

Spiders of Agro-Ecosystems: A Review

Dr.Seema Virbhan Keswani

Assistant Professor, Department of Zoology, M.S.P Art's, Science & K.P.T Commerce College, Manora.
Dist. Washim. 444404.

Abstract:

The large number of studies about the diversity, systematic and ecology of spiders carried out in last three decades in different types of ecosystems all over the world but the importance of spiders in agro-ecosystems as bio-control agents is still largely unknown. In this paper the literature about the study carried out in different agro-ecosystems is reviewed. Total 230 scientific papers published between year from 1804-2012, which are cited here. Several National and International studies have done in different agro-ecosystems like cotton, rice, wheat, maize, soybean, sugarcane, cereal fields, peanut, pea, apple orchards, lime orchards, citrus and banana fields. Significant reports of spider diversity reported from Cotton, Citrus and Banana agro-ecosystems from various parts of world given in Table.No.1.

Keywords: Biological Control, Spider, Agro-ecosystems

Introduction

International Studies:

Spiders play an important role in regulating insect pests in agriculture ecosystems (Nyffeler and Benz, 1987; Nyffeler *et al.*, 1994b; Sunderland, 1999a). Studies of Hamamura (1969); Sasaba *et al.* (1973); Gavarra and Raros (1973); Samal and Misra (1975); Kobayashi (1977); Chiu (1979); Holt *et al.* (1987) and Tanaka (1989) clearly described the role of spiders as predators in reducing insect pests in rice fields. They are ubiquitous in terrestrial ecosystems and abundant in both natural and agricultural habitats (Turnbull, 1973; Nyffeler and Benz, 1987). The distribution and diversity of spiders has drawn attention of naturalists in different parts of the world since the eighteenth century. Latreille (1804); Leach (1815); Koch (1836); Cambridge (1885, 1892 and 1897) and Simon (1887) prepared the early taxonomic records on spiders. According to the World Spider Catalog, Version 14.5 by Platnick (2014), the updated list documented 44540 species of spiders worldwide belonging to 3924 genera and 112 families.

Spiders can colonize fields early, feed on alternative prey until pest populations arrive, and target pests before they reach peak densities (Settle *et al.*, 1996; Landis and Van der Werf, 1997; Chang and Kareiva, 1999; Symondson *et al.*, 2002). They have a low metabolic rate that enables them to survive periods of starvation (Greenstone and Bennett, 1980). Understanding how spiders (Araneae) colonize agro-ecosystems is important since sustaining viable populations of generalist predators is a key attribute of effective integrated pest management (e.g. Snyder and Wise, 1999; Schmidt *et al.*, 2004). Dippenaar-Schoeman *et al.* (1999) studied spiders in South African cotton fields with respect to diversity and abundance (Arachnida: Araneae). Van den Berg *et al.* (1990) investigated the effect of pesticides on spiders in South African cotton fields.

Lycosid spiders are most effectively collected with pitfall traps and it has been shown that the number of linyphiid species caught is about the same in pitfall traps as in D-vac trapping (Dinter, 1995; Standen, 2000). Pitfall traps are also good to know the pattern of predators, and they have been shown to be suitable for monitoring activity (Bishop and Riechert, 1990). Population densities of spiders are low in the vegetation zone of meadows and cereals and high on the ground (Nyffeler and Benz, 1987). Spiders are generalist predators that can function as biological control agents within agroecosystems (Moulder and Reichle, 1972; Nyffeler and Benz, 1987; Riechert and Bishop, 1990; Young and Edwards, 1990; Kajak *et al.*, 1991; Kajak, 1997).

Spiders help in pest management. The spider families Linyphiidae, Dictynidae, Theridiidae, and Agelenidae consistently keep their webs standing which contribute to pest mortality (Nentwig, 1987;

Sunderland *et al.*, 1986a,b). Grasshoppers exhibited reduced feeding on grass in the presence of the spider *Pisurina mira* (Walckenaer) (Araneae: Pisauridae). Similarly, the presence of spiders deterred Japanese beetles, *Popillia japonica* (Newman) (Coleoptera: Scarabaeidae), from feeding on soybean leaves. Spiders have effectively reduced pest populations in agroecosystems (Riechert, 1999; Johnson *et al.*, 2000; Whitehouse and Lawrence, 2001). Predation is most effective when spiders are present early in the growing season when the predator to prey ratio is high (Edwards *et al.*, 1979; Ekbom and Wiktelius, 1985; Chiverton, 1986; Birkhofer *et al.*, 2008). Halaj and Wise (2001) performed a meta-analysis of terrestrial food webs in agricultural systems and discovered that spiders exhibited strong top-down effects on plants. Presence of spider populations resulted into reduced larval populations of the moth *Spodoptera littoralis* (Boisduval) (Lepidoptera: Noctuidae) and decreased populations of Cicadellidae (Hemiptera), Thripidae (Thysanoptera), as well as decreased populations of Aphididae (Hemiptera) in southern Bavaria (Lang *et al.*, 1999). Riechert and Bishop (1990) found prey densities and plant damage to be lower in garden test systems with augmented spider densities. *Araneus quadratus* Clerck (Araneae: Araneidae) indirectly reduced plant damage by preying on grasshoppers (Andrzejewska *et al.*, 1967). Sea grape leaf damage was significantly reduced when spiders preyed upon gall midges (Spiller and Schoener, 1990). Spiders fed on herbivores, increasing the dry biomass of the plant species *Solidago rugosa* (Schmitz, 2003). The spider *Anyphaena celer* (Hentz) (Araneae: Anyphaenidae) increased mortality of the hemipteran herbivore *Stephanitis pyrioides* (Scott) (Shrewsbury and Raupp, 2006). In soybean, plant damage was reduced when spiders were added (Rypstra and Carter, 1995). Additionally, composted plots had less soybean leaf and pod damage when more spiders were present and non-crop plants had reduced damage as *Argiope trifasciata* (Forskål) (Araneae: Araneidae) had eaten more leaf-chewing insects (Rypstra and Marshall, 2005). Spider predation on pests has been further demonstrated in several other agroecosystems by Riechert and Lockley (1984).

Spiders are especially useful for reducing aphid densities (Sunderland *et al.*, 1986a, Collins *et al.*, 2002). Aphids (Hemiptera: Aphididae) represented approximately 14% of the prey captured by spiders (Nyffeler *et al.*, 1994b). Linyphiid spiders (Araneae: Linyphiidae) killed 31 *Sitobion avenae* (F.) (Hemiptera: Aphididae)/m²/day in winter wheat (Sunderland *et al.*, 1986b). In Europe, experimentally manipulated increases in ground predator densities resulted in aphid reduction in maize (Lang *et al.*, 1999), barley (Chiverton, 1986; Ekbom *et al.*, 1992; Ostman *et al.*, 2003), and wheat (Edwards *et al.*, 1979; Chiverton, 1986; Mansour and Heimbach, 1993; Collins *et al.*, 2002; Lang, 2003; Schmidt *et al.*, 2004, von Berg *et al.*, 2009). In addition, presence of spider populations exhibited decreased damage by the greenbug, *Schizaphis graminum* (Rondani) (Hemiptera: Aphididae) (Muniappan and Chada, 1970; Mansour *et al.*, 1981).

It has been suggested that spiders in agro-ecosystems take refuge in surrounding natural landscapes during periods of cultivation and disturbance (e.g., Halley *et al.*, 1996; Wissinger, 1997). Spiders common to agro-ecosystems have been found to move from weed strips to cereal fields in the spring (Lemke and Poehling, 2002) and the proportion of spider webs in cereal fields correlates with the proportion of surrounding non-crop habitat (Schmidt and Tschardtke, 2005a). Differences in spider assemblage patterns in natural landscapes such as woodland and old-fields adjacent to cultivated land was observed (Riechert and Bishop, 1990; Samu and Szinetar, 2002) showing the importance of such landscapes as spider reservoirs. Assemblage differences, however, can fluctuate depending on the time of year. For instance, dominant arable species have been found to be more abundant in permanent grassland in early spring indicating a dependence on natural habitat (Schmidt and Tschardtke, 2005b). Spider colonization of agro-ecosystems occur more commonly *via* long distance colonization events (i.e., ballooning) rather than short distance methods (cursorial) (Riechert and Bishop, 1990; Schmidt and Tschardtke, 2005b). Conservation biological control has also been promoted as a method for increasing populations of spiders in agro-ecosystems (Halaj *et al.*, 2000).

Mellet, *et al.* (2006) investigated the effect of Bt-cotton cultivation on spider populations in Marble Hall, South Africa. According to them neither Bt-cotton nor the application of endosulfan had apparent negative effects on ground or plant dwelling spiders in the field. Spiders should therefore be able to

continue playing a role in pest control in Bt-cotton fields. Danisman, *et al.* (2007) Investigated spider fauna of Cereal Fields in Antalya (Araneae). A total of 629 spiders were recorded from wheat, oats and maize fields belonging to 41 species from to 34 genera and 16 families.

Hibbert and Buddle (2008) assessed the dispersal of spiders within agricultural fields and an adjacent mature forest in Canada. They carried out manipulative experiment in corn fields and their adjacent forests using enclosures that restricted access to ground-dwelling spiders. Enclosures were either closed from the adjacent habitat but open to ballooning and ground-dwelling spiders or were open plots. This allowed them to test the role of ballooning compared to cursorial dispersal of ground-dwelling spiders within these habitats. Ninety species were collected using visual surveys and with pitfall traps. More species were collected in cornfields, and more individuals were collected in litter-addition plots. Tahir (2009) investigated diversity and predatory efficacy of spiders inhabiting the rice fields of Central Punjab, Pakistan.

Ghavami *et al.* (2007) investigated the spider fauna of cotton fields in Iran. Spider samples were collected by them from different cotton field localities by pitfall trap and insect net. They classified a total of 632 specimens in 45 species and 59 genera belonging to 19 families. *Cheiracanthium pennyi*, *Neoscona adianta*, *Aulonia albimana* and *Thanatus formicinus* were the most abundant species in cotton fields. Most species belonged to Thomisidae and Araneidae families and the fewest species were from Gnaphosidae, Linyphiidae and Pisauridae families.

Species composition, population densities, and vertical distribution of spiders in Soyabean agro-ecosystem are documented by several arachnologists from different countries (Barry, 1973; LeSar and Unzicker, 1978; Culin and Rust, 1980; Culin and Yeagan, 1982; House and Stinner, 1983; Ferguson *et al.*, 1984; Gregory *et al.*, 1989; Carter and Rypstra, 1995; Balfour and Rypstra, 1998; Marshall *et al.*, 2002; Vichitbandha and Wise, 2002; Hlivko and Rypstra, 2003; Pearce *et al.*, 2005; Beltramo *et al.*, 2006; Gonzalez *et al.*, 2009).

Throughout the world, diversity, habitat use and prey preferences by spiders in the rice agro-ecosystem has been extensively investigated by number of authors indicating role of spiders in Integrated Pest Management to control insect pests (Woods and Harrel, 1976; Barrion and Litsinger, 1984; Heiss and Meisch, 1985; Shepard *et al.*, 1987; Xu, *et al.*, 1987; Ye and Wang, 1987; Orazé *et al.*, 1988; Orazé *et al.*, 1989; Bastidas *et al.*, 1993; Im and Kim, 1996; Kumar and Velusamy, 1997; Barrion, 1999; Im and Kim, 1999; Bamdaradeniya and Edirisinghe, 2001; Bambaradeniya and Edirisinghe, 2008; Tahir and Butt, 2008; Everton, 2009; Tahir and Butt, 2009; Tahir, *et al.*, 2009; Tahir, 2009).

The species composition and frequency of spiders occurring in wheat crop is studied by (Doane and Dondale, 1979; Sunderland *et al.*, 1980; Nyffeler and Benz, 1988c; Nyffeler and Benz, 1988b; Basedow, 1998; Feber *et al.*, 1998; Toth and Kiss, 1999; Basedow *et al.*, 2000; Greenstone, 2001; Harwood, 2001; Pluess *et al.*, 2008). Predatory role of spiders in Maize crop is documented by several authors (Everly, 1938; Sharma and Sarup, 1980; Plagens, 1986; Alderweireldt and Desender, 1990; Peterson *et al.*, 2010). Costall and Death (2009) studied population structure and habitat use by the spider *Latrodectus katipo* along the Manawatu-Wanganui coastline.

Agnew *et al.* (1985) and Agnew and Smith (1989) studied spider diversity in peanut agro-ecosystem with respect to Ecology and habitat use in Texas. Distribution and importance of spiders as predator in sugarcane crop is well studied by Ali and Reagan (1985) and Rinaldi *et al.* (2002). Diversity, population density and effect of pesticides on the occurrence of spiders in apple orchards was studied earlier in different countries by (Dondale, 1956; Dondale, 1958; Dondale, *et al.*, 1979; Bostanian, *et al.*, 1984; Wyss, *et al.*, 1995; Wisniewska and Prokopy, 1997; Bogya and Marko, 1999; Miliczky *et al.*, 2000; Pekar and Kocoukek, 2004;). Mansour and Whitcomb (1986); Amalin *et al.* (2001a;b); Ghavami and Ghanadamooz (2008) investigated diversity and habitat use by spiders in citrus ecosystem in Israel, Florida and Iran respectively.

Ntonifor *et al.* (2012) conducted a study to elucidate the frequent occurrences of the tropical vagrant huntsman spider, *Heteropoda venatoria* in bananas, for which, the spider habitats, temporal abundance, distribution and dynamics were studied in banana agro-ecosystems in Cameroon. They concluded that the tropical huntsman spider prefers close fitting sites and crevices as habitats due to its flattened body and long

flexible legs. The banana-agroecosystem and plant architecture provides many of such suitable hideouts including litter spots/mulches, loose pseudostem leaf sheaths, leaf petioles, spaces between flower bracts and clusters and between fingers of bunches. During the rains, most of the spiders could migrate into these more sheltered habitats.

Many farmers use chemical pesticides to help control pests. An ideal biological control agent, therefore, would be one that is tolerant to synthetic insecticides. Although spiders are more sensitive to insecticides than insects, some spiders show tolerance, perhaps even resistance, to some pesticides. Spiders are less affected by fungicides and herbicides than by insecticides (Yardim and Edwards, 1998). Spiders such as the wolf spiders *P. pseudoannulata* are highly tolerant to botanical insecticides such as Neem-based chemicals (Theiling and Croft, 1998; Markandeya and Divakar, 1999). They are also generally more tolerant to organophosphates and carbamates than of pyrethroids, organochlorines, and various acaricides. This tolerance may be due to genetic resistance bred over a period of continuous exposure (Theiling and Croft, 1998; Wisniewska and Prokopy, 1997; Yardim and Edwards, 1998; Marc *et al.*, 1999; Tanaka *et al.*, 2000). *P. pseudoannulata* (Lycosidae), *Tetragnatha maxillosa* (Tetragnathidae), *Ummeliata insecticeps* and *Gnathonarium exsiccatum* (Linyphiidae) were highly sensitive to the pyrethroid deltamethrin, but very tolerant of the organophosphate diazinon and the carbamate carbaryl (Tanaka *et al.*, 2000).

Studies on Spiders of Agro-ecosystems from India:

In India, studies on the population and abundance of the spider assemblages in agricultural crops are few. Some basic studies were carried out by Pathak and Saha (1999) and Bhattacharya (2000). Banerji, *et al.* (1993) and Anbalagan and Narayanaswamy (1999) analyzed the population fluctuation of spiders in paddy fields. However, these studies were mostly limited to the identification of spiders and investigation of the dominant spider species.

Trivedi (2009) carried out an ecological study to determine the quantitative and qualitative community structure and population of spiders in Groundnut crop fields. Spiders were collected at random following a quadrant method (each quadrant of 1 sq. m taken per visit, total count 25 quadrants), 25 visits every week, during the crop season from July to November, 2002, at Munjka village of Rajkot, Gujarat state. A total of 809 spiders, belonging to 37 species under 22 genera and 10 families were collected. They were classified into three guilds based on their predatory behavior ashunting, ambushing and web building; the per cent of spiders within active groups were 68.48, 14.83, and 16.69 respectively. The largest numbers of individuals collected belonged to the families Salticidae (27.69%), Oxyopidae (11.25%), and Philodromidae (11.13%). The most abundant genera were *Marpissa*, *Pardosa*, *Oxyopes* and *Theridion*. The most abundant Salticid and Lycosid identified to species were *Plexippus paykulli* (4.94%) and *Pardosa pseudoannulata* (4.94%) respectively. Maximum density of spiders was observed during the flowering stage of the crop in September, thereafter it decreased, and evenness index (e) was almost higher (3.0) in Groundnut crop-ecosystem. These results indicated that an increased diversity index (H') was correlated with crop growth and it ranked as October- 3.94 > September – 3.89 > August- 2.73 > Nov-0.99 > July-0.0.

Siliwal Manju and Dolly Kumar (2002, 2003a,b) reported *Harmochirus brachiatus* (Thorell), *Dinopis goalparaensis*, *Triaeris manii* and *Triaeris poonaensis* spiders from banana agroecosystems of Baroda, Gujarat. Similarly they have also sighted *Latrodectus hasseltii indicus* Simon (Araneae: Theridiidae) in a cotton field in Baroda district, Gujarat during 2001. Dollykumar and Shiva Kumar (2004, 2006) investigated the seasonal abundance of spiders in rice and pigeon pea agroecosystems of Vadodara Gujarat, with special emphasis on Bio-control aspect.

Sebastian, *et al.* (2005) studied spider fauna of the irrigated rice ecosystem in central Kerala. A survey of spiders associated with the irrigated rice ecosystem in central Kerala, India was conducted by them across different elevation ranges. Spiders were collected from rice fields of high ranges, midland and low land areas in two cropping seasons viz., June–September 2002 (Kanni Krishy) and October 2002–February 2003 (Makara Krishy) with a total of 144 hours of sampling time distributed across the two seasons. The sampling areas constituted Adimali and Marayoor of Idukki district (high range), Vannappuram of Idukki district and Kothamangalam of Ernakulam district (midland) and Parakkadavu and

Piravom of Ernakulam district (lowland). Visual searching methods were used to sample the spider fauna

Agro-ecosystem	Country	Reference	Major observations
----------------	---------	-----------	--------------------

from quadrats. A total of 1130 individuals belonging to 92 species, 47 genera and 16 families were recorded during the study period. Araneidae and Tetragnathidae were the dominant families and *Tetragnatha mandibulata* (Family Tetragnathidae) the most abundant species. Various diversity indices, as well as richness and Chao I estimator were used to analyze the possible effect of elevation on species occurrence; the results showed that species richness and diversity were the highest in Parakkadavu, which is a lowland area. In a cluster analysis the localities belonging to the same elevation were found to form separate groups. Orb weavers were dominant at all study sites. Most of the spider diversity in agro-ecosystem is studied by several researchers in rice fields from different states of India (Sellammal and Chelliah, 1982; Krishnaswami *et al.*, 1984; Gupta *et al.*, 1986; Rajendran, 1987; Nirmala, 1990; Ansari and Pawar, 1992; Banerji *et al.* (1993); Mishra and Shrivastava, 1993; Kumar, 1994; Thakur *et al.*, 1995; Ganeshkumar and Velusamy, 1996; Sahu, *et al.*, 1996; Samiyyan and Chandrasekaran, 1998; Venkateshalu *et al.*, 1998; Anbalagan and Narayanaswamy, 1999; Pathak and Saha, 1999; Bhattacharya, 2000; Vanitha, 2000; Mathirajan, 2001; Shenhmar *et al.*, 2001; Zhimomi *et al.*, 2001; Jose, *et al.*, 2002; Satpathi, 2004; Patel *et al.*, 2004 and 2005; Sebastian *et al.*, 2005; Sudhikumar, *et al.*, 2005; Devarassou and Adiroubane, 2006; Diraviam *et al.*, 2006; Kumar and Shivakumar, 2006; Manisegaran *et al.*, 2006; Manu and Bai, 2006; Venturino, *et al.*, 2008; Chatterjee, *et al.*, 2009 and Jayakumar and Sankari, 2010).

The mean populations of spiders in cotton fields from three different places like Thayilpatti, Madathuppatti and Vembakkottai, Virudhunagar district, Tamil Nadu, India were studied by Jeyaparvathy *et al.* (2012). In these areas, twenty common species of spiders belonging to six families from these three selected areas were collected and identified. The collected spiders were belonging to the families, Salticidae, Oxyopidae, Araneidae, Lycosidae, Gnaphosidae and Sparassidae. In this study, two types of spiders were observed, one is web weaver and another one is non-web weaver. The web weaving spiders were belonging to the family Araneidae and Lycosidae. The non-web weaving spiders were belonging to the family Salticidae, Oxyopidae, Gnaphosidae, Lycosidae and Sparassidae. *P. viridana*, *O. birmanicus*, *O. hindostanicus*, *P. latikae* and *A. anasuja* were dominant predator recorded in these three selected areas. The population dynamics of the individual spider species in different months showed that the population of spider species mainly *P. vridana*, *O. birmanicus*, *O. hindostanicus*, *A. anasuja*, *N. lugubris*, *P. latikae*, *C. cicatrosa* and *L. pseudoannulata* were very high throughout the study period. The increase in the spider density suggested that the spider density is influenced by the increase in prey density.

Jeyaparvathi *et al.* (2013) also investigated the biological control potential of spiders on the selected cotton pests and found that the four species of spiders like (*Peucetia viridana* *Oxyopes birmanicus* (Thorell) and *Peucetia latikae* were predominant in the cotton fields of Achamthavirthan, Virudhunagar district, Tamil Nadu, India.

Table 1: Significant Reports of Spider Diversity Reported from Cotton, Citrus and Banana Agro-Ecosystems from Various Parts of World

Cotton	Texas	Dean <i>et al.</i> , 1982	<ol style="list-style-type: none"> 1. Reported 97 species from 71 genera and 18 families. 2. Orb weavers as dominant species mostly inhabiting middle of the plant and ambushing spiders occupied top of the plant.
Cotton		Dean <i>et al.</i> , 1987	<ol style="list-style-type: none"> 1. Hunting spiders <i>Phidippus audax</i>, <i>Metaphidippus galathea</i> and <i>Misumenops celer</i> were observed preying on the cotton fleahopper, <i>Pseudatomoscelis seriatus</i>.
Cotton	Israel	Mansour, 1987	<ol style="list-style-type: none"> 1. Spiders from 18 and 13 families were recorded from pesticide unsprayed and sprayed fields respectively. 2. Spiders suppress pest populations and delay pest outbreaks.
Cotton	Texas	Nyffeler <i>et al.</i> , 1987b	<ol style="list-style-type: none"> 1. Cotton fields were dominated by generalist predator, <i>Oxyopes salticus</i>. 2. 0.12 million prey might have been killed by them per ha cotton land per week.
Cotton	Texas	Nyffeler <i>et al.</i> , 1987a	<ol style="list-style-type: none"> 1. Green lynx spider, <i>P. viridans</i> feeds both diurnally and nocturnally. 2. <i>P. viridans</i> was observed feeding on insects of orders Diptera, Hymenoptera, Heteroptera, Homoptera, Coleoptera, Lepidoptera, Neuroptera, and Odonata.
Cotton	Texas	Nyffeler <i>et al.</i> , 1987c	<ol style="list-style-type: none"> 1. <i>A. aurantia</i> was found to be a predator of the cotton fleahopper (about 1 % of the spiders' diet).
Cotton	Texas	Nyffeler <i>et al.</i> , 1988a	<ol style="list-style-type: none"> 1. Web-building spiders <i>Dictyna segregata</i>, <i>Theridion australe</i>, <i>Tidarren haemorrhoidale</i> and <i>Frontinella pyramitela</i> found to prey on Aphids and cotton fleahoppers.
Cotton	Texas	Nyffeler <i>et al.</i> , 1988b	<ol style="list-style-type: none"> 1. Black widow spider, <i>Latrodectus mactans</i> preyed on red fire ant, <i>Solenopsis invicta</i>, which constituted 75 % of the prey.
Cotton	Texas	Nyffeler <i>et al.</i> , 1989	<ol style="list-style-type: none"> 1. Orb weavers as dominant groups in cotton field. 2. Prey selection by spiders is determined by web location, web inclination, and web strength.
Cotton	Texas	Breene, 1989	<ol style="list-style-type: none"> 1. Recorded 18 species of spiders from 11 families Preying on cotton fleahopper.
Cotton	Mississippi	Hayes and Lockley, 1990	<ol style="list-style-type: none"> 1. Coleoptera and Diptera are important preys of wolf spiders during night.
Cotton	South Africa	Van Den Berg <i>et al.</i> , 1990	<ol style="list-style-type: none"> 1. 76 species from 61 genera and 18 families were identified. Lycosidae (41.9 %) as dominant family.
		Breene <i>et al.</i> , 1990	<ol style="list-style-type: none"> 1. <i>O. salticus</i>, <i>P. audax</i>, and <i>M. celer</i> controlled cotton fleahoppers almost 42 %, 66 % and 32 % respectively.
Cotton	Texas	Nyffeler <i>et al.</i> , 1992	<ol style="list-style-type: none"> 1. Cotton fleahoppers composed almost 25% of the total prey of <i>O.</i>

			<i>salticus</i> during June and July.
Cotton	Texas	Nyffeler <i>et al.</i> , 1992	1. Lynx spiders were the dominant predators feeding predominantly on Heteropteran, Hymenopteran, and Dipteran pests.
Cotton	Texas	Breene <i>et al.</i> , 1993	1. Spiders were observed feeding upon every major and most minor, secondary, or occasional insect pests of Texas cotton. They act as ecological indicators.
Cotton	China	Zhao, J. Z., 1993	1. 204 species of spiders inhabited cotton fields in China, belonging to 21 families and 88 genera. These species accounted for >50% of the total population of predators of cotton insect pests.
Cotton	South Africa	Dippenaar-Schoeman <i>et al.</i> , 1999	1. 127 species from 92 genera and 31 families were reported. Their occurrence was in the order Thomisidae > Araneidae > Theridiidae.
Cotton	Pakistan	Ghafoor, 2002	1. 64 species belonging to 8 families were recorded from cotton fields and the dominance was in the order of Lycosidae > Gnaphosidae > Salticidae > Araneidae.
Cotton	India	Sebastian <i>et al.</i> , 2004	1. <i>Oxyopes chittrae</i> was observed as actively hunting spider in cotton.
Cotton	Central Kenya	Warui <i>et al.</i> , 2004	1. 132 species belonging to 30 families were recorded from cotton fields from central Kenya and they were in the order of Salticidae > Gnaphosidae > Araneidae > Lycosidae > Theridiidae > Thomisidae > Zodariidae.
Cotton	New Mexico	Bundy, 2005	1. 42 genera from 19 families were identified which were in the order of Lycosidae > Linyphiidae > Thomisidae as abundant families.
Cotton	Iran	Ghavami, 2008	1. Spiders act as biological control agents of insect pests in agro-ecosystems.
Cotton	Iran	Ghavami, 2008a	1. 76 species from 59 genera and 19 families were reported from cotton fields from Iran. 2. <i>Thanatus formicinus</i> , <i>Cheiracanthium pennyi</i> , <i>Aulonia albimana</i> , <i>neoscona adianta</i> were dominant species.
Citrus	Florida	Muma, 1975	1. Cribellate spiders were abundant in the citrus grove.
Citrus	California	Carroll, 1980	1. Reported spider as a major predator in citrus canopy as well as in the litter.
Citrus	Israel	Mansour and Whitcomb, 1986	1. Spiders act as a biocontrol agent for <i>Ceroplastes floridensis</i> (Homoptera: Coccidae).
Citrus	South Africa	Van Den Berg <i>et al.</i> , 1992	1. 3054 spiders from 21 families were sampled in an unsprayed citrus orchards. 2. Order of dominance was family Salticidae > Theridiidae > Thomisidae > Araneidae > Clubionidae > Tetragnathidae.
Citrus	Texas	Breene <i>et al.</i> , 1993	1. 33 species from 13 families of spiders were reported their occurrence was in the order Araneidae >

			Theridiidae > Thomisidae > Salticidae > Lycosidae > Tetragnathidae > Gnaphosidae > Uloboridae > clubionidae > Anyphaenidae.
Banana	Panama	Harrison, 1968	1. Vertical distribution of spiders was studied in the banana field. 2. Member of family Argiopidae represented by largest number.
Banana	Cameroon	Ntonifor <i>et al.</i> , 2012	1. <i>H. venatoria</i> inhabited the soil litter /mulches, loose leaf sheaths (barks) of pseudostems and stumps, leaf petioles, spaces between banana flower bracts and clusters.

References

1. **Agnew, C. W. and Smith, J. W. (1989).** Ecology of spiders (Araneae) in a peanut agroecosystem. *Environmental Entomology*. **18**: 30-42.
2. **Agnew, C. W.; Dean, D. A. and Smith, J. W. (1985).** Spiders collected from peanuts and non-agricultural habitats in the Texas west cross-timbers. *Southw. Natur.*, **30**: 1-12.
3. **Agrawal, R. A. (1978).** Cotton insect pests and their control. *Richae Harvest.*, **1**: 22-29.
4. **Alderweireldt, M. and Desender, K. (1990).** Microhabitat preference of spiders (Araneae) and carabid beetles (Coleoptera, Carabidae) in maize fields. *Med. Fac. Landbouww. Rijksuniv. Gent.*, **55(2b)**: 501-510.
5. **Ali, A. D. and Reagan, T. E. (1985).** Spiders inhabitants of sugarcane ecosystems in Louisiana: An update. *Proc. Louisiana Acad. Sci.*, **48**: 18-22.
6. **Amalin, D. M.; Pena, J. E.; Mcsorley, R.; Browning, H. W. and Crane, J. H. (2001).** Comparison of different sampling methods and effect of pesticide application on spider population in lime orchards in south Florida. *Environ. Ent.*, **30**: 1021-1027.
7. **Amalin, D. M.; Reiskind, J.; Pena, J. E. and Mcsorley, R. (2001).** Predatory behavior of three species of sac spiders attacking citrus leafminer. *Journal of Arachnology*. **29**:72-81.
8. **Anbalagan, G. and Narayanaswamy, P. (1999).** Population fluctuation of spiders in the rice ecosystem of Tamil Nadu. *Entomon.*, **24(1)**: 91-95.
9. **Andrzejewska, L.; Breymeyer, L.; Kajak, A. and Wojcik, Z. (1967).** Experimental studies on trophic relationships of terrestrial invertebrates, pp. 477-495. In K. Petruszewicz (ed.), *Secondary Productivity of Terrestrial Ecosystems*. Warsaw, Panstwowe Wydawnictwo Naukowe.
10. **Balfour, R. A. and Rypstra, A. L. (1998).** The influence of habitat structure on spider density in a No-Till Soybean agroecosystem. *Journal of Arachnology*. **26**: 221-226.
11. **Bambaradeniya, C. N. B. and Edirisinghe, J. P. (2001).** The ecological role of spiders in the rice fields of Sri Lanka. *Biodiversity*. **2(4)**: 3-10.
12. **Bambaradeniya, C. N. B. and Edirisinghe, J. P. (2008).** Composition, structure and dynamics of arthropod communities in a rice agroecosystem. *Cey. J. Sci. (Bio.Sci.)*, **37(1)**: 23-48.
13. **Banerji, D. K.; Nanda, P. K.; Bera, P. K. and Sen, S. C. (1993).** Seasonal abundance of some important spider groups in rice agro-ecosystem. *Records of Zoological Survey of India*. **93(1-2)**: 275-281.
14. **Barrion, A. T. (1999).** Guild structure, diversity and relative abundance of spiders in selected non-rice habitats and irrigated rice fields in San Juan, Batangas, Philippines. *Philippine Entomologist*. **13(2)**: 129- 157.
15. **Barrion, A. T. and Litsinger, J. A. (1984).** The spider fauna of Philippine rice agroecosystems. *II. Wetland.-Philippine Entomologist*. **6**: 11-37.
16. **Barry, R. M. (1973).** A note on the species composition of predators in Missouri soybeans. *Georgia Entomological society Journal*. **8**: 284-286.
17. **Basedow, T. (1998).** The species composition and frequency of spiders (Araneae) in fields of winter wheat grown under different conditions in Germany. *J. Appl. Entomol.*, **122**: 585- 590.

18. **Basedow, T.; Toth, F. and Kiss, J. (2000).** The species composition and frequency of spiders (Araneae) in fields of winter wheat in Hungary (northwest of Budapest) and in Germany (northwest of Frankfurt/M.). An attempt of comparison. *Mitt. Dtsch. Ges. Allg. Angew. Entomol.*, **12**: 263-266.
19. **Bastidas, H.; Pantoja, A.; Zuluaga, I. and Murillo, A. (1993).** Colombian ricefield spiders. *International Rice Research Notes*. **18(2)**: 32-33.
20. **Beltramo, J.; Bertolaccini, I. and Gonzalez, A. (2006).** Spiders of soybean crops in santa fe province, Argentina: Influence of surrounding spontaneous vegetation on lot colonization. *Braz. J. Biol.*, **66(3)**: 891-898.
21. **Bhattacharya, S. (2000).** Biodiversity of spiders in the rice field of Kalyani, West Bengal, India. *Research Journal of Chemistry and Environment*. **4(2)**: 75-76.
22. **Birkhofer, K.; Gavish-Regev, E.; Endlweber, K.; Lubin, Y. D.; von Berg, K.; Wise, D. H. and Scheu, S. (2008).** Cursorial spiders retard initial aphid population growth at low densities in winter wheat. *Bulletin of Entomological Research*. **98**: 249-255.
23. **Bishop, L. and Riechert, S. E. (1990).** Spider colonization of agroecosystems: mode and source. *Environmental Entomology*. **19**: 1738-1745.
24. **Blackwell, J. (1867).** Description of seven new species of East Indian spiders. *Annals and Magazine of Natural History*. **14(3)**: 36-45.
25. **Bogya, S. and Marko, V. (1999).** Effect of pest management systems on ground-dwelling spiders assemblages in an apple orchard in Hungary. *Agric., Ecosyst. Environ.* **73**: 7-18.
26. **Bostanian, N. J.; Dondale, C. D., Binns, M. R. and Pitre, D. (1984).** Effects of pesticide use on spiders (Araneae) in Quebec apple orchards. *Can. Entomol.* **116**: 663-675.
27. **Breene, R. G. (1989).** Predators of the cotton fleahopper on cotton. *Southwestern Entomologist*. **14**: 159-166.
28. **Breene, R. G.; Sterling, W. L. and Nyffeler, M. (1990).** Efficacy of spider and ant predators on the cotton fleahopper (Hemiptera: Miridae). *Entomophaga*. **35**: 393-401.
29. **Breene, R. G.; Dean, D. A.; Nyffeler, M. and Edwards, G. B. (1993).** Biology, predation ecology and significance of spiders in Texas cotton ecosystems with a key to the species. *Bulletin Texas A&M Experiment station 1711*, 115p.
30. **Bundy, C. S. (2005).** Survey and seasonal evaluation of the spiders of cotton in New Mexico. *Beltwide cotton conferences, New Orleans, Louisiana*. 1337-1344.
31. **Cambridge, O. P. (1885).** Araneida. In scientific results of the second Yarkand mission. *Calcutta*. 1-115.
32. **Carroll, D. P. (1980).** Biological notes on the spiders of some citrus groves in central and southern California. *Entomol. News*. **91**: 147-154.
33. **Cambridge, F. O. P. (1892).** On a new spider from Calcutta. *Annals and Magazine of Natural history*. **10(6)**: 417- 419.
34. **Cambridge, F. O. P. (1897).** On the cteniform spiders of Ceylon, Burma and the Indian archipelago west and north of Wallace's line; with bibliography and list of these from Australia, South and east of Wallace's line. *Annals and Magazine of Natural history*. **20(6)**: 329-356.
35. **Carter, P. E. and Rypstra, A. L. (1995).** Top-down effects in soybean agroecosystems: spider density affects herbivore damage. *Oikos*, **72**: 433-439.
36. **Chang, G. C. and Kareiva, P. (1999).** The case for indigenous generalists in biological control, pp.103–105. In B. A. Hawkins and H.V. Cornell (eds.), *Theoretical Approaches to Biological Control*. Cambridge University Press, Cambridge, United Kingdom.
37. **Chatterjee, S.; Isaia, M. and Venturino, E. (2009).** Spiders as biological controllers in the agroecosystem. *Journal of Theoretical Biology*. **258**: 352-362.
38. **Chiu, S. C. (1979).** Biological control of brown plant hopper. In *Brown Plant Hopper, Threat to Rice Production in Asia*. International Rice Research Institute, Los Banos, Laguna, Philippines, pp. 335-355.

39. **Chiverton, P. A. (1986).** Predator density manipulation and its effects on populations of *Rhopalosiphum padi* (Homoptera: Aphididae) in spring barley. *Ann. Appl. Biol.*, **109**: 49-60.
40. **Collins, K. L.; Boatman, N. D.; Wilcox, A.; Holland, J. M. and Chaney, K. (2002).** Influence of beetle banks on cereal aphid predation in winter wheat. *Agriculture, ecosystems & environment*. **93(1)**: 337-350.
41. **Costall, J. A. and Death, R. G. (2009).** Population structure and habitat use by the spider *Latrodectus katipo* along the Manawatu-Wanganui coastline. *New Zealand Journal of Zoology*. **36**: 407-415.
42. **Culin, J. D. and Rust, R. W. (1980).** Comparison of the ground surface and foliage dwelling spider communities in a soybean habitat. *Environ. Entomol.*, **9**: 577-582.
43. **Culin, J. D. and Yeargan, K.V. (1982).** Feeding behavior and prey of *Neoscona arabesca* (Araneae: Araneidae) and *Tetragnatha laboriosa* (Araneae: Tetragnathidae) in soybean fields. *Entomophaga*. **27**: 417-424.
44. **Culin, J. D. and Yeargan, K. V. (1983).** Spider fauna of alfalfa and soybean in central Kentucky. *Trans. Kentucky. Acad. Sci.*, **44**: 40-45.
45. **Danisman, T.; Bayram, A.; Corak, I. and Yigit, N. (2007).** An Investigation on Spider Fauna of Cereal Fields in Antalya (Araneae). *International Journal of Natural and Engineering Sciences*. **1(3)**: 17-23.
46. **Dean, D. A.; Sterling, W. L. and Horner, N. V. (1982).** Spiders in eastern Texas cotton fields. *J. Arachnol*, **10**: 251-260.
47. **Dean, D. A.; Sterling, W. L.; Nyffeler, M. and Breene, R. G. (1987).** Foraging by selected spider predators on the cotton fleahopper (Hemiptera, Miridae) and other prey. *Southwest. Entomol.*, **12**: 263-270.
48. **Devarassou, K. and Adiroubane, D. (2006).** Arthropod biodiversity in integrated pest management adopted tropical rice ecosystem. In *Biodiversity and insectpest management*. (Eds.) S. Ignacimuthu and S. Jayaraj. Narosa publishers, New Delhi, India. 93-103.
49. **Dinter, A. (1995).** Estimation of epigeic spider population densities using an intensive D-vac sampling technique and comparison with pitfall trap catches in winter wheat. In: *Arthropod Natural Enemies in Arable Land 1*. (Eds. S. Toft & W. Riedel). Aarhus University Press, Denmark, pp. 23-32.
50. **Dippenaar-Schoeman, A. S.; Van den Berg, A. M. and Van den Berg, A. (1999).** Spiders in South African cotton fields: species diversity and abundance (Arachnida: Araneae). *African Plant Protection*. **5**: 93-103.
51. **Diraviam, J.; Selvanayagam, M. and Ignacimuthu, S. (2006).** Seasonal biodiversity of arthropods in rice ecosystem. In *Biodiversity and insectpest management*. (Eds.) S. Ignacimuthu and S. Jayaraj. Narosa publishers, New Delhi, India. 80-87.
52. **Doane, J. F. and Dondale, C. D. (1979).** Seasonal captures of spiders (Araneae) in a wheat field and its grassy borders in Central Saskatchewan. *Can. Entomol.*, **111**: 439-445.
53. **Dollykumar and Shiva Kumar, M. S. (2004).** Ecological Studies on Spiders in Rice agroecosystem of Vadodara (Gujarat) with special emphasis on Bio-control aspect. *Indian Journal of Entomology*. **66(4)**: 323-327.
54. **Dolly Kumar and Shiva Kumar, M. S. (2006).** Seasonal abundance of spiders in pigeon pea agroecosystem of Vadodara, Gujarat. *Indian Journal of Environmental Sciences*. **10(1)**: 43-46.
55. **Dondale, C. D. (1956).** Annotated list of spiders (Araneae) from apple trees in Nova Scotia. *Canadian Entomologist*. **88**: 697- 700.
56. **Dondale, C. D. (1958).** Note on population densities of spiders (Araneae) in Nova Scotia apple orchards. *Canadian Entomologist*. **90**: 111- 113.
57. **Dondale, C. D.; Parent, B. and Pitre, D. (1979).** A 6-year study of spiders (Araneae) in a Quebec apple orchard. *Can. Entomol.*, **111**: 377-380.

58. **Dorris, P. R. (1970).** Observations on the impact of certain insecticides on spider populations in a cotton field. *Arkansas Academy of Science Proceedings*, Vol: XXIV.
59. **Edwards, C. A.; Sunderland K. D. and George, K. S. (1979).** Studies on polyphagous predators of cereal aphids. *Journal of Applied Ecology*. **16**: 811-823.
60. **Ekbom, B. S. and Wiktelius, S. (1985).** Polyphagous arthropod predators in cereal crops in central Sweden, 1979-1982. *Journal of Applied Entomology*. **99**: 433-442.
61. **Ekbom, B. S.; Wiktelius, S. and Chiverton, P. A. (1992).** Can polyphagous predators control the bird cherry-oat aphid (*Rhopalosiphum padi*) in spring cereals?: A simulation study. *Entomologia Experimentalis et Applicata*. **65(3)**: 215-223.
62. **Everly, R. T. (1938).** Spiders and insects found associated with sweet corn with notes on the food and habits of some species. I. Arachnida and Coleoptera. *Ohio J. Sci.*, **38**: 136-148.
63. **Everton, N. R. (2009).** Spider diversity in a rice agroecosystem and adjacent areas in southern Brazil. *Rev. Colomb. Entomol.*, **35(1)**: 1-11.
64. **Feber, R. E.; Bell, J.; Johnson, P. J.; Firbank, L. G. and MacDonald, D. W. (1998).** The effects of organic farming on surface - active spider (Araneae) assemblages in wheat in Southern England, UK. *Journal of Arachnology*. **26**: 190-202.
65. **Ferguson, H. J.; Mcpherson, R. M. and Allen, W. A. (1984).** Ground and foliage dwelling spiders in four soybean cropping systems. *Environ. Entomol.*, **13**: 975-980.
66. **Ganeshkumar, M. and Velusamy, R. (1996).** Safety of insecticides to spiders in rice fields. *The Madras Agricultural Journal*. **83(6)**: 371-375.
67. **Gavarra, M. and Raros, R. S. (1973).** Studies on the biology of predator wolf spider, *Lycosa pseudoannulata* Bos. et Str. (Araneae: Lycosidae). *Philippine Entomologist*. **2(6)**: 427.
68. **Ghavami, S. (2007).** The role of spiders on pests control in Iranian cotton fields. *Sonbol. J.*, **163**: 54.
69. **Ghavami, S.; Taghizadeh, M.; Amin, G.; Karimian, Z. (2007).** Spider (Order Araneae) Fauna of Cotton Fields in Iran. *Journal of Applied Biological Sciences*. **1 (2)**: 07-11.
70. **Ghavami, S. and Ghanadamooz, S. (2008).** Investigation of spider (order Araneae) fauna of citrus orchards in the Northern part of Iran. *J. Applied Biol. Sci.*, **2(1)**: 73-76.
71. **Ghavami, S.; Amin, G. A.; Taghizadeh, M. and Karimian, Z. (2008a).** Investigation of abundance and determination of dominant species of spider species in Iranian cotton fields. *Pak. J. Biol. Sci.*, **11(2)**: 181-187.
72. **Gonzalez, A.; Liljestrom, G.; Minervino, E.; Castro, D.; Gonzalez, S. and Armendano, A. (2009).** Predation by *Misumenops pallidus* (Araneae: Thomisidae) on insect pests of soybean cultures in Buenos Aires Province, Argentina. *The journal of Arachnology*. **37**: 282-286.
73. **Greenstone, M. H. (2001).** Spiders in wheat: First quantitative data for North America. *BioControl.*, **46**: 439-454.
74. **Greenstone, M. H. and Bennett, A. F. (1980).** Foraging strategy and metabolic rate in spiders. *Ecology*. **61**: 1255-1259.
75. **Gregory, B. M.; Barfield, C. S. and Edward, G. B. (1989).** Spider predation on velvetbean caterpillar moths (Lepidoptera, Noctuidae) in a soybean field. *Journal of Arachnology*. **17**: 119-122.
76. **Gupta, R. M.; Rao, P. and Pawar, A. D. (1986).** Survey of predatory spider fauna from rice agroecosystem. *Indian J. Plant Prot.*, **14**: 19-21.
77. **Halaj, J. and Wise, D. H. (2001).** Terrestrial trophic cascades: how much do they trickle? *The American Naturalist*. **157(3)**: 262-281.
78. **Halaj, J.; Cady, A. B. and Uetz, G. W. (2000).** Modular habitat refugia enhance generalist predators and lower plant damage in soybeans. *Environmental Entomology*. **29**: 383-393.
79. **Halley, J. M.; Thomas, C. F. G. and Jepson, P. C. (1996).** A model for the spatial dynamics of linyphiid spiders in farmland. *Journal of Applied Ecology*. **33**: 471-492.
80. **Hamamura, T. (1969).** Seasonal fluctuation of spider populations in paddy fields. *Acta Arachnologia*. **22**: 240-50.

81. **Harwood, J. D. (2001).** The contribution of non-pest food resources to the diet and biocontrol potential of spiders in winter wheat. Ph.D. Thesis. Cardiff University, UK.
82. **Hayes, J. and Lockley, T. (1990).** Prey and nocturnal activity of wolf spiders (Araneae: Lycosidae) in cotton fields in the Delta region of Mississippi. *Environmental Entomology*. **19**: 1512-1518.
83. **Heiss, J. S. and Meisch, M.V. (1985).** Spiders (Araneae) associated with rice in Arkansas with notes on species compositions of populations. *Southwestern Naturalist*. **30**: 119-127.
84. **Hibbert, A. C. and Buddle, C. M. (2008).** Assessing the dispersal of spiders within agricultural fields and an adjacent mature forest. *Journal of Arachnology*. **36**: 195-198.
85. **Hlivko, J. T. and Rypstra, A. L. (2003).** Spiders reduce herbivory: nonlethal effects of spiders on the consumption of soybean leaves by beetle pests. *Ann. Entomol. Soc. Amer.*, **96**: 914-919.
86. **Holt, J.; Cook, A. J.; Perfect, T. J. and Norton, G. A. (1987).** Simulation analysis of brown plant hopper (*Nilaparvata lugens*) population dynamics on rice in the Philippines. *Journal of Applied Ecology*. **24**: 87-102.
87. **House, G. J. and Stinner, B. R. (1983).** Arthropods in no-tillage soybean agroecosystems: community composition and ecosystem interactions. *Environ. Manag.*, **7**: 23-28.
88. **Im, M. S. and Kim, S. T. (1996).** Study on the ecology of the spiders as natural enemy on insects pest of main crops I, the fauna and population structure of the spiders at rice paddy field and levee. *Jour. Life Sciences, Konkuk Univ.*, **3**: 37-72.
89. **Im, M. S. and Kim, S. T. (1999).** Studies on the ecological characteristics of the spiders as natural enemy for rice insect pest at rice paddy fields in Koesan area, Chungcheongbuk-do. *Journal of Development of Agricultural Resources, Konkuk Univ.*, **21**: 57-66.
90. **Jayakumar, S. and Sankari, A. (2010).** Spider population and their predatory efficiency in different rice establishment techniques in Aduthurai, Tamil Nadu. *Journal of Biopesticides*. **3(1 Special Issue)**: 020-027.
91. **Jeyaparvathi, S.; Baskaran, S.; Bakavathiappan, G. A. (2012).** Population dynamics of spiders in selected cotton fields of Virudhunagar district, Tamil Nadu, India. *Bioherald: International Journal of Biodiversity & Environment*. **2(2)**: 143.
92. **Jeyaparvathi, S.; Baskaran, S. and Bakavathiappan, G. A. (2013).** Biological control potential of spiders on the selected cotton pests. *Int. J. of Pharm. & Life Sci.*, **4 (4)**: 2568-2572.
93. **Johnson, M. L.; Pearce, S. and Wade, M. (2000).** Review of beneficials in cotton farming systems, pp. 24-27. In Report for Cotton Research and Development Corporation. Narrabri, New South Wales, Australia.
94. **Jose, S. K.; Suthikumar, A. V.; Davis, S. and Sebastian, P. A. (2002).** Predatory spider fauna from different agro ecosystem in Kerala. *Journal Biological Control*. **16(1)**: 87-88.
95. **Kajak, A. (1997).** Effects of epigeic macroarthopods on grass litter decomposition in mown meadow. *Agriculture, Ecosystems and Environment*. **64**: 53-63.
96. **Kajak, A.; Chmielewski, K.; Kaczmarek, M. and Rembalkowska, E. (1991).** Experimental studies on the effect of epigeic predators on matter decomposition processes in managed peat grasslands. *Polish Ecological Studies*. **17**: 289-310.
97. **Karsch, E. (1873).** Verzeichniss Westfalischer Spinnen (Araneiden) Verh. naturh. Ver. Preuss. Rhein.Westfal., **10**: 113-160.
98. **Kobayashi, S. (1977).** Changes in population density of the spiders in paddy fields during winter. *Acta Arachnologia*. **27**: 247-251.
99. **Koch, C. L. (1836).** Die Arachniden. Nürnberg, Dritter Band: 1-104.
100. **Krishnaswami, N.; Chauhan, O. P. and Das, R. K. (1984).** Some common predators of rice insect pests in Assam, India. *IRRN.*, **9**:16.
101. **Kumar, M. G. (1994).** Prey predator interactions in the rice ecosystem with special reference to spiders. Ph. D. Thesis, Tamil Nadu Agricultural University. 210pp.
102. **Kumar, M. G. and Velusamy, R. (1997).** Prey preferences of commonly encountered spiders in the rice agro-ecosystem. *Madras Agric. J.* **84**: 481-483.

103. **Kumar, U. and Shiva kumar, M. S. (2006).** Spider diversity in paddy agro-ecosystem of central Gujarat. In *Biodiversity and insect pest management*. (Eds.) S. Ignacimuthu and S. Jayaraj. Narosa publishers.
104. **Landis, D. A. and Van der Werf, W. (1997).** Early-season predation impacts the establishment of aphids and spread of beet yellows virus in sugar beet. *Entomophaga*. **42**: 499-516.
105. **Lang, A. (2003).** Intraguild interference and biocontrol effects of generalist predators in a winter wheat field. *Oecologia*. **134**: 144-153.
106. **Lang, A.; Filser, J. and Henschel, J. R. (1999).** Predation by ground beetles and wolf spiders on herbivorous insects in a maize crop. *Agriculture, Ecosystems and Environment*. **72**: 189-199.
107. **Latreille, P. A. (1804).** Tabl. Methodique des insectes. N. Dic. Hist. Nat., **24**: 129-200.
108. **Leach, W. E. (1815).** Zool. Miscellany; being descriptions of new and interesting animals. Lond., **2**: 1-154.
109. **Lemke, A. and Poehling, H. M. (2002).** Sown weed strips in cereal fields: overwintering site and 'source' habitat for *Oedothorax apicatus* (Blackwall) and *Erigone atra* (Blackwall). *Agriculture, Ecosystems and Environment*. **90**: 67-80.
110. **LeSar, C. D. and Unzicker, J. D. (1978).** Soybean spiders: species composition, population densities, and vertical distribution. *Illinois Nat. Hist. Surv. Biol. Notes*, **107**: 1-14.
111. **Manisegaran, S.; Letchournananae, S.; Mohammed Hanifa, A. and Subramanian, M. (2006).** Seasonal biodiversity of predatory fauna in the coastal rice ecosystem of Karaikal. Biodiversity and insect pest management. (Eds.) S. Ignacimuthu and S. Jayaraj. Narosa Publishers, New Delhi, India. 88-92.
112. **Mansour, F. (1987).** Spiders in sprayed and unsprayed cotton fields in Israel, their interactions with cotton pests and their importance as predators of the Egyptian cotton leafworm, *spodoptera littoralis*. *Phytoparasitica*. **15**: 31-41.
113. **Mansour, F.; Rosen, D. and Shulov, A. (1981).** Disturbing effect of a spider on larval aggregations of *Spodoptera littoralis*. *Entomol. Exp. Appl.*, **29**: 234-237.
114. **Mansour, F. and Whitcomb, W. H. (1986).** The spiders of a citrus grove in Israel and their role as biocontrol agents of *Ceroplastes floridensis* (Homoptera: Coccidae). *Entomophaga*. **31**: 269-276.
115. **Mansour, F. and Heimbach, U. (1993).** Evaluation of lycosid, micryphantid and linyphiid spiders as predators of *Rhopalosiphum padi* (Homoptera: Aphididae) and their functional responses to prey density-laboratory experiments. *Entomophaga*. **38**: 79-87.
116. **Manu, P. M. and Bai, H. (2006).** Spider fauna in vegetable ecosystem. *Insect Environ.*, **11**: 180-181.
117. **Marshall, S. D.; Pavuk, D. M. and Rypstra, A. L. (2002).** A comparative study of phenology and daily activity patterns in the wolf spiders *Pardosa milvina* and *Hogna helluo* in soybean agroecosystems in southwestern Ohio (Araneae, Lycosidae). *Journal of Arachnology*. **30**: 503-510.
118. **Mathirajan, V. G. (2001).** Diversity and predatory potential of spiders in Cotton and Rice ecosystem applied with Thiamethoxane. Ph. D., Thesis. TNAU, Coimbatore-3.
119. **Mellet, M. A.; Schoeman, A. S. and Dippenaar-Schoeman A. S. (2006).** The effect of Bt-cotton cultivation on spider populations in Marble Hall, South Africa. *African Plant Protection*. **12**: 40-50.
120. **Miliczky, E. R.; Calkins, C. O. and Horton, D. R. (2000).** Spider abundance and diversity in apple orchards under three insect pest management programmes in Washington state, U.S.A. *Agricultural and forest Entomology*. **2**: 203-215.
121. **Mishra, A. K. and Shrivastava, S. K. (1993).** Composition and dynamics of spider fauna in the rice field. *J. Appl. Zool.*, **4**: 105-106.
122. **Moulder, B. C. and Riechle, E. E. (1972).** Significance of spider predation in the energy dynamics of forest floor arthropod communities. *Ecol. Monographs*., **42**: 473-498.
123. **Muma, M. H. (1975).** Spiders in florida citrus groves. Florida Citrus groves. *Florida Entomologist*. **58**: 83-90.

124. **Muniappan, R. and Chada, H. (1970).** Biological control of the greenbug by the spider *Phiddipus audax*. *Journal of Economic Entomology*. **63**: 1712.
125. **Nentwig, W. (1987).** The prey of spiders, pp. 249-263. In W. Nentwig (ed.), *Ecophysiology of Spiders*. Springer, Verlag, Berlin. New Delhi. India. 120-127.
126. **Nirmala, R. (1990).** Studies on the predatory spiders of rice pests. Ph.D Thesis, Tamil Nadu Agricultural University, Tamil Nadu. India. 183pp.
127. **Ntonifor, N. N.; Parr, M. C. and Ewunkem, J. A. (2012).** Seasonal Abundance and Distribution of the Huntsman Spider, *Heteropoda venatoria* (Sparassidae: Araneae) in Banana Agro-ecosystems in Cameroon. *Journal of Entomology*. **9**: 79-88.
128. **Nyffeler, M. and Benz, G. (1987).** Spiders in natural pest control: a review. *Journal of Applied Entomology*. **103**: 321-339.
129. **Nyffeler, M.; Dean, D. A. and Sterling, W. L. (1987a).** Predation by the green lynx spider, *Peucetia viridians* (Hentz) (Araneae: Oxyopidae), inhabiting cotton and woolly croton plants in east Texas. *Environ. Entomol.*, **16**: 355-359.
130. **Nyffeler, M.; Dean, D. A. and Sterling, W. L. (1987b).** Evaluation of the importance of the striped *Lynx* spider, *Oxyopes salticus* (Araneae: Oxyopidae), as a predator in Texas cotton. *Environ. Entomol.*, **16**(5): 1114-1123.
131. **Nyffeler, M.; Dean, D. A. and Sterling, W. L. (1987c).** Feeding ecology of the orb-weaving spider *Argiope aurantia* (Araneae: Araneidae) in a cotton agroecosystem. *Entomophaga*. **32**: 367-375.
132. **Nyffeler, M.; Dean, D. A. and Sterling, W. L. (1988a).** Prey records of the web-building spiders *Dictyna segregata* (Dictynidae), *Theridion australe* (Theridiidae), *Tidarren haemorrhoidale* (Theridiidae), and *Frontinella pyramitela* (Linyphiidae) in a cotton agroecosystem. *Southwest. Nat.* **33**: 215-218.
133. **Nyffeler, M.; Dean, D. A. and Sterling, W. L. (1988b).** The southern black widow spider, *Latrodectus mactans* (Araneae: Theridiidae), as a predator of the red imported fire ant, *Solenopsis invicta* (Hymenoptera: Formicidae), in Texas cotton fields. *J. Appl. Entomol.*, **106**: 52-57.
134. **Nyffeler, M. and Benz, G. (1988c).** Feeding ecology and predatory importance of wolf spiders (*Pardosa* spp) (Araneae, Lycosidae) in winter wheat fields. *Journal of Applied Entomology*. **106**: 123-134.
135. **Nyffeler, M. and Benz, G. (1988d).** Prey and predatory importance of micryphantid spiders in winter wheat fields and hay meadows. *J. Appl. Entomol.*, **105**: 190-197.
136. **Nyffeler, M.; Dean, D. A. and Sterling, W. L. (1989).** Prey selection and predatory importance of orb-weaving spiders (Araneae: Araneidae, Uloboridae) in Texas cotton. *Environ. Entomol.* **18**(3): 373-380.
137. **Nyffeler, M.; Dean, D. A. and Sterling, W. L. (1992).** Diets, feeding specialization, and predatory role of two lynx spiders, *Oxyopes salticus* and *Peucetia viridians* (Araneae, Oxyopidae) in Texas cotton agroecosystem. *Environmental Entomology*. **21**: 1457-1465.
138. **Nyffeler, M.; Sterling, W. L. and Dean, D. A. (1992).** Impact of the striped lynx spider (Araneae, Oxyopidae) and other natural enemies on the cotton fleahopper (Hemiptera, Miridae) in Texas cotton. *Environmental Entomology*. **21**: 1178-1188.
139. **Nyffeler, M.; Sterling, W. L. and Dean, D. A. (1994a).** How spiders make a living. *Environmental Entomology*. **23**: 1357-1367.
140. **Nyffeler, M.; Sterling, W. L. and Dean, D. A. (1994b).** Insectivorous activities of spiders in United States field crops. *Journal of Applied Entomology*. **118**: 113-128.
141. **Oraze, M. J.; Grigarick, A. A. and Smith, K. A. (1989).** Population ecology of *Pardosa ramulosa* (Araneae, Lycosidae) in flooded rice fields of northern California. *Journal of Arachnology*. **17**: 163-170.
142. **Oraze, M. J.; Grigarick, A. A.; Lynch, J. H. and Smith, K. A. (1988).** Spider fauna of flooded rice fields in northern California. *Journal of Arachnology*. **16**: 331-337.

143. **Ostman, O.; Ekbom, B. and Bengtsson, J. (2003).** Yield increase attributable to aphid predation by ground-living polyphagous natural enemies in spring barley in Sweden. *Ecol. Econ.*, **45**: 149-158.
144. **Patel, M. L.; Patel, K. G. and Desai, H. R. (2004).** Spider fauna of rice ecosystem of south Gujarat. *Insect Environ.*, **10**: 119-121.
145. **Patel, M. L.; Patel, K. G. and Pandya, H. V. (2005).** Spider fauna of rice field in Gujarat. *Insect Environ.*, **11**: 35-37.
146. **Pathak, S. and Saha, N. N. (1999).** Spider fauna of rice ecosystem in Barak valley zone of Assam, India. *Indian Journal of Entomology*. **2**: 211-212.
147. **Pearce, S.; Zalucki, M. P. and Hassan, E. (2005):** Spider ballooning in soybean and non-crop areas of southeast Queensland. *Agriculture Ecosystems and Environment*. **105**: 273-281.
148. **Pekar, S. and Kocoukek, F. (2004).** Spiders (Araneae) in the biological and integrated pest management of apple in the Czech Republic. *JEN*. **128(8)**: 561-566.
149. **Plagens, M. J. (1986).** Aerial dispersal of spiders (Araneae) in a florida cornfield ecosystem. *Environ. Entomol.* **15**: 1225-1233.
150. **Platnick, N. I. (2014).** **The world spider catalog, version 14.5. American Museum of Natural History, online at** <http://research.amnh.org/entomology/spiders/catalog/index.html> DOI: **10.5531/db.iz.0001.**
151. **Pluess, T.; Opatovsky, I.; Regev, E. G.; Lubin, Y. and Schmidt, M. H. (2008):** Spiders in wheat fields and semi-desert in the Negev (Israel). *Journal of Arachnology*. **36**: 368-373.
152. **Pocock, R. I. (1900).** *The fauna of British India, including Ceylon and Burma. Arachnida.* London, pp. 1-279.
153. **Rajendran, R. (1987).** Studies on the predatory spiders in the rice ecosystem. Ph. D. Thesis, TNAU, 160pp.
154. **Riechert, S. E. (1999).** The hows and whys of successful pest suppression by spiders: Insights from case studies. *Journal of Arachnology*. **27**: 387-396.
155. **Riechert, S. E. and Bishop, L. (1990).** Prey Control by an assemblage of generalist predators: spiders in garden test systems. *JSTOR: Ecology*. **71(4)**: 1441-1450.
156. **Riechert, S. E. and Lockley, T. (1984).** Spiders as biological control agents. *Annual Review of Entomology*. **29**: 299-320.
157. **Rinaldi, I. M. P.; Mendes, B. D. P. and Cady, A. B. (2002):** Distribution and importance of spiders inhabiting a Brazilian sugar cane plantation. *Revta Bras. Zool.*, **19(1)**: 271-279.
158. **Rypstra, A. L and Carter, P. E. (1995).** The web-spider community of Soybean agroecosystems in south-western Ohio. *Journal of Arachnology*. **23**: 135-144.
159. **Rypstra, A. L. and Marshall, S. D. (2005).** Augmentation of soil detritus affects the spider community and herbivory in a soybean agroecosystem. *Entomol. Exp. Appl.*, **116**:149-157.
160. **Sahu, S.; Singh, R. and Kumar, P. (1996).** Host preference and feeding potential of spiders predaceous in insect pests of rice. *Journal of Entomological Research*. **20(2)**: 145-150.
161. **Samal, P. and Misra, F. B. C. (1975).** Spiders: the most effective natural enemies of the brown plant hoppers in rice. *Rice Entomology Newsletter*. **3**: 31.
162. **Samiyyan, K. and Chandrasekaran, B. (1998).** Prey potential and preference of three rice dwelling spiders. *The Madras Agricultural Journal*. **85(7-9)**: 429-438.
163. **Samu, F. and Szinetar, C. (2002).** On the nature of agrobiont spiders. *Journal of Arachnology*. **30**: 389-402.
164. **Sasaba, T.; Kiritani, K. and Kawahara, S. (1973).** Food preference of *Lycosa* in paddy fields. *Bulletin of the Kochi Institute of Agriculture and Forestry Science*. **5**: 61-63.
165. **Satpathi, C. R. (2004).** Predacious spiders of crop pests. Capital publishing company, New Delhi. 188 P.

166. **Schmitz, O. J. (2003).** Top predator control of plant biodiversity and productivity in an old-field ecosystem. *Ecology Letters*. **6(2)**: 156-163.
167. **Schmidt, M. H.; Thieves, U.; Thies, C. and Tschardtke, T. (2004).** Aphid suppression by natural enemies in mulched cereals. *Entomol. Exp. Appl.*, **113**: 87-93.
168. **Schmidt, M. H. and Tschardtke, T. (2005a).** Landscape context of sheetweb spider (Linyphiidae) abundance in cereal fields. *Journal of Biogeography*. **32**: 467-473.
169. **Schmidt, M. H. and Tschardtke, T. (2005b).** The role of perennial habitats for Central European farmland spiders. Agriculture, Ecosy Snyder, W.E. & D.H. Wise. 1999. Predator interference and the establishment of generalist predator populations for biocontrol. *Biological Control*. **15**: 283-292.
170. **Sebastian, P.A.; A.V. Sudhikumar and S. Davis (2004).** Reproductive behavior and biology of *Oxyopes chittrae* Tikader (Araneae:Oxyopidae) occurring on cotton. *Zoos' Print Journal.*, **19(5)**: 1477-1480.
171. **Sebastian, P. A.; Mathew, M. J.; Pathummal Beevi, S.; Joseph, J. and Biju, C. R. (2005).** The spider fauna of the irrigated rice ecosystem in central Kerala, India across different elevational ranges. *Journal of Arachnology*. **33**: 247-255.
172. **Sellammal, M. and Chelliah, S. (1982).** Predatory potential of the wolf spider *L. pseudoannulata* on rice brown planthopper. *IRRN.*, **7**: 17.
173. **Settle, W. H.; Ariawan, H.; Astuti, E. T.; Cahyana, W.; Hakim, A. L.; Hindayana, D.; Lestari, A. S. and Sartanto, P. (1996).** Managing tropical rice pests through conservation of natural enemies and alternative prey. *Ecology*. **77**: 1975-1988.
174. **Sharma, V. K. and Sarup, P. (1980).** Predatory role of spiders in the integrated control of the maize stalk borer, *Chilo parvulus*. *Indian J. Entomol.*, **42**: 229-31.
175. **Shenmar, M.; Kaur, S. and Brar, K. S. (2001).** Spiders associated with the rice ecosystem in Punjab. *Proc. Biocontrol based pest management for quality crop protection*, Ludhiana, India. 27-28.
176. **Shepard, B. M.; Barrion, A. T. and Litsinger, J. A. (1987).** *Friends of the rice farmer: Helpful insects, spiders and pathogens*. International Rice Research Institute, Los Banos, Philippines. Pp.136.
177. **Shrewsbury, P. M. and Raupp, M. J. (2006).** Do top-down or bottom-up forces determine *Stephanitis pyrioides* abundance in urban landscapes? *Ecological Applications*. **16(1)**: 262-272.
178. **Siliwal, M. and Kumar, D. (2002).** Occurrence of Spiders *Triaeris manii* and *Triaeris poonaensis* (Family: Oonopidae) in the Banana agroecosystem of Vadodara, Gujarat. *Journal of Bombay Natural History Society*. **99(2)**: 352-355.
179. **Siliwal, M. and Kumar, D. (2001).** Rare sighting of poisonous Spider *Latrodectus hasseltii indicus* Simon (Araneae: Theridiidae) in a Cotton field in Baroda district, Gujarat. *Current Science*. **81(9)**: 101-102.
180. **Siliwal, M. and Kumar, D. (2003a).** Occurrence of rare jumping spider *Harmochirus brachiatus* (Thorell) (Family:salticidae) in the Banana Agroecosystem of Baroda, Gujarat. *Journal Bombay Natural History society*. **100 (1)**: 157.
181. **Siliwal, M. and Kumar, D. (2003b).** Rare sighting of Ogre faced spider *Dinopis goalparaensis* (Araneae: Dinopidae) in the Banana agroecosystem of Vadodara, Gujarat. *Journal Bombay Natural History Society*. **100 (1)**:160-161.
182. **Simon, E. (1887).** Studies on Arachnids. Asian collection from Indian Museum (Calcutta). *Journal of Asiatic Society of Bengal*. **56**: 101-117.
183. **Snyder, W. E. and Wise, D. H. (1999).** Predator Interference and the Establishment of Generalist Predator Populations for Biocontrol. *Biological Control*. **15**: 283-292.
184. **Spiller, D. A. and Schoener, T. W. (1990).** Lizards reduce food consumption by spiders: mechanisms and consequences. *Oecologia*. **83**: 150-161.
185. **Standen, V. (2000).** The adequacy of collecting techniques for estimating species richness of grassland invertebrates. *Journal of Applied Ecology*. **37**: 884-893.
186. **Stoliczka, F. (1869).** Contribution towards the Knowledge of Indian Arachnoidae. *Journal of Asiatic Society of Bengal*. **38**: 201-251.

187. **Sudhikumar, A. V.; Mathew, M. J.; Sunish, E. and Sebastian, P. A. (2005).** Seasonal variation in spider abundance in Kuttanad rice agroecosystem, Kerala, India (Araneae). *Acta Zoologica Bulgarica*. **1**: 181-190.
188. **Sunderland, K. (1999).** Mechanisms underlying the effects of spiders on pest populations. *Journal of Arachnology*. **27**: 308-316.
189. **Sunderland, K. D.; Stacey, D. L. and Edwards, C. A. (1980).** The role of polyphagous predators in limiting the increase of cereal aphids in winter wheat. *Bull. SROP/WPRS.*, **3(4)**: 85-91.
190. **Sunderland, K. D.; Fraser, A. M. and Dixon, A. F. G. (1986a).** Field and laboratory studies on money spiders (Linyphiidae) as predators of cereal aphids. *Journal of Applied Ecology*. **23**: 433-447.
191. **Sunderland, K. D.; Fraser, A. M. and Dixon, A. F. G. (1986b).** Distribution of Linyphiid spiders in relation to capture of prey in cereal fields. *Pedobiologia*. **29**: 367-375.
192. **Symondson, W. O. C.; Sunderland, K. D. and Greenstone, M. H. (2002).** Can generalist predators be effective biocontrol agents? *Annual Review of Entomology*. **47**: 561-594.
193. **Tahir, H. M. (2009).** Biodiversity And Predatory Efficacy Of Spiders Inhabiting The Rice Field Of Central Punjab, Pakistan. **PhD thesis**, 192 pp. Pakistan Research repository.
194. **Tahir, H. M. and Butt, A. (2008).** Activities of spiders in rice fields of central Punjab, Pakistan. *Acta Zoologica Sinica*. **54(4)**: 701-711.
195. **Tahir, H. M. and Butt, A. (2009).** Effects of different management practices and field margins on the abundance of ground spiders in rice ecosystems. *Pakistan J. Zool.*, **41(2)**: 85-93.
196. **Tahir, H. M. and Butt, A. (2009).** Predatory potential of three hunting spiders inhabiting the rice ecosystems. *Springer-Verlag*.
197. **Tahir, H. M.; Butt, A. and Sherawat, S. M. (2009).** Foraging strategies and diet composition of two orb web spiders in rice ecosystems. *Journal of Arachnology.*, **37**: 357-362.
198. **Tanaka, K. (1989).** Movement of spiders in arable land. *Plant Protection*. **43(1)**: 34-39.
199. **Thakur, J. N.; Singh, J. P.; Verma, O. P. and Diwakar, M. C. (1995).** Spider fauna in the rice ecosystem of Jammu. *J. Biol. Cont.*, **9**: 125-126.
200. **Thorell, T. (1895).** Descriptive Catalogue of the spiders of Burma. Brit. Mus. Lond. UK: 1-406.
201. **Tikader, B. K. (1980).** *Fauna of India-Araneae: Spiders, Vol. I (Araneidae and Gnaphosidae)*. Zoological Survey of India. 448 pp.
202. **Tikader, B. K. (1982a).** *Fauna of India-Araneae: Spiders, Vol. II (Thomisidae and Lycosidae)*. Zoological Survey of India. 533 pp.
203. **Tikader, B. K. (1982b).** Family Araneidae (Argiopidae), typical orbweavers. *Fauna India (Araneae)*, **2**:1-293.
204. **Tikader, B. K. (1987).** *Hand book of Indian Spiders*. Zoological Survey of India. 251 pp.
205. **Tikader, B. K. and Malhotra, M. S. (1980a).** *The fauna of India. Spiders (Thomisidae and Lycosidae)*. Zoological Survey of India, Calcutta. 44pp.
206. **Tikader, B. K. and Malhotra, M. S. (1980b).** *The Fauna of India-Spiders (Lycosidae) Vol. I Part 2*. Zoological Survey of India: 248-447.
207. **Toth, F. and Kiss, J. (1999).** Comparative analysis of Epigeic spider assemblages in Northern Hungarian winter wheat fields and their adjacent margins. *Journal of Arachnology*. **27**: 241-248.
208. **Trivedi, V. (2009).** Diversity of spiders in groundnut crop fields in village area of Saurashtra region. *Journal of Bombay Natural History Society*. **106(2)**: 184-189.
209. **Turnbull, A. L. (1973).** Ecology of the true spiders (Araneomorphae). *Annual Review of Entomology*. **18**: 305-348.
210. **Van den Berg, A. M.; Dippenaar-Schoeman, A. S. and Schoonbee, H. J. (1990).** The effect of two pesticides on spiders in South African cotton fields. *Phytophylactica*. **22**: 435-441.
211. **Vanitha, K. (2000).** Studies of predatory spider of rice pests. M.Sc., Thesis. TNAU, Coimbatore.
212. **Venkateshalu, S.; Gubbaiah, G. and Viraktamath, C. A. (1998).** Conservation of spiders in rice ecosystem. *Entomon.*, **23**:147-149.

213. **Venturino, E.; Isaia, M.; Bona, F.; Chatterjee, S. and Badino, G. (2008).** Biological controls of intensive agroecosystems: wanderer spiders in the Langa Astigiana. *Ecological Complexity*. **5**: 157–164.
214. **Vichitbandha, P. and Wise, D. H. (2002).** A field experiment on the effectiveness of spiders and carabid beetles as biocontrol agents in soybean. *Agricultural and Forest Entomology*. **4**: 31-38.
215. **Von Berg, K.; Thies, C.; Tschardtke, T. and Scheu, S. (2009).** Cereal aphid control by generalist predators in presence of belowground alternative prey: Complementary predation as affected by prey density. *Pedobiologia*. **53**: 41-48.
216. **Warui, C.M.; M.H. Villet and T.P. Young (2004).** Spiders (Arachnida:Araneae) from black cotton soil habitats of a highland savanna biome in Laikipia, central Kenya. *J. Afrotrop. Zool*; 1:xx-xx.
217. **Whitehouse, M. E. and Lawrence, L. (2001).** Are spiders the perfect predator? *Aust. Cotton Grower.*, **22**: 30-33.
218. **Wise, D. H. (1993).** Spiders in ecological webs. Cambridge, Cambridge University Press. 234pp.
219. **Wisniewska, J. and Prokopy, R. J. (1997).** Pesticide effect on faunal composition, abundance, and body length of spiders (Araneae) in apple orchards. *Environmental Entomology*. **26**: 763-776.
220. **Wissinger, S. A. (1997).** Cyclic colonization in predictably ephemeral habitats: a template for biological control in annual crop systems. *Biological Control*. **10**: 4-15.
221. **Woods, M. W. and Harrel, R. C. (1976).** Spider populations of a southeast Texas rice fields. *Southwestern Naturalist*. **21**: 37-48.
222. **Wyss, E. J.; Niggli, U. and Nentwig, W. (1995).** The impact of spiders on aphid populations in a strip-managed apple orchard. *J. Appl. Entomol.*, **199**: 473-478.
223. **Xu, J. S.; Chen, Z. F. and Zhu, R. L. (1987).** Study and application of spiders in rice fields in Zhejiang Province. *Natural Enemy*. **9(1)**: 140-144.
224. **Ye, Z. X. and Wang, D. D. (1987).** Population dynamics of spiders in rice fields of Jiangxi Province. *Bulletin of Biological control*. **3(1)**: 11-14.
225. **Young, O. P. and Edwards, G. B. (1990).** Spiders in united states field crops and their potential effect on crop pests. *Journal of Arachnology*. **18**: 1-27.
226. **Zhao, J. Z. (1993).** *Spiders in the Cotton Fields in China*. Wuhan Publishing House, Wuhan, China, 552 pp.
227. **Zhimomi, V. A.; Aleemao, M.; Chaturvedi, D. P. and Khaund, J. N. (2001).** Predatory fauna of rice ecosystem at Medziphema, Nagaland. *Proc. Biocontrol based pest management, for quality crop protection*, Ludhiana, India., 28-29.