International Journal for Multidisciplinary Research
International Conference on Multidisciplinary Research & Studies 2023
E-ISSN: 2582-2160 ● Website: www.ijfmr.com ● Email: editor@ijfmr.com

A Multidisciplinary Investigation on Bird Coloration and Vision

Milind Shirbhate[1] ,Amrita Shirbhate[2]

[1]ShankarlalKhandelwal Arts, Science and Commerce College, Akola,Maharashtra, India
[2] Mahatma Phule Arts and Science College, PaturDist, Akola, Maharashtra, India

Abstract:

A significant biological conundrum is how to explain the variety of colouring that exists in nature. Birds have some of the most spectacular colour patterns in the natural world, and they are among the most researched species when it comes to the origins and effects of colour variation. Their visual system may be the most fully developed among all vertebrates, with numerous additional qualities like UV-A sensitivity and tetrachromatic colour vision spanning the 300-700 nm waveband. A model system for the transdisciplinary study of animal colour and colour perception employs birds. The realisation that birds sense colours differently than humans do and the availability of compact spectrometers have both contributed to recent advances in our understanding of avian colour and colour perception.We provide an overview of the current state of the field, current trends, and expected future developments.

Keywords: Bird, Coloration, Vision.

Introduction:

The explanation of nature's amazing diversity of colouring is a central problem in evolutionary biology. In addition to textbook examples of coevolutionary processes including mimicry, warning coloration, camouflage, pollination, seed dispersal, predator-prey and host-parasite interactions, the topic covers the development and maintenance of sexually selected and naturally selected colour. Some of the greatest evolutionary scientists have been intrigued by it, including Darwin, Wallace, and Gould as well as Cott, Ford, Fisher, Tinbergen, and Hamilton. Understanding the visual capabilities of the creatures that have evolved to receive colour signals is generally helpful in understanding animal colours. However, unlike colouring, which has been largely explained by evolutionary scientists since the 1850s, visual talents have not.

Lubbock (1888) demonstrated colour vision in Daphnia and hypothesised that honeybees connected colour with food, but von Frisch (1914) work was the first to demonstrate colour vision in the honeybee, which is perhaps the best-studied invertebrate. Trichromacy in humans was first theorised in the seventeenth century (Mollon 1989; Kelber et al. 2003), although cone spectral sensitivities were not first directly tested until the 1980s (Bowmaker and Dartnall 1980). The 1980s saw the publication of Jacobs' (1981) book Comparative Color Vision, which revealed the major patterns in mammalian colour vision. Major trends in the colour vision of vertebrates and invertebrates have been identified during the past 20 years by in-depth comparative research. (reviewed in Goldsmith 1990; Jacobs 1993; Bennett and Cuthill 1994; Hart and Hunt 2007).

As a result, studies on the diversification of animal colour vision are still in their infancy. The bases for spectral sensitivity functions, the tuning of spectral photoreceptors, and the reasons why some taxa are dichromatic (such as many mammals), others trichromatic (such as humans and bees), and others are likely tetrachromatic (such as many, if not most, birds; Kelber et al. 2003) are the main issues at stake here. The discovery that while there is significant variability within the major taxonomic groups, terrestrial taxa like birds, hymenopterans, and Old World monkeys exhibit relatively little variation in receptor spectrum sensitivities raises still another conundrum (Kelber et al. 2003).

Why Birds?

Why focus on one type of animals—birds—and their colour and colour vision? First, it has to be explained why birds have some of the most amazing visual displays of any species. Second, birds have remarkable eyes and are visually directed creatures; in fact, their visual systems may be the most highly developed of any vertebrate (Goldsmith 1990). For instance, their complex eyes often include five different kinds of cone cells, compared to three in humans, and several foveas (Hart et al. 2000; Hart and Hunt 2007), and theireyes make up a high proportion of the mass of the head(Martin 1993).Third, there is a huge body of research on the subject of bird colour and vision, ranging from laboratory-based behavioural, genetic, physiological, and chemical investigations to fieldwork on ecology and evolution. As a result, it is possible to ascertain the fitness implications of trait variation, as well as conduct cross-species studies and transdisciplinary research, perhaps more easily than in other taxa where vision has been extensively researched (such as rats, humans, and nonhuman primates). Fourth, birds are found on all continents and in terrestrial ecosystems (Newton and Brockie 2003); they are frequently the main predator of many terrestrial invertebrates, so in traditional studies of crypsis, mimicry, and warning coloration in insects, birds are considered to be the receiver of the colour signals;Birds pollinate a lot of flowers, and ectoparasites can alter an animal's feathers. Thus, knowledge of colour at various trophic levels in various environments is necessary to comprehend bird coloration.

For a number of reasons, birds serve as a good model system for studies on the development of colouring and colour perception.

Other vertebrates also exhibit the UV-A sensitivity and the spectral sensitivity range of around 300-700 nm that currently appear to be common in birds (Ha°stad and O'deen 2001; Hart and Hunt 2007). For instance, many fish and several reptiles, amphibians, rodents, and marsupials are sensitive to UVA radiation.(Goldsmith 1990; Jacobs1992, 1993; Arrese et al. 2002).Similar to this, some fish (Neumeyer, 1992) and Lepidoptera (Briscoe et al., 2003) have tetrachromatic colour vision. As a result, it is clear that studying colour vision and colouring in birds will help us comprehend similar traits in other species. Additionally, strategies that have been successful with birds can be used with other species.

What is Color?

The ability to distinguish between changes in the overall intensity of light and variations in a light spectrum is the best way to conceptualise colour vision. To do this, responses from two or more spectral types of photoreceptors must be compared (Kelber et al. 2003; Osorio and Vorobyev 2005).

Achromatic (or luminance) vision, which is the capacity to distinguish between variations in light intensity, differs from colour vision in that it only requires one type of photoreceptor or the summation of outputs from several (Wyszecki and Stiles 1982; Kelber et al. 2003; Osorio and Vorobyev 2005).

Animals frequently employ chromatic and achromatic signals for various objectives. For instance, primates perceive shape and texture through luminance vision (Osorio and Vorobyev 2005).

As a result, "colour" is a construct of perception, and the perception of colour results from variations in the outputs of different types of photoreceptors. Comparing the outputs of photoreceptors with various spectrum sensitivities is necessary for colour vision.

Some claim that "real" colour vision also includes the capacity for colour learning or perhaps some sort of internal representation that enables the animal to feel the effects of colour (Kelber et al. 2003).

Although birds are extremely visual creatures who perform a variety of activities including visual signals, how can we tell when they are using their colour vision? Surprisingly few instances allow one to differentiate between these options through well controlled investigations. So as not to limit our investigations to simply those cases when chromatic aspects of an item are known to be used, we use the terms "colour vision" and "coloration" widely in the title of this special issue. Additionally, it is important to comprehend the causes and upkeep of variance in achromatic plumage and luminance vision.

Future Directions

Future study might go in several different areas.

Several levels of research. The predominance of multidisciplinary, multilevel studies on the development of bird colour and colour perception will rise. Programs for supporting research that emphasise integrative and systems biology should be helpful.

Testing of models:

Further testing and improvement will be beneficial for avian colour vision models. How many opposition channels, for instance? Do the results drawn from budgerigars and chicks apply to all birds? What kind of illumination does the model hold under? Behavioral tests of the Vorobyev and Osorio (1998) model's predictions, such those performed on chicks by Osorio et al. (1999a, 1999b) and on budgerigars by Goldsmith and Butler (2003), are highly valuable and need to be encouraged. As are evaluations of UV or VS receptor performance.

Double cones:

The function of these cells, which make up around 50% of the cones in the avian retina, is yet unknown, however they may play a part in luminance vision or motion perception. (vonCampenhausen and Kirschfeld 1998; Osorio et al. 1999b; Goldsmith and Butler 2003; Hart and Hunt 2007) says it's probable. It is necessary to more confidently define the purpose of avian double cones across a variety of species and lighting conditions.

The study of animal colour and colour vision is undoubtedly interesting at the moment, and during the coming ten years, fresh insights will be made into topics that have long been of interest to evolutionary biologists.

References:

1. Arrese, C. A., N. S. Hart, N. Thomas, L. D. Beazley, and J. Shand, 2002,Trichromacy in Australian marsupials. Current Biology 12:657–660.
2. Andrew T. D. Bennett and Marc The´ry, 2007, Avian Color Vision and Coloration: Multidisciplinary Evolutionary Biology. theAmerican naturalist, vol. 169, supplement.
3. Bennett, A. T. D., I. C. Cuthill, J. C. Partridge, and E. J. Maier. 1996, Ultraviolet vision and mate choice in zebra finches. Nature 380: 433–435.
4. Bowmaker, J. K., and H. J. Dartnall, 1980, Visual pigments of rods and cones in a human retina. Journal of Physiology 298:501–511.
5. Briscoe, A. D., G. D. Bernard, A. S. Szeto, L. M. Nagy, and R. H. White, 2003, Not all butterfly eyes are created equal: rhodopsinabsorption spectra, molecular identification, and localization of ultraviolet-, blue-, and green-sensitive rhodopsin-encodingmRNAs in the retina of *Vanessa cardui*. Journal of Comparative Neurology 458:334–349.
6. Goldsmith, T. H. 1990, Optimization, constraint and history in the evolution of eyes. Quarterly Review of Biology 65:281–322.

7. Goldsmith, T. H., and B. K. Butler, 2003,The roles of receptor noise and cone oil droplets in the photopic spectral sensitivity of thebudgerigar, *Melopsittacusundulatus*. Journal of Comparative Physiology A 189:135–142.
8. Hart, N. S., and D. M. Hunt, 2007,Avian visual pigments: characteristics, spectral tuning, and evolution. American Naturalist169(suppl.):S7–S26.
9. Hart, N. S., J. C. Partridge, A. T. D. Bennett, and I. C. Cuthill, 2000, Visual pigments, cone oil droplets and ocular media in four species of estrildid finch, Journal of Comparative Physiology A 186:681–694.
10. Ha°stad, O., and A. O¨ deen, 2001, Different avian color vision in predator and prey. American Zoologist 41:1480.
11. Jacobs, G. H. 1981, Comparative color vision. Academic Press, New York.
12. Jacobs, G. H., J. Neitz, and J. F. Deegan II.1991, Retinal receptors in rodents maximally sensitive to ultraviolet light. Nature 353:655–656.
13. Kelber, A., M. Vorobyev, and D. Osorio. 2003, Animal colour vision: behavioural tests and physiological concepts. Biological Reviews 78:81–118.
14. Lubbock, J. 1888,On the senses, instincts and intelligence of animals with special reference to insects. Kegan Paul, London.
15. Martin, G. R. 1993,Producing the image. Pages 5–24 *in* H. P. Zeigler and H. Bischof, eds. Vision, brain and behaviour in birds.MIT Press, Cambridge, MA.
16. Mollon, J. D. 1989, "…ausdreyerleyArten von MembranenoderMoleku¨len": George Palmer's legacy. Pages 1–18 *in* C. R. Cavonius, ed. Colour vision deficiencies. Vol. 13. Kluwer, Dordrecht.
17. Neumeyer, C. 1992,Tetrachromaticcolor vision in goldfish: evidence from color mixture experiments. Journal of Comparative Physiology A 171:639–649.
18. Newton, I., and K. Brockie, 2003,The speciation and biogeography of birds. Academic Press, Amsterdam.
19. Osorio, D., and M. Vorobyev, 2005, Photoreceptor spectral sensitivities in terrestrial animals: adaptations for luminance and colour vision. Proceedings of the Royal Society B: Biological Sciences 272: 1745–1752.
20. Osorio, D., M. Vorobyev, and C. D. Jones, 1999*a*, Colour vision of domestic chicks. Journal of Experimental Biology 202:2951–2959.
21. Osorio, D., A. Miklosi, and Z. Gonda, 1999*b*, Visual ecology and perception of coloration patterns by domestic chicks. Evolutionary Ecology 13:673–689.
22. Von Campenhausen, M., and K. Kirschfeld, 1998, Spectral sensitivity of the accessory optic system of the pigeon. Journal of Comparative Physiology A 183:1–6.
23. Von Frisch, K. 1914, Der Farbensinn und Formensinn der Biene. ZoologischeJahrbu¨cherAbteilungfu¨rAllgemeineZoologie undPhysiologie der Tiere 35:1–188.
24. Vorobyev, M., and D. Osorio, 1998, Receptor noise as a determinant of colour thresholds. Proceedings of the Royal Society B: Biological Sciences 265:351–358.
25. Wyszecki, G., and W. S. Stiles, 1982,Color science: concepts and methods, quantitative data and formulae. 2nd ed.Wiley, New York.