International Journal for Multidisciplinary Research (IJFMR)
E-ISSN: 2582-2160 ● Website: www.ijfmr.com ● Email: editor@ijfmr.com

Silenced Histories: Subaltern Resistance in Colonial and Postcolonial India

Reshma Singh

PhD Scholar in Chanakya National Law University, Patna, Dept. of English

Abstract

The Subaltern Studies collective emerged in the 1980s as a radical intervention in the historiography of South Asia, aiming to recenter historical narratives around those marginalized or silenced by colonial and nationalist discourses. This paper explores the concept of subalternity and focuses on the forms of resistance enacted by subaltern groups in colonial and postcolonial India. Through an analysis of peasant rebellions, tribal uprisings, and Dalit movements, the paper examines how these suppressed voices reveal alternative modes of political expression and challenge dominant historical narratives. The paper also considers how subaltern resistance continues to manifest in contemporary India and the limitations of academic and institutional frameworks in representing these voices.

Keywords: Subaltern Studies, Marginalized, Resistance

Introduction

Mainstream historiography in South Asia, both colonial and nationalist, has traditionally privileged elite perspectives, often rendering the voices and actions of subaltern groups invisible. The Subaltern Studies group, with scholars such as Ranajit Guha, Partha Chatterjee, and Gayatri Chakravorty Spivak, sought to challenge this epistemological dominance by foregrounding the agency of the marginalized. The term "subaltern," borrowed from Antonio Gramsci, refers to populations outside the hegemonic power structure — including peasants, workers, tribals, and Dalits — whose resistance has often been misrepresented or ignored.

This research article investigates the mechanisms of silencing and the modes of resistance adopted by subaltern groups in colonial and postcolonial India. It argues that subaltern resistance is not only a reaction to oppression but also a form of self-assertion and cultural production that seeks to reconfigure power relations.

Theoretical Framework: Subalternity and Historiography

The Subaltern Studies collective emerged as a response to what it perceived as elitist biases in both colonial and nationalist historiography. Ranajit Guha, in his seminal work "Elementary Aspects of Peasant Insurgency in Colonial India," critiqued nationalist historiography for failing to acknowledge the autonomous domain of subaltern politics. According to Guha, the peasantry's insurgent consciousness was systematically misread or erased.

Gayatri Spivak's provocative question, "Can the Subaltern Speak?" highlights the epistemic violence embedded in the very act of representing the subaltern. She critiques both imperial and postcolonial intellectuals for speaking for the subaltern, thereby reinforcing their silencing. This article adopts

Spivak's cautionary stance while aiming to illuminate the structures that suppress subaltern voices and the strategies through which these groups reclaim agency.

Subalternity and Historiography

Subalternity, a term popularized by the Marxist theorist Antonio Gramsci and later expanded by the Subaltern Studies collective, refers to populations socially, politically, and geographically outside the hegemonic power structure. In historiography—the writing of history—it signals a shift away from dominant narratives centered on elites, toward the marginalized voices that have been silenced or ignored in traditional historical discourse.

The Subaltern Studies group, emerging in the 1980s in South Asia, critiqued both colonial and nationalist historiographies for their failure to account for the agency of the colonized. They emphasized that peasants, workers, women, and other disenfranchised groups were not merely passive victims of history but active agents in shaping it. This movement, influenced by poststructuralist and postcolonial theory, questioned the universality of Western historical methods and sought to uncover the "history from below."

Subaltern historiography challenges the linear, progress-oriented narrative of mainstream history. It instead foregrounds fragmented, localized, and often oral histories that disrupt dominant paradigms. Gayatri Chakravorty Spivak's seminal essay "Can the Subaltern Speak?" highlights the difficulty, and perhaps impossibility, of recovering subaltern voices within existing discursive frameworks, warning against appropriating those voices in the process of trying to represent them.

The approach also intersects with feminist and decolonial thought, urging historians to rethink whose perspectives are validated and recorded. By engaging with subalternity, historiography becomes not just a method of recounting the past but a political act of reclaiming suppressed experiences.

In sum, subalternity has significantly reshaped historiographical practice, pushing scholars to be more critical, inclusive, and reflexive. It reminds us that history is not monolithic but a contested terrain, where silence can speak volumes—and where the margins hold vital insights into the workings of power, resistance, and identity.

Subaltern Resistance in Colonial India

Peasant Rebellions: Colonial India witnessed numerous peasant uprisings that challenged both the British state and indigenous landlords. The Indigo Revolt (1859-60), the Deccan Riots (1875), and the Tebhaga Movement (1946) are prominent examples of agrarian unrest. These revolts were often localized and lacked centralized leadership, yet they constituted powerful acts of resistance.

The Indigo Revolt in Bengal arose against European planters who forced peasants to grow indigo under exploitative conditions. Though it did not achieve structural reform, the rebellion succeeded in drawing attention to rural exploitation and paved the way for future resistance. Similarly, the Deccan Riots were spontaneous and targeted moneylenders, reflecting the class antagonism embedded in colonial agrarian structures.

Tribal Uprisings: Tribal communities, heavily impacted by British forest policies and land alienation, launched several rebellions. The Santhal Rebellion (1855-56) and the Munda Ulgulan (1899-1900) led by Birsa Munda are key instances of tribal resistance.

The Santhals revolted against the oppressive zamindari system and colonial interference. Despite being brutally suppressed, the rebellion was significant for its scale and organization. Birsa Munda's

movement, blending tribal customs with a messianic vision, sought to restore traditional rights and challenge colonial rule. These revolts are often seen as primitive or pre-political, but Subaltern Studies reinterprets them as legitimate political acts rooted in indigenous worldviews.

Dalit Movements: Although Dalit assertion gained momentum in the 20th century, its roots lie in colonial-era struggles. Jyotirao Phule and later B.R. Ambedkar challenged both caste and colonial dominance. Ambedkar's political philosophy emphasized social justice, representation, and the annihilation of caste — a form of resistance that went beyond mere inclusion to demand structural change.

Subaltern Resistance in Postcolonial India

Peasant and Tribal Movements Post-Independence:

Post-independence India did not eliminate the conditions that led to subaltern marginalization. The Telangana Rebellion (1946-51), Naxalite movement (from 1967), and ongoing tribal movements in central India reflect continued resistance.

The Naxalite movement, originating in West Bengal, represented a radical break from parliamentary politics, advocating armed struggle for land redistribution. While the movement has been critiqued for its violence, it highlights the failures of the state to address rural inequality. Similarly, tribal groups continue to resist state-led development projects that displace communities and exploit natural resources.

Post-independence India witnessed a continuation and transformation of peasant and tribal movements, as these groups struggled to assert their rights in the face of persistent socio-economic inequalities. While independence in 1947 marked the end of colonial rule, it did not immediately resolve the structural problems faced by the rural poor, including landlessness, indebtedness, exploitation by landlords and moneylenders, and alienation from forest resources.

Peasant movements post-1947 often centered on demands for land reform, abolition of feudal structures, and fair wages. One of the most prominent movements was the Telangana Rebellion (1946–51), led by communist groups against feudal landlords in the Hyderabad region. Though it began before independence, it continued into the early years of the Republic, influencing agrarian policies. The Naxalite movement, which began in 1967 in Naxalbari, West Bengal, marked a radical turn, with armed struggle and Maoist ideology at its core. It spread across several states, highlighting the deep-rooted agrarian distress and calling for revolutionary land redistribution.

Tribal movements in post-independence India focused on issues such as displacement due to development projects, forest rights, and cultural autonomy. The Jharkhand Movement aimed for a separate tribal state, which was eventually realized in 2000. Similarly, the Chipko Movement in the 1970s, although often seen as an environmental protest, was also rooted in tribal resistance to commercial forestry and ecological degradation.

Both peasant and tribal movements challenged the state's development agenda, which often prioritized industrial and infrastructural growth over indigenous and agrarian rights. These movements forced the Indian state to introduce land reforms, forest rights legislation (like the Forest Rights Act, 2006), and tribal welfare policies.

In essence, post-independence peasant and tribal movements reflect the continuing struggle for equity, justice, and recognition within a rapidly modernizing nation-state.

Dalit Assertion and Identity Politics:

Dalit resistance in postcolonial India has evolved into a vibrant political and cultural movement. The Dalit Panthers, inspired by the Black Panthers, emerged in the 1970s to combat caste violence and assert cultural pride. The rise of parties like the Bahujan Samaj Party (BSP) reflects the politicization of Dalit identity.

Literature and art have also become sites of resistance. Dalit autobiographies, such as Omprakash Valmiki's Joothan, articulate lived experiences of discrimination and resistance. These narratives challenge dominant cultural norms and assert the intellectual agency of Dalits.

Dalit Assertion and Identity Politics (300 words)

Dalit assertion and identity politics have emerged as powerful movements in post-independence India, challenging the deeply entrenched caste hierarchies and striving for dignity, equality, and social justice. Though the Indian Constitution, under the leadership of Dr. B.R. Ambedkar, outlawed untouchability and provided affirmative action for Scheduled Castes, the lived realities of Dalits continued to be marked by discrimination, violence, and marginalization.

Dalit assertion gained momentum in the 1970s and 1980s, with a new generation of activists, writers, and political leaders who sought to redefine Dalit identity beyond victimhood. This assertion found expression in literature, art, grassroots movements, and political mobilization. Dalit literature, especially in Marathi and Tamil, voiced the raw, unfiltered experiences of caste oppression and served as a tool of resistance and self-expression.

Politically, parties like the Bahujan Samaj Party (BSP), founded by Kanshi Ram and later led by Mayawati, brought Dalit issues to the forefront of mainstream politics. The BSP's slogan, "Bahujan Hitay, Bahujan Sukhay" (for the benefit and happiness of the majority), emphasized solidarity among all oppressed castes and communities. This period saw Dalit identity being celebrated with pride, turning caste from a source of stigma into a source of political strength.

Dalit assertion also questioned the inadequacy of upper-caste-led progressive movements and demanded representation on their own terms. Movements like Dalit Panthers in Maharashtra drew inspiration from the Black Panthers in the U.S., advocating for radical social change.

Identity politics, though sometimes critiqued for fragmenting broader social movements, played a crucial role in empowering marginalized voices and reshaping India's democratic discourse. It foregrounded questions of dignity, self-respect, and the right to speak and lead.

Ultimately, Dalit assertion has been vital in challenging the status quo, rewriting history from the margins, and envisioning a more inclusive and just society.

Challenges in Representing Subaltern Voices

Despite the recognition of subaltern agency, significant challenges remain in representing their voices authentically. Academic and institutional frameworks often co-opt or sanitize subaltern narratives. Language, literacy, and access to platforms restrict self-representation.

Spivak's warning about the "ventriloquism" of elite scholars remains pertinent. The act of writing about the subaltern must be approached with reflexivity, recognizing the asymmetries of power inherent in representation. Oral histories, participatory research, and collaborative storytelling offer alternative methods to engage with subaltern voices.

Representing subaltern voices—those of marginalized and oppressed groups—poses significant challenges within academic, literary, and political discourses. The term "subaltern," rooted in Antonio

Gramsci's writings and later developed by the Subaltern Studies collective, refers to groups excluded from dominant power structures. Efforts to represent these voices often face issues of authenticity, appropriation, and epistemic limitations.

One major challenge is the problem of mediation. Subaltern voices are often interpreted and presented by elite scholars, activists, or writers who may not share the same lived experiences. This raises the risk of misrepresentation or distortion, as the subaltern's voice is filtered through frameworks that may not fully capture their realities. As Gayatri Chakravorty Spivak famously asked, “Can the Subaltern Speak?”, she warned that attempts to speak for the subaltern might ultimately reinforce the very structures of silencing they seek to challenge.

Another difficulty is the lack of access to dominant platforms. Subaltern groups often lack the resources, education, or political power to represent themselves in mainstream forums. Their histories and narratives are typically oral, fragmented, or excluded from institutional archives, making them harder to retrieve and validate within conventional historiography or scholarship.

There’s also the challenge of language and translation. When subaltern experiences are translated into dominant languages or academic jargon, nuances and cultural meanings may be lost. This can dilute the authenticity of their voice and experiences.

Moreover, the politics of visibility complicates representation. Highlighting certain subaltern voices while ignoring others can create hierarchies within the marginalized, leading to selective empowerment.

To responsibly engage with subaltern voices, scholars and writers must adopt a self-reflexive, ethical approach that prioritizes listening, collaboration, and creating spaces where subalterns can speak on their own terms. True representation requires dismantling barriers, not just translating experiences.

Contemporary Relevance and Ongoing Struggles

Subaltern resistance continues in contemporary India through protests against land acquisition, environmental degradation, caste violence, and cultural erasure. Movements like those in Niyamgiri (Odisha), the anti-CAA protests, and Dalit agitations against atrocities reflect ongoing struggles.

The digital age has opened new avenues for subaltern expression, but also presents challenges of visibility, misrepresentation, and digital divides. Social media has amplified voices, but also exposed activists to surveillance and repression.

Subaltern struggles remain deeply relevant in contemporary times, as structural inequalities, marginalization, and exclusion continue to shape the lives of many across the globe. In India and beyond, the voices of the oppressed—Dalits, Adivasis, women, LGBTQ+ individuals, religious minorities, and the working poor—still face systemic barriers to justice, representation, and dignity.

Globalization and neoliberal economic policies have intensified certain forms of marginalization. For instance, indigenous communities are frequently displaced by large-scale development projects, such as mining or infrastructure expansion, without adequate compensation or consent. Despite laws like the Forest Rights Act (2006) in India, Adivasi groups continue to struggle for their legal rights over land and resources. These struggles highlight the persistent exclusion of subaltern groups from decision-making processes that directly impact their lives.

Contemporary Dalit movements, student protests (such as those following the death of Rohith Vemula), and farmer agitations reflect the ongoing assertion of identity and resistance against caste, class, and state oppression. Digital platforms have also become important tools for amplifying subaltern voices, enabling marginalized communities to narrate their own stories and mobilize support. However, digital

spaces can also be sites of surveillance, censorship, and backlash, especially for dissenting or minority voices.

Globally, movements like Black Lives Matter resonate with subaltern discourse, highlighting how racialized and economically oppressed communities resist systemic violence. The contemporary relevance of subaltern studies lies in its ability to analyze power from the margins and expose the failures of liberal democracies to address deep-rooted inequalities.

Ultimately, ongoing subaltern struggles compel societies to confront uncomfortable truths about power, privilege, and justice. They demand a reimagining of democracy—not just as a system of governance, but as a practice of inclusion, recognition, and genuine voice for those historically silenced.

Conclusion

Subaltern resistance in colonial and postcolonial India reveals a rich tapestry of struggles for justice, dignity, and autonomy. These resistances, often silenced or marginalized, challenge dominant historical narratives and demand a more inclusive historiography. While the Subaltern Studies project has been instrumental in reshaping academic discourse, the task of genuinely listening to and amplifying subaltern voices remains ongoing. Future scholarship must continue to critique power, foreground marginalized epistemologies, and support the subaltern's right to speak and be heard.

References

1. Guha, R. (1983). Elementary Aspects of Peasant Insurgency in Colonial India. Oxford University Press.
2. Spivak, G. C. (1988). "Can the Subaltern Speak?" in Marxism and the Interpretation of Culture, ed. Nelson and Grossberg.
3. Chatterjee, P. (1993). The Nation and Its Fragments: Colonial and Postcolonial Histories. Princeton University Press.
4. Arnold, D. (1984). Gramsci and Peasant Subalternity in India. Journal of Peasant Studies.
5. Omvedt, G. (1994). Dalits and the Democratic Revolution: Dr. Ambedkar and the Dalit Movement in Colonial India. Sage Publications.
6. Hardiman, D. (1992). Peasant Resistance in India 1858–1914. Oxford University Press.
7. Valmiki, O. (2003). Joothan: A Dalit's Life. Trans. Arun Prabha Mukherjee. Columbia University Press.
8. Shah, A. (2018). Nightmarch: Among India's Revolutionary Guerrillas. HarperCollins.
9. Teltumbde, A. (2010). The Persistence of Caste. Navayana.
10. Baviskar, A. (2005). In the Belly of the River: Tribal Conflicts over Development in the Narmada Valley. Oxford University Press.