

E-ISSN: 2582-2160 • Website: www.ijfmr.com • Email: editor@ijfmr.com

Cryptocurrency and Money Laundering:Risks and Regulatory Challenges

Ms. Urvashi Malik¹, Mr. Rishabh Miglani²

1,2Student 5th Year B.A.LL.B (hons.), Law, Uttranchal University

ABSTRACT

Cryptocurrencies have revolutionized digital finance, offering decentralization, anonymity, and cross-border transactions. However, these very attributes have also facilitated money laundering, posing significant challenges for regulators. This paper examines the risks associated with cryptocurrency in relation to money laundering, emphasizing India's legal and regulatory framework. It discusses the role of the Prevention of Money Laundering Act (PMLA), the Reserve Bank of India (RBI) directives, and recent policy developments concerning digital assets. Additionally, the paper explores international regulatory frameworks and suggests policy measures to strengthen anti-money laundering (AML) mechanisms in India.

Keywords: Cryptocurrency, Money Laundering, Indian Regulations, AML, PMLA, RBI, Digital Assets, Financial Crime

1. INTRODUCTION

1.1 Background and Significance

The rise of cryptocurrency has transformed global financial systems, offering an alternative to traditional banking and payment mechanisms. Introduced in 2008 with the launch of Bitcoin, cryptocurrencies have since evolved into a multi-trillion-dollar industry, with thousands of digital assets serving various use cases, from decentralized finance (DeFi) to cross-border remittances. However, while cryptocurrencies provide financial inclusion, transparency, and security, they also introduce significant risks—particularly in terms of financial crime.

Money laundering, a process of disguising illicit financial gains as legitimate income, has historically exploited traditional banking channels. However, the pseudo-anonymous nature of cryptocurrencies, coupled with decentralized exchanges (DEXs) and privacy-enhancing technologies, has created new avenues for criminals to launder illicit funds, evade taxes, and finance terrorism. The lack of a centralized authority overseeing cryptocurrency transactions complicates regulatory enforcement, making it challenging for law enforcement agencies to track illicit financial flows.

1.2 Research Objectives

This research paper seeks to:

- Analyze the role of cryptocurrency in facilitating money laundering.
- Examine the technical mechanisms enabling illicit financial transactions.
- Evaluate India's regulatory response to cryptocurrency-related financial crime.
- Compare India's approach with international regulatory frameworks.



E-ISSN: 2582-2160 • Website: www.ijfmr.com • Email: editor@ijfmr.com

1.3 Research Questions

- How do cryptocurrencies enable money laundering, and what techniques are commonly used?
- What legal frameworks exist in India to regulate cryptocurrency and prevent financial crime?
- How does India's regulatory response compare to global best practices?
- What policy measures can enhance India's AML and counter-terrorist financing (CFT) efforts in the cryptocurrency sector?

2. CRYPTOCURRENCY: TECHNICAL OUNDATIONS AND MONEY LAUNDERING RISKS

2.1 Understanding Cryptocurrency and Blockchain Technology

Cryptocurrency operates on blockchain technology—a decentralized, distributed ledger system that records transactions in a secure, immutable manner. Unlike traditional banking systems that rely on centralized financial institutions, cryptocurrencies facilitate peer-to-peer (P2P) transactions without intermediaries.

2.1.1 Key Features of Cryptocurrency

- Decentralization: No single entity controls the network, reducing reliance on central banks.
- Anonymity and Pseudonymity: Users can transact without revealing personal identities, making tracking difficult.
- Irreversibility: Once a transaction is recorded on the blockchain, it cannot be altered or reversed.
- Borderless Transactions: Cryptocurrencies enable instantaneous, cross-border payments without foreign exchange fees.
- Programmability: Smart contracts allow automatic execution of financial transactions without intermediaries.

2.1.2 How Blockchain Works

Blockchain technology relies on distributed ledger mechanisms where multiple nodes (computers) maintain a synchronized and immutable record of all transactions. The key components of blockchain include:

- 1. Blocks: Each block contains transaction data, a timestamp, and a cryptographic hash linking it to the previous block.
- 2. Consensus Mechanisms: Used to validate transactions and secure the network (e.g., Proof of Work (PoW) in Bitcoin, Proof of Stake (PoS) in Ethereum).
- 3. Cryptographic Security: Ensures transaction authenticity using public-private key encryption.

2.2 Common Types of Cryptocurrencies Used for Money Laundering

- Bitcoin (BTC): The most widely used cryptocurrency, often exploited in illicit transactions due to its global acceptance.
- Privacy Coins (Monero, Zcash, Dash): Designed to enhance user anonymity by obfuscating transaction details.
- Stablecoins (USDT, USDC, DAI): Pegged to fiat currencies and commonly used in underground financial markets to facilitate laundering.
- DeFi Tokens: Used in decentralized lending and trading platforms that lack traditional oversight.



E-ISSN: 2582-2160 • Website: www.ijfmr.com • Email: editor@ijfmr.com

3. CRYPTOCURRENCY AND MONEY LAUNDERING TECHNIQUES

Money laundering using cryptocurrency typically follows the traditional three-stage model:

- 1. Placement: Criminals introduce illicit funds into the crypto ecosystem through unregulated exchanges or peer-to-peer (P2P) transactions.
- 2. Layering: Funds are moved through multiple wallets, mixing services, and DeFi platforms to obscure their origins.
- 3. Integration: Laundered funds are converted into legitimate assets, such as real estate, luxury goods, or fiat currency.

3.1 Advanced Crypto-Based Money Laundering Techniques

- Crypto Mixers and Tumblers: Services that combine multiple transactions to obfuscate the origin of funds.
- Decentralized Exchanges (DEXs): Unlike regulated exchanges, DEXs operate without KYC/AML requirements, enabling anonymous transactions.
- Smurfing and Structuring: Breaking large transactions into smaller ones to avoid detection.
- NFT Wash Trading: Using Non-Fungible Tokens (NFTs) to legitimize illicit funds through artificially inflated transactions.
- Darknet Market Transactions: Cryptocurrencies are widely used for illegal purchases on dark web marketplaces.

3.2 Case Study: The Lazarus Group (North Korea)

The Lazarus Group, a North Korean state-sponsored hacking organization, has been involved in large-scale cryptocurrency thefts and money laundering. In 2022, they allegedly stole over \$600 million in crypto from the Ronin Network and laundered funds through crypto mixers like Tornado Cash. The U.S. Treasury later sanctioned these services to curb illicit activity.

4. INDIA'S REGULATORY FRAMEWORK ON CRYPTOCURRENCY AND MONEY LAUNDERING

4.1 Prevention of Money Laundering Act (PMLA), 2002

- In March 2023, the Indian government brought cryptocurrency transactions under the ambit of PMLA, making virtual digital asset service providers (exchanges, custodians) subject to AML compliance.
- Crypto entities must now report suspicious transactions to the Financial Intelligence Unit (FIU-IND).
- Non-compliance can lead to penalties, business suspension, or criminal liability.

4.2 Reserve Bank of India (RBI) Regulations

- 2018 Crypto Banking Ban: RBI prohibited banks from servicing crypto firms (later overturned by the Supreme Court in 2020).
- Current Stance: RBI remains cautious, advocating for global coordination on crypto regulation and potentially introducing a Central Bank Digital Currency (CBDC).

4.3 Income Tax and GST Provisions

- 30% capital gains tax on cryptocurrency profits.
- 1% TDS (Tax Deducted at Source) on crypto transactions above a certain threshold.
- GST implications on crypto transactions remain ambiguous, with ongoing deliberations.



E-ISSN: 2582-2160 • Website: www.ijfmr.com • Email: editor@ijfmr.com

5. COMPARATIVE ANALYSIS:INDIA vs. GLOBAL CRYPTOCURRENCY REGULATORY FRAMEWORKS

Cryptocurrency regulations vary significantly across jurisdictions. While some countries have embraced digital assets with clear regulatory frameworks, others have imposed outright bans or adopted strict controls. This section compares India's approach with that of major global regulatory bodies.

5.1 Financial Action Task Force (FATF) Guidelines

The FATF, an intergovernmental body that sets global AML standards, has issued recommendations on regulating virtual assets (VAs) and virtual asset service providers (VASPs). Key FATF guidelines include:

- Travel Rule Compliance: Requires crypto exchanges to collect and share transaction information.
- Enhanced KYC/AML Obligations: Mandates customer identity verification for exchanges and wallets.
- Risk-Based Supervision: Countries must assess crypto-related risks and implement proportionate regulations.

India's Compliance with FATF

India has aligned its crypto AML framework with FATF recommendations by integrating cryptocurrency exchanges under the Prevention of Money Laundering Act (PMLA), 2002. However, challenges remain in enforcing FATF's Travel Rule and regulating peer-to-peer (P2P) transactions effectively.

5.2 United States: Strong AML Oversight

The U.S. has implemented stringent crypto regulations through multiple agencies:

- FinCEN (Financial Crimes Enforcement Network): Requires crypto exchanges to register as money services businesses (MSBs) and comply with AML/KYC norms.
- SEC (Securities and Exchange Commission): Regulates crypto tokens that qualify as securities.
- CFTC (Commodity Futures Trading Commission): Oversees crypto derivatives markets.

Comparison with India

- Unlike the U.S., India has not classified cryptocurrencies as securities or commodities.
- India's Financial Intelligence Unit (FIU-IND) has started enforcing AML regulations, but lacks clear supervisory authority over DeFi platforms.

5.3 European Union: MiCA Regulation (2023)

The Markets in Crypto-Assets (MiCA) Regulation, adopted in 2023, introduces:

- Mandatory Licensing for Crypto Firms: Exchanges and wallet providers must obtain regulatory approval.
- Strict Consumer Protection Laws: Requires disclosure of risks for crypto investments.
- Stablecoin Oversight: Imposes reserve requirements for stablecoins like USDT and USDC.

Comparison with India

- While the EU has introduced specific licensing rules, India has yet to formulate a licensing regime for crypto businesses.
- India has imposed high taxes (30% on gains, 1% TDS), discouraging investment, whereas the EU focuses on investor protection rather than excessive taxation.

5.4 China: Strict Crypto Ban

China has imposed an outright ban on cryptocurrency trading and mining, citing financial stability concerns. However, despite the ban, crypto transactions continue through underground P2P markets.

Comparison with India

• India has not banned cryptocurrency but maintains a cautious stance, discouraging large-scale investment through taxation and compliance measures.



E-ISSN: 2582-2160 • Website: www.ijfmr.com • Email: editor@ijfmr.com

• Unlike China, which promotes its CBDC (Digital Yuan), India is still in the pilot phase of its Central Bank Digital Currency (CBDC) project.

6. CASE STUDIES: MAJOR CRYPTOCURRENCY MONEY LAUNDERING INCIDENTS IN INDIA

6.1 WazirX Enforcement Directorate (ED) Investigation (2022)

- Allegations: WazirX, a leading Indian crypto exchange, was accused of facilitating transactions worth ₹2,790 crores linked to money laundering.
- Findings: The ED discovered that offshore entities used WazirX to transfer illicit funds without proper KYC compliance.
- Regulatory Outcome: The FIU-IND and RBI imposed stricter AML requirements for Indian crypto exchanges following the case.

6.2 Morris Coin Crypto Scam (Kerala, 2020)

- Fraud Scheme: Investors were promised high returns through a fake cryptocurrency called Morris Coin.
- Amount Defrauded: ₹1,200 crores (~\$150 million).
- Regulatory Lapses: Lack of investor protection laws enabled fraudulent ICOs (Initial Coin Offerings) to operate unchecked.

6.3 GainBitcoin Ponzi Scheme (Amit Bhardwaj Case, 2018)

- Scam Structure: A multi-level marketing (MLM) scheme that promised 10% monthly returns on Bitcoin investments.
- Total Fraud Amount: ₹20,000 crores (~\$2.5 billion).
- Regulatory Response: Led to the RBI's 2018 crypto banking ban (later overturned in 2020).

7. POLICY RECOMMENDATIONS

To combat crypto-enabled money laundering, India should adopt a multi-pronged approach integrating legislation, technology, and international cooperation.

7.1 Legislative Reforms

- Introduce a Comprehensive Crypto Regulation Bill: Clearly define legal status, classification, and compliance requirements.
- Strengthen PMLA Implementation: Mandate real-time reporting of suspicious transactions by crypto exchanges.
- Expand SEBI's Role: Regulate crypto assets as securities to ensure greater oversight.

7.2 Technological Solutions

- Blockchain Analytics Tools: Government agencies should use AI-driven blockchain tracking tools (e.g., Chainalysis, CipherTrace) to monitor illicit transactions.
- Enhanced KYC & Identity Verification: Implement biometric-based KYC for high-risk transactions.
- Crypto Travel Rule Enforcement: Ensure compliance with FATF's Travel Rule by mandating transaction information sharing.

7.3 Cross-Border Cooperation

• Bilateral Agreements: Collaborate with U.S., EU, and FATF for intelligence sharing on illicit crypto transactions.



E-ISSN: 2582-2160 • Website: www.ijfmr.com • Email: editor@ijfmr.com

• Joint Crypto Crime Task Force: Establish an inter-agency task force involving RBI, SEBI, ED, and FIU-IND.

8. CONCLUSION

Cryptocurrency offers financial innovation but also presents challenges in preventing money laundering and illicit transactions. While India has made significant strides in integrating crypto into its AML framework, gaps remain in DeFi oversight, international coordination, and taxation clarity. By adopting global best practices, strengthening technological capabilities, and enacting comprehensive regulations, India can effectively mitigate risks while fostering responsible crypto innovation.

9. REFRENCES

- 1. Financial Action Task Force (FATF). (2023). Guidance for a Risk-Based Approach to Virtual Assets and Virtual Asset Service Providers. Retrieved from www.fatf-gafi.org
- 2. Reserve Bank of India (RBI). (2023). Discussion Paper on Cryptocurrency Regulation in India. Retrieved from www.rbi.org.in
- 3. Securities and Exchange Commission (SEC). (2023). Regulatory Oversight of Digital Assets. Retrieved from www.sec.gov
- 4. European Parliament. (2023). Markets in Crypto-Assets (MiCA) Regulation. Retrieved from www.europarl.europa.eu
- 5. FinCEN. (2023). Cryptocurrency and Money Laundering: A U.S. Perspective. Retrieved from www.fincen.gov
- 6. Enforcement Directorate (ED), India. (2022). Investigation Report on WazirX Money Laundering Case. Retrieved from www.enforcementdirectorate.gov.in