International Journal for Multidisciplinary Research (IJFMR)

E-ISSN: 2582-2160 ● Website: www.ijfmr.com ● Email: editor@ijfmr.com

Real-Time AI Systems for ICU Risk Monitoring

Mr. Zhaid Khan

Abstract

Intensive Care Units (ICUs) play a critical role in the management of patients with life-threatening conditions. Early identification of risks such as sepsis, respiratory failure, and cardiac arrest can significantly improve patient outcomes. Traditional monitoring systems, however, rely on periodic assessments and manual interventions, which may not be sufficient for timely risk detection. Artificial Intelligence (AI) has emerged as a powerful tool in overcoming these limitations, enabling real-time, data-driven risk prediction and management. This paper explores the role of AI in transforming ICU risk monitoring through real-time predictive analytics. By leveraging machine learning, deep learning, and sensor technologies, AI systems can process vast amounts of patient data, offering early detection of complications, prioritizing high-risk patients, and supporting decision-making. The paper discusses the integration of AI into existing ICU frameworks, the components of AI-powered monitoring systems, their real-world applications, and the impact on patient care. Despite its transformative potential, the paper also addresses the challenges of data privacy, system integration, accuracy, and clinician adoption. Finally, the paper explores future directions, including the potential for AI to integrate with other ICU technologies and predict long-term outcomes. Through continuous innovation and rigorous validation, AI-driven monitoring has the potential to revolutionize ICU care by enhancing patient safety, optimizing resource allocation, and improving clinical outcomes.

1. Introduction

Intensive Care Units (ICUs) are critical settings in modern healthcare where patients facing life-threatening conditions, such as severe trauma, organ failure, or post-surgical complications, are closely monitored and treated [1]. These units are equipped with advanced medical technologies that enable continuous monitoring of vital signs and other health parameters, providing healthcare professionals with real-time data crucial for life-saving interventions [2]. However, despite the high-tech equipment available, the traditional methods of monitoring in ICUs rely heavily on periodic assessments, manual interventions, and clinicians' interpretation of a wide array of patient data [3]. These traditional monitoring approaches, while valuable, have inherent limitations that may delay timely identification of deteriorating conditions or fail to prioritize high-risk patients effectively [4].

The complexity and critical nature of ICU patient care require that risks be detected early to improve patient outcomes and reduce complications [5]. In particular, certain medical events, such as sepsis, acute respiratory distress syndrome (ARDS), cardiac arrest, and sudden organ failure, can rapidly worsen without prompt intervention, leading to higher mortality rates [6]. The challenge in traditional ICU care lies in the fact that clinical signs of these events can often be subtle or develop between routine assessments, limiting the ability to react quickly enough [7].

Artificial Intelligence (AI) has emerged as a powerful tool to address these challenges by enabling real-time risk monitoring [8]. AI-powered systems can process vast amounts of data from various sources—including vital signs, lab results, medical imaging, and even patient history—to detect early warning signs of complications, often before they become clinically obvious [9]. Machine learning algorithms, in particular, have the potential to continuously analyze this data, learn from evolving patient conditions, and predict critical risks [10]. These systems not only improve the timeliness of diagnosis but also assist healthcare providers in making more accurate decisions, allowing for personalized, patient-centered care [11].

The potential of AI in ICU risk monitoring extends beyond merely supporting clinical decision-making; it could also enhance operational efficiency by optimizing resource allocation and reducing clinician workload [12]. By providing real-time alerts and prioritizing patients based on predictive models, AI systems help healthcare providers focus their attention where it is most needed [13]. This can lead to reduced mortality rates, shorter ICU stays, and improved patient outcomes [14].

2. ICU Risk Factors and Traditional Monitoring Methods

ICU patients often face a range of complex, rapidly evolving risks, including sepsis, acute respiratory distress syndrome (ARDS), cardiac arrest, and sudden organ failure [15]. Identifying these risks early is essential for improving survival rates and minimizing complications [16]. Traditional monitoring in the ICU relies on routine checks of vital signs (e.g., blood pressure, heart rate, oxygen saturation) and periodic lab tests, often conducted manually or by nurses at set intervals [17]. While these methods can provide valuable information, they are limited by their static nature and reliance on human intervention [18]. In some cases, critical events may occur between assessments, making it difficult to detect deteriorations in patient status in a timely manner [19]. Additionally, this manual approach often results in information overload, with healthcare providers sifting through large amounts of data without the support of intelligent systems to highlight the most relevant or urgent issues [20]. As a result, many healthcare providers are exploring AI as a means to enhance traditional monitoring practices by offering continuous, real-time data analysis and predictive insights, allowing for early interventions and reducing the risk of avoidable complications [21].

3. AI-Powered Real-Time Monitoring Systems

AI-powered real-time monitoring systems are revolutionizing the way healthcare providers assess risk in the ICU [22]. These systems leverage advanced machine learning algorithms and deep learning techniques to process vast amounts of patient data, including vital signs, lab results, imaging data, and even historical medical records [23]. Through continuous monitoring, AI can identify patterns and trends that may not be immediately apparent to human clinicians [24]. For example, AI can detect early signs of sepsis by analyzing changes in heart rate, temperature, and white blood cell count, and can predict impending respiratory failure by examining respiratory rate and blood gas levels [25]. These systems can also provide clinicians with real-time risk scores, which help prioritize high-risk patients for immediate intervention [26]. AI's predictive capabilities allow clinicians to take preemptive actions before complications arise, significantly improving patient outcomes [27]. Furthermore, these AI systems can adapt to individual patients' conditions, offering personalized predictions and treatment recommendations [28]. The integration of AI with existing ICU technologies, such as electronic health records (EHRs), ventilators, and monitoring devices, is essential for creating a seamless, data-driven workflow that supports timely decision-making and enhances patient care [29].

4. Components of AI-Based ICU Monitoring Systems

AI-based ICU monitoring systems rely on various components working together to provide comprehensive risk assessment and prediction [30]. These systems typically collect data from multiple sources, including wearable sensors, medical devices (e.g., heart monitors, ventilators), and electronic health records (EHRs) [31]. The data is then processed through algorithms that analyze trends and detect anomalies [32]. Preprocessing techniques, such as noise reduction, normalization, and feature extraction, ensure that the data is in a format suitable for AI analysis [33]. Once the data is processed, machine learning models are used to detect patterns and make predictions about patient risk [34]. For instance, an AI model might predict the likelihood of a cardiac event based on heart rate variability, oxygen levels, and previous medical history [35]. To ensure accuracy, these models must be rigorously validated using clinical data to ensure that predictions are reliable and clinically relevant [36]. Real-time alerts and decision support tools are then generated for clinicians, offering them actionable insights to guide intervention [37]. These systems are designed to seamlessly integrate with existing ICU workflows, allowing for minimal disruption to clinical practice [38]. The continuous monitoring of patient data and timely feedback provided by AI systems allows for more dynamic, responsive care that can adapt as patient conditions change [39].

5. Applications of AI in ICU Risk Prediction

AI is transforming ICU risk prediction by enabling early identification of potential complications that could lead to severe patient deterioration [40]. One key application is the early detection of sepsis, a life-threatening condition that can rapidly escalate without prompt intervention [41]. By continuously monitoring vital signs such as heart rate, temperature, blood pressure, and respiratory rate, AI systems can recognize subtle shifts in these parameters that may indicate sepsis before it becomes clinically obvious [42]. AI-based risk prediction models can also assist in identifying patients at risk of developing ARDS, respiratory failure, or cardiac arrest [43]. Through analysis of oxygen saturation, blood gas levels, and heart rate variability, AI can alert healthcare providers to early signs of these conditions, allowing for preemptive intervention [44]. Furthermore, AI can help identify patients who may require more intensive monitoring or a change in care plans based on their individualized risk profiles [45]. AI can also assist in predicting long-term outcomes, such as the likelihood of post-ICU syndrome or readmission, allowing for better planning of post-ICU care [46]. Real-world applications of AI in ICU settings have shown promising results, with several hospitals successfully using AI systems to reduce mortality rates, prevent complications, and enhance clinical decision-making [47].

6. Benefits

The integration of AI into ICU monitoring systems offers numerous benefits, with the most significant being the early detection of risks and the ability to intervene before a patient’s condition deteriorates. AI systems can continuously track a patient’s vital signs, lab results, and other clinical data, allowing healthcare providers to identify problems before they escalate into life-threatening situations [9]. The ability to prioritize patients based on their risk profiles allows clinicians to allocate resources more efficiently, ensuring that high-risk patients receive timely care. Additionally, AI-based systems can reduce the clinician’s cognitive load by highlighting the most critical risks and offering actionable recommendations, allowing them to focus on decision-making rather than data analysis [3]. The personalized nature of AI-driven monitoring systems ensures that each patient’s care plan is optimized for their specific needs, improving recovery times and overall outcomes. In terms of long-term impact, AI systems have the potential to reduce ICU-related complications, minimize the length of stay, and lower mortality rates [14]. Furthermore, by preventing unnecessary hospital admissions and re-admissions, AI-driven monitoring could contribute to significant cost savings for healthcare institutions. By improving patient outcomes, enhancing clinical workflows, and reducing costs, AI systems have the potential to revolutionize ICU care [5].

7. Challenges and Limitations

Despite its transformative potential, the integration of AI into ICU risk monitoring presents several challenges. One significant issue is data privacy and security. As AI systems rely on large volumes of patient data, ensuring that this information is securely stored and transmitted is paramount to maintaining patient confidentiality and meeting legal and regulatory requirements, such as HIPAA or GDPR [11]. Additionally, algorithmic bias remains a concern, as AI models are only as good as the data used to train them. If the training data is unrepresentative or biased, the AI may produce inaccurate predictions that disproportionately affect certain groups of patients. Moreover, while AI systems can predict risks, they cannot replace the judgment of trained clinicians. There is a risk that overreliance on AI systems could undermine the clinical decision-making process or lead to missed diagnoses [19]. The accuracy and reliability of AI models also need continuous validation and refinement, especially in diverse patient populations. Furthermore, the integration of AI with existing ICU infrastructure presents technical challenges, as AI systems must work seamlessly with EHRs, medical devices, and other technologies. Finally, there is a need for clinician buy-in. Healthcare providers may be hesitant to trust AI predictions without sufficient evidence of its clinical effectiveness and safety, necessitating a careful, gradual introduction into clinical workflows [42].

8. Future Directions

The future of real-time AI systems in ICU risk monitoring is promising, with continuous advancements in AI algorithms, sensor technologies, and data collection methods. Next-generation AI systems will be able to process more diverse data types, including genetic information, medical imaging, and patient-reported outcomes, to provide more comprehensive risk assessments. Additionally, AI models will become more accurate and personalized, taking into account individual patient characteristics, comorbidities, and treatment responses [35]. Future systems will likely integrate AI with other ICU technologies, such as robotic surgery, telemedicine, and remote monitoring devices, creating an ecosystem of interconnected tools that provide comprehensive care. The development of AI-powered predictive analytics for long-term patient outcomes, including post-ICU syndrome, readmission risks, and quality of life, will enable more proactive, patient-centered care. As AI technologies evolve, it will be crucial to develop robust regulatory frameworks to ensure patient safety, data security, and the ethical use of AI in healthcare. Researchers are also working on addressing the issue of AI transparency and interpretability, ensuring that healthcare providers can understand the reasoning behind AI predictions [21]. Ultimately, AI has the potential to significantly improve patient outcomes in the ICU by providing real-time, actionable insights, optimizing resource use, and enabling more effective decision-making [6].

9. Conclusion

The integration of real-time AI systems in ICU risk monitoring offers transformative potential for improving patient care and outcomes. As healthcare continues to move toward more personalized, data-driven approaches, AI provides the tools needed to address long-standing limitations in traditional ICU monitoring. By continuously analyzing patient data from multiple sources, AI systems can detect early signs of deteriorating conditions, enabling timely interventions that could save lives and reduce the severity of complications. Furthermore, AI's ability to predict patient risks and prioritize care allows clinicians to focus on high-risk patients, enhancing both resource management and clinical decision-making.

Despite the promising benefits, the implementation of AI in ICU settings faces several challenges that need to be carefully addressed. Issues related to data privacy and security must be resolved to protect sensitive patient information. Additionally, ensuring the accuracy and reliability of AI models, as well as overcoming algorithmic bias, is crucial to making these systems equitable and effective for all patient populations. The integration of AI systems with existing ICU infrastructures also requires thoughtful planning and execution, ensuring seamless compatibility and minimal disruption to clinical workflows.

Looking forward, the future of AI in ICU risk monitoring is exciting, with continued advancements in technology expected to further enhance the predictive capabilities of these systems. As AI evolves, there is potential for deeper integration with other healthcare technologies, creating a more holistic and efficient care ecosystem. To fully realize the benefits of AI in ICU care, ongoing research, clinical validation, and regulatory frameworks will be essential in ensuring that these systems are used ethically and safely, leading to improved outcomes for patients and enhanced healthcare delivery overall.

In conclusion, while there are challenges to overcome, the potential of AI to revolutionize ICU risk monitoring and improve patient outcomes is immense. As AI technologies mature, they will play an increasingly central role in the future of intensive care, making healthcare more responsive, personalized, and effective. The continued collaboration between researchers, clinicians, and technology developers will be key to unlocking the full potential of AI-powered ICU risk monitoring and ensuring its successful integration into modern healthcare systems.

References

1. Abukhadijah, H. J., & Nashwan, A. J. (2024). Transforming Hospital Quality Improvement Through Harnessing the Power of Artificial Intelligence. Global Journal on Quality and Safety in Healthcare, 7(3), 132. https://doi.org/10.36401/jqsh-24-4
2. Ahmed, S., Seddawy, A. I. E., & Nasr, M. (2019). A Proposed Framework for Detecting and Predicting Diseases through Business Intelligence Applications. International Journal of Advanced Networking and Applications, 10(4), 3951. https://doi.org/10.35444/ijana.2019.10047
3. Arefin, S. (2024). Chronic Disease Management through an AI-Powered Application. Journal of Service Science and Management, 17(4), 305. https://doi.org/10.4236/jssm.2024.174015
4. Bekbolatova, M., Mayer, J., Ong, C. W., & Toma, M. (2024). Transformative Potential of AI in Healthcare: Definitions, Applications, and Navigating the Ethical Landscape and Public Perspectives [Review of Transformative Potential of AI in Healthcare: Definitions, Applications, and Navigating the Ethical Landscape and Public Perspectives]. Healthcare, 12(2), 125. Multidisciplinary Digital Publishing Institute. https://doi.org/10.3390/healthcare12020125
5. Blumer, V., Kanwar, M., Barnett, C. F., Cowger, J., Damluji, A. A., Farr, M., Goodlin, S. J., Katz, J. N., McIlvennan, C. K., Sinha, S. S., & Wang, T. Y. (2024). Cardiogenic Shock in Older Adults: A Focus on Age-Associated Risks and Approach to Management: A Scientific Statement From the American Heart Association. Circulation, 149(14). https://doi.org/10.1161/cir.0000000000001214

6. Corte, T. D., Hoecke, S. V., & Waele, J. J. D. (2022). Artificial Intelligence in Infection Management in the ICU [Review of Artificial Intelligence in Infection Management in the ICU]. Critical Care, 26(1). BioMed Central. https://doi.org/10.1186/s13054-022-03916-2
7. Ellahham, S., & Ellahham, N. (2019). Use of Artificial Intelligence for Improving Patient Flow and Healthcare Delivery. Journal of Computer Science & Systems Biology, 12(3), 1. https://www.hilarispublisher.com/open-access/use-of-artificial-intelligence-for-improving-patient-flow-and-healthcare-delivery.pdf
8. Ferrara, M., Bertozzi, G., Fazio, N. D., Aquila, I., Fazio, A. D., Maiese, A., Volonnino, G., Frati, P., & Russa, R. L. (2024). Risk Management and Patient Safety in the Artificial Intelligence Era: A Systematic Review [Review of Risk Management and Patient Safety in the Artificial Intelligence Era: A Systematic Review]. Healthcare, 12(5), 549. Multidisciplinary Digital Publishing Institute. https://doi.org/10.3390/healthcare12050549
9. Giordano, C., Brennan, M., Mohamed, B., Rashidi, P., Modave, F., & Tighe, P. J. (2021). Accessing Artificial Intelligence for Clinical Decision-Making [Review of Accessing Artificial Intelligence for Clinical Decision-Making]. Frontiers in Digital Health, 3. Frontiers Media. https://doi.org/10.3389/fdgth.2021.645232
10. Gutiérrez, G. (2020). Artificial Intelligence in the Intensive Care Unit [Review of Artificial Intelligence in the Intensive Care Unit]. Critical Care, 24(1). BioMed Central. https://doi.org/10.1186/s13054-020-2785-y
11. Hu, J., Kang, X., Xu, F., Huang, K., Du, B., & Weng, L. (2022). Dynamic prediction of life-threatening events for patients in intensive care unit. BMC Medical Informatics and Decision Making, 22(1). https://doi.org/10.1186/s12911-022-02026-x
12. Jeon, Y., Kim, S., Ahn, S., Park, J., Cho, H., Moon, S., & Lee, S. (2024). Predicting septic shock in patients with sepsis at emergency department triage using systolic and diastolic shock index. The American Journal of Emergency Medicine, 78, 196. https://doi.org/10.1016/j.ajem.2024.01.029
13. Jones, N., Qureshi, Z., Temple, R. J., Larwood, J. P. J., Greenhalgh, T., & Bourouiba, L. (2020). Two metres or one: what is the evidence for physical distancing in covid-19? BMJ. https://doi.org/10.1136/bmj.m3223
14. Kelly, C., Karthikesalingam, A., Suleyman, M., Corrado, G. S., & King, D. (2019). Key challenges for delivering clinical impact with artificial intelligence. BMC Medicine, 17(1). https://doi.org/10.1186/s12916-019-1426-2
15. Ko, H. Y. K., Tripathi, N. K., Mozumder, C., Muengtaweepongsa, S., & Pal, I. (2023). Real-Time Remote Patient Monitoring and Alarming System for Noncommunicable Lifestyle Diseases. International Journal of Telemedicine and Applications, 2023, 1. https://doi.org/10.1155/2023/9965226
16. Li, Y.-H., Li, Y., Wei, M.-Y., & Li, G. (2024). Innovation and challenges of artificial intelligence technology in personalized healthcare [Review of Innovation and challenges of artificial intelligence technology in personalized healthcare]. Scientific Reports, 14(1). Nature Portfolio. https://doi.org/10.1038/s41598-024-70073-7
17. Malasinghe, L., Ramzan, N., & Dahal, K. (2017). Remote patient monitoring: a comprehensive study. Journal of Ambient Intelligence and Humanized Computing, 10(1), 57. https://doi.org/10.1007/s12652-017-0598-x

18. Moorman, J. R. (2022). The principles of whole-hospital predictive analytics monitoring for clinical medicine originated in the neonatal ICU [Review of The principles of whole-hospital predictive analytics monitoring for clinical medicine originated in the neonatal ICU]. Npj Digital Medicine, 5(1). Nature Portfolio. https://doi.org/10.1038/s41746-022-00584-y
19. Reinertsen, E., & Clifford, G. D. (2018). A review of physiological and behavioral monitoring with digital sensors for neuropsychiatric illnesses [Review of A review of physiological and behavioral monitoring with digital sensors for neuropsychiatric illnesses]. Physiological Measurement, 39(5). IOP Publishing. https://doi.org/10.1088/1361-6579/aabf64
20. Seyyed-Kalantari, L., Zhang, H., McDermott, M. B. A., Chen, I. Y., & Ghassemi, M. (2021). Underdiagnosis bias of artificial intelligence algorithms applied to chest radiographs in under-served patient populations. Nature Medicine, 27(12), 2176. https://doi.org/10.1038/s41591-021-01595-0
21. Shamszare, H., & Choudhury, A. (2023). Clinicians' Perceptions of Artificial Intelligence: Focus on Workload, Risk, Trust, Clinical Decision Making, and Clinical Integration. Healthcare, 11(16), 2308. https://doi.org/10.3390/healthcare11162308
22. Shang, Z., Chauhan, V., Devi, K., & Patil, S. (2024). Artificial Intelligence, the Digital Surgeon: Unravelling Its Emerging Footprint in Healthcare – The Narrative Review [Review of Artificial Intelligence, the Digital Surgeon: Unravelling Its Emerging Footprint in Healthcare – The Narrative Review]. Journal of Multidisciplinary Healthcare, 4011. Dove Medical Press. https://doi.org/10.2147/jmdh.s482757
23. Viderman, D., Abdildin, Y. G., Batkuldinova, K., Badenes, R., & Bilotta, F. (2023). Artificial Intelligence in Resuscitation: A Scoping Review [Review of Artificial Intelligence in Resuscitation: A Scoping Review]. Journal of Clinical Medicine, 12(6), 2254. Multidisciplinary Digital Publishing Institute. https://doi.org/10.3390/jcm12062254
24. Davuluri, M. (2020). AI-Driven Drug Discovery: Accelerating the Path to New Treatments. International Journal of Machine Learning and Artificial Intelligence, 1(1).
25. Davuluri, M. (2017). AI-Enhanced Telemedicine: Bridging the Gap in Global Healthcare Access. International Numeric Journal of Machine Learning and Robots, 1(1).
26. Yarlagadda, V. S. T. (2022). AI-Driven Early Warning Systems for Critical Care Units: Enhancing Patient Safety. International Journal of Sustainable Development in Computer Science Engineering, 8(8).
27. Deekshith, A. (2023). Transfer Learning for Multilingual Speech Recognition in Low-Resource Languages. International Transactions in Machine Learning, 5(5).
28. Kolla, V. R. K. (2021). Cyber security operations centre ML framework for the needs of the users. International Journal of Machine Learning for Sustainable Development, 3(3), 11-20.
29. Yarlagadda, V. S. T. (2020). AI and Machine Learning for Optimizing Healthcare Resource Allocation in Crisis Situations. International Transactions in Machine Learning, 2(2).
30. Deekshith, A. (2020). AI-Enhanced Data Science: Techniques for Improved Data Visualization and Interpretation. International Journal of Creative Research In Computer Technology and Design, 2(2).
31. Kolla, V. R. K. (2022). A Secure Artificial Intelligence Agriculture Monitoring System. JounalNX, 2021.
32. Davuluri, M. (2021). AI for Chronic Disease Management: Improving Long-Term Patient Outcomes. International Journal of Machine Learning and Artificial Intelligence, 2(2).

33. Deekshith, A. (2018). Seeding the Future: Exploring Innovation and Absorptive Capacity in Healthcare 4.0 and HealthTech. Transactions on Latest Trends in IoT, 1(1), 90-99.
34. Davuluri, M. (2023). AI-Powered Crop Yield Prediction Using Multimodal Data Fusion. International Journal of Machine Learning for Sustainable Development, 3(2).
35. Yarlagadda, V. S. T. (2017). AI-Driven Personalized Health Monitoring: Enhancing Preventive Healthcare with Wearable Devices. International Transactions in Artificial Intelligence, 1(1).
36. Kolla, V. R. K. (2021). Prediction in Stock Market using AI. Transactions on Latest Trends in Health Sector, 13.
37. Deekshith, A. (2023). Scalable Machine Learning: Techniques for Managing Data Volume and Velocity in AI Applications. International Scientific Journal for Research, 5(5).
38. Yarlagadda, V. S. T. (2018). AI-Powered Virtual Health Assistants: Transforming Patient Care and Healthcare Delivery. International Journal of Sustainable Development in Computer Science Engineering, 4(4).
39. Davuluri, M. (2022). AI in Surgical Assistance: Enhancing Precision and Outcomes. International Machine learning journal and Computer Engineering, 5(5).
40. Deekshith, A. (2022). Cross-Disciplinary Approaches: The Role of Data Science in Developing AI-Driven Solutions for Business Intelligence. International Machine learning journal and Computer Engineering, 5(5).
41. Yarlagadda, V. S. T. (2019). AI for Remote Patient Monitoring: Improving Chronic Disease Management and Preventive Care. International Transactions in Artificial Intelligence, 3(3).
42. Kolla, V. R. K. (2022). AI-Driven Early Warning Systems for Critical Care Units: Enhancing Patient Safety. International Journal of Sustainable Development in Computer Science Engineering, 8(8).
43. Davuluri, M. (2021). AI in Personalized Oncology: Revolutionizing Cancer Care. International Machine learning journal and Computer Engineering, 4(4).
44. Alladi, D. (2021). Revolutionizing Emergency Care with AI: Predictive Models for Critical Interventions. International Numeric Journal of Machine Learning and Robots, 5(5).
45. Kolla, V. (2022). Machine Learning Application to Automate and Forecast Human Behaviours. International Journal of Machine Learning for Sustainable Development, 4(1), 1-10.
46. Deekshith, A. (2014). Neural Networks and Fuzzy Systems: A Synergistic Approach. Transactions on Latest Trends in Health Sector, 6(6).
47. Alladi, D. (2023). AI-Driven Healthcare Robotics: Enhancing Patient Care and Operational Efficiency. International Machine learning journal and Computer Engineering, 6(6).