International Journal for Multidisciplinary Research (IJFMR)
E-ISSN: 2582-2160 ● Website: www.ijfmr.com ● Email: editor@ijfmr.com

Behavioral Economics: How Emotions Influence Financial Decisions

Harsh Sadhu

Student, Gems New Millenium School

Abstract:
This research paper discusses the intriguing and interdisciplinary subject of Behavioral economics, specifically the significant role played by emotions and cognitive biases in financial decision-making. In contrast with the conventional "Homo Economicus" premise of total rationality, the paper delves into the manner in which emotions such as fear, greed, happiness, and sadness affect consumption, saving, and investment behaviour. It also delves into a set of cognitive biases, such as loss aversion, confirmation bias, anchoring bias, mental accounting, and herding behaviour, showing how these mental shortcuts systematically distort our financial decisions. By combining case studies, real-life examples, scholarly research, and a close examination of fundamental concepts, the paper demonstrates the real-life implications of such psychological influences and discusses possible means of reducing their negative effects, including nudges, framing, and Behavioral interventions. The essay concludes by highlighting the crucial importance of having knowledge of Behavioral economics for individuals, firms, and policymakers in order to enhance financial well-being and advocate for good economic policy.

Introduction:
The financial world is generally a sphere of pure reason where the figures hold court, and logical calculations govern every financial decision we make. We would like to think of ourselves as shrewd money managers, diligently trading off costs and benefits, optimizing returns, and making choices in terms of cold calculation. Yet this idealized vision is constantly confronted with the facts of human nature. Money decisions are inextricably intertwined with a dense network of emotions, cognitive errors, and social influences, sending us time and again down paths substantially distant from pure reason. This is where Behavioral economics enters the picture. Acting as a middle ground between psychology and economics, Behavioral economics welcomes the intrinsic bounds of human rationality and seeks to comprehend how psychological influences affect our economic decisions. This research paper starts with a comprehensive study of the fundamentals of Behavioral economics, that is, the impact cognitive biases and emotions have on our financial choices, via case studies, real-world examples, and research papers.
The Flawed Ideal: Transcending "Homo Economicus"
Traditional economic thought has rested on the construct of the fictional economic actor, "Homo Economicus," with perfect rationality, selfishness, and invariably selecting the optimal solution for maximum utility. The convenient construct, useful for purposes of construct development, has been too limiting when explaining real human action. We are not fully rational actors, our cognitive capacities are limited, we are under emotional sway, and we are generally uninformed. The discipline of Behavioral economics directly evolved from a challenge of this ideal construct, embracing the notion of being "boundedly rational," i.e., our rationality being limited by cognitive capacity, data availability, and choice

time. Bounded rationality, and our emotional and psychological natures, yield systematic errors from traditional economic model projections. The construct of bounded rationality has been coined by Herbert Simon, who argued people had limited cognitive capacities and had to use simplification heuristics for choice.

The Emotional Finance Scenario: How Affect Influences Our Decision Making

Emotions are such powerful movers of human behaviour, and their action on money can be intense and, more commonly, uncontrollable. The importance of recognizing the force of emotion cannot be downplayed in achieving a complete understanding of money behaviour.

Fear and Avarice in Investment: The two primal forces very regularly drive investment decisions, and with very harmful consequences, into folly. Avarice can induce panic selling on the way down, and investors book their losses and miss their rebounds. Avarice can induce speculation bubbles, and investors can be incentivised into chasing returns without fully valuing the risk associated. The dot.com bubble of the 1990s and the 2008 global banking crash are stark examples of the destructive power of greed and fear in the markets. During such periods, money rushed into risky assets from investors, incentivised by FOMO and the possibility of easy profits, and created unsustainability bubbles, and when these implode, there has been widespread ruin. The 18th-century South Sea Bubble offers a historical example of the way speculation madness, incentivised by greed and herd behaviour, can end in ruin.

Happiness, Spending, and the Hedonic Treadmill: The frequent splurge may boost our happiness for a short while, and chronic happiness spending may lead to serious money troubles. We overstate the contribution of goods and other material goods towards our happiness and fall into the trap of the "hedonic treadmill," where we're constantly on the lookout for more purchases for minimal happiness and end up in a vicious cycle of excess and discontent. Case Study: Experiments undertaken by Dunn, Aknin, and Norton (2008) repeatedly proved money spending on experiences, such as trips away from the house, concerts, and the likes, creates more and sustained happiness compared with money spending on goods. The discovery suggests realigning of expenditure can lead not only to money happiness, but also overall happiness.

Sadness, Impulsive Purchases, and Shop Therapy: Research has established there is a correlation between sadness and impulse purchases. Depressives can be more prone, when depressed, to shop on impulse because of emotional compensation, then feel sorry for what they've purchased. The online shopping boom and target advertisements have made shop therapy easy and convenient for individuals, where individuals find shelter in shopping. But this can very quickly lead to wasteful expenditure and money troubles.

Anger, Risk-Taking, and Revenge: Anger can also trigger higher levels of risk-taking, even pushing individuals into ill-conceived money-related choices. A study and publication in the Journal of Behavioral Decision Making made individuals under anger more likely for money-related risk-taking, even possibly into ill money deals and money-related risk-taking for purposes of vendetta and point-proving. Overconfidence, Illusion of Control, and Investment Blunders: Excessive trading and poorer performance could be caused by too much confidence and illusory feelings of being in charge. We could be exaggerating our abilities for picking winning stocks and market timing and underplaying professional recommendations and diversification. Case Study: Barber and Odean (2000) empirically established more overconfident traders trading more and earning lower returns than their lesser-confident counterparts, pinpointing the negative impacts of being too confident on investment performance.

The mental procedures we use for decision-making have developed into different cognitive biases which produce irrational results.

Both emotions and our mental shortcuts known as cognitive biases create systematic mistakes that result in irrational methods of choosing investments. Such unconscious biases remain challenging to detect by ourselves.

People will experience greater pain from losing assets than they will experience pleasure from gaining similar assets. People maintain their losing investments for too many months due to this psychological bias although rationality urges them to sell the assets and shift. It becomes exceptionally hard for investors to dispose of assets they deem valuable emotionally. According to prospect theory individuals base their evaluation of potential gains and losses against a reference point instead of using actual outcome values.

People search for supporting evidence to validate their beliefs, yet they deny contradicting information. Investment choices made under such conditions tend to become unbalanced since we tend to concentrate on positive stock reports while disregarding warning signals. The tendency to filter information based on pre-existing beliefs becomes more severe when people spend time in closed-off social media networks that primarily show content which agrees with their current perspectives.

The concept of mental accounting encourages people to handle money distinctly based on where they obtained it and what they plan to do with it. We tend to spend money obtained as gifts or bonuses instead of funds earned through work although financial value among all money remains the same. Such practices create irrational purchasing habits while undermining the characteristics of fungibility between all funds.

People follow collective choices even when they question the correctness of group decisions. Investment bubbles together with market crashes result from people blindly following investment trends without conducting independent research about them. Financial markets show the strength of herding behaviours through the meme stock phenomenon which exists because of collective social media waves of excitement and hype.

Quantum Group utilizes Behavioral science principles to create better financial decisions through their nudges.

Establishing knowledge about emotional responses along with cognitive biases will help you make improved financial choices. Behavioral economics provides useful information about influencing rational choice behaviours within us and others. The environment contains particular changes known as nudges which modify behaviours without depriving individuals of their primary options. Examples include:

Default option enrolment increases participation substantially by choosing retirement savings as the preselected choice instead of requiring employee selection. The default option works through inertia by making people continue with selections rather than manually select an alternative.

The method used to display information enhances persuasiveness: By showing the advantages of saving or the expenditure consequences people can be more likely to change their actions. When retirement savings plans are described as "growing your nest egg" employees find greater motivation than using the term "401(k)."

Commitment devices which let people pre-commit money removal in advance enable them to resist time delays and imprudent spending behaviour. Individuals avoid procrastination by allowing automatic savings transfers to their accounts and enrolling in "Save More Tomorrow" arrangements which increase savings rates upon receiving pay raises. The principles of commitment devices and loss aversion make individuals more inclined to sacrifice in future times rather than now and unlikely to break pre-commitments because of loss aversion.

Policy decisions that enhance easy comprehension of complex financial products help people reduce mental strain during decision-making. Companies offer financial advice that supports simplified investment choices and provides direct information to customers about their needs. Belonging to the category of automation serves as an investment management solution that streamlines the selection process for new investors through risk assessment analysis. The important task is to deliver simple yet precise financial product details which maintain easy accessibility. Most consumers fail to grasp complex financial terminology, yet they respond better to information that has been simplified so they can understand it.

Case Studies: Real-World Applications of Behavioral Economics

The "Save More Tomorrow" Plan: Boosting Retirement Savings represents an innovative program which Thaler and Benartzi (2004) developed for employees to agree in advance to raise their retirement savings as their earnings increase. Multiple Behavioral principles are effectively utilized through this plan including commitment devices as well as loss aversion and procrastination tendencies. People can decrease retirement procrastination through pre-committed savings plans because they let individuals make future savings agreements before the time arrives. Employees accept pay raise-linked contributions better since they view the contribution increase as an extension of pay growth rather than as financial loss. The success of the "Save More Tomorrow" plan demonstrates why Behavioral interventions achieve high levels of retirement savings by changing human behaviour toward financial outcomes.

Several studies prove that current retirement savings plan defaults which enrol employees automatically deliver higher participation rates than traditional voluntary enrolment methods. Inertia together with default options proves to be a significant factor which affects human decisions. People tend to participate in retirement saving when default enrolment makes these plans automatic even if they have not made specific intentions to do so. The implementation of automatic enrolment in retirement plans represents a basic improvement that produces substantial effects on saving amounts thus reinforcing the value of Behavioral insights in designing public policies.

Research evidence proves that financial information framing methods create substantial effects on investors' decision-making processes. Two different investment choices emerge from potential gain representations versus potential loss representations about the same investment probabilities. The manner in which information is displayed to individuals proves critical for understanding. A medical procedure receives more positive reception when its survival rate is presented at 90% even though the mortality rate at 10% communicates equivalent statistical data.

The method of gamification recently gained popularity in two ways: it helps people learn financial literacy skills and it helps them practice better financial behaviors. The interactive features of gamification enhance financial knowledge which leads individuals to understand financial concepts better and enlist their dedication toward making proper financial decisions. Platform applications utilize gamification components which include points badges and leaderboards as a motivation strategy to teach budgeting saving and investing concepts to users.

The Role of Behavioral Economics in Public Policy:

The field of behavioral economics provides essential guidance to public policy designers about creating behavioral programs which support beneficial choices for better social welfare outcomes. Mattification programs where politicians guide people's behavior toward better decision outcomes help policymakers achieve their aims in health, education and financial sectors. Default option selections provide the

necessary tools to raise organ donation numbers along with boosting energy conservation participation. Health institutions should utilize framing effects to design both dietary programs that encourage healthy eating as well as vaccine awareness initiatives for the public. Behavioral economic principles serve to develop improved tax systems and they help generate better health campaigns with better environmental sustainability outcomes.

The Future of Behavioral Economics and Finance:

The quickly developing field of behavioral economics has substantial effects on all aspects including individuals and businesses and government establishments. Expanded knowledge about mental factors affecting money decisions enables us to develop better approaches for building prosperity through financial means. Modern financial systems should understand the necessary human dimension of behavior so they can function harmoniously with instead of battling against natural human instincts. Recognizing the psychological limits of our thinking abilities helps us achieve better decisions that bring security and satisfaction to our financial future. The rise of big data alongside artificial intelligence technology allows behavioral economics practitioners to deliver individualized financial advice that meets users' specific requirements which leads to improved financial results. The combination of behavioral science with financial technology (FinTech) shows great potential because it develops individual-focused tools which support better financial choices.

Limitations and Future Research:

Behavioral economics continues to enhance basic understanding of financial decisions but researchers face some known boundaries within the field. The findings from particular research studies face challenges when attempting to apply their results to larger groups of people. Several factors such as cultural background and socioeconomic status and the personal traits of individuals impact how their emotions along with biases shape their financial choices. Future research needs to examine how these behavioral economy studies' target populations differ from each other because it will lead to developing specific intervention methods. Researchers need to conduct additional research on the long-term results that behavioral interventions produce. Short-term success does not guarantee long-term sustainability of behavioral nudges in terms of effect on permanent behavior modification. Additional studies must examine methods which enable people to maintain behavioral modifications in their financial practices. Research efforts must continue investigating the moral consequences of applying behavioral understanding methods to manipulate decision-making choices of people. The use of nudges alongside other interventions needs proper responsibility to safeguard individual autonomy together with freewill decision-making capabilities.

Conclusion:

The research paper studied the intricate behavioral relationships that exist between emotions and cognitive biases as they affect financial decision-making processes. Through reframing the classical Homo Economicus framework we prove that financial human conduct exceeds the borders of pure intellectual logical behavior. Our financial decisions get strongly affected by emotions which include fear, greed, happiness and sadness alongside the cognitive biases that involve loss aversion, confirmation bias and herding behavior. The knowledge of psychological factors influences every person and governmental entity and business organization. Developing better methods to boost financial welfare while teaching

responsible money practices and developing a secure prosperous economic future will become possible through using behavioral economic concepts. A new financial system should accept the human element by designing structures which integrate psychological behavior patterns.

Bibliography

1. Kuhnen, Camelia M., and Brian Knutson. "The Influence of Affect on Beliefs, Preferences and Financial Decisions." *Journal of Financial and Quantitative Analysis*, vol. 46, no. 3, 2011, pp. 605–626. Kellogg School of Management, Northwestern University, https://www.kellogg.northwestern.edu/faculty/research/detail/2011/the-influence-of-affect-on-beliefs-preferences-and-financial/.
2. Fenton-O'Creevy, Mark, et al. "Thinking, Feeling and Deciding: The Influence of Emotions on the Decision Making and Performance of Traders." *Journal of Organizational Behavior*, vol. 32, no. 8, 2011, pp. 1044–1061. Wiley Online Library, https://onlinelibrary.wiley.com/doi/full/10.1002/job.720.
3. "How to Make Better Financial Decisions." *Financial Times*, 5 Sept. 2022, https://www.ft.com/content/5ba8bf83-84ad-4ff3-85c3-6d6a6cdfc1ba.
4. "The Stock Market Is Tanking. What Should You Do? The Opposite of What You Think." *MarketWatch*, 15 Aug. 2022, https://www.marketwatch.com/story/the-stock-market-is-tanking-what-should-you-do-the-opposite-of-what-you-think-c2c92cd3.
5. "Recognising Personal Biases Vital for Smarter Investing." *The Australian*, 20 Aug. 2022, https://www.theaustralian.com.au/business/recognising-personal-biases-vital-for-smarter-investing/news-story/502cc4eeed034a459beba0d9c7a63567.