

Coefficient Estimates on A New Subclasses of Bi-Univalent Functions Defined Using Opoola Differential Operator and Associated with Quasi-Subordination

Ranjan S. Khatu¹, Uday H. Naik², Amol B. Patil³

¹Dept. of Mathematics, Arts, Commerce and Science College, Lanja-416701, India.

²Dept. of Mathematics, Willingdon College, Sangli-416415, India

³Dept. of First Year Engineering, AISSMS's, College of Engineering, Pune-411001, India

Abstract

In this research paper, we investigate new subclasses $\mathcal{R}_{\Sigma}^{\mu, q, m}(\lambda, \phi, \gamma, \beta, t)$ and $\mathcal{U}\mathcal{A}\mathcal{R}_{\Sigma}^{\lambda, q, m}(\phi, \gamma, \beta, t)$ of bi-univalent functions defined on the unit disk Δ in the complex plane using Opoola differential operator and quasi-subordination. Further, we find upper bounds of $|a_2|$ and $|a_3|$ for functions in these new subclasses.

Keywords: Analytic function, Bi-univalent function, Quasi-subordination, Subordination, Univalent function.

Mathematics Subject Classification: 30C45, 30C50, 30C75.

1. Introduction

Let \mathcal{A} be the class of functions in the form

$$h(z) = z + \sum_{j=2}^{\infty} a_j z^j \quad (0.1)$$

which are analytic in the open unit disk $\Delta = \{z: z \in \mathbb{C}, |z| < 1\}$.

Let S denote the class of functions of \mathcal{A} which are univalent in Δ . As each $h \in S$ is univalent in Δ , h^{-1} exists but it may not be defined on entire Δ . Here the Koebe-one-quarter theorem ([5]) ensures that, the image of every $h \in S$ contains a disk of radius $\frac{1}{4}$. Therefore, for any $h \in S$ having Taylor's series expansion mentioned in equation (0.1) has inverse function g which is given by

$$g(w) = w - a_2 w^2 + (2a_2^2 - a_3)w^3 - (5a_2^3 - 5a_2 a_3 + a_4)w^4 + \dots \quad (0.2)$$

where $|w| < r_0(h)$ and $r_0(h) \geq \frac{1}{4}$. A function $h \in \mathcal{A}$ is said to be bi-univalent in Δ if both h and h^{-1} are univalent in Δ . The class of all bi-univalent functions defined in Δ is denoted by Σ .

Lewin([8]) invested the class Σ of bi-univalent functions and proved that $|a_2| < 1.5$ for the functions in Σ . Later, Brannan and Clunie([3]) conjectured that $|a_2| \leq \sqrt{2}$. Also, Netanyahu([11]) proved that $\max_{h \in \Sigma} |a_2| = \frac{4}{3}$. Still the coefficient bounds for $|a_3|, |a_4|, \dots$ is an open problem.

The study of subclasses of bi-univalent functions was continued by Brannan and Taha([4]) (see also

([19]) by introducing certain subclasses of bi-univalent functions $S^*(\alpha)$ and $K(\alpha)$ ($\alpha \in [0,1)$) of starlike and convex functions of order α respectively. Srivastava et al.([18]) also contributed by introducing certain subclasses of bi-univalent functions and found out some initial coefficient bounds. Ma and Minda([9]) introduced the classes:

$$S^*(\phi) = \left\{ h \in S : \frac{zh'(z)}{h(z)} < \phi(z) \right\}$$

and

$$K(\phi) = \left\{ h \in S : 1 + \frac{zh''(z)}{h'(z)} < \phi(z) \right\},$$

where ϕ be an analytic functions with positive real part in the unit disk Δ , $\phi'(0) > 1$, $\phi(0) = 1$ and maps Δ on to a region which is starlike with respect to 1 and symmetric with respect to the real axis. These classes include several well known subclasses of starlike and convex functions respectively as special cases.

The next important concept is quasi-subordination which was introduced by Robertson([16]) in 1970.

An analytic function h is quasi-subordination to another analytic function ϕ if there are two analytic functions ψ and ω with conditions $w(0) = 0, |\psi(z)| \leq 1$ and $|w(z)| < 1$ such that $h(z) = \phi(w(z))\psi(z)$ and it is denoted by

$$h(z) <_q \phi(z); \quad (z \in \Delta).$$

For $\psi(z) = 1$, we get $h(z) < \phi(z)$ in Δ (see ([10]) and ([15]) for quasi-subordination in details).

In this investigation, we assume that

$$\psi(z) = b_0 + b_1z + b_2z^2 + \dots, \quad (z \in \Delta, |\psi(z)| \leq 1) \tag{0.3}$$

and $\phi(z)$ is an analytic function in Δ with form:

$$\phi(z) = 1 + c_1z + c_2z^2 + c_3z^3 + \dots \quad (c_1 > 0). \tag{0.4}$$

In [12], Opoola introduced the following differential operator as follows:

$$D^m(\gamma, \beta, t): \mathcal{A} \rightarrow \mathcal{A}$$

$$D^0(\gamma, \beta, t)h(z) = h(z),$$

$$D^1(\gamma, \beta, t)h(z) = zD_t h(z) = tzh'(z) - z(\beta - \gamma)t + [1 + (\beta - \gamma - 1)t]h(z),$$

$$D^m(\gamma, \beta, t)h(z) = zD_t(D^{m-1}(\gamma, \beta, t)h(z)), m \in \mathbb{N} \tag{0.5}$$

If $h(z)$ is given by (0.1), then from (0.5), we see that

$$D^m(\gamma, \beta, t)h(z) = z + \sum_{j=2}^{\infty} [1 + (j + \beta - \gamma - 1)t]^m a_j z^j$$

where, $t \geq 0, \gamma \in [0, \beta]$ and $m \in \mathbb{N} \cup \{0\}$.

Remark 0.1 (i) When $t = \lambda, \beta = \gamma, D^n(\gamma, \gamma, \lambda)h(z) = D_\lambda^n h(z)$ is the Al-Oboudi Differential operator in [2].

(ii) When $t = 1, \beta = \gamma, D^n(\gamma, \gamma, 1)h(z) = D^n h(z)$ is the Salagean Differential operator introduced in [17].

We use the following lemma to derive our results.

Lemma 0.2 [14] If \mathcal{P} denotes the family of all analytic functions in Δ with positive real part and $p \in \mathcal{P}$

with $p(z) = 1 + c_1z + c_2z^2 + \dots$ ($z \in \Delta$) then $|c_j| \leq 2$ for each j .

2. COEFFICIENT BOUNDS FOR THE FUNCTION CLASS $\mathcal{R}_{\Sigma}^{\mu,q,m}(\lambda, \phi, \gamma, \beta, t)$

Definition 0.3 A function $h \in \Sigma$ given by (0.1) is said to be in class $\mathcal{R}_{\Sigma}^{\mu,q,m}(\lambda, \phi, \gamma, \beta, t)$ if the following quasi-subordination holds:

$$\left[\lambda(D^m(\gamma, \beta, t)h(z))' \left(\frac{D^m(\gamma, \beta, t)h(z)}{z} \right)^{\mu-1} + (1 - \lambda) \left(\frac{D^m(\gamma, \beta, t)h(z)}{z} \right)^{\mu} - 1 \right] \prec_q (\phi(z) - 1) \quad (z \in \Delta)$$

and

$$\left[\lambda(D^m(\gamma, \beta, t)g(w))' \left(\frac{D^m(\gamma, \beta, t)g(w)}{w} \right)^{\mu-1} + (1 - \lambda) \left(\frac{D^m(\gamma, \beta, t)g(w)}{w} \right)^{\mu} - 1 \right] \prec_q (\phi(w) - 1) \quad (w \in \Delta)$$

where $\lambda \in [1, \infty)$ and the functions g and ϕ are given by (0.2) and (0.4) respectively.

Note that, for $\mu = 0$ and $m = 0$, we get the class $\mathcal{R}_{\Sigma}^{0,q,0}(\lambda, \phi, \gamma, \beta, t)$ which was introduced and studied by A.B.Patil and U.H. Naik ([13]).

Theorem 0.4 Let h given by (0.1) be in the class $\mathcal{R}_{\Sigma}^{\mu,q,m}(\lambda, \phi, \gamma, \beta, t)$. Then

$$|a_2| \leq \min \left\{ \sqrt{\frac{2|b_0|(c_1+|c_2-c_1|)}{(\mu+1)(2\lambda+\mu)(1+(1+\beta-\gamma)t)^{2m}}}, \frac{|b_0|c_1}{(\lambda+\mu)(1+(1+\beta-\gamma)t)^m} \right\} \quad (0.6)$$

and

$$|a_3| \leq \min \left\{ \frac{|b_0|^2c_1^2}{(\lambda+\mu)^2(1+(2+\beta-\gamma)t)^m} + \frac{c_1(|b_0|+|b_1|)}{(2\lambda+\mu)(1+(1+\beta-\gamma)t)^m}, \frac{2|b_0|(c_1+|c_2-c_1|)+(\mu+1)|b_1|c_1}{(\mu+1)(2\lambda+\mu)(1+(1+\beta-\gamma)t)^m} \right\}. \quad (0.7)$$

Proof. Since $h \in \mathcal{R}_{\Sigma}^{\mu,q,m}(\lambda, \phi, \gamma, \beta, t)$, there exist two analytic functions $u, v: \Delta \rightarrow \Delta$ with $|u(z)| < 1, |v(w)| < 1, u(0) = v(0) = 0$ and a function ψ defined by (0.3) satisfies:

$$\left[\lambda(D^m(\gamma, \beta, t)h(z))' \left(\frac{D^m(\gamma, \beta, t)h(z)}{z} \right)^{\mu-1} + (1 - \lambda) \left(\frac{D^m(\gamma, \beta, t)h(z)}{z} \right)^{\mu} - 1 \right] = [\phi(u(z)) - 1]\psi(z) \quad (0.8)$$

and

$$\left[\lambda(D^m(\gamma, \beta, t)g(w))' \left(\frac{D^m(\gamma, \beta, t)g(w)}{w} \right)^{\mu-1} + (1 - \lambda) \left(\frac{D^m(\gamma, \beta, t)g(w)}{w} \right)^{\mu} - 1 \right] = [\phi(v(w)) - 1]\psi(w). \quad (0.9)$$

Consider functions p and q such that

$$p(z) = \frac{1 + u(z)}{1 - u(z)} = 1 + \sum_{j=1}^{\infty} d_j z^j$$

equivalently

$$u(z) = \frac{p(z)-1}{p(z)+1} = \frac{1}{2} \left[d_1 z + \left(d_2 - \frac{d_1^2}{2} \right) z^2 + \dots \right] \quad (0.10)$$

and

$$q(w) = \frac{1 + v(w)}{1 - v(w)} = 1 + \sum_{j=1}^{\infty} e_j w^j$$

equivalently

$$v(w) = \frac{q(w)-1}{q(w)+1} = \frac{1}{2} \left[e_1 w + \left(e_2 - \frac{e_1^2}{2} \right) w^2 + \dots \right]. \quad (0.11)$$

Clearly p and q are both analytic in Δ with $p(0) = q(0) = 1$ and have their positive real part in Δ . Now using equations (0.10) and (0.11), R.H.S. of equations (0.8) and (0.9) can be expressed as

$$[\phi(u(z)) - 1]\psi(z) = \frac{b_0 c_1 d_1}{2} z + \left\{ \frac{b_0 c_1 d_2}{2} - \frac{b_0 c_1 d_1^2}{4} + \frac{b_0 c_2 d_1^2}{4} + \frac{b_1 c_1 d_1}{2} \right\} z^2 + \dots \quad (0.12)$$

and

$$[\phi(v(w)) - 1]\psi(w) = \frac{b_0 c_1 e_1}{2} w + \left\{ \frac{b_0 c_1 e_2}{2} - \frac{b_0 c_1 e_1^2}{4} + \frac{b_0 c_2 e_1^2}{4} + \frac{b_1 c_1 e_1}{2} \right\} w^2 + \dots \quad (0.13)$$

By considering functions h and g given by equations (0.1) and (0.2), L.H.S. of equations (0.8) and (0.9) can be expressed as

$$\begin{aligned} & \left[\lambda (D^m(\gamma, \beta, t)h(z))' \left(\frac{D^m(\gamma, \beta, t)h(z)}{z} \right)^{\mu-1} + (1-\lambda) \left(\frac{D^m(\gamma, \beta, t)h(z)}{z} \right)^{\mu} - 1 \right] \\ & = (\mu + \lambda)(1 + (1 + \beta - \gamma)t)^m a_2 z \end{aligned}$$

$$+ \left[(2\lambda + \mu)(1 + (2 + \beta - \gamma)t)^m a_3 + (\mu - 1) \left(\lambda + \frac{\mu}{2} \right) a_2^2 (1 + (1 + \beta - \gamma)t)^{2m} \right] z^2 + \dots \quad (0.14)$$

and

$$\begin{aligned} & \left[\lambda (D^m(\gamma, \beta, t)g(w))' \left(\frac{D^m(\gamma, \beta, t)g(w)}{w} \right)^{\mu-1} + (1-\lambda) \left(\frac{D^m(\gamma, \beta, t)g(w)}{w} \right)^{\mu} - 1 \right] \\ & = -(\lambda + \mu)(1 + (1 + \beta - \gamma)t)^m a_2 w \\ & + \left[-(2\lambda + \mu)(1 + (2 + \beta - \gamma)t)^m a_3 + (3 + \mu) \left(\lambda + \frac{\mu}{2} \right) a_2^2 (1 + (1 + \beta - \gamma)t)^{2m} \right] w^2 + \dots \end{aligned} \quad (0.15)$$

Using equations (0.12), (0.13), (0.14) and (0.15) and equating coefficients of like powers of z and w (only first two terms), we get

$$(1 + (1 + \beta - \gamma)t)^m (\mu + \lambda) a_2 = \frac{b_0 c_1 d_1}{2}, \quad (0.16)$$

$$(2\lambda + \mu)(1 + (2 + \beta - \gamma)t)^m a_3 + (\mu - 1) \left(\lambda + \frac{\mu}{2} \right) (1 + (1 + \beta - \gamma)t)^{2m} a_2^2 = \frac{b_0 c_1 d_2}{2} - \frac{b_0 c_1 d_1^2}{4} + \frac{b_0 c_2 d_1^2}{4} + \frac{b_1 c_1 d_1}{2}, \quad (0.17)$$

$$-(\lambda + \mu)(1 + (1 + \beta - \gamma)t)^m a_2 = \frac{b_0 c_1 e_1}{2} \quad (0.18)$$

and

$$-(2\lambda + \mu)(1 + (2 + \beta - \gamma)t)^m a_3 + (3 + \mu) \left(\lambda + \frac{\mu}{2} \right) (1 + (1 + \beta - \gamma)t)^{2m} a_2^2 = \frac{b_0 c_1 e_2}{2} - \frac{b_0 c_1 e_1^2}{4} + \frac{b_0 c_2 e_1^2}{4} + \frac{b_1 c_1 e_1}{2}. \quad (0.19)$$

From equations (0.16) and (0.18), we get

$$d_1 = -e_1 \tag{0.20}$$

and

$$8(\lambda + \mu)^2(1 + (1 + \beta - \gamma)t)^{2m}a_2^2 = b_0^2c_1^2(d_1^2 + e_1^2) \tag{0.21}$$

By adding (0.17) and (0.19) in light of (0.20), we get

$$2(1 + (1 + \beta - \gamma)t)^{2m}(\mu + 1)(2\lambda + \mu)a_2^2 = b_0c_1(d_2 + e_2) + b_0d_1^2(c_2 - c_1). \tag{0.22}$$

By applying lemma (0.2) to equations (0.21) and (0.22), we get the desire result (0.6).

By subtracting (0.19) from (0.17) in light of (0.20), we get

$$a_3 = \frac{(1+(1+\beta-\gamma)t)^{2m}}{(1+(2+\beta-\gamma)t)^m} a_2^2 + \frac{b_0c_1(d_2-e_2)+2b_1c_1d_1}{4(1+(2+\beta-\gamma)t)^m(2\lambda+\mu)}. \tag{0.23}$$

Using equations (0.21) and (0.23), we get

$$a_3 = \frac{b_0^2c_1^2(d_1^2+e_1^2)}{8(1+(2+\beta-\gamma)t)^m(\lambda+\mu)^2} + \frac{b_0c_1(d_2-e_2)+2b_1c_1d_1}{4(1+(2+\beta-\gamma)t)^m(2\lambda+\mu)}. \tag{0.24}$$

Using equations (0.22) and (0.23), we get

$$a_3 = \frac{b_0c_1(d_2+e_2)+b_0d_1^2(c_2-c_1)}{2(1+(2+\beta-\gamma)t)^m(\mu+1)(2\lambda+\mu)} + \frac{b_0c_1(d_2-e_2)+2b_1c_1d_1}{4(1+(2+\beta-\gamma)t)^m(2\lambda+\mu)}. \tag{0.25}$$

By applying lemma (0.2) to equations (0.24) and (0.25), we get the desire result (0.7). This completes the proof of Theorem (0.4).

We observed that, by setting $\mu = 1$ and $m = 0$ in above theorem, we get the result obtained by Amol Patil and Uday Naik ([13]) as follows:

Corollary 0.5 Let $h(z)$ given by (0.1) be in class $\mathcal{R}_\Sigma^{1,q,0}(\lambda, \phi)$. Then

$$|a_2| \leq \min \left\{ \frac{|b_0|c_1}{(\lambda + 1)}, \sqrt{\frac{2|b_0|(c_1 + |c_2 - c_1|)}{2\lambda + 1}} \right\}$$

and

$$|a_3| \leq \min \left\{ \frac{|b_0|^2c_1^2}{(\lambda + 1)^2} + \frac{(|b_0| + |b_1|)c_1}{2\lambda + 1}, \frac{|b_0|(c_1 + |c_2 - c_1|) + |b_1|c_1}{2\lambda + 1} \right\}.$$

By setting $\psi(z) = 1$ in corollary 0.5, result of quasi-subordination converts in to following result of subordination.

Corollary 0.6 Let the function $h(z)$ given by (0.1) be in the class $\mathcal{R}_\Sigma(\lambda, \phi)$. Then

$$|a_2| \leq \min \left\{ \frac{c_1}{(\lambda + 1)}, \sqrt{\frac{c_1 + |c_2 - c_1|}{2\lambda + 1}} \right\}$$

and

$$|a_3| \leq \min \left\{ \frac{c_1^2}{(\lambda + 1)^2} + \frac{c_1}{2\lambda + 1}, \frac{2c_1 + |c_2 - c_1|}{2\lambda + 1} \right\}.$$

By setting $\lambda = 1$ in corollary (0.6), we get the following corollary.

Corollary 0.7 Let the function $h(z)$ given by (0.1) be in the class $\mathcal{R}_\Sigma(\phi)$. Then

$$|a_2| \leq \min \left\{ \frac{c_1}{2}, \sqrt{\frac{c_1 + |c_2 - c_1|}{3}} \right\}$$

and

$$|a_3| \leq \min \left\{ \frac{c_1^2}{4} + \frac{c_1}{3}, \frac{2c_1 + |c_2 - c_1|}{3} \right\}.$$

Remark 0.8 Corollaries (0.6) and (0.7) are the improvements of the estimates obtained in Theorem 2.1 given by Kumar et al. ([7]) and Theorem 2.1 given by Ali et al. ([1]), respectively.

Remark 0.9 If we set

$$\phi(z) = \frac{1 + (1 - 2\beta)z}{1 - z} = 1 + 2(1 - \beta)z + 2(1 - \beta)z^2 + \dots; \quad (\beta \in [0,1])$$

in corollaries (0.6) and (0.7) then we get the improvements of the estimates obtained in Theorem 3.2 given by Frasin and Aouf ([6]) and Theorem 2 given by Srivastava et al. ([18]), respectively.

3. COEFFICIENT ESTIMATES FOR THE FUNCTION CLASS $\mathcal{UAR}_{\Sigma}^{\lambda,q,m}(\phi, \gamma, \beta, t)$

Definition 0.10 A function $h \in \Sigma$ given by (0.1) is said to be in the class $\mathcal{UAR}_{\Sigma}^{\lambda}(\phi)$ if the following quasi-subordination holds:

$$\left(\frac{z(D^m(\gamma, \beta, t)f(z))'}{D^m(\gamma, \beta, t)f(z)} \right)^{\lambda} \left(1 + \frac{z(D^m(\gamma, \beta, t)f(z))''}{(D^m(\gamma, \beta, t)f(z))'} \right)^{1-\lambda} - 1 \prec_q \phi(z) - 1 \quad (z \in \Delta)$$

and

$$\left(\frac{z(D^m(\gamma, \beta, t)g(w))'}{D^m(\gamma, \beta, t)g(w)} \right)^{\lambda} \left(1 + \frac{w(D^m(\gamma, \beta, t)g(w))''}{(D^m(\gamma, \beta, t)g(w))'} \right)^{1-\lambda} - 1 \prec_q \phi(w) - 1 \quad (w \in \Delta)$$

where g and ϕ are the functions given by (0.2) and (0.4) and $\lambda \geq 0$.

Theorem 0.11 Let $h(z)$ given by (0.1) be in the class $\mathcal{UAR}_{\Sigma}^{\lambda}(\phi)$. Then

$$|a_2| \leq \min \left\{ \frac{|b_0|c_1}{|2-\lambda|(1+(1+\beta-\gamma)t)^m}, \sqrt{\frac{2|b_0|(c_1+|c_2-c_1|)}{|\lambda^2-3\lambda+4|(1+(1+\beta-\gamma)t)^{2m}}} \right\} \quad (0.26)$$

and

$$|a_3| \leq \frac{(|b_0|+|b_1|)c_1}{2|3-2\lambda|(1+(2+\beta-\gamma)t)^m} + \min \left\{ \frac{|b_0|^2c_1^2}{(2-\lambda)^2(1+(2+\beta-\gamma)t)^m}, \frac{2|b_2|(c_1+|c_2-c_1|)}{|\lambda^2-3\lambda+4|(1+(2+\beta-\gamma)t)^m} \right\}. \quad (0.27)$$

Proof. Since $h \in \mathcal{UAR}_{\Sigma}^{\lambda}(\phi)$, there exist two analytic functions $u, v: \Delta \rightarrow \Delta$ with $|u(z)| < 1, |v(w)| < 1$

1, $u(0) = v(0) = 0$ and a function ψ defined by (0.3) satisfies:

$$\left(\frac{z(D^m(\gamma,\beta,t)f(z))'}{D^m(\gamma,\beta,t)f(z)}\right)^\lambda \left(1 + \frac{z(D^m(\gamma,\beta,t)f(z))''}{(D^m(\gamma,\beta,t)f(z))'}\right)^{1-\lambda} - 1 = [\phi(u(z)) - 1]\psi(z) \quad (0.28)$$

and

$$\left(\frac{z(D^m(\gamma,\beta,t)g(w))'}{D^m(\gamma,\beta,t)g(w)}\right)^\lambda \left(1 + \frac{w(D^m(\gamma,\beta,t)g(w))''}{(D^m(\gamma,\beta,t)g(w))'}\right)^{1-\lambda} - 1 = [\phi(v(w)) - 1]\psi(w). \quad (0.29)$$

Consider functions p and q such that

$$p(z) = \frac{1 + u(z)}{1 - u(z)} = 1 + \sum_{j=1}^{\infty} d_j z^j$$

equivalently

$$u(z) = \frac{p(z)-1}{p(z)+1} = \frac{1}{2} \left[d_1 z + \left(d_2 - \frac{d_1^2}{2} \right) z^2 + \dots \right] \quad (0.30)$$

and

$$q(w) = \frac{1 + v(w)}{1 - v(w)} = 1 + \sum_{j=1}^{\infty} e_j w^j$$

equivalently

$$v(w) = \frac{q(w)-1}{q(w)+1} = \frac{1}{2} \left[e_1 w + \left(e_2 - \frac{e_1^2}{2} \right) w^2 + \dots \right]. \quad (0.31)$$

Clearly p and q are analytic in Δ with $p(0) = q(0) = 1$ and have their positive real part in Δ .

Now using equations (0.30) and (0.31), R.H.S. of equations (0.28) and (0.29) can be expressed as

$$[\phi(u(z)) - 1]\psi(z) = \frac{b_0 c_1 d_1}{2} z + \left\{ \frac{b_0 c_1 d_2}{2} - \frac{b_0 c_1 d_1^2}{4} + \frac{b_0 c_2 d_1^2}{4} + \frac{b_1 c_1 d_1}{2} \right\} z^2 + \dots \quad (0.32)$$

and

$$[\phi(v(w)) - 1]\psi(w) = \frac{b_0 c_1 e_1}{2} w + \left\{ \frac{b_0 c_1 e_2}{2} - \frac{b_0 c_1 e_1^2}{4} + \frac{b_0 c_2 e_1^2}{4} + \frac{b_1 c_1 e_1}{2} \right\} w^2 + \dots. \quad (0.33)$$

By considering functions h and g given by equations (0.1) and (0.2), L.H.S. of equations (0.28) and (0.29) can be expressed as

$$\begin{aligned} &\left(\frac{z(D^m(\gamma,\beta,t)h(z))'}{D^m(\gamma,\beta,t)h(z)}\right)^\lambda \left(1 + \frac{z(D^m(\gamma,\beta,t)h(z))''}{(D^m(\gamma,\beta,t)h(z))'}\right)^{1-\lambda} - 1 \\ &= (2 - \lambda)(1 + (1 + \beta - \gamma)t)^m a_2 z + \left[(6 - 4\lambda)(1 + (2 + \beta - \gamma)t)^m a_3 + \left(\frac{\lambda^2 + 5\lambda - 8}{2}\right) (1 + (1 + \beta - \gamma)t)^{2m} a_2^2 \right] z \end{aligned} \quad (0.34)$$

and

$$\begin{aligned} &\left(\frac{z(D^m(\gamma,\beta,t)g(w))'}{D^m(\gamma,\beta,t)g(w)}\right)^\lambda \left(1 + \frac{w(D^m(\gamma,\beta,t)g(w))''}{(D^m(\gamma,\beta,t)g(w))'}\right)^{1-\lambda} - 1 \\ &= -(2 - \lambda)(1 + (1 + \beta - \gamma)t)^m a_2 w + \left[\left(\frac{\lambda^2 - 11\lambda + 16}{2}\right) (1 + (1 + \beta - \gamma)t)^{2m} a_2^2 + (4\lambda - 6)(1 + (2 + \beta - \gamma)t)^m a_3 \right] w \end{aligned} \quad (0.35)$$

Using equations (0.32), (0.33), (0.34) and (0.35) and equating coefficients of like powers of z and w (only first two terms), we get

$$(2 - \lambda)(1 + (1 + \beta - \gamma)t)^m a_2 = \frac{b_0 c_1 d_1}{2}, \quad (0.36)$$

$$(6 - 4\lambda)(1 + (2 + \beta - \gamma)t)^m a_3 + \left(\frac{\lambda^2 + 5\lambda - 8}{2}\right) (1 + (1 + \beta - \gamma)t)^{2m} a_2^2 = \frac{b_0 c_1 d_2}{2} - \frac{b_0 c_1 d_1^2}{4} + \frac{b_0 c_2 d_1^2}{4} +$$

$$\frac{b_1 c_1 d_1}{2}, \tag{0.37}$$

$$-(2 - \lambda)(1 + (1 + \beta - \gamma)t)^m a_2 = \frac{b_0 c_1 e_1}{2} \tag{0.38}$$

and

$$\left(\frac{\lambda^2 - 11\lambda + 16}{2}\right) (1 + (1 + \beta - \gamma)t)^{2m} a_2^2 + (4\lambda - 6)(1 + (2 + \beta - \gamma)t)^m a_3 = \frac{b_0 c_1 e_2}{2} - \frac{b_0 c_1 e_1^2}{4} + \frac{b_0 c_2 e_1^2}{4} + \frac{b_1 c_1 e_1}{2}. \tag{0.39}$$

From equations (0.36) and (0.38), we get

$$d_1 = -e_1 \tag{0.40}$$

and

$$8(2 - \lambda)^2 (1 + (1 + \beta - \gamma)t)^{2m} a_2^2 = b_0^2 c_1^2 (d_1^2 + e_1^2). \tag{0.41}$$

By adding (0.37) and (0.39) in light of (0.40), we get

$$2(\lambda^2 - 3\lambda + 4)(1 + (1 + \beta - \gamma)t)^{2m} a_2^2 = b_0 c_1 (d_2 + e_2) + b_0 e_1^2 (c_2 - c_1). \tag{0.42}$$

By applying lemma (0.2) to equations (0.41) and (0.42), we get the desire result (0.26).

By subtracting (0.39) from (0.37) in light of (0.40), we get

$$a_3 = \frac{(1+(1+\beta-\gamma)t)^{2m}}{(1+(2+\beta-\gamma)t)^m} a_2^2 + \frac{b_0 c_1 (d_2 - e_2) + 2b_1 c_1 e_1}{8(3-2\lambda)(1+(2+\beta-\gamma)t)^m}. \tag{0.43}$$

Using equations (0.41) and (0.43), we get

$$a_3 = \frac{b_0^2 c_1^2 (d_1^2 + e_1^2)}{8(2-\lambda)^2 (1+(2+\beta-\gamma)t)^m} + \frac{b_0 c_1 (d_2 - e_2) + 2b_1 c_1 e_1}{8(3-2\lambda)(1+(2+\beta-\gamma)t)^m}. \tag{0.44}$$

Using equations (0.22) and (0.23), we get

$$a_3 = \frac{b_0 c_1 (d_2 + e_2) + b_0 e_1^2 (c_2 - c_1)}{2(\lambda^2 - 3\lambda + 4)(1+(2+\beta-\gamma)t)^m} + \frac{b_0 c_1 (d_2 - e_2) + 2b_1 c_1 e_1}{8(3-2\lambda)(1+(2+\beta-\gamma)t)^m}. \tag{0.45}$$

By applying lemma (0.2) to equations (0.44) and (0.45), we get the desire result (0.27). This completes the proof of Theorem (0.11).

4. References

1. Ali R.M., Lee S.K., V.Ravichandran and S.Supramaniam, *Coefficient estimates for bi-univalent Ma-Minda starlike and convex functions*, Appl. Math. Lett., **25**, (2012), 344-351.
2. Al-Oboudi F.M., *On univalent functions defined by a Salagean differential operator*, Int. J. Math. Math. Sci. 2004 (2004), 1429–1436.
3. Brannan D.A. and Clunie J.G. (Eds.), *Aspects of Contemporary Complex Analysis* (Proceedings of the NATO Advanced Study Institute held at the University of Durham, Durham; July 1-20, 1979), Academic Press, New York and London, (1980).
4. Brannan D.A. and Taha T.S., *On some classes of bi-univalent functions*, Studia Univ. Babes-Bolyai Math, **31**(2), (1986), 70-77.
5. Duren P.L., *Univalent Functions*, Grundlehren der Mathematischen Wissenschaften, Springer, New York, (1983).
6. Frasin B.A. and Aouf M.K., *New subclasses of bi-univalent functions*, Appl. Math. Lett., **24**, (2011), 1569-1573.
7. Kumar S.S., V.Kumar and V.Ravichandran, *Estimates for the initial coefficients of bi-univalent functions*, Tamsui Oxf. J. Inf. and Math. Sci., **29**(4), (2013), 487-504.
8. Lewin M., *On a coefficient problem for bi-univalent functions*, Proc. Amer. Math. Soc., **18**, (1967),

63-68.

9. Ma W.C. and Minda D., *A unified treatment of some special classes of univalent functions*, Proceedings of the conference on Complex Analysis, Tianjin, (1992), 157-169.
10. Mohd M.H. and Darus M., *Fekete Szego problems for Quasi-subordination classes*, Abst. Appl. Anal., Article ID 192956, (2012), 1-14.
11. Netanyahu E., *The minimal distance of the image boundary from the origin and the second coefficient of a univalent function in $|z| < 1$* , Arch. Rational Mech. Anal., textbf32, (1969), 100-112.
12. Opoola T.O., *On a subclass of univalent functions defined by a generalized differential operator*, Int. J. Math. Anal. 11 (2017), no.18, 869–876.
13. Patil A.B. and Naik U.H., *Estimates on Initial Coefficients of Certain Sunclasses of Bi-Univalent Functions Associated with Quasi-Subordination*, Global Journal of Mathematical Analysis, **5**(1), (2017), 6-10.
14. Pommerenke Ch., *Univalent Functions*, Vandenhoeck and Ruprecht, Gottingen, (1975).
15. Ren F.Y., Owa S. and Fukui S., *Some inequalities on quasi-subordination functions*, Bull. Aust. Math. Soc., **43**(2), (1991), 317-324.
16. Robertson M.S., *Quasi-subordination and coefficient conjecture*, Bull. Amer. Math. Soc., **76**, (1970), 1-9.
17. Salagean G.S., *Subclasses of Univalent Functions*, Complex Anal. Fifth Roman. Seminar, part I (Brucharest, 1983), Lecture Notes in Mathematics, vol. 1013, Springer, Berlin, 1983, pp 362–372.
18. Srivastava H.M., A.K.Mishra and P.Gochhayat, *Certain subclasses of analytic and bi-univalent functions*, Appl. Math. Lett., (23), (2010), 1188-1192.
19. Taha T.S., *Topics in Univalent Function Theory*, Ph.D. Thesis, University of London, London, (1981).