

# Metacognition in Practice: Exploring Its Roots, Role, Challenges in Implementation, and Strategies for Effective Learning

Vidushi Joshi<sup>1</sup>, Dr. Bijender Singh<sup>2</sup>

<sup>1</sup>Research Scholar, Department of Teacher Education, Govt. Raza P. G. College, Rampur (M.J.P. Rohilkhand University, Bareilly)

<sup>2</sup>Assistant Professor, Department of Teacher Education, Govt. Raza P. G. College, Rampur (M.J.P. Rohilkhand University, Bareilly)

## Abstract:

Metacognition which is generally interpreted as ‘learning about learning’, is a concept that has been around for a while now, specially in the context of education. This concept basically encompasses self-awareness, ability to monitor oneself and self regulation of intellectual processes which are essential for effective learning that occur right from introduction of a concept or a piece of knowledge to learning all about it, retaining the information to finally be able to recall, reproduce or apply that information whenever required. It involves acknowledging and recognising the effective patterns, techniques, methods and processes that lead to better attainment of knowledge regarding various complex concepts.

Developing clearer understanding about the concept of metacognition may help the learners to understand their own unique learning patterns and eventually better them. It plays a critical role in optimising the learning process.

Over the span of years, research in educational psychology has crecscively emphasised the significance of metacognition in proving learning outcomes. This article explores the theoretical foundations of metacognition, discussing its definition common theoretical underpinnings including its components an its practical implications for improving educational outcomes. It highlights strategies for fostering metacognitive skills in learners and discusses how these skills contribute to deeper understanding and better performance across various educational contexts.

**Keywords:** Metacognition, Cognition, Self-regulation, Learning process.

## Introduction:

Metacognition is a higher order thinking/cognitive skill. The role of this skill in the process of learning has amassed further attention specifically in the field of educational psychology & education in general. The ground breaking work of John Flavell (1976) on Metacognition established the foundational concepts and understanding, reiterating that good learners are the ones who possess cognitive skills and also have developed the ability to regulate their cognitive processes.

Metacognition refers to the ability to understand, monitor, control and plan one’s cognitive processes during learning. This ability Enables learners to access their understanding of a particular concept, identify

gaps in their knowledge about it, adopt, adapt and apply appropriate strategies to achieve their learning goals.

Metacognition is rooted in several psychological theories, including cognitive psychology and developmental psychology. Cognitive psychologists like Flavell (1976) and later researchers such as Bakery & Brown (1984) and Scott G. Paris & Evelyn R. Oka (1986) and subsequent researchers expanded these ideas, focusing on how metacognitive strategies influence learning and problem solving. All of these have contributed majorly to our understanding of how individuals plan, monitor and evaluate their own learning processes.

Metacognitive theories suggest that awareness of one's own cognitive processes can lead to more effective learning strategies and better problem-solving abilities. Modern educational environment is getting increasingly demanding, in such scenario fostering metacognitive awareness in present learners is becoming more critical for academic success.

**Understanding Metacognition:** As discussed prior, metacognition is generally understood as 'cognition about cognition' or 'thinking about thinking'. This concept is composed of two main components which are: (1) Metacognitive knowledge, (2) Metacognitive regulation; which also have their composing units (Flavell, 1979).

**Metacognitive Knowledge:** It is all what an individual knows about their own mental or intellectual processes. This metacognitive knowledge is further sectioned into 3 sub categories:

- Declarative Knowledge- Factual knowledge or understanding about oneself as a learner.
- Procedural Knowledge- Operational knowledge or knowledge of how to use different strategies and techniques effectively.
- Conditional Knowledge- Understanding when and why to use a particular strategy.

**Metacognitive Regulation:** It refers to all the processes that an individual uses to arrange, order and monitor his mental or intellectual activities. The metacognitive regulation includes 3 processes:

- Planning- Setting goals, selection and planning of strategies to go about a task.
- Monitoring- Observing one's own comprehension and performance of a task.
- Evaluating- Accessing the effectiveness of strategies and outcomes, also adjusting the strategies if required.

All these constituting components operate together and enable an individual to monitor his or her learning ability and efficiency better.

**Metacognition and its roots:** The foundations of metacognition as a very concept comes from certain cognitive theories, which might have an influence in the ways it has shaped, as these theories focus on the significance of self-awareness regarding knowledge and learning and self regulation regarding selection of effective strategies for better learning the main theories are:

- **The Information Processing Model (I.P.M.):** This theory elaborates the processing of information in the human brain. It derives a comparison between human brain and a computer in terms of operation, with human sensory memory as input given to computer, operational memory as the CPU and long term memory as the hard drive. According to this model there are various stages of information processing, which are encoding of information, processing of information and storing of the information in the memory (Atkinson and Shiffrin, 1968). It is metacognition that permits the learner to monitor this process to maximise the way they process, store and retrieve this information.

- **Constructivist Approach Of Learning:** The general idea that constructivism projects is that learners actively develop their own knowledge through their personal interactions and experiences with other people, things, situations and the world. This knowledge is build over their already existing notions and knowledge, through actively learning, not by just passively listening and receiving information (Piaget, 1971) and (Vygotsky, 1978).
- **Constructivist approach of learning:** The general idea that constructivism projects is that learners actively develop their own knowledge through their personal interactions and experiences with other people, things, situations and the world. This knowledge is build over their already existing notions an knowledge, through actively learning, not by just passively listening and receiving information (Piaget, 1971) (Vygotsky, 1978). Metacognition plays an integral role in constructivist learning approach as it teaches or we can say trains the learners to think about their own thought process, how they learn a particular concept, select or adjust different methods based on suitability and effectiveness in order to establish better comprehension, which further leads to deeper understanding and analytical thinking (Zimmerman, 2002).
- **The Dual Process Theory:** This theory elaborates how an individual thought arises from two non identical intellectual systems, generally labelled as system 1 and system 2, where system 1 is considered to be an intuition based, unconscious, automatic, implicit, empirical (based on experiences) and fast system, whereas system 2 is more of a logical, controlled, explicit, slow, conscious and deliberative system. Both of these systems are required in decision making. Metacognitive regulation helps an individual to choose between these two mental processes or systems alternatively or in association, as and when required. Usually the routine tasks require system 1, the implicit an intuitive system, whereas the complex tasks or unusual tasks require demand the explicit and conscious system (Evans, 2008) & (Evans and Stanovich, 2013).

**The Role Of Metacognition In Learning:** Metacognition as a practise or approach ameliorates diverse aspects of learning process. It has multifarious roles, impacting the effectiveness of learning. Some important out of them are:

1. **Encourages the ability to manage learning:** Managing learning involves monitoring the progress in learning and making the necessary changes in the previously adopted methods of learning. Metacognitive practices encourages learners to self-regulate the learning process. This further Effectively enhances their learning outcomes. Employing metacognitive strategies is also supported by Zimmerman's model of self regulated learning (2002).
2. **Motivates learners and develops their self-belief:** Using metacognitive strategies for learning, positively influences the state of motivation of learners and their belief in their efficacies, as when they are conscious of their own mental processes, patterns and the learning strategies that suit them the best, they are pretty self-assured to do well. This self-assurance amplifies their motivation and self-belief. The learners who possess metacognitive realisation and engage in metacognitive techniques turn out to be extra driven and strong willed in their educational efforts (Schunk, 1995).
3. **Develops in-depth grasp on the content and greater retention power:** Students who actively plan and monitor their progress are better able to recognise knowledge gaps and take timely action to overcome them. Adapting to Metacognitive strategies help learners to comprehend the designated content in-depth. Schraw and Moshman (1995) in their study concluded that students who consistently and diligently apply metacognitive approach in their learning process apparently perform better

academically, as they consciously employ strategies that engage their senses and enhance their comprehension and further leading to better retention of information studied.

4. **Ameliorating the skills for working out solutions to various problems:** Afflerbach, Veenman and Van Hout- Wolters (2006) concluded in their research that learners who were frequent in using metacognitive strategies in learning were good at finding solutions to problems. They were also proficient in applying freshly learnt concepts to different contexts. Such learners with metacognitive consciousness are well capable of solving their problems in a systematic or more orderly manner.
5. **Improves the intellectual mechanism of the learners:** Application of metacognitive strategies consciously and actively leads to increased attentiveness, better functioning memory, retention of the learnt information, refreshing of previously gained knowledge, establishing links between old knowledge and new concepts, ability to find application of them across a variety of novel situations, contexts and better problem solving ability.
6. **Promotes application of knowledge:** The approach of metacognition is not limited to just learning about learning or how to learn, it also focuses on understanding a concept in a manner that one can apply and make it function in a practical situation.
7. **Helps in advancing academic progress:** Metacognitive approach of learning provides learners with the ability to manage their own learning progression which reinforces their confidence, self-belief and also lessens their mental pressure. Multiple studies have linked improved scholastic progress with metacognitive skills and awareness. Schraw and Dennison in their study conducted in the year 1994 observed that metacognitively aware learners handle their learning better than the unaware ones and achieve outstanding marks. Zimmerman in 2002 observed that metacognitively aware students who actively set their learning goals, select learning strategies, monitor themselves, perform better in scholastic assessments as well as in real world as their problem solving skills are better too.

**Challenges in the way of advocating metacognition:** Though the concept of metacognition has numerous advantages but the application of the same in our regular educational setup poses peculiar challenges at different levels. Some of the prime challenges that are experienced while application of this concept are-

1. **Teacher proficiency:** Teachers may find it difficult to apply the concept of metacognition as they are not in general trained to do so in their regular teacher training. As, without the understanding of what metacognition is and how it actually helps the learners, finding or locating the resources to impart higher order skills of metacognition effectively, becomes complicated and strenuous. In such condition, educators may find the job of designing learning-activities and assessments that promote self-regulation in learners, challenging (Miller and Geraci, 2011).
2. **Unawareness:** Although the concept of metacognition has been in existence from a long time now, yet the awareness regarding its importance and application hasn't been much. The educators and the learners both are somewhat aware of the conceptual or more precisely, the theoretical aspect of it but lack functional understanding of the same. Another issue is, them lacking the relative vocabulary to be able to articulate their thoughts on the experiences that they undergo during the cognitive process. Without this it could be really challenging for both, the educators and the learners, to engage in effective self-regulation (Schraw and Moshman, 1995)
3. **Short teaching timeframes:** Application of metacognitive practices require intensive planning, reflecting upon the effect, generation of different possible responses, guiding and directing those

responses towards a better understanding of both, the content as well as the cognitive processes involved and evaluation of the outcomes. All of these demand time, and in the regular classroom setup, where the timeframe is fixed, students may not get the chance to engage in these practices regularly (Zimmerman, 2002).

**Strategies for Developing metacognitive skills:** Metacognitive skills essential for enhanced learning ability may evolve naturally in some learners over time, with age and experience, while they can also be fostered by teaching, training and mental exercises. To assist learners in developing their metacognitive abilities teachers can make use of diverse strategies, which are-

1. **Introducing metacognitive techniques via teaching and training:** Learners could be taught about the way they can plan their outlook towards a task in hand, figuring out how to go about it, keeping a track of their thought process and patterns and finally evaluating the resultant performance.
2. **Letting learners realize the importance of the good old act of setting goals and planning:** Any task, irrespective of how big or complex it is, becomes doable if planned properly. Locke & Latham in 2002 suggested that splitting up complex projects into smaller steps on the basis of “specific goals that are measurable, achievable, relevant and time-bound (SMART)” learners can actually accomplish the task meanwhile successfully monitoring their progress throughout the process.
3. **Enacting metacognitive thought process:** This strategy could be employed by presenting new concepts, followed with clear explanation of why and how the particular concept would be used, what all possible changes or modifications could be done, so that the learners are aware of the reason of selection of a particular concept or more of them. Demonstrate the functioning or application of the concept, then leaving room for growth of learner’s understanding of the same.
4. **Practicing self-reflection:** This is a powerful strategy, and can be employed to make the learners ponder upon their own thoughts. This strategy could be incorporated by teaching them regularly jotting down their daily experiences, then reading them as a third person and taking away lessons for future. Another ways could include sitting in groups and discussing on a certain issue/topic and putting out their personal views on it and consequently reaching to a conclusion, also some self-assessment activities could be conducted. Using these self-reflection techniques on a regular basis can help the learners become more adept in being cognizant of their thoughts, patterns, processes and work on the areas requiring further refinement.
5. **Setting feedback mechanism:** In any learning setup, prompt, appropriate and actionable feedback holds importance as it encourages the learners to reflect on their work, cognition processes and make necessary adjustments. Feedback should highlight areas where student demonstrated effective metacognitive strategies and suggest improvement where necessary (Hattie and Timperley, 2007).

Constructive feedback helps them to become more self-aware as they get to identify their strengths and areas of weaknesses providing them accurate assessment of their potential, consequently bettering their learning outcomes and boosting their confidence. Also, providing constructive feedback creates a space for open dialogue and mutual understanding, thereby improving communication between the educator and the educand.

### Conclusion:

Cognition or learning has always been and shall always be crucial to human development. Learning anything that could be of possible use, anywhere, anyhow is a human thing, which has supported his



evolution from its primitive form to the modern times. In educational context, understanding what all goes behind learning is something that we need to inculcate in our learners, here, metacognition- a vital competency, comes into play. It remarkably promotes and elevates the process and quality of learning respectively. It works for the learners of different age groups throughout a diverse range of learning situations. It emphasizes on understanding the thought processes associated with one's learning, devising and/or adopting different learning techniques and strategies that work well for an individual. The real highlight of this concept is that once a learner develops understanding of his cognitive processes, suitable learning techniques and strategies, he can observe, keep a track and manage his cognition better, leading to overall improved performance in academics and life. The metacognitive skills need to be developed in the learners from early stages, and teachers who are well aware and proficient in metacognitive practices can make a profound impact in their learning journey by nurturing the required skills. Fostering metacognitive skills in our regular educational setup has its challenges still the cognitive advantages it offers are highly productive and climacteric, as it will help in developing more aware, self-regulated and creative learners.

### References:

1. Atkinson, R. C., & Shiffrin, R. M. (1968). Human memory: A proposed system and its control processes. In K.W. Spence & J. T. Spence, *The psychology of learning and motivation*, 89-195. Academic Press. [https://doi.org/10.1016/S0079-7421\(08\)60422-3](https://doi.org/10.1016/S0079-7421(08)60422-3)
2. Baker, L., & Brown, A. L. (1984). Metacognitive skills and reading. In P. D. Pearson, R. Barr, M. L. Kamil and P. Mosenthal (Eds.), *Handbook of Reading Research*, 353-394. New York: Longman.
3. Evans J.S. (2008). Dual-processing accounts of reasoning, judgment, and social cognition. *Annual review of psychology*, 59, 255–278. <https://doi.org/10.1146/annurev.psych.59.103006.093629>
4. Evans, J.S., & Stanovich, K.E. (2013). Dual-process theories of higher cognition: Advancing the debate. *Perspectives on Psychological Science*, 8, 223-241. <http://dx.doi.org/10.1177/1745691612460685>
5. Flavell, J. H. (1976). Metacognitive aspects of problem solving. In L. B. Resnick (Ed.), *The nature of intelligence*, 231-235. Hillsdale, NJ: Lawrence Erlbaum.
6. Flavell, John. (1979). Metacognition and Cognitive Monitoring: A New Area of Cognitive-Developmental Inquiry. *American Psychologist*. 34. 906-911. <https://doi.org/10.1037/0003-066X.34.10.906>
7. Hattie, J., & Timperley, H. (2007). The Power of Feedback. *Review of Educational Research*, 77(1), 81-112. <https://doi.org/10.3102/003465430298487>
8. Locke, E. A., & Latham, G. P. (2002). Building a practically useful theory of goal setting and task motivation: A 35-year odyssey. *American Psychologist*, 57(9), 705-717.
9. Miller, Tyler & Geraci, Lisa. (2011). Training metacognition in the classroom: The influence of incentives and feedback on exam predictions. *Metacognition and Learning*. 6. <http://dx.doi.org/10.1007/s11409-011-9083-7>
10. Moshman, D. (2018). Metacognitive theories revisited. *Educational Psychology Review*, 30, 599-606. <https://doi.org/10.1007/s10648-017-9413-7>
11. Paris, S.G., & Oka, E.R. (1986). Children's reading strategies, metacognition, and motivation, *Developmental Review*, 6 (1), 25-56. [https://doi.org/10.1016/0273-2297\(86\)90002-X](https://doi.org/10.1016/0273-2297(86)90002-X)
12. (<https://www.sciencedirect.com/science/article/pii/S027322978690002X>)

13. Piaget, J. (1971). The Theory of Stages in Cognitive Development. In D. Green, M. P. Ford, & G. B. Flamer (Eds.), *Measurement and Piaget*, 1-11. New York, NY: McGraw-Hill.
14. Schunk, D.H. (1995). Self-Efficacy and Education and Instruction. In: Maddux, J.E. (eds) *Self-Efficacy, Adaptation, and Adjustment. The Plenum Series in Social/Clinical Psychology*. Springer, Boston, MA. [https://doi.org/10.1007/978-1-4419-6868-5\\_10](https://doi.org/10.1007/978-1-4419-6868-5_10)
15. Schunk, D. H. (2005). Self-Regulated Learning: The Educational Legacy of Paul R. Pintrich. *Educational Psychologist*, 40, 85-94. [http://dx.doi.org/10.1207/s15326985ep4002\\_3](http://dx.doi.org/10.1207/s15326985ep4002_3)
16. Schraw, G., & Dennison, R. S. (1994). Assessing metacognitive awareness. *Contemporary Educational Psychology*, 19(4), 460–475. <https://doi.org/10.1006/ceps.1994.1033>
17. Schraw, G., & Moshman, D. (1995). Metacognitive Theories. *Educational Psychology Review*, 7, 351-371. <https://doi.org/10.1007/BF02212307>
18. Schraw, Gregory & Moshman, David. (1995). Metacognitive Theories. *Educational Psychology Review*. 7. <https://digitalcommons.unl.edu/edpsychpapers/40>
19. Schunk, D. H. (1995). Self-Efficacy, Motivation, and Performance. *Journal of Applied Sport Psychology*, 7, 109-134. <http://dx.doi.org/10.1080/10413209508406961>
20. Veenman, M. V. J., Van Hout-Wolters, B. H. A. M., & Afflerbach, P. (2006). Metacognition and learning: Conceptual and methodological considerations. *Metacognition and Learning*, 1(1), 3–14. <https://doi.org/10.1007/s11409-006-6893-0>
21. Veenman, M.V. (2015). Metacognition. In *Handbook of individual differences in Reading*, 26-40. Routledge.
22. Vygotsky, L. S. (1978). Mind in society: Development of higher psychological processes (M. Cole, V. John-Steiner, S. Scribner, & E. Souberman, Eds.). Harvard University Press. <https://doi.org/10.2307/j.ctvjf9vz4>
23. Winne, P. H., & Azevedo, R. (2014). Metacognition. *The Cambridge handbook of the Learning sciences*, 2, 63-87.
24. Zimmerman, Barry. (2002). Becoming a Self-Regulated Learner: An Overview. *Theory Into Practice*, 41 (2), 64-70. [http://doi.org/10.1207/s15430421tip4102\\_2](http://doi.org/10.1207/s15430421tip4102_2)