

Strategic Responses of Restaurant Businesses to COVID-19: A Study on Crisis Adaptation and Recovery

Jacqueline S. Antalan

PhD, Assistant Professor IV, College of Business and Management, Isabela State University

Abstract

The COVID-19 pandemic imposed unprecedented challenges on the restaurant industry, disrupting core business functions and threatening operational sustainability. This study investigates the managerial, financial, administrative, operational, and marketing difficulties encountered by restaurant businesses during the pandemic, as well as the strategic responses formulated to address them. Data were gathered through a validated researcher-made questionnaire and analyzed to reveal that restaurants faced issues such as workforce downsizing, fluctuating expenses, shifting consumer demands, and reduced market activity. In response, business owners employed adaptive strategies, including flexible staffing, cost-control mechanisms, health and safety compliance measures, digital transformation, and enhanced customer engagement initiatives. The findings underscore the industry's resilience and emphasize the role of innovation and strategic management in navigating crises. This study recommends the development of comprehensive crisis response plans, employee support programs, digital marketing integration, and continuous business model innovation to enhance resilience and adaptability in the face of future disruptions.

Keywords: COVID-19 Pandemic; Restaurant Industry; Crisis Management; Adaptive Strategies; Business Resilience.

1. Introduction

Restaurants, especially small and medium-sized businesses in developing nations, found the COVID-19 pandemic to be quite difficult. Changing customer behavior, social distancing policies, and lockdowns caused operational limits, financial pressure, and labor management challenges. To survive, restaurateurs have to use several adaptive techniques including digital transformation, product diversification, and strategic alliances [5,15]. Key changes concentrated on fostering originality, preserving reputation, and keeping profitability [15].

Successful restaurants showed resilience by means of good planning and adaptive capacity, giving customer and staff welfare first priority, and strengthening leadership and creativity [16]. Navigating the "new normal" depended much on crisis management systems and business model innovation. Business resilience was defined by personalized delivery services, partnerships with other businesses, and efficient risk management [5]. These plans allowed restaurants to adjust to shifting market conditions and minimize the effect of the global health crisis.

Among the most affected industries in the Philippines was the restaurant sector—made up of large food companies and SMEs. The pandemic made current problems in human resource management, financial stability, administrative decision-making, and operational efficiency more severe. Many restaurant owners found it difficult to keep cash flow, handle labor shortages, obtain raw materials, and react to changing demand. Many therefore had to create solutions quickly, using ideas like computerized ordering systems, food delivery alliances, and additional income sources to stay competitive in a changing industry.

The findings of this study offer a comprehensive understanding of how restaurateurs in Cauayan City handled the challenges the COVID-19 pandemic generated. By demonstrating how local restaurants employed crisis management, technological adoption, and strategic planning to survive and adapt to the crisis, the study underlines their resilience and inventiveness. Since they offer reasonable suggestions and answers to enhance crisis readiness and corporate continuity in the hospitality sector, these findings offer helpful tools for legislators, restaurateurs, and business support organizations.

Moreover, the study contributes to the academic data on crisis management in the restaurant industry and offers insights that can enable schools to prepare students to manage crises in hospitality businesses.

2. Research Methodology

The major data collecting tool was a questionnaire designed by the researcher focusing on various aspects of human resource, financial, administrative, operational, and marketing strategies. Some parts of the questionnaire were adapted with permission from a previous study [26]. The Survey questionnaire was confirmed by three experts in the hospitality management and business research sector. A reliability test on the instrument generated Cronbach's alpha coefficient to ensure consistency and accuracy. Strong internal consistency was indicated by a Cronbach's alpha score of 0.85, so confirming that the survey items regularly evaluated the primary variables related to managerial challenges and adaptive strategies.

All the restaurants in Cauayan City, Isabela were considered as the population of the study. Purposive sampling was used in identifying the restaurants and restaurateurs included in the study. The researcher selected the restaurateurs as the respondents of the study.

The following were the inclusion criteria set by the researcher:

1. Restaurants who were in operations for 2 years before the surged of the pandemic.
2. Restaurants that are classified as eateries, fast food restaurants, casual dining restaurants, and cafes.
3. Restaurants that continued to operate after the pandemic

The data collected were analyzed using descriptive statistics, specifically mean and standard deviation, to evaluate the central tendencies and variability of the responses. These statistical measures facilitated a clear understanding of the most frequently adopted strategies and their perceived impact on business continuity.

The study adhered to ethical research guidelines assuring that participants' names were confidential and that they voluntarily participated in the study. Informed consent guided data gathering; the subjects could leave at any moment free of consequences.

3. Results and Discussions

1. Challenges That Restaurant Business Faced During the Pandemic

Table 1.1 Human Resource Management

HUMAN RESOURCE MANAGEMENT	Mean	SD	Verbal Description
1. cutting back on staff due to the increased in take-out and delivery services.	4.18	0.68	Agree
2. letting workers pick work hours with a schedule change	4.23	0.62	Strongly Agree
3. Retaining servers by converting them to delivery drivers	4.30	0.70	Strongly Agree
4. Finding, training, and keeping staff	3.63	1.19	Agree
5. equalizing pay for workers with varying hours rendered	2.43	1.01	Slightly Agree
Overall Weighted Mean	3.76	0.45	Agree

The approach of cutting back on staff because of more takeout and delivery services got a mean score of 4.18, suggesting consensus. This implies that restaurants changed their personnel levels to fit consumer behavior shifts, hence complementing studies on operational flexibility in HRM under crisis [10]; [25]. Scoring 4.23, "Strongly Agree," the policy of letting workers pick work hours with a schedule change was praised. Retaining servers by converting them to delivery drivers scored 4.30, suggesting good consensus. This method helps HRM plans for skill use and talent retention during changes in the organization [3]; [31]

Finding, training, and keeping staff, on the other side, earned 3.63, "Agree," indicating executional space for improvement. With some variation (SD = 1.01), the approach of equalizing pay for workers with varying hours rendered received the lowest average of 2.43, suggesting mixed support for the practice. Restaurateurs mostly agreed on the importance of various HRM tactics, as shown by the Overall Weighted Mean of 3.76, which emphasizes staff choices' flexibility and creativity.

Table 1.2 Financial Management

FINANCIAL MANAGEMENT	Mean	SD	Verbal Description
1. Providing carryout options increases supply costs	3.92	0.89	Agree
2. Inadequate capital to handle unanticipated cost increases	4.02	1.13	Agree
3. Providing health care coverage for employees	3.82	0.95	Agree
4. Handling increasing fixed expenses like rent, etc.	4.30	0.79	Strongly Agree
5. Generating enough revenue to break even	4.00	0.82	Agree
6. Food price adjustments	4.25	0.84	Strongly Agree
7. Balancing delivery costs with profitability	4.28	0.78	Strongly Agree
Overall Weighted Mean	4.08	0.42	Agree

Key financial management issues restaurants face are highlighted by the data in Table 1.2, including handling increasing fixed expenses like rent and balancing delivery costs with profitability. Respondents mostly believe that these financial issues are important given an overall weighted mean of 4.08. High

mean scores for "handling increasing fixed expenses like rent" (4.30) and "balancing delivery costs with profitability" (4.28) in particular show the challenges in managing financial resources in a sector with variable demand and escalating operational costs.

The results also highlight the need of capital allocation, forecasting, and risk management in attaining financial stability in the restaurant sector. The statistics point to restaurants' difficulties with inadequate capital to handle unanticipated cost increases (mean = 4.02) and food price adjustments (mean = 4.25). These problems underline the importance of strategic financial planning for restaurant management to cope with the unpredictability of running expenses. Efficient financial management, as observed by [19], should be implemented comprising of cost containment and strategic decision making, to assist companies in maintaining their balance during tough times.

Table 1.3 Administrative Management

ADMINISTRATIVE MANAGEMENT	Mean	SD	Verbal Description
1. Delivering superior service and maximizing customer satisfaction	4.27	0.69	Strongly Agree
2. Organizing and supervising shifts	4.33	0.71	Strongly Agree
3. Ensuring compliance with sanitation and safety regulations	4.35	0.82	Strongly Agree
4. Controlling operational costs and identify measures to cut waste	4.25	0.68	Strongly Agree
5. Recommending ways to reach a broader audience (e.g. social media ads)	4.07	0.95	Agree
6. Coordinating daily restaurant management operations	4.15	0.90	Agree
7. Trouble-shooting problems/adjustments	4.13	0.81	Agree
8. Responding to restaurant customer complaints	3.97	0.99	Agree
Overall Weighted Mean	4.19	0.35	Agree

The COVID-19 pandemic and its effects on the hospitality sector is a current concern paralleling the research on administrative management in restaurants in Table 1.3. The industry's high mean scores for "Ensuring compliance with sanitation and safety regulations" (4.35) and "Organizing and supervising shifts" (4.33) clearly indicate that the industry undertakes efforts to keep high cleanliness standards and alter staff workloads to mitigate pandemic challenges. [19] emphasized that the pandemic compelled restaurants to adopt crisis management techniques, which included innovative approaches such as modifying operational expenses or increasing delivery options. These findings support the notion of considerable operational constriction—such as in magnitude and scope of changes in staff or expenditure supervision—being critical for the preservation of restaurants in distressing times [21]; [20][12]

Likewise, Scores of 4.13 for 'Troubleshooting problems/adjustments' and 4.15 for 'Coordinating daily restaurant management operations' shows the need to respond to new remote work environments. These measures aimed at crisis management and flexible operational change outlines how the industry responded to the pandemic's unprecedented challenges [20]. Collectively, these findings point to the need for rapid and dynamic innovation in administrative leadership during the pandemic.

Table 1.4 Operations Management

OPERATIONS MANAGEMENT	Mean	SD	Verbal Description
1. Keeping up with health and sanitation requirements	4.28	0.80	Strongly Agree
2. Adjusting to government-imposed business restrictions	4.37	1.04	Strongly Agree
3. Responding to shifting guest preferences and expectations to maintain healthy operations	4.17	0.87	Agree
4. Controlling food costs through rotating inventory.	4.42	0.67	Strongly Agree
5. Menu pricing and planning for profit	4.23	0.81	Strongly Agree
6. Mobile technology adoption	4.38	0.78	Strongly Agree
7. active online presence	4.25	0.68	Strongly Agree
Overall Weighted Mean	4.30	0.41	Strongly Agree

The mean score of 4.28 on health and sanitation indicates that businesses had to zealously observe emerging health policies regarding the safety of both consumers and staff. Such results on operations management, along with a strong focus on flexibility and resilience within the restaurant industry through the COVID-19 crisis. It is in accordance with previous research which indicates that strict cleaning standards maintained during the pandemic were crucial for operational continuity [19]. The same commitment is demonstrated by the average score of 4.37 which reveals compliance with government - imposed restrictions, which underscores the difficulty that the sector faced in coping with constantly shifting regulations. [21] especially emphasize that the disparity of government policies across regions made such operational flexibility essential for survival.

The restaurant industry's focus on cost control and resource optimization is further demonstrated by the high average ratings for “Controlling food costs through rotating inventory” (4.42) and “Menu pricing and planning for profit” (4.23).

[20] stated that effective inventory and menu management facilitated waste reduction and income maximization during erratic demand and supply chain disruptions. Mobile technology adoption (mean score 4.38) and active online presence (mean score 4.25) highlight digital tool participation essential for adapting mobile consumer behavior to delivery and online ordering dependencies This evidence captures wide, modern research empirically documenting emerging shifts toward modern technological management systems participation, where automation and consumer systems significantly heighten operational efficiency during crises [2].

Table 1.5 Sales And Marketing

Sales and Marketing /Demand Reduction	Mean	SD	Verbal Description
1. Lower meal orders	3.77	1.36	Agree
2. Increased in Delivery Food Service	4.57	0.67	Strongly Agree
3. Shorter operating hours	3.83	0.85	Agree
4. Decreased in sales	3.37	1.07	Moderately Agree
5. Orders are being cancelled	3.27	1.12	Moderately Agree
			Agree
Over all weighted mean	3.76	0.68	Agree

With an overall weighted mean of 3.76, the statistics on demand reduction in the restaurant sector show notable difficulties, suggesting a general consensus that demand reduction is a common problem. Reflecting a change in customer behavior towards off-premise dining, probably driven by safety concerns during the pandemic, respondents strongly agreed (mean: 4.57) that there was an increased reliance on delivery services. Other trends that also show the significant influence on income and operational changes made by restaurants are lower meal orders (mean: 3.77), shorter operating hours (mean: 3.83), and lower sales (mean: 3.37). Order cancellations (mean: 3.27) draw more attention to the consumer demand unpredictability, which makes operational planning more difficult. These results support earlier research of [14,17], which underlines the effect of external shocks such as the COVID-19 pandemic on restaurant demand and customer preferences. Among the ideas put out by academics to overcome these obstacles are diversifying income sources, using technology for quick delivery, and adopting flexible operational models [7, 11]. These plans guarantee that restaurants will be able to more negotiate future disturbances by enabling them to increase adaptation and resilience in response of changing demand.

2. Adaptation Strategies Employed by Restaurateurs in coping with the pandemic crisis

Table 2.1 Human Resource Adaptation Strategies

Human Resource Adaptation Strategies	Mean	SD	Verbal Description
1. Flexible scheduling and shift adjustments	4.25	0.69	Strongly Agree
2. Role reassignment (e.g., servers to delivery staff)	4.20	0.74	Agree
3. Targeted recruitment for key roles	3.75	1.10	Agree
4. Upskilling and cross-training of employees	3.68	0.91	Agree
5. Temporary layoffs and reduced working hours	3.92	0.77	Agree
Overall Weighted Mean	3.96	0.44	Agree

Table 2.1 presents the adaptation strategies employed by restaurants to address human resource challenges during the COVID-19 pandemic. The overall weighted mean of 3.96, interpreted as “Agree,” indicates a strong inclination toward strategic and responsive HR measures.

The highest-rated strategy was flexible scheduling and shift adjustments (mean = 4.25), echoing the findings in Table 1.1 where letting workers choose their work schedules was strongly agreed upon. This affirms the growing need for adaptable work arrangements during periods of uncertainty, supporting [1], who emphasized that flexible work practices have become central to sustaining organizational operations during the pandemic.

Role reassignment (mean = 4.20), such as converting servers into delivery drivers, also gained high support, reinforcing the value of maximizing internal human capital through agility and creativity. This aligns with [24] who underscored the effectiveness of turnaround strategies and institutional responsiveness in mitigating crisis impacts.

Other adaptation strategies such as targeted recruitment (mean = 3.75) and upskilling or cross-training (mean = 3.68) highlight proactive efforts to strengthen workforce capabilities and meet shifting demands—critical HR functions that enhance both efficiency and talent retention [1]. The moderate support for temporary layoffs and reduced hours (mean = 3.92) reflects necessary but difficult decisions

made to ensure business continuity. These measures mirror global HRM trends where organizations paused agendas and adjusted employment terms to survive.

Moreover, the data underscore how organizations balanced operational goals with employee well-being—echoing [18], who highlighted the psychological and structural demands placed on HR teams during the pandemic. The findings of [4, 23] supports this by highlighting how labor shortages during the COVID-19 pandemic were influenced by individuals' preference to minimize work effort once their desired utility level was met—an insight that aligns with the observed staffing challenges and adaptive strategies employed by hospitality businesses in this study.

Table 2.2 Financial Adaptations

Financial	Mean	SD	Verbal Description
1. Rescheduling of bank loans	3.10	1.20	Sometimes
2. Rescheduling of invoices payment	2.97	0.99	Sometimes
3. Reduction of operating costs (e.g., layoffs and salary reductions)	2.73	0.82	Sometimes
4. Loans to commercial banks	2.62	0.67	Sometimes
5. Recording and compiling of weekly rolling cash flows plan	2.68	0.85	Sometimes
Overall Weighted Mean	2.82	0.41	Sometimes

In summary, the financial strategies employed by organizations, as indicated by the provided data, reflect a pragmatic and adaptive response to the economic challenges posed by the COVID-19 pandemic. The sometimes categorization suggests a selective implementation of financial measures tailored to the specific needs and circumstances of restaurant industry.[8] supported the results of this study by highlighting how restaurants in developing countries faced severe financial and operational challenges during the COVID-19 pandemic, necessitating urgent managerial adaptations to remain functional.

Table 2.3 Administrative Management Adaptations

Management	Mean	SD	Verbal Description
1. Temporarily reduced employment	3.37	1.01	Often
2. Programs to encourage virtual working	3.97	0.94	Often
3. Re-arrangement of facilities to mitigate COVID-19 spread	4.07	0.97	Often
4. Increased communication and transparency	2.87	1.00	Sometimes
5. Social distancing at work	3.97	0.78	Often
Overall Weighted Mean	3.65	0.36	Often

Scoring 4.07, the re-arrangement of facilities to minimize COVID-19 spread fits suggested health protocols [29], hence stressing the need of changing work areas for staff safety. Though their frequency may be better, increased communication and openness, rating 2.87, fit crisis communication ideas [27]. Scoring 3.97, the focus on social distance shows a general dedication to health and safety policies [6]. All

things considered, the statistics show adaptive techniques to keep operational continuity while giving worker well-being during the pandemic first priority. [24] reinforce the findings of this study by highlighting the importance of strategic human resource practices—such as workforce restructuring and employee engagement—as key components of organizational turnaround efforts during the COVID-19 crisis.

Table 2.4 Operational Adaptations

Technical	Mean	SD	Verbal Description
1. Adjustment of hours of operations	4.43	0.50	Always
2. Communicate takeout or delivery solutions	4.45	0.50	Always
3. Reassure strict restaurant safety and sanitation measures ns effectively.	4.62	0.49	Always
4. Sourcing of new local suppliers	4.60	0.49	Always
5. Reduced utility costs and other operating costs	4.50	0.50	Always
Over all weighted mean	4.52	0.19	Always

With an overall weighted mean of 4.52, the data on technical tactics used by restaurateurs shows a proactive and consistent approach, classed as "Always." Specific tactics, including changing hours (4.43), informing takeaway or delivery (4.45), guaranteeing rigorous safety policies (4.62), finding new local suppliers (4.60), and lowering utility prices (4.50), show the industry's flexibility and dedication to creativity. Emphasizing communication, safety, and operational changes as vital in the food sector, these results fit crisis management [28]. The high mean ratings across these techniques highlight the industry's dedication to guaranteeing operational continuity and resilience through adaptive technical solutions. All things considered, the data shows restaurateurs' regular application of technical tactics in line with crisis management best practices and their dedication to continuous operations. [5] reinforced the present study's findings by demonstrating how disruptive strategies in gastronomy—such as service innovation and technological adaptation—were essential for business survival during the pandemic. Likewise [15] emphasized that SME restaurants in Malaysia adopted key adaptive strategies such as digital marketing, food delivery services, and operational streamlining to navigate the challenges posed by the COVID-19 pandemic.

Table 2.5 Marketing Adaptations

Marketing	Mean	SD	Verbal Description
1. Used social media platforms to advertise various products and services	4.38	0.76	Always
2. Offering lowered prices	4.12	0.69	Often
3. Utilization of online sales	4.1	0.63	Often
4. Customized/new products	4.27	0.69	Always
5. Increased marketing efforts	4.08	0.65	Often

Over all weighted mean	4.20	0.32	Always
------------------------	------	------	--------

The high mean scores show that restaurateurs generally welcomed digital platforms for marketing, carefully changed prices to draw and keep customers during economic hardship, valued online sales channels, dedicated to product innovation, and stepped up marketing campaigns. These results fit the wider literature, including [28] who underline the need of using social media for improved interaction; [22], who address strategic pricing in reaction to economic difficulties; and [9], who investigate creative business responses across sectors. The data overall shows a proactive attitude in the restaurant sector supporting modern digital marketing, smart pricing, and adaptive innovation to negotiate the complexity of the present corporate scene.

4. Conclusions

The study found that restaurant businesses struggled with myriad challenges across different areas of operation during the COVID-19 pandemic. In human resource management, issues like workforce downsizing, role assignment changes, and scheduling alteration posed problems for restaurants. The management of increasing fixed expenses, food price adjustments, and delivery profitability also made financial management difficult. Administratively, restaurants had to navigate the difficult, ever-changing customer requirements alongside the strict health protocols they needed to follow, operational efficiency, and customer requirement adaptability. Additionally, operational and marketing issues like lower demand, reduced business hours, delivery service dependence, and an overall altered operational rhythm emerged as primary hurdles during the pandemic.

In response to these issues, restaurant owners implemented various strategies. Staffing solutions addressed role assignment changes and effective employee scheduling through the use of flexible schedules and temporary furloughs. Some financial strategies, such as deferring loan payments and decreasing operational expenses, were also selectively utilized. In terms of administration, maintenance of safe operations was ensured through facility rearrangement, social distancing measures, and virtual work. Adjustments made in the operations stratum included industry-wide change in business hours, improved sanitation practices, new supplier sourcing, and utility cost optimization, while the marketing adaptations aimed to keep drawing and retaining clients through social media, customized products, and online sales. In a nutshell, the research shows that innovation and strategy characterized the restaurant industry's response. Even with the challenges presented by the pandemic, companies successfully adjusted by utilizing responsive human resource policies, managing finances carefully, ensuring compliance with authority requirements, and improving economic and sociological marketing. These actions not only preserved operational capacity during the crisis, but also ensured strategic recovery and proactive growth in a post-altered business climate.

Recommendations

With regard to the results of this research, the following recommendations are put forward with the aim of assisting restaurant firms strengthen their resilience and adaptability in times of crisis:

Restaurant owners and managers need to formulate and frequently revise crisis management policies that seek to comprehensively cover staffing, finances, supply chains, and even health emergencies. These policies should allow for unexpected changes while sustaining continuity in operations.

Improving multi-command, health procedure, and customer service training impacts health protocol servicing which enhances staff resilience to future disruptions. In addition, help available to workers such as mental health, flexible scheduling, and other supportive services can stave off workforce demoralization and bolster productivity.

Social media and internet advertising, online ordering, and contactless payment systems can improve operational efficiency and enable greater market penetration even during constricted conditions.

By bolstering the financial restraining barriers for other expenditures, reserving certain spending granted by budget cuts, diversifying revenue channels, and freely communicating with funding or financing bodies improves maneuverability alongside economic downturns.

Foster Community and Customer Engagement – Maintaining a good relationship with the local community as well as the customers through digital platforms, consistent communication, and even personalized services helps build brand loyalty which is important during tough times.

Encourage Constant Evaluation and Changes in the Innovative Business Model – Lastly, restaurant owners have to ask themselves do they maintain a culture of innovation by revising their business models, responding to their market, and adding new services proactively.

The aforementioned strategies will not only help restaurant businesses recover from the challenges they faced in the past but also help them become more flexible and competitive in the eyes of future challenges.

References

1. Azizi, M., Atlasi, R., Ziapour, A., Abbas, J., & Naemi, R. (2021). Human resource management strategies in response to COVID-19 pandemic: A comprehensive review. *Heliyon*, 7(2), e06237. <https://doi.org/10.1016/j.heliyon.2021.e06237>
2. Bajpai, A. (2023). Financial management. *International Journal of Advanced Research in Science, Communication and Technology*, 3(1), 205. <https://doi.org/10.48175/IJARSC-8585>
3. Bos-Nehles, A. C., Renkema, M., & Janssen, M. (2017). Employee proactivity in organizations: A comparative meta-analysis of emergent proactive constructs. *Journal of Organizational Behavior*, 38(2), 181-199.
4. Cebula, R., & Foley, M. (2022). Labor shortages during the COVID-19 and labor supply based on minimizing effort to achieve a target utility level: Confounding economic policies. *Journal of Financial Economic Policy*, 14(6), 762–767. <https://doi.org/10.1108/JFEP-03-2022-0080>
5. Carrera, M., Salas, S., Vizcarra Tasso, M., & Armesto Céspedes, M. (2024). Surviving the challenge: The crucial role of disruptive strategies in pandemic gastronomy. *Tourism and Heritage*, 22, 147–162. <https://doi.org/10.24265/turpatrim.2024.n22.08>
6. Centers for Disease Control and Prevention. (2020). COVID-19 Employer Information for Office Buildings. Retrieved from <https://www.cdc.gov/coronavirus/2019-ncov/community/office-buildings.html>
7. Chen, C. C., Chen, Y. H., & Tsai, H. T. (2021). How do restaurants cope with the COVID-19 pandemic? An exploration of reactive and proactive approaches. *International Journal of Hospitality Management*, 93, 102767.
8. Geltzer, H., Jia, S. (Justin), & Hamill, B. (2023). Assessing the impacts of COVID-19 on the restaurant industry in developing countries: Reviews & lessons. *Journal of Student Research*, 12(3). <https://doi.org/10.47611/jsrhs.v12i3.4927>

9. Garcia, L., & Lee, S. (2023). Innovative Responses to Business Challenges: A Cross-Industry Case Study Analysis. *Journal of Business Innovation*, 30(1), 78-95.
10. Gupta, V., & Shaw, J. D. (2014). Employee compensation: The neglected area of HRM research. *Human Resource Management Review*, 24(1), 1-4.
11. Gursoy, D., & Chi, C. G. (2020). Effects of COVID-19 pandemic on hospitality industry: Review of the current situations and a research agenda. *Journal of Hospitality Marketing and Management*, 29(5), 527–529. <https://doi.org/10.1080/19368623.2020.1788231>
12. Jia, S. (Justin), Geltzer, H., & Hamill, B. (2023). Assessing the impacts of COVID-19 on the restaurant industry in developing countries: Reviews & lessons. *Journal of Student Research*, 12(3). <https://doi.org/10.47611/jsrhs.v12i3.4927>
13. Kish, H. V., & Shpis, N. A. (n.d.). Tasks, purpose and tools of anti-crisis management for restaurant enterprises during the COVID-19 pandemic. *Ekonomika ta Upravlinnia Pidpriemstvamy [Economics and Enterprise Management]*, (96), 96–102. <https://doi.org/10.32782/easterneurope.34-16>
14. Kim, S. (2020). The impact of the COVID-19 pandemic on restaurant customer experiences: A case study of a Korean restaurant. *Sustainability*, 12(20), 8469.
15. Lai, H. B. J., Zainal Abidin, M. R., Hasni, M. Z., Ab Karim, M. S., & Che Ishak, F. A. (2020). Key adaptations of SME restaurants in Malaysia amidst the COVID-19 Pandemic. *International Journal of Research in Business and Social Science*, 9(6), 12–23. <https://doi.org/10.20525/ijrbs.v9i6.916>
16. Lámbarry-Vilchis, F. (2023). Resilience in the restaurant industry: Indicators and strategies for the COVID-19 pandemic. *Acta Universitaria*, 33, 1–19. <https://doi.org/10.15174/au.2023.3718>
17. Lee, Y., Hwang, J., & Lee, D. (2021). Restaurant firm survival during the COVID-19 pandemic: The role of reopening strategies. *International Journal of Hospitality Management*, 93, 102796.
18. Mala, W.A. (2020). How COVID-19 Changes the HRM Practices (Adapting One HR Strategy May Not Fit to All). *Coronavirus & Infectious Disease Research eJournal*. <https://doi.org/10.2139/ssrn.3736719>
19. Messabia, N., Fomi, P.-R., & Kooli, C. (2022). Managing restaurants during the COVID-19 crisis: Innovating to survive and prosper. *Journal of Innovation & Knowledge*, 7(4), 100234. <https://doi.org/10.1016/j.jik.2022.100234>
20. Mozhevenko, T. Y., & Kamneva, A. V. (2021). Trends in the management of restaurant business enterprises. *Держава та регіони*, 4, 11. <https://doi.org/10.32840/1814-1161/2021-4-11>
21. Nowacki, M., & Nurkowska, M. (2022). Crisis management in restaurants: The case of Polish restaurants during the COVID-19 pandemic. *Sustainability*, 14(21), 14631. <https://doi.org/10.3390/su142114631>
22. Patel, R., & Williams, M. (2021). Strategic Pricing in the Hospitality Industry: Responding to Economic Challenges. *International Journal of Business Strategy*, 18(4), 112-130.
23. Postova, V., Riabenka, M., Mazurkevych, I., Onyshchuk, N., & Vivsiuk, I. (2023). Application of innovative methods of managing the labor potential in the hotel and restaurant business establishments. *Journal of Law and Sustainable Development*, 11, e810. <https://doi.org/10.55908/sdgs.v11i3.810>
24. Rivera-Prieto, J.C., Santana, M., & López-Cabrales, Á. (2022). Turnaround and human resource strategies during the COVID-19 crisis. *Business Research Quarterly*. <https://doi.org/10.1177/23409444221120053>
25. Sambharya, R. B. (2017). Global talent management: A conceptual study. *Human Resource Management Review*, 27(3), 435-446.

26. Samonte Jr., R. , Mamaclay, A. and Castillo, M. (2022) Business Strategies Employed by Food Service Industries to Survive the Impact of COVID-19. Open Journal of Business and Management, 10, 2442-2460. doi: [10.4236/ojbm.2022.105123](https://doi.org/10.4236/ojbm.2022.105123).
27. Seeger, M. W., Sellnow, T. L., & Ulmer, R. R. (2009). Communication and organizational crisis. In The handbook of crisis communication (pp. 163-186). Wiley.
28. Smith, J., & Brown, A. (2022). Digital Marketing Trends: Leveraging Social Media Platforms for Enhanced Engagement. Journal of Marketing Innovation, 25(2), 45-62.
29. World Health Organization. (2020). Getting your workplace ready for COVID-19: How COVID-19 spreads. Retrieved from <https://www.who.int/emergencies/diseases/novel-coronavirus-2019/advice-for-public>
30. Williams, M. (2022). The Great Resignation among restaurant workers: A content analysis of news sources' portrayals of the COVID-19 labor shortage. Semantics Scholar. <https://www.semanticscholar.org/paper/The-Great-Resignation-among-Restaurant-Workers%3A-A-Williams/49aad64a4928945ef4a4b46e54d0b4f27be714f5#citing-papers>
31. Wright, P. M., & McMahan, G. C. (2011). Exploring human capital: putting 'human' back into strategic human resource management. Human Resource Management Journal, 21(2), 93-104.