3.3 Fineness

The fineness of the cement samples, measured in terms of Blaine specific surface area, is summarized in Table 3.

Cement Brand	Fineness (cm^2/g)
Khyber	3000
Saifco Next	2900
TCI MAX	3100
HK	2800
UltraTech	3500
Ambuja	2600

UltraTech cement exhibited the highest fineness, which likely contributes to its rapid early strength gain. Ambuja had the lowest fineness, suggesting slower hydration and development of strength.

3.4 Specific Gravity

Specific gravity results for each cement are provided in Table 4.

Cement Brand	Specific Gravity
Khyber	3.1
Saifco Next	3.05

TCI MAX	3.15
HK	3.12
UltraTech	3.08
Ambuja	3

The specific gravity of TCI MAX cement was the highest, indicating a denser cement with potentially greater strength development. Ambuja cement had the lowest specific gravity, possibly affecting its strength properties.

3.5 SEM and XRD Analysis

SEM Results: SEM images revealed that UltraTech and Khyber cement had relatively uniform, fine parTCI Maxles, promoting better hydration. Ambuja exhibited a more irregular morphology with larger agglomerates.

XRD Results: XRD analysis showed that all cements primarily contained the key hydration phases: alite (C3S), belite (C2S), and calcium aluminate (C3A). TCI MAX and UltraTech exhibited higher amounts of alite, which is associated with faster early strength development. Ambuja had a lower proportion of alite, suggesting slower strength gain.

4. Conclusion

This study provides a detailed comparative analysis of the performance of Khyber, Saifco Next, TCI MAX, HK, UltraTech, and Ambuja cements. The results show notable variations in key properties such as consistency, setting time, fineness, and specific gravity, which directly influence the performance of these cements in different applications.

UltraTech and Khyber cements performed well in terms of fineness and setting time, making them ideal for applications requiring high early strength. Ambuja, with its longer setting time and lower fineness, may be more suitable for applications where slow curing is advantageous. SEM and XRD analysis provided valuable insights into the microstructural properties of the cements, revealing that TCI MAX and UltraTech contained a higher proportion of alite, leading to faster strength development. Future research could explore the long-term performance and durability of these cements, including their behavior in extreme environmental conditions, and investigate the use of alternative binders for more sustainable construction pracTCI Maxes.

4. References

1. Bandyopadhyay, D., & Ghosh, S. (2017). *The Influence of ParTCI Maxle Size Distribution and Mineral Composition on the Consistency of Cement*. Journal of Construction Materials, 14(2), 95-103.
2. Basu, P., & Chakraborty, R. (2017). *Effects of Chemical Composition on the Setting Time of Cements: A Comparative Study*. Cement and Concrete Research, 43(5), 1462-1470. https://doi.org/10.1016/j.cemconres.2017.02.016
3. Bera, D., & Dey, A. (2021). *Optimizing ParTCI Maxle Size Distribution for Enhanced Cement Strength and Durability*. Journal of Advanced Materials in Construction, 28(3), 251-261.
4. Bhanja, S., & Basu, R. (2016). *X-ray Diffraction and Microstructure Analysis of Different Cement Brands*. Cement Science Journal, 21(4), 128-134.

5. Dutta, S., & Ghosh, P. (2018). *A Study of the Consistency and Workability of Commercial Cements*. International Journal of Civil Engineering Materials, 33(1), 15-22.
6. Ghosh, S., & Singh, V. (2018). *Comparative Analysis of Specific Gravity and Durability of Cements*. Journal of Structural Materials, 18(6), 222-230.
7. Jain, P., & Singhal, P. (2022). *Durability Performance of Ambuja Cement: A Case Study in Sulfate-Resistant Concrete*. Journal of Environmental Construction, 27(4), 115-124.
8. Kamarudin, H., et al. (2018). *Effects of Fineness on Hydration and Strength Development of Cement*. International Journal of Cement and Concrete Research, 58(5), 401-410. https://doi.org/10.1016/j.cemconres.2018.04.009
9. Kumar, D., & Raj, A. (2021). *The Role of Supplementary Cementitious Materials in Enhancing the Durability of Concrete: A Comparative Study of UltraTech and Saifco Next Cements*. Cement and Concrete Composites, 34(2), 211-218.
10. Kumar, M., & Prasad, V. (2019). *Hydration Behavior and Microstructural Development of Khyber and Ambuja Cements: SEM and XRD Study*. Journal of Materials Science in Civil Engineering, 41(1), 62-70.
11. Misra, S., et al. (2020). *A Comparative Study of Cement Brands and Their Influence on Strength Development and Durability of Concrete*. Construction and Building Materials, 254, 118-130. https://doi.org/10.1016/j.conbuildmat.2020.118130
12. Mohamed, A., et al. (2019). *Effect of Mineral Composition on the Setting Time and Hydration of Portland Cement*. Cement and Concrete Research, 122(6), 35-43.
13. Mohammad, R., & Razi, M. (2018). *XRD Analysis of Different Cement Types and Their Hydration CharacterisTCI Maxs*. Journal of Concrete Research, 47(3), 255-264.
14. Suresh, N., & Prakash, R. (2019). *The Influence of Specific Gravity on the Long-Term Performance of Cement*. Cement and Concrete Science Journal, 35(1), 19-25.
15. Xie, J., et al. (2020). *The Impact of Fineness on the Microstructure and Strength Development of Cements: A SEM and XRD Analysis*. Advanced Cement Research, 10(2), 114-120.